

SENT VIA E-MAIL:

February 8, 2022

William.lamborn@lacity.org William Lamborn, City Planner City of Los Angeles, Planning Department 201 North Figueroa Street, Fourth Floor Los Angeles, California 90012

#### <u>Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Proposed</u> 670 Mesquit Project (ENV-2017-249-EIR) (Proposed Project) (SCH No.: 2017041071)

South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast AQMD) staff appreciates the opportunity to comment on the above-mentioned document. The City of Los Angeles is the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Lead Agency for the Proposed Project. The following comments include recommended revisions to the CEQA regional air quality impacts analysis for cleanup activities during construction, health risk reduction strategies, and information about the South Coast AQMD permits that the Lead Agency should include in the Final EIR.

## South Coast AQMD Staff's Summary of Project Information in the Draft EIR

Based on the Draft EIR, the Proposed Project consists of construction and operation of 208 residential units, 236 hotel rooms, and subterranean parking on a 5.45-acre site that is located immediately west of the existing railroad tracks in the designated AB 617 East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, West Commerce community. Construction of the Proposed Project is anticipated to be completed in a single phase by 2026<sup>1</sup>.

## South Coast AQMD Staff's Comments on the Draft EIR

## CEQA Regional Air Quality Impacts Analysis for Cleanup Activities during Construction

Based on the Hazards and Hazardous Materials Section in the Draft EIR, the site investigation results indicated that methane mitigation systems may be required<sup>2</sup>. If it is reasonably foreseeable at the time of the release of the Draft EIR that the Proposed Project would likely involve remediation of contaminated soil, the Lead Agency should use good faith, best efforts to provide information on the scope, types, and duration of any reasonably foreseeable soil remedial or mitigation activities, quantify emissions from those activities, and include those emissions in the Proposed Project's regional construction emissions profile to be compared to South Coast AQMD's regional air quality CEQA significance thresholds for construction to determine the level of significance in the Final EIR. If those emissions are not included in the Final EIR, the Lead Agency should provide reasons for not including them supported by substantial evidence in the record. If the reason for not including them in the Final EIR is because remedial or mitigation measures have not been fully developed or approved prior to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Draft EIR. Page II-59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Draft EIR. Page IV.F-24.

certification of the Final EIR, the Lead Agency should commit to evaluating the air quality impacts from those activities through a CEQA process when the measures become known and prior to allowing the commencement of any soil remedial or mitigation activities at the Proposed Project.

# Health Risk Reduction Strategies

Sensitive receptors are people that have an increased sensitivity to air pollution or environmental contaminants and include schools, daycare centers, nursing homes, elderly care facilities, hospitals, and residential dwelling units. The Proposed Project consists of a residential and mixed-use development with 208 residential units and will be located in close proximity to the existing railroad tracks. To facilitate the purpose of an EIR as an informational document, it is recommended that the Lead Agency perform a mobile source health risk assessment<sup>3</sup> to disclose the potential health risks<sup>4</sup> from rail operations on future residents living and/or working at the Proposed Project in the Final EIR.

Many strategies are available to reduce exposures, including, but are not limited to, building filtration systems with Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) 13 or better, or in some cases, MERV 15 or better is recommended; building design, orientation, location; vegetation barriers or landscaping screening, etc. Enhanced filtration units are capable of reducing exposures. However, enhanced filtration systems have limitations. For example, in a study that South Coast AQMD conducted to investigate filters<sup>5</sup>, a cost burden is expected to be within the range of \$120 to \$240 per year to replace each filter panel. The initial start-up cost could substantially increase if an HVAC system needs to be installed and if standalone filter units are required. Installation costs may vary and include costs for conducting site assessments and obtaining permits and approvals before filters can be installed. Other costs may include filter life monitoring, annual maintenance, and training for conducting maintenance and reporting. In addition, because the filters would not have any effectiveness unless the HVAC system is running, there may be increased energy consumption that the Lead Agency should evaluate in the Final EIR. It is typically assumed that the filters operate 100 percent of the time while residents are indoors, and the environmental analysis does not generally account for the times when the residents have their windows or doors open or are in common space areas of the project. These filters have no ability to filter out any toxic gases. Furthermore, when used filters are replaced, replacement has the potential to result in emissions from the transportation of used filters at disposal sites and generate solid waste that the Lead Agency should evaluate in the Final EIR. Therefore, the presumed effectiveness and feasibility of any filtration units should be carefully evaluated in more detail prior to assuming that they will sufficiently alleviate exposures to diesel particulate matter emissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> South Coast AQMD's guidance for performing a mobile source health risk assessment can be found at: <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/mobile-source-toxics-analysis.</u> <sup>4</sup> *Ibid.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This study evaluated filters rated MERV 13 or better. Accessed at: <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/aqmdpilotstudyfinalreport.pdf</u>. Also see 2012 Peer Review Journal article by South Coast AQMD: <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ina.12013</u>.

#### South Coast AQMD Permits and Responsible Agency

If implementation of the Proposed Project, including methane mitigation systems or any other soil remedial activities that may be needed, would require the use of stationary equipment, including but is not limited to emergency fire pump(s), permits from South Coast AOMD are required. The Final EIR should include a discussion on stationary equipment that will require South Coast AQMD permits and identify South Coast AQMD as a Responsible Agency for the Proposed Project. Any assumptions used in the Final EIR will be used as the basis for permit conditions and limits for the Proposed Project. The 2015 revised Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) methodology is being used by South Coast AQMD for determining operational health risks for permitting applications and also for all CEQA projects where South Coast AQMD is the Lead Agency. Please contact South Coast AQMD's Engineering and Permitting staff at (909) 396-3385 for questions on permits. For more general information permits, please visit South Coast on AQMD's webpage at: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/permits.

#### **Conclusion**

Pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 21092.5(a) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15088(b), South Coast AQMD staff requests that the Lead Agency provide South Coast AQMD staff with written responses to all comments contained herein prior to the certification of the Final EIR. In addition, when the Lead Agency's position is at variance with recommendations raised in the comments, the issues raised in the comments should be addressed in detail giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions are not accepted. There should be good faith, reasoned analysis in response. Conclusory statements unsupported by factual information will not suffice (CEQA Guidelines Section 15088(c)). Conclusory statements do not facilitate the purpose and goal of CEQA on public disclosure and are not meaningful, informative, or useful to decision makers and to the public who are interested in the Proposed Project.

South Coast AQMD staff is available to work with the Lead Agency to address any air quality questions that may arise from this comment letter. Please contact me at <u>lsun@aqmd.gov</u>, should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lijin Sun

Lijin Sun Program Supervisor, CEQA IGR Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources

LS LAC220104-01 Control Number