Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Oxidizer #2

This cost effectiveness study was performed on a catalytic oxidizer rated at 1.35 mmBtu/hr. The oxidizer is utilized to control smoke and odor from a commercial coffee roaster. After initial startup, the oxidizer runs for only 2-3 minutes during each roast. The average operation is 40 roasts a day.

Health and Safety Code 40440.11 requires an incremental cost-effectiveness study if a more stringent emission limit is proposed over an existing lowest achievable limit. The existing NOx BACT limit of 60 ppm is from Rule 1147. The proposed new BACT limit is 30 pm NOx at 3% O₂. Both limits apply to the burner only. Only new or replacement costs are analyzed as retrofit costs are considered part of a BARCT analysis and not a BACT requirement.

Average cost-effectiveness per SCAQMD BACT Guidelines Part C analyzes the cost of applying BACT to an uncontrolled case. This BACT update is only targeting the secondary emissions from a control device, therefore average cost effectiveness does not apply.

A Low NOx burner equipped oxidizer was implemented in this application to meet the 30 ppm NOx limit. The incremental equipment cost is the cost differential between the 30 ppm NOx unit and one that can only achieve 60 ppm NOx. Installation costs do not differ as the units are identical except for the burner.

Incremental operating cost consists of two components. Additional fuel use is needed from the less efficient Low NOx equipment. Also, incremental electricity cost was examined for the fan to bring extra combustion air for the Low NOx burner. Both were calculated and included as part of the annual operating cost.

The incremental cost/ton of NOx reduction from this case is below the NOx incremental threshold value from 2st quarter of 2017, the time the equipment was being installed.

Groundworks Catalytic Oxidizer Cost Effectiveness Calculations

Use R1147 limit of 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O2 as baseline, and reduction is to 30 ppm

Oxidizer Info					
Manufacturer: Western Combustion Engineering					
Model: CA70-NG-2083P-60					
Rating: 1.35 MM Btu/hr					
Operation Schedule: 1.4 hr/day	300 days/yr				
Life 20 years					
Interest rate: 4 %					
Capital Cost - Incremental					
Equipment (cost of 30 ppm oxidizer - cost of 60 ppm oxidizer) \$9,000				
Direct & Indirect Installation	\$0				
Total Capital	\$9,000				
Annual Operating Cost - Incremental					
Additional fuel use	\$156	(from incremental gas use s	heet)		
Additional electricity use	\$17	(from incremental electricity	use sheet)		
Total Annual Operating Cost (Incremental)	\$173				
PVF	13.590	NOx Reduction Cal	culation		
Present Value of Capital Costs	\$9,000		Emission Factor	Daily heat input(mmbtu/hr)	
Present Value of Annual Costs (20 years @ 4%)	\$2,352	-	lb NOx/mmBtu	1.4 hr @ 100% Load	NOx lb day
Total 20-Year Capital Cost	\$11,352	For 60 ppm@3%O2	0.073	1.890	0.14
		For 30 ppm@3%O2	0.036	1.890	0.07
Emissions reduction (lbs/day)	0.07			lb/day Reduction:	0.07
Emissions reduction (tons/Life)	0.21				
Cost per ton of NOx reduction	\$54,110				
MSBACT maximum cost effectiveness NOx (\$/ton)	\$82,665	INCREMENTAL 2nd Qtr	2017		

Notes:

>Calculations were based on equipment cost info provided by the facility and by the manufacturer

>Annual operating costs calculated using information from the faciity and the enginnering permit file

>Maximum allowed cost effectiveness was based on 2nd quarter 2017 Marshall & Swift index when the equipment was installed

>Incremental cost effectiveness uses the difference in cost and emissions between the proposed MSBACT and current BACT

>In accordance with H&SC 40440(c) the proposed MSBACT must be less than the District's established Incremental cost-effectiveness value

Incremental Gas use and cost analysis for Groundworks Coffee Catalytic Oxidizer

btu/hr needed

Assumptions: Ambient temp = 70 degrees F and the burner needs to reach a temp. of 1400 F (per permit condition)

		60 ppm burner	30 ppm Low NOx burner	
			50% excess air for 30	
1.35 mmbtu/hr burner at	amount of air for	20% excess air for 60	ppm Low Nox burner	
100% load	stochiometry	ppm burner	(MAXON Ovenpak LE)	
DIVIDE heat input rate by				
1000 scf/btu and by				
1hr/60 min to get cfm of	Multiply by 9.6 to get	air needed for 60	air needed for low nox	
nat gas	cfm of air	ppm burner (cfm)	burner (cfm)	
22.50	216.00	259.20	324.00	
	0	43.20	108.00	Actual excess air (cfm)
		20.00%	50.00%	Excess air Percent
Extra Energy Needed for L	o NOx burner			
	energy needed to	energy needed to	energy needed to heat	
	heat above amount of	heat above amount	above amount of air	,
	air flow	of air flow	flow	
	cfm x 1.08 x delta T	cfm x 1.08 x delta T	cfm x 1.08 x delta T	
	0	62052	155131	

btu/hr needed

Subtract 60 ppm unit energy from 30 ppm unit

btu/hr needed

93079 btu/hr more energy needed

Divide by 1000 to convert to scf/hr

93.08 scf/hr more gas needed

Combusiton efficiency	100.00%	99.38%	98.45%	
				catox burner operates 1.4 hr/day, 300 days/yr
				39093.06 scf more nat gas a year
				convert to therms
				390.93 more therms per year
				at cost of 40cents/therm
				\$156.37 more gas cost per year

Incremental Cost Analysis - Catalytic Oxidizer - Groundworks Coffee Inc.

Additional Electrical cost from using Lox NOx oxidizer

Power Consumption by fan (bhp) = Q (cfm) x Pressure (inch WC) / (6356 (constant for unit conversions) x Fan efficiency Coeff)

- use 0.8 as fan efficiency coefficient

- Pressure values (in Water Column) are taken from burner specification sheets

<i>cfm figures based on incremental g</i> Bhp needed for 60 ppm equipmen Bhp needed for 60 ppm equipmen	gas use analysis wor t = 260 cfm x 5 t = 0.26	rksheet results in WC / 6356 x 0.8 bhp
Bhp needed for 30 ppm equipmen Bhp needed for 30 ppm equipmen	t = 324 cfm x 7 t = 0.48	7.6 in WC / 6356 x 0.8 bhp
Difference of 0.48 and 0.26 =	0.229 bhp	
Multiply bhp by .7457 =	<mark>0.17</mark> Kw	
Divide by 0.9 motor efficiency	0.189 kw	
usage is 1.4 hours a day and 300 d	ays a year	79.55228 kwh per year incremental electricity cost
Use 20 cents/kWh - 1504.95 kWh	* 20 cents/kWh	\$15.91 incremental electricity cost of fan use