From
Ms. Esperanza Heredia

South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 E. Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, California 91765

April 22, 2002

Barry Wallerstein
Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens
Planning-CEQA

Re: ULTRAMAR, INC., Wilmington Oil Refinery
Su: Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR)
And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I live in Wilmington with my son and it has come to my attention of the proposal to issue a permit to Ultramar. Inc. and I wish to inform you that I am against the issuance of a permit for the following reasons:

1. No Public Hearing was held in Wilmington to advise us of Ultramar's project proposal and its impact on our community. 33-1

2. No Public Notice was given in our local newspapers such as the Wilmington Community News, Random Lengths, La Opinion and Daily Breeze. 33-2

3. The DSEIR fails to contain and report accurate Negative Environmental Impact and Negative Health Impact information. 33-3

4. Ultramar offers no significant mitigation to correct all the environmental damage and health problems it has caused and will continue to cause. 33-4

I want no further air pollution which may harm my son and community. I request that a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington as soon as possible before a permit is issued and that the Public Comment Period be extended for more time. 33-5

Cordially,

Esperanza Heredia

Ms. Esperanza Heredia
820 Braod Ave. # 1 A
Wilmington, CA 90744

COMMENT LETTER NO. 33
LETTER FROM MS. ESPERANZE HEREDIA

April 22, 2002

Response 33-1

Your opinion regarding the Ultramar proposed project is noted. Please see Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting for the proposed project.

Response 33-2

See Response 3-1 regarding the public notice for the proposed project.

Response 33-3

See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information. Also, see Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project.

Response 33-4

The comment that no mitigation has been provided for the proposed project is incorrect. See Response 6-3 for a further discussion.

Response 33-5

Your comment regarding air pollution is noted. Also see Response 23-2. See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and the request for an extension of the public comment period.