



# 2016 AQMP WHITE PAPER

**OCTOBER 2015** 

#### SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

CHAIRMAN: WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D. Speaker of the Assembly Appointee

VICE CHAIRMAN: DENNIS YATES Mayor, Chino Cities of San Bernardino County

MEMBERS: MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH Supervisor, Fifth District County of Los Angeles

BEN BENOIT Mayor, Wildomar Cities of Riverside County

JOHN J. BENOIT Supervisor, Fourth District County of Riverside

JOE BUSCAINO Councilmember, 15th District City of Los Angeles Representative

MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI Councilmember, South Pasadena Cities of Los Angeles County/Eastern Region

JOSEPH K. LYOU, Ph.D. Governor's Appointee

JUDITH MITCHELL Councilmember, Rolling Hills Estates Cities of Los Angeles County/Western Region

> SHAWN NELSON Supervisor, Fourth District County of Orange

DR. CLARK E. PARKER, SR. Senate Rules Appointee

MIGUELA. PULIDO Mayor, Santa Ana Cities of Orange County

JANICE RUTHERFORD Supervisor, Second District County of San Bernardino

### **South Coast Air Quality Management District**

Barry R. Wallerstein, D.Env. Executive Officer

Philip M. Fine, Ph.D. Deputy Executive Officer Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

Jill Whynot Assistant Deputy Executive Officer Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH Deputy Executive Officer (Retired) Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

Joe Cassmassi Planning and Rules Director Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources Naveen Berry Planning and Rules Manager Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

#### Authors

Scott Epstein, Ph.D. - Air Quality Specialist

Sang-Mi Lee, Ph.D. - Program Supervisor

#### Contributors

Kalam Cheung, Ph.D. – Air Quality Specialist Shoreh Cohanim – Air Quality Specialist Kevin Durkee – Senior Meteorologist Jean Ospital, DrPH –Health Effects Officer (retired) Diana Thai – Air Quality Specialist

#### Reviewers

Barbara Baird, J.D. - Chief Deputy Counsel

Patti Whiting - Staff Specialist

#### **Working Group**

Frank Caponi - SCAP Dave Darling - American Coatings Association Douglas Fratz - Consumer Specialty Product Asso. Bill La Marr - Small Business Alliance Noel Muyco - SoCal Gas Ravi Ramalingam - Air Resources Board David Rothbart - SCAP Patty Senecal - Western States Petroleum Asso. Lee Wallace - SoCal Gas Ron Wilkniss- Western States Petroleum Asso. John DaMassa - Air Resources Board Dave Edwards - Air Resources Board Andrew Henderson – SoCal Leadership Council Rita M. Loof - RadTech David Pettit – Natural Resources Defense Council Doug Raymond - Raymond Regulatory Resources Paul Ryan - California Refuse Recycling Council Jim Stewart – Sierra Club Mike Wang - Western States Petroleum Asso.

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
What Are VOCs?	1
The Role of VOCs in Ozone Formation	2
How Do VOCs Form Particulate Matter?	4
Ozone Control Modeling Analysis	5
Consideration of "Path to Clean Air" Scenarios	9
Tiered Approach to VOC Reductions	11
Conclusions	14
References	15

#### Introduction

This white paper evaluates the need for additional volatile organic compound (VOC) controls to achieve more stringent annual fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and 8-hour ozone standards in the South Coast Air Basin (SoCAB). It assesses the role of VOCs in forming ozone and PM2.5 to inform policymakers of the most efficient and effective strategies to attain the federal standards that are the subject of the upcoming 2016 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP).

The science behind the formation of ozone and particulate matter from VOCs is also summarized. A state-of-the-science numerical modeling system (WRF-CMAQ) is used to estimate the maximum allowable nitrogen oxides (NOx) and VOC emissions that will lead to regional ozone and PM2.5 concentrations that meet the federal standards. Given the results of this modeling, the implications of various NOx and VOC control strategies are analyzed.

#### What Are VOCs?

VOCs are chemicals containing carbon that readily evaporate. Some VOCs may be gases at room temperature. VOCs are widely used in modern society in fuels, solvents, coatings, cleaning supplies, building products, and many other materials. In addition to evaporation or direct emissions of organic gases, some VOCs are emitted as a byproduct of combustion processes, such as wood burning, power generation, or internal combustion engines. Thus, VOCs are emitted from mobile sources such as cars and trucks, and stationary sources such as refineries, chemical plants, and households. Since VOCs evaporate readily, in the absence of appropriate control measures, these compounds will ultimately end up in the atmosphere. Subsequent chemical reactions of VOCs in the atmosphere can form surface level ozone pollution and particulate matter.

Atmospheric scientists classify VOCs into several subcategories. The degree to which each specific VOC impacts the formation of ozone is a function of its unique chemical reactivity, its atmospheric concentration, and the atmospheric concentrations of other chemicals needed for these complex chemical reactions. VOCs that form ozone at extremely slow rates are considered minimally reactive and are often classified as "exempt" from current VOC rules and regulations. However, toxicity or other potential adverse environmental impacts from these VOCs should also be considered. The ability for a specific VOC to form particulate matter is dependent on how fast it reacts with other atmospheric compounds and the physical and chemical properties of the resulting products.

We can also classify VOCs and their chemical reaction products into three sub-categories dependent on how readily they evaporate and their ability to exist in the gas-phase. VOCs with high volatility evaporate quickly, but are less likely to contribute to particulate matter, because these compounds generally remain as gases once they evaporate. On the other hand, compounds with lower volatilities evaporate at a slower rate, but are more likely to contribute to particulate matter as they or their reaction products may condense (transition from gas to liquid or solid form) once they are in the atmosphere. Compounds that have a significant fraction of their mass in both the gas and particle-phase in the atmosphere are referred to semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs). Compounds that have most of their mass in the gas-phase, but can transition to the

1

particle phase under certain atmospheric conditions are classified as intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs). While a direct comparison is difficult, low vapor pressure volatile organic compounds (LVP-VOCs), defined under the California Air Resources Board consumer products regulations, may fall into the SVOC category. In addition, atmospheric reactions can produce products with drastically different volatilities than the parent compounds.

### The Role of VOCs in Ozone Formation

#### Ozone concentrations in the South Coast Air Basin

Atmospheric ozone is a powerful oxidant with significant adverse effects on human health and the environment. While ozone concentrations have declined significantly in the Basin over the past few decades, levels still exceed the current federal or state ozone standards. In addition, the recently proposed federal standard between 65 and 70 ppb will make future attainment even more challenging [1]. In recent years, the significant downward trend in Basin-wide ozone concentrations has begun to level off. FIGURE 1 details the yearly trend in ozone concentrations and the trend in the number of days that exceed the current federal standard.



**FIGURE 1** 

Basin-wide maximum 8-hour ozone concentrations and Basin-days exceeding the federal standard.

Certain air quality monitoring stations located in San Bernardino and Riverside counties exceed the current 75 ppb federal ozone standard over 60 days per year (FIGURE 2). Higher local ozone concentrations in these regions can be attributed to the significant upwind O<sub>3</sub>, NOx, and VOC precursor emissions transported by the daily sea-breeze in the summer, local emissions, and the timing of the daily emissions and peak sunlight intensity.



Spatial distribution of ozone exceedances in the SoCAB. Central Los Angeles (CELA), Glendora (GLEN), and Crestline (CRES) are highlighted.

#### How do VOCs form ozone?

Ozone  $(O_3)$  is not emitted directly into the atmosphere; near-surface ozone, in contrast to stratospheric ozone, is formed by the reaction of VOCs with NOx in the presence of sunlight. NOx is generated from combustion processes and is emitted in large quantities within the SoCAB. The chemical reactions that form ozone are highly complex and depend not only on NOx and VOC levels, but also on the ratio of VOC to NOx concentrations. NOx emissions can even reduce ozone concentrations in the immediate vicinity of an emission source, but will contribute to ozone formation downwind.



FIGURE 3 Recipe for ozone production

A decrease in ambient VOC concentrations generally leads to a decrease in ozone. However, because of the complex chemistry involved, a decrease in NOx concentrations may lead to a decrease or an increase in ambient ozone depending on the local VOC concentration. The local VOC concentration is a mixture of many distinct compounds, each with unique impacts on ozone formation. This complex dependence on NOx and VOC concentrations leads to interesting policy implications, which can be explored using comprehensive air quality models.

#### How Do VOCs Form Particulate Matter?

The SoCAB does not currently meet federal and state standards for PM2.5, particles with diameters less than 2.5  $\mu$ m (FIGURE 4). These particles consist of a myriad of different chemical compounds in both solid and liquid form. While some PM2.5 is emitted directly from sources, the majority of ambient PM2.5 is formed from chemical reactions and processes in the atmosphere. These small particles are particularly dangerous due to their ability to penetrate deep into the lungs. Many studies have linked inhalation of PM2.5 to serious adverse respiratory and cardiovascular affects. In order to develop an effective control strategy, one must consider the composition and by extension, the sources of PM2.5 in the Basin. In the Basin, approximately 30-50% of the PM2.5 mass is composed of organic compounds. The remaining fraction consists of elemental carbon, metals, dust, and inorganic sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride compounds. The organic fraction, known as organic aerosol (OA), is composed of a complex mixture of organic chemicals that may continue to evolve as it ages in the atmosphere.



PM2.5 – 2013

#### **FIGURE 4**

Spatial distribution of PM2.5 concentrations in the SoCAB

Different chemical reactions are responsible for the formation of ozone and OA from gaseous organic compounds. Since both ozone and PM2.5 formation are largely dominated by atmospheric reactions, we must consider the potential for a gaseous organic compound to contribute to both ozone and PM2.5 levels. Organic compounds with large ozone formation potentials may or may not contribute significantly to PM2.5 mass. Similarly, many gaseous organic compounds classified as VOCs, IVOCs, or SVOCs that contribute to OA may or may not play a role in the formation of ozone [2].

### **Ozone Control Modeling Analysis**

The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model has been used to investigate the  $O_3$  concentrations as a result of various levels of VOC and NOx emissions under different control strategies. The CMAQ model, which is the U.S. EPA recommended regulatory model, is considered the preeminent, state-of-the-science air quality model for analyzing air quality improvement strategies. Since ozone concentrations are a complex function of both NOx and VOCs concentrations, we use a three-dimensional plot to visualize this dependency. The Empirical Kinetics Modeling Approach (EKMA) ozone "isopleths" diagrams illustrate the outcomes of this complicated chemistry.

The ozone isopleth diagram in FIGURE 5 illustrates how 8-hour ozone concentrations in Crestline (the monitoring station currently with the most ozone exceedances in the Basin) respond to decreases in total Basinwide anthropogenic VOC and NOx emissions beyond the existing adopted rules and regulations. In ozone isopleths, NOx and VOC emissions are each reduced from base levels equally across all sources; however, sensitivity tests demonstrate that the current cross-the-board reduction approach does not show significant differences from source-specific control scenarios and thus provides a reliable tool to evaluate potential attainment strategies. The corresponding ozone isopleths diagram for Central Los Angeles is presented in FIGURE 6. Estimated VOC and NOx emissions following the continued implementation of adopted rules and regulations in the 2023 timeframe are defined by the upper-right corner of the plot. The federal ozone standard is met within the yellow and green regions of the diagram (corresponding to Air Quality Index levels and colors). Three paths are illustrated on both isopleths diagrams to highlight the potential effects of different control strategies and to aid in policy discussions. Each control scenario on the plot illustrates the effects of reducing VOCs and/or NOx equally across all sources. Path C illustrates the impact of a control scenario that attains the ozone standards with only additional NOx reductions beyond what is required in current rules. In this scenario, additional VOC reductions beyond current requirements are not applied. A control scenario focusing solely on additional VOC control is shown with Path A. A hypothetical control scenario where additional (beyond scheduled reductions) NOx and VOC reductions occur at the same rate is illustrated with Path B. This is provided as an example of the results of a control strategy emphasizing VOC and NOx reductions equally.



#### **FIGURE 5**

Ozone isopleths diagram showing 8-hour ozone isopleth at Crestline. The color shading corresponds to the air quality index (AQI) color code. This analysis is based on the emissions inventory used for the 2012 AQMP using CMAQ version 4.7, and will be updated for the 2016 AQMP analysis.



Ozone isopleths diagram showing 8-hour ozone isopleth at Central Los Angeles. The color shading corresponds to the air quality index (AQI) color code. This analysis is based on the emissions inventory used for the 2012 AQMP using CMAQ version 4.7, and will be updated for the 2016 AQMP analysis.

It is necessary to understand how ozone concentrations evolve during each of these three control paths at the Crestline and Central L.A. monitoring locations (FIGURE 7).



Ozone concentrations at Crestline and Central Los Angeles predicted to occur as a result of the specific control strategies (Paths A, B, and C) marked in FIGURE 5 and 6. This analysis is based on the emissions inventory used for the 2012 AQMP using CMAQ version 4.7, and will be updated for the 2016 AQMP analysis.

While the VOC-heavy control strategy (Path A from right to left) reaches attainment at CELA with the minimum amount of emissions reductions, this strategy will not lead to attainment at CRES, and thus the Basin, even with zero anthropogenic VOC emissions. Therefore, additional NOx reductions are required to achieve the ozone standards for both sites. Not only is the achievable endpoint different in each of the scenarios, the ozone concentrations predicted to occur along the path to attainment are also guite different. Moving from right to left in these figures along Path C, the NOx-heavy control strategy suggests that approximately an additional 200 ton per day (TPD) of NOx reductions beyond current regulations is required to attain the federal ozone standard (Note: Preliminary 2016 AQMP analysis suggests approximately 150 TPD is needed for attainment in 2023 rather than the 200 TPD, but the concepts regarding the emissions reduction scenarios are not expected to change). If NOx is reduced without additional VOC reductions beyond what is projected from current rules, as illustrated in Figure 7, there could be up to a 2 ppb increase in ozone in certain parts of the western Basin surrounding central LA along the path to attainment. FIGURE 8 shows the area that would be above the 1997 ozone standard of 80 ppb and how much the potential ozone exposure would increase. Several million people are estimated to be subject to this inadvertent increase of O3. It should be noted that this increased ozone phenomenon attributable to a NOx only reduction strategy is temporary and exists only along the path to attain the 80 ppb standard.



# Additional Reductions Beyond Existing Controls

Maximum increase in ozone along the path to attainment with a pure NOx control strategy. This analysis is based on the emissions inventory used for the 2012 AQMP using CMAQ version 4.7, and will be updated for the 2016 AQMP analysis.

8

### Consideration of "Path to Clean Air" Scenarios

There are multiple paths to achieve ozone and PM2.5 standards based on various levels of control among the precursor pollutants. The total required emission reductions, technology readiness, cost-effectiveness, economic impacts, attainment deadlines, and the interaction with other attainment deadlines for other pollutants are all critical considerations in developing an overall multi-pollutant control strategy. Complex atmospheric chemistry and the non-uniform spatial distribution of both sources and the resulting ambient concentrations require a comprehensive analysis that ensures not only that ozone and PM2.5 meet standards, but also that unintended exposure increases are avoided if at all possible. Furthermore, concurrent reductions of other pollutants such as air toxics and greenhouse gases (GHGs) should also be considered in optimizing a path to meeting multiple standards, objectives, and deadlines.

### NOx-Only Control Strategy (Path C)

As demonstrated above, a NOx-only approach can lead to attainment for the Basin. This approach does not require additional VOC controls and consequently has the minimum emission reduction tonnage and has commensurate benefits for PM2.5. Based on preliminary 2016 AQMP analysis, the amount of NOx reduction needed is estimated to be approximately 50-65% of total NOx emissions. While a reduction of this magnitude is challenging and will require significant investments, zero- and near zero- NOx emission reduction technologies currently exist, are in limited use, and can potentially be widely deployed in the next 10 to 20 years. Many of the currently available technologies needed for NOx reductions have air toxics and greenhouse gas co-benefits and vice-versa. Reducing NOx emissions will also mitigate adverse health effects associated with inhalation of locally elevated concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, another criteria pollutant. However, this NOx-only (path C) approach leads to increased ozone and its exposure in the more densely populated western Basin during interim years to attainment. Consequently, millions of residents in the area would experience worse ozone air quality at levels above federal standards under this strategy.

#### VOC-Only Control Strategy (Path A)

A VOC-heavy control strategy without additional NOx controls, illustrated by Path A in Figure 6, will not lead to attainment of the ozone standards for the eastern Basin, even in the absence of any man-made VOC emissions. Furthermore, zero- and near-zero-VOC technologies for many of the major VOC-emitting categories (e.g. consumer products) may take many years for reformulation and market penetration, and are thus less mature than current low NOx technologies.

#### Combined NOx and VOC Control Strategies

A VOC and NOx combined strategy would require greater combined tons of reductions with greater associated compliance costs than a single-pollutant approach. However, a combined strategy would aid in mitigating interim increases in ozone, especially in the highly populated western side of the Basin, while potentially providing additional benefits for PM2.5, toxics, and greenhouse gases. Note that Path B in the above figures is provided only as an example, and a combined control strategy could lie anywhere between Path A and Path C that still reaches the ozone attainment.

For example, Figure 9 illustrates two potential scenarios, Paths D and E, designed to avoid the interim increase of ozone especially in the western Basin. Path D provides just enough additional VOC control (30 - 40 tons per day) to avoid any increases in ozone exposure above the 2023 attainment target of 84.5 ppb (this standard has been revoked, but the 2023 target remains with U.S. EPA's anti-backsliding provisions). Path E requires enough early VOC reductions to avoid any increases in ozone exposure in the western Basin. This would require approximately 100 tons per day of additional VOC controls, and for those controls to be timed to occur before the bulk of the NOx controls. In any case, the choice of the optimal path should consider multiple policy goals, including public health, cost-effectiveness, and economic impacts. Note that the isopleth analysis provided in this white paper is based on the 2012 AQMP emissions inventories, modeling methods, and air quality measurements. The 2016 AQMP will provide a complete update to this analysis, with potentially different levels of needed reductions under these varying scenarios.





Additional emissions reduction options (Paths D and E) mitigating ozone increases in the western Basin (CELA). This analysis is based on the emissions inventory used for the 2012 AQMP using CMAQ version 4.7, and will be updated for the 2016 AQMP analysis.

#### Recommendations: NOx-Heavy Controls with Strategic and Tiered VOC Reductions

Given the availability of technology, climate and PM2.5 objectives, a desire to minimize control costs, and the lack of a viable path to attainment with VOC reductions only, a NOx-heavy approach with modest VOC controls as shown in Path D is preferred. It continues the path that was taken by both the 2007 and 2012 AQMPs that focuses primarily on NOx reductions, but is augmented with modest VOC reductions to mitigate increased ozone exposures along the path to attainment. According to this 2012 AQMP analysis, approximately 200 tons per day of NOx would be needed by 2023, and mitigating the interim ozone increases would require about 30 to 40 tons per day, or less than 10 percent of total anthropogenic VOC emissions beyond the existing adopted rules and regulations. However, preliminary 2016 AQMP analysis suggests approximately 150 tons per day of NOx reductions are needed by 2023, and will re-analyze the need for and effect of VOC reductions.

Reductions in VOC must occur at the earlier stage of control so that the path goes around the 85 ppb contour line illustrated as Path D and E in the Central Los Angeles (CELA) plot of Figure 9. It should be noted that Path D would also result in concurrent PM2.5 reductions throughout the entire air basin, which are needed to address the current PM2.5 annual standard of  $12 \mu g/m^3$ .

Therefore, a control strategy that continues to focus on NOx reductions, with additional strategic and cost-effective VOC reductions, is the most desirable way to minimize the general public's exposure to unhealthy ozone pollution not only in the target attainment year, but also during the course of the control effort. The next section discusses a prioritized strategy to achieve cost-effective VOC reductions that maximizes co-benefits and emphasizes non-regulatory approaches.

Note that this analysis is based on the attainment demonstration used in the 2012 AQMP. A new analysis with updated emissions inventory, meteorological parameterizations and photochemical reaction mechanisms will be conducted during development of the 2016 AQMP. The general findings of the control strategies outlined above are expected to be similar, but the amount of reductions needed to attain the standard will be revised based on the most updated science and U.S. EPA attainment guidance (U.S. EPA 2014).

### Tiered Approach to VOC Reductions

Based on the above analysis of the overall path to attainment and the role VOCs play in the ozone control program, control strategies continue to focus on significant NOx reductions but include meaningful VOC reductions where appropriate. In order of priority, the following potential strategy considerations are designed to achieve VOC reductions in a cost-effective and targeted fashion considering the co-benefits from and to other air quality objectives:

1. Maximize co-benefits from NOx, GHG or air toxics controls that produce concurrent VOC reductions

Certain zero- or near-zero NOx technologies would also lead to VOC reductions. Given the continued NOx-heavy strategy, policies should promote technologies with these additional VOC co-benefits. For example, electric and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles, efficiency measures, or vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reductions produce both NOx and VOC reductions; many of these strategies also avoid evaporative losses associated with traditional fuels like gasoline. Similarly, control technologies for GHGs and air toxics may also produce concurrent VOC reductions. The 2016 AQMP will aim to better integrate and quantify these VOC reductions into the attainment plan.

2. Promote pollution prevention at the source with associated cost savings

Reducing waste at the source is an efficient and effective way to reduce emissions. This strategy could involve the implementation of more robust leak detection and repair (LDAR) programs, including Smart LDAR using advanced infrared or optical technologies. This approach can lead to cost savings as less

product is lost through fugitive emissions. In other cases, this approach could reduce the use of VOCcontaining products and/or the reliance on after-treatment control technology. This also can lead to cost savings. Examples of this are incentives and programs promoting the use of higher transfer efficiency spray painting equipment.

3. Incentivize super-compliant zero- and near-zero VOC materials, especially during peak ozone season

Super-compliant zero- and near-zero VOC materials eliminate or drastically reduce emissions during the use of these products. There are several product categories where these materials perform as well as traditional products and are widely available in the market. Incentives to promote the use of super-compliant products containing no or little VOCs during ozone season could reduce ozone concentrations when exceedances are typically experienced.

4. Maximize reductions from existing regulations via enhanced enforcement actions, removal of potential regulatory loopholes, and expanded reporting programs

Enhanced enforcement and the tightening of regulatory exemptions that may be used as loopholes in lieu of compliant technologies can lead to reduced emissions. Additionally, recent sales and emissions reporting programs have led to increased understanding of the VOC inventory, incentivized clean technology through fee structures, and better-focused future enforcement and regulatory actions. These enhancements not only ensure that the reductions assumed in the AQMP are actually occurring, but also allow the plan to capture market trends and compliance margins that go beyond the regulatory requirements.

5. Prioritize emission reductions of the VOC species that are most reactive for ozone and/or PM2.5 formation and that produce concurrent air toxics or GHG benefits

The California Air Resources Board has an active reactivity program to investigate the scientific and policy implications of reactivity-based regulations [3]. Reducing emissions of the most reactive species, considering ozone and PM2.5 formation along with enforceability, toxicity, and climate impacts, may be an efficient method to reduce ambient ozone and PM2.5 concentrations, achieve multiple environmental and health benefits, while minimizing market disruptions. For example, for VOC controls that are equally cost-effective in terms of cost per unit of emissions reduced, controls for higher reactivity VOCs would be more cost-effective in terms of costs per unit of ozone reduced.

6. Avoid toxicity trade-offs from exempt VOC replacements

In recent years more and more manufacturers are formulating their compliant products using exempt VOCs, which are VOCs that do not contribute significantly to ozone formation. However, sometimes these compounds may have or be suspected to have adverse health impacts. Their associated potential toxic risks, in comparison with existing products, are a complex issue in terms of how they are being

used by workers or the general public and associated work practices to reduce exposure. In some cases, health impacts may involve different health end points (acute vs. chronic or cancer risks) than existing formulations. SCAQMD staff held a one-day technical symposium on this issue to solicit inputs from experts in the field. Emerging from this and other discussions, is a policy debate as to whether we should treat new chemicals as "innocent until proven guilty" (i.e., not toxic until a risk factor is formally assigned by a health agency). In light of the amount of VOC reductions needed for attainment and other available VOC control opportunities, a precautionary approach is recommended to avoid particular VOC reductions that could potentially lead to the increased use of chemicals that are known or suspected to be toxic until it can be demonstrated that they would not create more toxic risks for workers or the public than the compounds they are replacing.

### 7. Further evaluation of the practicality and effectiveness for time and place controls

Most ozone exceedances occur during the months of May through September (the "ozone season") when higher ambient temperatures and stronger solar radiation intensities accelerate ozone formation rates. In addition, during the ozone season, higher temperatures increase the volatility of organic compounds, leading to accelerated evaporation and larger emissions of precursor compounds. In contrast, PM2.5 concentrations are typically highest during the winter months when stagnant weather and temperature inversions trap emissions close to the ground. The implications of controlling ozone and PM2.5 sources differently based on location and season can be evaluated further through modeling exercises.

### 8. Conduct further studies related to VOCs

Over the years, knowledge of the VOC emissions inventory, speciation profiles, and reactivity has improved significantly. Several topics should be further investigated to build a stronger scientific basis for future VOC control programs. These include optical remote sensing technologies that allow for the detection of emissions in locations where traditional monitoring techniques are not practical. Such fence-line systems could enhance the accuracy of emissions inventories, provide an alarm system in the case of process disruptions, and offer opportunities for real-time feedback for process and emissions control to the facility operator. Furthermore, ongoing and future studies of emissions, evaporation rates, ambient concentrations, ozone formation, and PM2.5 formation from SVOCs, IVOCs, and LVP-VOCs will help determine if controlling these compounds could assist the attainment strategies for ozone and PM2.5.

### Conclusions

While air quality has improved considerably in the SoCAB over the past few decades, further emission reductions must be made to attain the federal standards for ozone and PM2.5. The analysis herein indicates that a NOx-heavy strategy accompanied by more modest VOC reductions will help to avoid temporary increases in ozone concentrations in the western side of the Basin. This finding reaffirms the previous NOx-heavy State Implementation Plan (SIP) strategies to meet both PM2.5 and ozone standards, but recognizes that VOC reductions can be given a lower priority. To this end, a strategic VOC control program is recommended for the 2016 AQMP to first maximize co-benefits of NOx, GHG, and air toxic controls, followed by controls that could create a win-win, "business case" for the affected entities, incentives for super-compliant products, while ensuring and capturing benefits from implementation of existing rules. When additional VOC controls are still needed, it is recommended to prioritize controls that will produce co-benefits for air toxics and GHGs, with a focus on VOC species that are most reactive in ozone and/or PM2.5 formation.

### References

- 1. E.P.A., U.S., National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone: A Proposed Rule by the Environmental Protection Agency. 79 FR 75233. 2014.
- 2. Gentner, D.R., et al., *Chemical Composition of Gas-Phase Organic Carbon Emissions from Motor Vehicles and Implications for Ozone Production*. Environmental Science & Technology, 2013. **47**(20): p. 11837-11848.
- 3. Chen, J. and D. Luo, *Ozone formation potentials of organic compounds from different emission sources in the South Coast Air Basin of California.* Atmospheric Environment, 2012. **55**(0): p. 448-455.





SOUTH COAST AQMD • 21865 COPLEY DR • DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765 • (909) 396-2000 • 800-CUT-SMOG (288-7664)