

May 2008

SCH No. 2007081057

**CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY
EL SEGUNDO REFINERY**

**PRODUCT RELIABILITY AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

Volume I: Final Environmental Impact Report

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Laki Tisopoulos, Ph.D., P.E.

CEQA and Toxics Rules Manager

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, INC.

Reviewed by: Mike Krause - Air Quality Specialist

Steve Smith, Ph.D. - Program Supervisor

Jeff Cox – Air Quality Engineer I

Barbara Baird – Principal District Counsel

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Chevron Products Company El Segundo Refinery Product Reliability and Optimization Project. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period on March 7, 2008. The comment period ended on April 22, 2008. Four comment letters were received during the public comment period on the Draft EIR. The comment letters and responses are included in Appendix G of this document. The comments were evaluated and minor modifications have been made to the Draft EIR such that it is now a Final EIR. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft EIR, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require recirculation of the Draft EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final EIR. Additions to the text of the EIR are denoted using ~~italics~~. Text that has been eliminated is shown using ~~strike-throughs~~.

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman: **WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.**
Speaker of the Assembly Representative

Vice Chairman: **S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.**
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI
Mayor, City of South Pasadena
Cities of Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

BILL CAMPBELL
Supervisor, Third District
Orange County Representative

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

DR. JOSEPH K. LYU, Ph.D.
Governor's Appointee

GARY OVITT
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
City of Los Angeles Representative

MIGUEL A. PULIDO
Mayor, City of Santa Ana
Cities Representative, Orange County

TONIA REYES URANGA
Councilmember, City of Long Beach
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, City of Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY****PRODUCT RELIABILITY AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT****DRAFT FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT****VOLUME I**

Page No.

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 PURPOSE/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS	1-1
1.3 SCOPE AND CONTENT.....	1-2
1.4 RESPONSIBLE AND OTHER AGENCIES	1-2
1.5 INTENDED USES OF THE EIR	1-3
1.6 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY.....	1-3
1.7 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION ...	1-4
1.7.1 Introduction.....	1-4
1.7.2 Project Objectives	1-4
1.7.3 Project Location.....	1-5
1.7.4 Land Use and Zoning.....	1-5
1.7.5 Existing Refinery Configuration and Operation	1-5
1.7.6 Proposed Process Unit Modifications	1-6
1.7.7 Proposed New Process Units	1-8
1.7.8 Construction of the Proposed Project	1-10
1.8 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 3: EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-10
1.8.1 Air Quality	1-10
1.8.2 Energy	1-11
1.8.3 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-11
1.8.4 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-11
1.8.5 Noise	1-12
1.8.6 Solid/Hazardous Waste.....	1-13
1.8.7 Transportation and Traffic	1-13
1.9 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 4: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	1-14
1.9.1 Air Quality	1-14
1.9.2 Energy	1-16
1.9.3 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-17
1.9.4 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-17
1.9.5 Noise	1-18
1.9.6 Solid/Hazardous Waste.....	1-19
1.9.7 Traffic and Transportation	1-20
1.10 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	1-21
1.10.1 Air Quality	1-21

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
1.10.2 Energy	1-25
1.10.3 Hazards/Hazardous Materials	1-26
1.10.4 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-26
1.10.5 Noise	1-27
1.10.6 Solid/Hazardous Waste.....	1-29
1.10.7 Transportation/Traffic.....	1-30
1.11 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES.....	1-31
1.11.1 Description of Alternatives	1-31
1.11.2 Environmental Impacts of Alternatives	1-32
1.12 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTERS 7 AND 8: REFERENCES, ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	1-33
 2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	 2-1
2.1 INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.2 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.3 PROJECT LOCATION	2-2
2.4 LAND USE AND ZONING.....	2-2
2.5 EXISTING REFINERY CONFIGURATION AND OPERATION	2-2
2.6 PROPOSED PROJECT MODIFICATIONS TO THE REFINERY	2-7
2.6.1 Proposed Process Unit Modifications.....	2-7
2.6.2 Proposed New Process Units	2-12
2.7 CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2-13
2.8 OPERATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	2-13
2.9 PERMITS AND APPROVALS.....	2-15
 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	 3-1
3.1 INTRODUCTION	3-1
3.2 AIR QUALITY	3-1
3.2.1 Meteorological Conditions.....	3-1
3.2.2 Temperature and Rainfall	3-1
3.2.3 Wind Flow Patterns.....	3-2
3.2.4 Existing Air Quality	3-2
3.2.5 Regulatory Background	3-9
3.3 ENERGY	3-11
3.3.1 Statewide Energy Trends	3-11
3.3.2 Regulatory Background	3-14
3.3.3 Regulatory Background	3-14
3.4 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL.....	3-18
3.4.1 Types of On-Site Hazards	3-18
3.4.2 Transportation Risks	3-21
3.4.3 Regulatory Background	3-22
3.5 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	3-24
3.5.1 Water Supply	3-24
3.5.2 Wastewater Generation	3-24
3.5.3 Regulatory Background	3-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
3.6 NOISE.....	3-27
3.6.1 Introduction.....	3-27
3.6.2 Refinery Existing Noise Levels	3-29
3.6.3 Regulatory Background	3-32
3.7 SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE	3-35
3.7.1 Non-Hazardous Solid Waste.....	3-35
3.7.2 Hazardous Waste Management.....	3-37
3.7.3 Regulatory Background	3-39
3.8 TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC.....	3-40
3.8.1 Regional Circulation	3-40
3.8.2 Local Circulation	3-41
3.8.3 Existing Traffic Conditions.....	3-43
3.8.4 Regulatory Background	3-43
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	4-1
4.1 INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2 AIR QUALITY	4-1
4.2.1 Significance Criteria	4-2
4.2.2 Environmental Impacts	4-5
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures	4.24
4.2.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-26
4.3 ENERGY	4-27
4.3.1 Significance Criteria	4-28
4.3.2 Environmental Impacts	4-28
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures	4-29
4.3.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-29
4.4 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL.....	4-29
4.4.1 Significance Criteria	4-29
4.4.2 Environmental Impacts	4-30
4.4.3 Mitigation Measures	4-33
4.4.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-33
4.5 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	4-33
4.5.1 Significance Criteria	4-33
4.5.2 Environmental Impacts	4-34
4.5.3 Mitigation Measures	4-36
4.5.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-36
4.6 NOISE	4-36
4.6.1 Significance Criteria	4-36
4.6.2 Environmental Impacts	4-36
4.6.3 Mitigation Measures	4-40
4.6.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-40
4.7 SOLID AND HAZARDOUS WASTE.....	4-40
4.7.1 Significance Criteria	4-40
4.7.2 Solid and Hazardous Waste Impacts.....	4-41
4.7.3 Mitigation Measures	4-42
4.7.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-42

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
4.8 TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC.....	4-43
4.8.1 Significance Criteria	4-43
4.8.2 Environmental Impacts	4.43
4.8.3 Mitigation Measures	4-52
4.8.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-53
4.9 GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS	4-53
4.10 SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH CANNOT BE AVOIDED AND SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES	4-54
4.11 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT... 4-54	
5.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-1
5.1 INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1 Related Projects.....	5-3
5.2 AIR QUALITY	5-7
5.2.1 Construction Impacts	5-7
5.2.2 Operational Emissions Impacts.....	5-9
5.2.3 Toxic Air Contaminants.....	5-11
5.2.4 Greenhouse Gases.....	5-12
5.2.5 Mitigation Measures	5-28
5.2.6 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-28
5.3 ENERGY	5-28
5.3.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-29
5.3.2 Mitigation Measures	5-29
5.3.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-29
5.4 HAZARDS/HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	5-29
5.4.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-30
5.4.2 Mitigation Measures	5-30
5.4.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-31
5.5 HYDROLOGY/WATER QUALITY	5-31
5.5.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-31
5.5.2 Mitigation Measures	5-32
5.5.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-32
5.6 NOISE.....	5-34
5.6.1 Construction Impacts	5-34
5.6.2 Operational Impacts	5-34
5.6.3 Mitigation Measures	5-35
5.6.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-35
5.7 SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE	5-35
5.7.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-36
5.7.2 Mitigation Measures	5-38
5.7.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-38
5.8 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	5-38
5.8.1 Construction Impacts	5-38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
5.8.2 Operational Impacts	5-38
5.8.3 Mitigation Measures	5-40
5.8.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-40
6.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2 ALTERNATIVES REJECTED AS INFEASIBLE	6-2
6.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-4
6.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-4
6.3.2 Alternative 2 – No Additional Sulfur Recovery Facilities.....	6-4
6.3.3 Alternative 3 – Eliminate Vapor Recovery and Safety Flare System.....	6-4
6.3.4 Alternative 4 – Eliminate FCCU and Alkylation Unit Modifications ..	6-5
6.3.5 Alternative 5 – Eliminate the New 49.9 MW Cogeneration Unit.....	6-5
6.4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FROM THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	6-5
6.4.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-5
6.4.2 Alternative 2 – No Additional Sulfur Recovery Facilities.....	6-7
6.4.3 Alternative 3 – Eliminate Vapor Recovery and Safety Flare System....	6-10
6.4.4 Alternative 4 – Eliminate FCCU and Alkylation Unit Modifications ...	6-12
6.4.5 Alternative 5 – Eliminate the New 49.9 MW Cogeneration Unit.....	6-14
6.5 CONCLUSION.....	6-16
7.0 REFERENCES.....	7-1
7.1 REFERENCES	7-1
7.2 ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED.....	7-3
7.2.1 Organizations	7-3
7.2.2 Individuals Consulted	7-3
7.3 LIST OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT PREPARERS	7-3
8.0 ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	8-1
8.1 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	8-1
8.2 GLOSSARY	8-5
APPENDICES:	
APPENDIX A: NOTICE OF PREPARATION/INITIAL STUDY (NOP/IS)	
APPENDIX B: PEAK EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS	
APPENDIX C: CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOR ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE	
APPENDIX D: HAZARD ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX E: NOISE ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX F: TRAFFIC LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSES	
APPENDIX G: <i>RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT</i>	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.	
FIGURES:		
2-1	REGIONAL MAP CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO	2-3
2-2	SITE LOCATION MAP CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO	2-4
2-3	CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY PROJECT COMPONENT LOCATIONS	2-5
2-4	REFINERY BLOCK FLOW DIAGRAM	2-6
2-5	CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO REFINERY PRODUCT RELIABILITY AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE	2-14
3-1	CALIFORNIA'S MAJOR SOURCES OF ENERGY	3-12
3-2	GENERAL NOISE SOURCES AND THEIR SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS.....	3-28
3-3	CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	3-31
3-4	LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FOR COMMUNITY NOISE ENVIRONMENTS	3-34
3-5	TRAFFIC CIRCULATION	3-42
4-1	MAXIMUM IMPACT LOCATIONS CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO REFINERY PRODUCT RELIABILITY AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT	4-19
4-2	TRAFFIC ROUTES ALTERNATIVE 1 – WINTER	4-45
4-3	TRAFFIC ROUTES ALTERNATIVE 2 – SUMMER	4-49
5-1	CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY CUMULATIVE PROJECT LOCATIONS	5-2
5-2	ENERGY INTENSITY INDEX RESULTS.....	5-25
TABLES:		
2-1	FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL AGENCY PERMITS AND APPLICATIONS	2-16
3-1	FEDERAL AND STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.....	3-3
3-2	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY SOUTHWEST COASTAL LOS ANGELES COUNTY 2 MONITORING STATION MAXIMUM OBSERVED CONCENTRATIONS (2002-2006)	3-5
3-3	CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY REPORTED CRITERIA POLLUTANT EMISSIONS	3-6
3-4	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS – NORTH LONG BEACH MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION 2006	3-8
3-5	CALIFORNIA UTILITY ELECTRICITY DELIVERIES FOR 2005.....	3-13
3-6	TRUCK ACCIDENT RATES FOR CARGO ON HIGHWAYS.....	3-22
3-7	NOISE SURVEY LOCATIONS	3-30
3-8	AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS.....	3-32
3-9	LOCAL NOISE GUIDELINES AND ORDINANCES	3-33

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
3-10 LOS ANGELES COUNTY LANDFILL STATUS	3-36
3-11 HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY – 2007.....	3-38
3-12 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	3-44
4-1 AIR QUALITY SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS	4-3
4-2 DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE FOR NO _x AND SO _x POLLUTANTS AT THE CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY	4-5
4-3 CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY PEAK CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS	4-6
4-4 LOCALIZED SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLD EVALUATION FOR CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS.....	4-10
4-5 CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO REFINERY STATIONARY SOURCE OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS	4-11
4-6 CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY EL SEGUNDO REFINERY STATIONARY SOURCE OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS SUMMARY ..	4-14
4-7 RESULTS OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS AIR QUALITY MODELING	4-16
4-8 MAXIMUM REFINERY TAC EMISSIONS RATES FROM THE PROPOSED PROJECT	4-18
4-9 SUMMARY OF PROPOSED PROJECT CANCER RISK	4-20
4-10 EMISSION REDUCTIONS FROM UNIT SHUTDOWNS DURING CONSTRUCTION.....	4-26
4-11 MAXIMUM HAZARD DISTANCES FOR MAXIMUM CREDIBLE EVENT IN EACH PROCESS UNIT	4-30
4-12 CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCES	4-37
4-13 PROJECT CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS	4-38
4-14 AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS.....	4-40
4-15 CHEVRON REFINERY CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS (WINTER).....	4-46
4-16 PROPOSED PROJECT IMPACT ON SURROUNDING FREEWAYS (WINTER)	4-47
4-17 CHEVRON REFINERY CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS (SUMMER)	4-50
4-18 PROPOSED PROJECT IMPACT ON SURROUNDING FREEWAYS (SUMMER).....	4-51
5-1 OTHER RELATED PROJECTS IN THE CITIES OF EL SEGUNDO AND MANHATTAN BEACH.....	5-6
5-2 OTHER CHEVRON CAPITAL PROJECTS	5-7
5-3 CUMULATIVE CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	5-8
5-4 CUMULATIVE OPERATIONAL AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	5-10
5-5 CALIFORNIA GHG EMISSIONS AND SINKS SUMMARY.....	5-13
5-6 CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS SUMMARY.....	5-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
5-7 CHEVRON PRO PROJECT WITH PURCHASED POWER GHG EMISSIONS SUMMARY	5-18
5-8 CHEVRON PRO PROJECT GHG EMISSIONS SUMMARY BUSINESS-AS-USUAL APPROACH WITHOUT COGEN TRAIN D....	5-18
5-9 COMPARISON OF GHGS FROM COGEN TRAIN D TO EMISSIONS PERFORMANCE STANDARD	5-22
5-10 CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY COMPARISON OF 1990 VERSUS 2010 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	5-22
5-11 ESTIMATED WASTEWATER GENERATED BY CUMULATIVE PROJECTS	5-33
5-12 ESTIMATED SOLID WASTE GENERATED BY CUMULATIVE PROJECTS	5-37
5-13 CHEVRON EL SEGUNDO REFINERY CUMULATIVE TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICES ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS	5-39
6-1 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES AS COMPARED TO PROPOSED PROJECT.....	6-17

VOLUME II

Health Risk Assessment

M:\DBS\2505 ChevronPro Final EIR 2505 FEIR 0.doc