Section III: Other Technology Application No.: 323199 Equipment Category – Flow Coater, Dip Tank and Roller Coater

| 1. GENERAL INFORMATION | DATE: 5/5/1999 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| A. MANUFACTURER: Mid State Industrial, Inc. | | | | |
| B. TYPE: Not Applicable | C. MODEL: MSI-13-18-8-12-T-CH, MSI-12-8-12-T-CH, MSI-887-LH, and MSI-1697UV. (See Note #1 in Section 6 - Comments) | | | |
| D. STYLE: Not Applicable | (Coo 1 total 11 II 200 Holl of Collisions) | | | |
| E. APPLICABLE AQMD REGULATION XI RULES: AQM | ID Rule 1136 - Wood Products Coatings ID Rule 1171 - Solvent Cleaning Operations | | | |
| F. COST: \$160000 (1996) | SOURCE OF COST DATA: Owner/Operator | | | |
| G. OPERATING SCHEDULE: 16 HRS/DAY | - | | | |
| 2. EQUIPMENT INFORMATION | APP. NO.: 323199 | | | |
| A. FUNCTION: Wood and plastic foam flat stock are coated with UV sealers/topcoats using roller coaters and spray guns for the manufacture of wood furniture. | | | | |
| (2 - Touch-up Sp Note #2 in S (Ultraviolet Cur | y Booth) 14'-6" W. x 12'-0" L. x 12'-0" H. pray Booths) 8'-0" W. x 9'-0" L. x 8'-0" H. (See Section 6 - Comments) e Section) 9'-0" W. x 16'-0" L. x 7'-0" H., 1.8 KW red Oven) 9'-0" W. x 8'-0" L. x 7'-0" H., r. D. TOTAL FLOW RATE: scfm | | | |
| | Sealers and Topcoats. | | | |
| F. THROUGHPUT/PROCESS RATE/USAGE RATE: UV Sealers - 4 gal/day and Topcoats - 8 gal/day | | | | |
| 3. COMPANY INFORMATION | APP. NO.: 323199 | | | |
| A. NAME: Sandberg Furniture Mfg. Co. Inc B. ADDRESS: 3251 E. Slauson Avenue, CITY: Los Angeles | | | | |
| C. CONTACT PERSON: Phillip Sweet | D. PHONE NO.: (213) 582-0711 | | | |
| 4. PERMIT INFORMATION | APP. NO.: 323199 | | | |
| A. AGENCY: SCAQMD | ,, | | | |
| B. AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Alice Santos | C. PHONE NO.: (909) 396-2601 | | | |
| | | | | |

| E. START-UP DATE: 1995 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| F. PERMIT TO OPERATE INFORMATION: | P/O NO.: | F5476 | ISSUANCE DATE: 2/21 | /1997 |

A. PERMIT

A1. PERMIT LIMIT: VOC = 1689 lb/day. (See Note #3 in Section 6 - Comments)

A2. BACT/LAER DETERMINATION: This permit application was submitted to modify an existing UV coating and drying system by the addition of two roller coaters and a UV curing section. The proposed modification did not cause an emission increase and was not subject to BACT. See Application Number 299976 for spray booth.

B. CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

B1. MANUFACTURER/SUPPLIER:

B2. TYPE: **Process Modification**

B3. DESCRIPTION: The VOC content of the UV sealers and topcoats is less than or equal to 0.03% by weight. The use of any material containing no more than 5% by weight VOC is generally considered BACT/LAER in lieu of add-on controls.

B4. CONTROL EQUIPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION DATA: ISSUANCE DATE: Not Applicable

> P/O NO.: ISSUANCE DATE: Not Applicable

B5. WASTE AIR FLOW TO CONTROL EQUIPMENT:

FLOW RATE: Not Applicable

ACTUAL CONTAMINANT LOADING: Not Applicable BLOWER HP: HP

B6. WARRANTY: Not Applicable

B7. PRIMARY POLLUTANTS: VOC

B8. SECONDARY POLLUTANTS: None

B9. SPACE REQUIREMENT: Not Applicable

B10. LMITATIONS: The UV coatings are applied to flat panels prior to assembly. They are not used for 3D objects at this facility.

B11. LOCATION OF PRIOR DEMONSTRATION & AGENCY:

FACILITY: Not Applicable

CONTACT PERSON: Not Applicable

PHONE NO.: Not Applicable

AGENCY: Not Applicable ADDRESS:

Not Applicable

PHONE NO.: CONTACT PERSON: Not Applicable Not Applicable

B12. OPERATING HISTORY: Not Applicable

B13. SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA ANALYSIS:

DATE OF SOURCE TEST: Not Applicable DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY:

CAPTURE EFFICIENCY: Not Applicable OVERALL EFFICEINCY: Not Applicable

PERFORMANCE DATA: Not Applicable

B14. SOURCE TEST CONDITIONS/PERFORMANCE DATA: Not Applicable

Not Applicable

C. COST

| <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| C1. CONTROL EQUIPMENT COST: CHECK IF INSTALLATION COST IS INCLUDED IN CAPITAL COST | | | | | |
| CAPITAL: \$ (Not Applicable) INSTALL | ATION: \$(Not Applicable) (|) SOURCE OF COST DATA: | | | |
| C2. ANNUAL OPERATIONAL/MAINTENANCE COST: | \$(Not Applicable) (|) SOURCE OF COST DATA: | | | |
| D. DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| D1. STAFF PERMFORMING FIELD EVALUATION: | | | | | |
| ENGINEER'S NAME: | INPECTOR'S NAME: | DATE: | | | |
| D2. COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATION: Based on the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), and staff telephone conversation with the preparer of the MSDS (Mr. Luc Pepin) on May 7, 1999, the VOC content of either the UV sealers or topcoats is less than or equal to 0.03% by weight. | | | | | |
| D3. VARIANCE: | NO. OF VARIANCES: 0 | DATES: | | | |
| CAUSES: Not Applicable | | | | | |
| D4. VIOLATION: | NO. OF VIOLATIONS: 0 | DATES: | | | |
| CAUSES: Not Applicable | | | | | |

6. COMMENTS

D5. FREQUENCY OF MAINTENANCE:

APP. NO.: 323199

- 1. The equipment model described in Section 1c identifies the models for automatic spray booth, touch-up spray booth, unltraviolet cure section, and an oven.
- 2. The owner/operator of this process informed staff on May 7, 1999 in a telephone conversation that the Touch-up spray booths are no longer needed.

Not Known

- 3. The permit limit in Section 5A1 is a facility-wide VOC emissions limit. This limit is not related to BACT determination.
- 4. The use of any material containing no more than 5% by weight VOC is generally considered BACT/LAER in lieu of add-on controls.