## Section II: Other LAER/BACT Determinations

## Application No.: 02-540ML

## Equipment Category – Flare, Landfill Gas from Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill

1.	GENERAL INFORMATION			DATE: 4/18	8/2006			
Α.	MANUFACTURER: John Zink Co.							
В.	TYPE: Enclosed Ground Flare		C. MODEL:	ZULE				
D.	STYLE: Forced Air							
E.	APPLICABLE AQMD RULES:							
F.	COST: \$ (NA) SOURC	E OF COS	ST DATA:					
G.	OPERATING SCHEDULE: 24 HRS/DA	λY	3 <sup>D</sup>	AYS/WK	4	WKS/YR		
2.	EQUIPMENT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 02	2-540ML	_		
Α.	<sup>A.</sup> FUNCTION: Burns product gas from decomposing landfill waste. Operated intermittently, backing up a landfill gas-fired power plant, for first two years (approx.) of operation. Now operates continuously at well below maximum input							
В.	MAXIMUM HEAT INPUT: )	C. MAXIMUM THROUGHPUT: 6000 scfm (design)						
D.	BURNER INFORMATION: NO.: Multiple TYPE: 24" dia. coiled tip, premix							
E.	PRIMARY FUEL: Landfill Gas (49-51% Cl							
G.	OPERATING CONDITIONS: Intermittent							
3.	COMPANY INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 02	2-540ML			
Α.	NAME: Rhode Island Resource Recover	ery Co	rp.		В.	SIC CODE: 49	53	
C.	ADDRESS: Central Landfill, 65 Shun Pi CITY: Johnston	ke	state: F	RI	ZIP: C	)2919		
D.	CONTACT PERSON: Claude Cote			E. PHONE N	<sup>o.:</sup> 401-9	942-1430 x2	21	
4.	PERMIT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 02	2-540ML	_		
Α.	AGENCY: USEPA (consent decree)		B. APPLICA	TION TYPE: nev	v constru	iction		
C.	AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Rebecca Kurow	ski		D. PHONE N	<sup>o.:</sup> 617-9	918-1863		
E.	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT/OPERATE INFORMATION:	P/C N	0.:		SSUANCE DA	TE:		
	CHECK IF NO P/C ISSUANCE DATE: 7/2003	P/O N	<sup>o.:</sup> Civil Ac	ction 02-540	ML, fed	eral district c	ourt	
F.	START-UP DATE: March 2004							
5.	EMISSION INFORMATION			APP. NO.: O	2-540ML			
A.	PERMIT			02	2-3401VIL	4		
A1.	PERMIT LIMIT: Lb/MMBtu limits: NOx	025 C	0-06 Sou	rce test ever	ry three y	vears Mass		
	emission limits (tpy): NOx-21.6, CO		000. 30u		ry unce y	ycars. 1v1a55		

5.	EMISSION INFORMATION		APP. NO.: 02-540ML				
A2.	BACT/LAER DETERMINATION: Concentration lim	its in 5A1.					
A3.	BASIS OF THE BACT/LAER DETERMINATION: Vendor guarantee						
В.							
B1.	MANUFACTURER/SUPPLIER: John Zink Co.	I					
B2.	TYPE: Low-emission burner system						
B3.	DESCRIPTION: Landfill gas and air are premixed prior to entering the flare. This requires an air blower as opposed to natural draft used in conventional landfill gas flares. The burners are enlarged relative to conventional landfill gas flare burners to accommodate the larger volume throughput. Landfill gas and air are injected to the mixer at 15 In. W.C. versus 5 In. W.C. landfill gas pressure used in conventional flare.						
B4.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION DATA:	P/C NO.:	ISSUANCE DATE:				
		P/O NO.:	ISSUANCE DATE:				
B5.	WASTE AIR FLOW TO CONTROL EQUIPMENT: ACTUAL CONTAMINANT LOADING:		FLOW RATE: BLOWER HP:				
B6.	WARRANTY: .025 lb/MMbtu NOx, .06 lb/M	MMBtu CO					
B7.	PRIMARY POLLUTANTS: VOC						
B8.	SECONDARY POLLUTANTS: NOX, CO						
B9.	SPACE REQUIREMENT: Flare dimensions 13' D x 60' H. Additional plan area required for air blower and duct, venturi flow meter and static mixer.						
B10.	LIMITATIONS:			B11. UNUSED			
B12.	OPERATING HISTORY: After solving some problems with the initial design startup was in the first quarter of 2004. The flare operated intermittently, backing up a power plant, for the first two years (approx.) and then, in December 2005, transitioned to continuous operation at well below rated (6000 scfm) landfill gas input.						
B13.	UNUSED	B14. UNUSED					
C.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT COSTS						
C1.	CAPITAL COST: CHECK IF INSTAL		ED IN EQUIPMENT COST				
	EQUIPMENT: \$ INSTALLATION: \$	$(NA)^{\text{SOURCE OF}}$	COST DATA:				
C2.	ANNUAL OPERATING COST:  (NA)	SOURCE OF	COST DATA:				
D.	DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE						
D1.	STAFF PERMFORMING FIELD EVALUATION: ENGINEER'S NAME: INSP	ECTOR'S NAME:	DATE:				
D2.	COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATION:						
D3.	VARIANCE: NO. OF VARIANCES: None	DATES:					
D4.	VIOLATION: NO. OF VIOLATIONS: None CAUSES:	DATES:					
D5.	MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS:			D6. UNUSED			

5.	EMISSION INFORMATION	APP. NO.: 02-54	40ML				
D7.	SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS:						
	DATE OF SOURCE TEST: $6/9/2004$	CAPTURE EFFICIENCY:					
	DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY:	OVERALL EFFICIENCY:					
	SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA:						
LF	G Flow, scfm	4533					
O2,	, % (dry)	12.6					
NO	x, lb/MMBtu (ppmvd, uncorrected)	.01 (3.7)					
CO	, lb/MMBtu (ppmvd, uncorrected)	<.00017 (<0.1)					
NMOC, lb/MMBtu (ppmvd@3%O2 as hexane) <.0014 (<0.5)							
	OPERATING CONDITIONS:						
TEST METHODS: Average of three 1-hr tests using continuous gas analyzers.							
6.	COMMENTS	APP. NO.: 02-54	40ML				

The facility reports that this flare is complicated, has required a lot of operator attention and special training was required. The facility modified the flame detectors to reduce the frequency of shutdowns caused by false loss-of-flame indications.