

BOARD MEETING DATE: April 2, 2021

AGENDA NO. 24

REPORT: California Air Resources Board Monthly Meeting

SYNOPSIS: The California Air Resources Board held meetings on February 25, 2021, and March 25, 2021. The following are summaries of the meetings.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and file.

Gideon Kracov, Member
South Coast AQMD Governing Board

ft

The California Air Resources Board (CARB or Board) held a meeting remotely on February 25, 2021 via a web-based videoconferencing service. Key items presented are summarized below.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

21-1-1: Public Meeting to Consider the Proposed Vehicle Miles Traveled Offset Demonstration for the Coachella Valley Extreme Ozone State Implementation Plan

The Board adopted the proposed Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Offset Demonstration as part of the Coachella Valley Extreme Area State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the 80 parts per billion (ppb) 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard. The Clean Air Act requires states include a VMT emissions offset demonstration as part of the SIP for Extreme ozone nonattainment areas to show that enforceable transportation control strategies and transportation control measures will offset any increase in emissions from growth in VMT or numbers of vehicle trips. On December 28, 2020, CARB submitted the SIP to U.S. EPA, and while the SIP demonstrated attainment of the standard by the attainment deadline and addressed all other Extreme area SIP requirements, it did not include a VMT emissions offset demonstration. With the

submittal of the VMT Offset Demonstration, U.S. EPA will be able to approve the Coachella Valley 8-hour 80 ppb ozone SIP.

21-1-2: Public Hearing to Consider Proposed 2020 Amendments to the Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards

The Board adopted updated designations for several areas in California for the State ozone and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) ambient air quality standards. The area designations are labels that describe the healthfulness of the air quality in each area. State law requires that CARB annually review and, if appropriate, amend the designations. Based on a review of air quality data collected during 2017 through 2019, the Board changed the ozone designations of the North Central Coast Air Basin from nonattainment to attainment, Amador County in the Mountain Counties Air Basin and Shasta County in the Sacramento Valley Air Basin from nonattainment to nonattainment-transitional, and Santa Barbara County in the South Central Coast Air Basin from attainment to nonattainment. For PM_{2.5} designations, the Board changed the remainder of San Bernardino, Kern, Los Angeles, and Riverside Counties portion of the Mojave Desert Air Basin from unclassified to attainment. A nonattainment designation results in reporting and area wide Enhanced Vapor Recovery requirements that help areas to achieve the California ambient air quality standards.

21-1-3: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Davis, Titled “Post-COVID Transportation Scenarios: Evaluating the Impact of Policies”

The Board approved funding for the proposed research contract with the University of California, Davis titled “Post-COVID Transportation Scenarios: Evaluating the Impact of Policies.” The research will examine a variety of scenarios to identify the opportunities and barriers to post-pandemic transportation and land-use policies that CARB and the State could institute to help meet California’s air quality, climate, and equity goals. The project will consider the impacts of transportation behaviors and land use preferences observed during the pandemic and how these behaviors may affect climate, air quality, and equity through 2050.

21-1-4: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Davis, Titled “Estimating the Health Benefits of Reducing Emissions of Toxics Air Contaminants in California”

The Board approved funding for the proposed research contract with the University of California, Davis titled “Estimating the Health Benefits of Reducing Emissions of Toxics Air Contaminants in California.” The contract will support CARB’s efforts to reduce the public’s health risk from exposure to airborne toxic chemicals and will result in a publicly accessible model to evaluate health benefits of reduced air toxics emissions

from airborne toxic control measures and other emission reduction strategies that can be used by CARB, local governments, communities, and other interested stakeholders.

21-1-5: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Berkeley, Titled “Impacts of Train and Port Pollution and Air Toxics on Respiratory Symptoms and Emergency Department Visits within Vulnerable Communities in Southern California

The Board approved funding for the proposed research contract with the University of California, Berkeley titled “Impact of Train and Port Pollution and Air Toxics on Respiratory Symptoms and Emergency Department Visits within Vulnerable Communities in Southern California.” The research contract will support CARB’s air quality, environmental justice, and climate programs and provide essential new data for health assessments of rules and regulations. Specifically, the research contract will study the respiratory health impacts of air pollution and toxics from locomotive and port craft operations on medication use and emergency department visits within vulnerable communities in Southern California. The study will provide information needed to include respiratory disease exacerbations as a new endpoint for CARB’s health analysis in regulatory processes.

21-1-6: Public Meeting to Consider the San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Burning Assessment

The Board took action to effectively eliminate agricultural burning in the San Joaquin Valley by 2025. The Board provided concurrence with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District’s (District) agricultural burning prohibition postponements through August 31, 2021, as set forth in the District 2020 Staff Report and Recommendations on Agricultural Burning (2020 Report). The District 2020 Report set new agricultural burn prohibitions beyond those already in effect in the Valley and included a request for CARB concurrence on proposed postponement of burn prohibitions for the remaining crop categories and materials. While agricultural burning is prohibited in the San Joaquin Valley (Valley) under Senate Bill (SB) 705 (Florez 2003), the District can postpone the burn ban for some crop categories and materials if the District determines certain criteria detailed under SB 705 apply, and if CARB concurs with the District’s determinations. The Board also delegated the Executive Officer the authority to provide concurrence after August 31, 2021 provided the Executive Officer determines that the District has implemented the additional measures detailed in the CARB Staff Recommendations to facilitate a near-complete phase-out of agricultural burning by January 1, 2025.

21-1-7: Report to the Board on the California Air Resources Board Program Priorities for 2021

The Board heard an overview by Executive Officer Richard Corey of CARB's accomplishments in 2020 and an outline of CARB's key activities planned for 2021. Highlights of CARB's activities in 2020 included implementation of the Community Air Protection Program and the adoption of regulations to achieve significant reductions from the heavy-duty sector such as the amendments to Ocean-Going Vessels At Berth regulation and the adoption of the Advanced Clean Truck, Innovative Clean Transit and Heavy-Duty Omnibus regulations. CARB is pursuing synergistic strategies that address air quality, toxics and greenhouse gases that incorporate equity and move toward transitioning combustion out of all sectors. In 2021, CARB's priorities will focus on catalyzing clean transportation, meeting air quality standards, supporting community solutions, achieving carbon neutrality and partnering for the success of these goals. The Board also heard how CARB is following the Board's direction to increase diversity and inclusion within CARB's workforce by expanding recruitment and hiring processes and building capacity for CARB's policies, programs, and regulations to advance racial equity by eliminating systemic racial injustice. These capacity efforts implementation of Resolution 20-33, A Commitment to Racial Equity and Social Justice.

South Coast AQMD Staff Comments/Testimony: Staff commented on the need to prioritize near-term criteria pollutant emission reductions sufficient to meet the federal standards in 2023 and 2031, secure additional incentive funds to accelerate fleet turnover and work with the local air districts to hold the federal government accountable for their emission sources.

21-1-8: Public Meeting to Consider Assembly Bill 617 Community Air Protection Program – Consideration of Recommended Communities

The Board selected three new communities for the deployment of air monitoring systems and/or community emissions reduction programs under the Community Air Protection Program (Program). Assembly Bill 617 (C. Garcia, Chapter 136, Statutes of 2017) requires the Board to annually consider selection of communities within which community air monitoring systems and/or community emissions reduction programs will be implemented. Previously, thirteen communities have been selected for participation in the Program. The new communities include Richmond-San Pablo that will transition from a Community Air Monitoring Plan area to developing a Community Emissions Reduction Program, Arvin-Lamont that will develop a Community Air Monitoring Plan and Emissions Reduction Program, and South Los Angeles that will also develop a Community Air Monitoring Plan and Emissions Reduction Program. The new communities selected by the Board will see additional focused action through the development and implementation of community emissions reduction programs and/or community air monitoring. In addition, the Community Air Grants Program will continue to support community groups throughout the State by providing grants for local community-run monitoring networks, outreach, capacity building, and the

development of emission reduction strategies. The Office of Environmental Justice will facilitate regular listening sessions between CARB leadership, community residents, and environmental justice advocacy organizations to ensure that these communities have a space to directly engage with CARB leadership.

South Coast AQMD Staff Comments/Testimony: Staff expressed support for the selection of the South Los Angeles community for the AB 617 program and commented that work has begun with community organizations and community members to lay the groundwork for the upcoming planning process. Staff explained that the community's initial input on air quality concerns are autobody shops, oil production facilities, trucks, warehouses and other environmental concerns.

The California Air Resources Board (CARB or Board) held a meeting remotely on March 25, 2021 via a web-based videoconferencing service. Key items presented are summarized below.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

21-2-1: Public Hearing to Consider Proposed Amendments to the Antiperspirants and Deodorants Regulation; Consumer Products Regulation; Aerosol Coating Products Regulation; Alternative Control Plan Regulation; the Tables of Maximum Incremental Reactivity Values; and Test Method 310

The Board adopted amendments to the Consumer Products Program Regulations; specifically, amendments were made to the Antiperspirants and Deodorants Regulation, Consumer Products Regulation, Aerosol Coatings Regulation, Alternative Control Plan Regulation, the Tables of Maximum Incremental Reactivity Values, and Test Method 310. These amendments will reduce the emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and toxic air contaminants and will support attainment of the health-based ozone standards. The amendments will reduce VOC emissions by 1.25 tons per day (tpd) in the South Coast and 3.00 tpd Statewide in 2023, and 4.03 tpd in the South Coast and 9.80 tpd Statewide in 2031. The amendments fulfill CARB's emission reduction commitment for consumer products identified in the 2016 State SIP Strategy and provide other clarifications within the program to improve transparency, clarity, and effectiveness.

South Coast AQMD Staff Comments/Testimony: Staff testified in support of the proposed amendments. While the South Coast AQMD must achieve substantial NOx emission reductions to attain the ozone standards, VOC emission reductions are also needed. Consumer products remain among the highest VOC emitting categories and it is

estimated that, by 2031, 25 percent of VOC emissions in the Basin will be from consumer products. Staff emphasized the importance of the proposed amendments in fulfilling CARB's commitment to reduce VOC emission from consumer products.

21-2-2: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed 2021-2024 Triennial Strategic Research Plan and Proposed Research for Fiscal Year 2021-2022

The Board approved the 2021-2024 Triennial Strategic Research Plan (Triennial Plan) and the Research for Fiscal Year 2021-2022 (2021 Research Plan). The Triennial Plan reflects a concerted effort to identify CARB's highest priority research initiatives for the next three years and will serve as a guide for the annual selection of individual research projects. The Triennial Plan also includes a starting point for operationalizing racial equity in CARB research, which will be developed further through a public engagement process. The 2021 Research Plan will support the initiatives outlined in the Triennial Plan and will advance the state of the science and support the Board's efforts to meet California's air quality and climate goals. A research budget of approximately \$4.2 million is anticipated to fund eight new projects and three white papers in fiscal year 2021-2022. Board approval of the Triennial Plan and the 2021 Research Plan authorizes staff to proceed with developing the research projects outlined in the report. The Executive Officer will then consider the full proposals for approval and funding with consultation from interested Board members.

Attachments

CARB February 25, 2021 Meeting Agenda

CARB March 25, 2021 Meeting Agenda



Public Meeting Agenda

Thursday, February 25, 2021

In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Orders [N-29-20](#) and [N-33-20](#) as well as recommendations from the California Department of Public Health, the February 25, 2021, Board Meeting will not have a physical location to attend in person. This will be a remote-only meeting.

The Board Meeting will be conducted remotely via a web-based videoconferencing service called Zoom. Members of the public who wish to comment verbally can register for the webinar.

[Register for the Webinar](#) – for those who wish to comment verbally at the hearing.

Alternatively, during the Board Meeting, members of the public can offer verbal comments by calling in via telephone. Members of the public do not have to register beforehand if they call in using the number below.

Phone Number: (669) 900-6833

Webinar ID: 886 2426 0728

To only watch the Board Meeting and not provide verbal comments, please view the webcast. The webcast is the same video stream offered by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) during normal Board Meetings. If you do not wish to provide verbal comments, we strongly recommend watching the webcast as this will free up space on the webinar for those who are providing verbal comments.

[Webcast](#) – for those who only plan to observe the hearing.

[How to Participate in the Remote Board Meeting](#)

[Como Participar en la Reunión del Consejo a Distancia](#)

[Agenda de la Reunión del Consejo del 25 de febrero de 2021](#)

Spanish Translation will be provided for the February Board Meeting.

Thursday, February 25, 2021 @ 9:00 a.m.

Discussion Items:

Note: The following agenda items may be heard in a different order at the Board meeting.

21-1-1: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Offset Demonstration for the Coachella Valley Extreme Area Ozone Plan

The Board will consider approval of the Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Offset Demonstration for the Coachella Valley Extreme Area Ozone Plan. On December 28, 2020, the State submitted the Coachella Valley Extreme Area Ozone Plan (Plan) to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). The Plan addressed all Extreme area State Implementation Plan (SIP) requirements with the exception of a VMT emissions offset demonstration. The Clean Air Act requires states to submit a VMT emissions offset demonstration as part of a SIP to show that enforceable transportation control strategies and transportation control measures will offset any increase in emissions from growth in VMT or numbers of vehicle trips in Severe and Extreme ozone nonattainment areas. Adoption of the Coachella Valley VMT Offset Demonstration by the Board will allow U.S. EPA to approve the Coachella Valley Extreme Area Ozone Plan for the 80 ppb 8-hour ozone standard.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Meeting Notice](#)
- [Staff Report](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-2: Public Hearing to Consider Proposed 2020 Amendments to Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards

The Board will consider the proposed amendments to the regulations designating areas of California as attainment, nonattainment, nonattainment-transitional, or unclassified for pollutants with State ambient air quality standards. Based on 2017 to 2019 air quality data, a total of five changes to area designations are proposed for ozone and PM2.5.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Hearing Notice](#)
- [Staff Report](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-3: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Davis, Titled "Post-COVID Transportation Scenarios: Evaluating the Impact of Policies"

The Board will consider approval of a contract that will examine transportation and land use policies based on lessons learned from the COVID pandemic that CARB and the State could institute to help meet the California's air quality, climate, and equity goals. This item requires Board approval due to the contract amount and also to comply with Government Code

section 1091 because two Board Members are affiliated with the University of California at Davis.

- [More Information](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-4: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Davis, Titled “Estimating the Health Benefits of Reducing Emissions of Toxic Air Contaminants in California”

The Board will consider approval of the research contract. This item requires Board approval due to the contract amount and also to comply with Government Code section 1091 because two Board Members are affiliated with the University of California at Davis.

- [More Information](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-5: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed Research Contract with the University of California, Berkeley, Titled “Impacts of Train and Port Pollution and Air Toxics on Respiratory Symptoms and ED Visits within Vulnerable Communities in Southern California”

The Board will consider approval of the research contract. This item requires Board approval due to the contract amount and also to comply with Government Code section 1091 because one Board Member is affiliated with the University of California at Berkeley.

- [More Information](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-6: Public Meeting to Consider the San Joaquin Valley 2020 Agricultural Burning Assessment

The Board will consider CARB staff's written assessment of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's (District) 2020 Staff Report and Recommendations on Agricultural Burning (2020 Report). The 2020 Report includes the District's strategy to reduce open agricultural burning in the San Joaquin Valley as well as a recommendation to postpone the prohibition on open burning for certain crop categories and materials allowed under Senate Bill 705 (Florez, 2003). CARB's concurrence is required for the recommended postponements.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Meeting Notice](#)
- [Staff Report](#)

- [Item Summary](#)
- [Meeting Presentation](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-1-7: Report to the Board on the California Air Resources Board Program Priorities for 2021

Executive Officer Richard Corey will provide the Board with an overview of California Air Resources Board priorities for 2021.

- [Item Summary](#)
- [Meeting Presentation](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

The following Board Item will not be heard prior to 4:00 p.m.

21-1-8: Public Meeting to Consider Assembly Bill 617 Community Air Protection Program – Consideration of Recommended Communities

The Board will consider for selection staff's proposed list of communities for the development of community emission reduction programs and/or community monitoring via the Community Air Protection Program.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Meeting Notice](#)
- [Staff Report](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Meeting Presentation](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

Closed Session

The Board may hold a closed session, as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e), to confer with, and receive advice from, its legal counsel regarding the following pending or potential litigation:

Alliance for California Business v. California State Transportation Agency, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2016-80002491.

American Coatings Association, Inc. v. State of California and California Air Resources Board, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 04CS01707.

American Lung Association, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1140.

Best Energy Solutions & Technology Corp., et al v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-20-102198.

California v. Stout, et al., United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 2:20-cv-00371.

California v. Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1239.

California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1024.

California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1014.

California Natural Gas Vehicle Coalition v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 20CECG02250.

Clean Energy Renewable Fuels, LLC v. California Air Resources Board, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01167039-CU-WM-CJC.

Dalton Trucking, Inc. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 13-1283 (dismissed), U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Case No. 13-74019.

Environmental Defense Fund, et al., v. Andrew Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1360.

Friends of Oceano Dunes, Inc. v. California Coastal Commission, et al., San Luis Obispo County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV-0576; U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-8733.

Friends of Oceano Dunes, Inc. v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2020-80003442.

In re Pacific Gas and Electric Company, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30089.

John R. Lawson Rock & Oil, Inc. et al., v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 19CEGG00331.

South Coast Air Quality Management District v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCP02985.

Sowinski v. California Air Resources Board et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, No. 3:18-cv-03979-LHK.

State of California v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 18-1096.

State of California v. Wheeler et. al., District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1239, consolidated under No. 19-1230 along with Nos. 19-1241, 19-1242, 19-1243, 19-1245, 19-1246, and 19-1249.

State of California, et al., v. Andrew Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1359.

State of California, et al. v. David Bernhardt, et al., United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 3:18-cv-5712-DMR.

State of California, et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1035.

State of California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District Court of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1227; *State of California, et al., v. United States Environmental Protection Agency*, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 4:18-cv-03237-HSG.

State of California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1018.

State of New York, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1026.

State of New York, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1028.

State of New York et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 1:21-cv-00462.

State of Massachusetts v. EPA, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1265.

State of New York, et al. v. Andrew Wheeler and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, Case No. 1:18-cv-00773.

State of North Dakota v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 15-1381.

State of North Dakota, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 16-1242.

State of Wyoming, et al. v. United States Department of the Interior, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Wyoming, Case No. 16-CV-285-SWS.

Truck Trailer Manufacturers Association, Inc. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 16-1430.

People v. Southern California Gas Company, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 602973.

The Two Hundred, et al. v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 18CECG01494.

United States v. California, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-02142-WBS-EFB.

Western States Petroleum Association v. California Air Resources Board, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCP03138x.

W.O. Stinson & Son LTD. v. Western Climate Initiative, Inc., Ontario Canada Superior Court, Case No. CV-20-00083726-0000.

Opportunity for Members of the Board to Comment on Matters of Interest

Board members may identify matters they would like to have noticed for consideration at future meetings and comment on topics of interest; no formal action on these topics will be taken without further notice.

Open Session to Provide an Opportunity for Members of the Public to Address the Board on Subject Matters within the Jurisdiction of the Board

Although no formal Board action may be taken, the Board is allowing an opportunity to interested members of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are within the Board's jurisdiction, but that do not specifically appear on the agenda. Each person will be allowed a maximum of three minutes to ensure that everyone has a chance to speak. The public will also have an opportunity to [submit written comments](#) for open session the morning of the Board Meeting.

Other Information

[Submit Comments Electronically the Day of the Board Meeting](#)

[View Submitted Comments](#)

Please Note: PowerPoint presentations to be displayed during public comment at the Board meeting must be electronically submitted via email to the Clerks' Office at cotb@arb.ca.gov no later than noon on the business day prior to the scheduled Board meeting.

If you have any Questions, Please Contact the Clerks' Office:

1001 I Street, 23rd Floor, Sacramento, California 95814
cotb@arb.ca.gov or (916) 322-5594
CARB Homepage: www.arb.ca.gov

If you experience technical difficulties on the day of the Board Meeting, please call our helpline at (805) 772-2715.

Special Accommodation Request

Consistent with California Government Code section 7296.2, special accommodation or language needs may be provided for any of the following:

- An interpreter to be available at the hearing;
- Documents made available in an alternate format or another language;

- A disability-related reasonable accommodation.

To request these special accommodations or language needs, please contact the Clerks' Office at cotb@arb.ca.gov or at (916) 322-5594 as soon as possible, but no later than 7 business days before the scheduled Board hearing. TTY/TDD/Speech to Speech users may dial 711 for the California Relay Service.

Acomodación Especial

Consecuente con la sección 7296.2 del Código de Gobierno de California, una acomodación especial o necesidades lingüísticas pueden ser suministradas para cualquiera de los siguientes:

- Un intérprete que esté disponible en la audiencia
- Documentos disponibles en un formato alternativo u otro idioma
- Una acomodación razonable relacionados con una incapacidad

Para solicitar estas comodidades especiales o necesidades de otro idioma, por favor contacte la oficina del Consejo al (916) 322-5594 o por correo electrónico al cotb@arb.ca.gov lo más pronto posible, pero no menos de 7 días de trabajo antes del día programado para la audiencia del Consejo. TTY/TDD/Personas que necesiten este servicio pueden marcar el 711 para el Servicio de Retransmisión de Mensajes de California.



Public Meeting Agenda

Thursday, March 25, 2021

In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Orders [N-29-20](#) and [N-33-20](#), as well as recommendations from the California Department of Public Health, the March 25, 2021, Board Meeting will not have a physical location to attend in person. This will be a remote-only meeting.

The Board Meeting will be conducted remotely via a web-based videoconferencing service called Zoom. Members of the public who wish to comment verbally can register for the webinar.

[Register for the Webinar](#) – for those who wish to comment verbally at the hearing.

Alternatively, during the Board Meeting, members of the public can offer verbal comments by calling in via telephone. Members of the public do not have to register beforehand if they call in using the number below.

Phone Number: (669) 900-6833

Webinar ID: 896 2244 5142

To only watch the Board Meeting and not provide verbal comments, please view the webcast. The webcast is the same video stream offered by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) during normal Board Meetings. If you do not wish to provide verbal comments, we strongly recommend watching the webcast as this will free up space on the webinar for those who are providing verbal comments.

[Webcast](#) – for those who only plan to observe the hearing.

[How to Participate in the Remote Board Meeting](#)

[Como Participar en la Reunión del Consejo a Distancia](#)

[Agenda de la Reunión del Consejo del 25 de marzo de 2021](#)

Spanish Interpretation will be offered for the March Board Meeting.

Thursday, March 25, 2021 @ 9:00 a.m.

Discussion Items:

Note: The following agenda items may be heard in a different order at the Board meeting.

21-2-1: Public Hearing to Consider Proposed Amendments to the Antiperspirants and Deodorants Regulation; Consumer Products Regulation; Aerosol Coating Products Regulation; Alternative Control Plan Regulation; the Tables of Maximum Incremental Reactivity Values; and Test Method 310

The Board will consider proposed amendments to the Consumer Products Program Regulations to help attain federal ozone standards by setting or strengthening volatile organic compound standards for certain product categories. The proposed amendments will reduce public exposure to air toxics emissions and provide other clarifications within the program to improve transparency, clarity, and effectiveness.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Hearing Notice](#)
- [Staff Report](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Hearing Presentation](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

21-2-2: Public Meeting to Consider Proposed 2021-2024 Triennial Strategic Research Plan and Proposed Research for Fiscal Year 2021-2022

The Proposed 2021-2024 Triennial Strategic Research Plan (Plan) reflects a concerted effort to identify CARB's highest priority research initiatives for the next three years and will serve as a guide for the annual selection of individual research projects. The Plan includes a starting point for operationalizing racial equity in CARB research, which will be developed further through a public engagement process starting this year. The Proposed Research for Fiscal Year 2021-2022 will support the initiatives outlined in the Triennial Strategic Research Plan. These research projects will advance the state of the science and support the Board's efforts to meet California's air quality and climate goals. If the Board approves the Proposed Research, staff will work with research partners to develop full proposals. The Executive Officer will then consider the full proposals for approval and funding with consultation from interested Board members.

- [More Information](#)
- [Public Meeting Notice](#)
- [Triennial Research Plan](#)
- [Proposed Research Projects for Fiscal Year 2021-2022](#)
- [Item Summary](#)
- [Meeting Presentation](#)
- [Proposed Resolution](#)
- [Submit Written Comments](#)
- [View Written Comments](#)

Closed Session

The Board may hold a closed session, as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e), to confer with, and receive advice from, its legal counsel regarding the following pending or potential litigation:

Alliance for California Business v. California State Transportation Agency, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2016-80002491.

American Coatings Association, Inc. v. State of California and California Air Resources Board, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 04CS01707.

American Lung Association, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1140.

Best Energy Solutions & Technology Corp., et al v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-20-102198.

California v. Stout, et al., United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 2:20-cv-00371.

California v. Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1239.

California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1024.

California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1014.

California Natural Gas Vehicle Coalition v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 20CECG02250.

Clean Energy Renewable Fuels, LLC v. California Air Resources Board, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01167039-CU-WM-CJC.

Competitive Enterprise Inst. v. NHTSA, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1145 (consolidated with No. 20-1167).

Dalton Trucking, Inc. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 13-1283 (dismissed), U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Case No. 13-74019.

Environmental Defense Fund, et al., v. Andrew Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1360.

Friends of Oceano Dunes, Inc. v. California Coastal Commission, et al., San Luis Obispo County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV-0576; U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-8733.

Friends of Oceano Dunes, Inc. v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2020-80003442.

In re Pacific Gas and Electric Company, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30089.

John R. Lawson Rock & Oil, Inc. et al., v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 19CEGG00331.

South Coast Air Quality Management District v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCP02985.

Sowinski v. California Air Resources Board et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, No. 3:18-cv-03979-LHK.

State of California v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 18-1096.

State of California v. Wheeler et. al., District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1239, consolidated under No. 19-1230 along with Nos. 19-1241, 19-1242, 19-1243, 19-1245, 19-1246, and 19-1249.

State of California, et al., v. Andrew Wheeler, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1359.

State of California, et al. v. David Bernhardt, et al., United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 3:18-cv-5712-DMR; United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Case No. 20-16793.

State of California, et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1035.

State of California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District Court of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 19-1227; State of California, et al., v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 4:18-cv-03237-HSG.

State of California, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1018.

State of New York, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1026.

State of New York, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 21-1028.

State of New York et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 1:21-cv-00462.

State of Massachusetts v. EPA, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1265.

State of New York, et al. v. Andrew Wheeler and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, Case No. 1:18-cv-00773.

State of North Dakota v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 15-1381.

State of North Dakota, et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 16-1242.

State of Wyoming, et al. v. United States Department of the Interior, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Wyoming, Case No. 16-CV-285-SWS; United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, Case No. 20-8073.

Truck Trailer Manufacturers Association, Inc. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al., U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 16-1430.

People v. Southern California Gas Company, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 602973.

The Two Hundred, et al. v. California Air Resources Board, et al., Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 18CECG01494.

United States v. California, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-02142-WBS-EFB; United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Case No. 20-16789.

Western States Petroleum Association v. California Air Resources Board, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCP03138x.

Westmoreland Mining v. EPA, United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, Case No. 20-1160.

W.O. Stinson & Son LTD. v. Western Climate Initiative, Inc., Ontario Canada Superior Court, Case No. CV-20-00083726-0000.

Opportunity for Members of the Board to Comment on Matters of Interest

Board members may identify matters they would like to have noticed for consideration at future meetings and comment on topics of interest; no formal action on these topics will be taken without further notice.

Open Session to Provide an Opportunity for Members of the Public to Address the Board on Subject Matters within the Jurisdiction of the Board

Although no formal Board action may be taken, the Board is providing an opportunity for interested members of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are within

the Board's jurisdiction, but that do not specifically appear on the agenda. Each person will be allowed a maximum of three minutes to ensure that everyone has a chance to speak. The public will also have an opportunity to [submit written comments](#) for open session the morning of the Board Meeting.

Other Information

[Submit Comments Electronically the Day of the Board Meeting](#)

[View Submitted Comments](#)

Please Note: PowerPoint presentations to be displayed during public comment at the Board meeting must be electronically submitted via email to the Clerks' Office at cotb@arb.ca.gov no later than noon on the business day prior to the scheduled Board meeting.

If you have any Questions, Please Contact the Clerks' Office:

1001 I Street, 23rd Floor, Sacramento, California 95814
cotb@arb.ca.gov or (916) 322-5594
CARB Homepage: www.arb.ca.gov

Special Accommodation Request

Consistent with California Government Code section 7296.2, special accommodation or language needs may be provided for any of the following:

- An interpreter to be available at the hearing;
- Documents made available in an alternate format or another language;
- A disability-related reasonable accommodation.

To request these special accommodations or language needs, please contact the Clerks' Office at cotb@arb.ca.gov or at (916) 322-5594 as soon as possible, but no later than 7 business days before the scheduled Board hearing. TTY/TDD/Speech to Speech users may dial 711 for the California Relay Service.

Acomodación Especial

Consecuente con la sección 7296.2 del Código de Gobierno de California, una acomodación especial o necesidades lingüísticas pueden ser suministradas para cualquiera de los siguientes:

- Un intérprete que esté disponible en la audiencia
- Documentos disponibles en un formato alterno u otro idioma
- Una acomodación razonable relacionados con una incapacidad

Para solicitar estas comodidades especiales o necesidades de otro idioma, por favor contacte la oficina del Consejo al (916) 322-5594 o por correo electronico al cotb@arb.ca.gov lo más pronto posible, pero no menos de 7 días de trabajo antes del día programado para la

audiencia del Consejo. TTY/TDD/Personas que necesiten este servicio pueden marcar el 711 para el Servicio de Retransmisión de Mensajes de California.