SCAQMD-South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, California 91765 909-396-3439 909-396-3324 F

Barry Wallerstein Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens Planning-CEQA

Ref: ULTRAMAR, INC., SCAQMD Permit Request

Sub: Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR)

And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I live in Wilmington and it has come to my attention of Ultramar's request for a Building Permit and the preparation of a Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and I wish to advise you that I am against SCAQMD issueing a permit.

The air quality is getting worse every year in Wilmington. I want every oil refinery and the Port of Los Angeles to stop polluting our air. I believe that Ultramar, Inc. Oil Refinery is causing significant air pollution.

The Draft SEIR contains inaccurate information and provides no mediation for the negative environmental impact and negative health impact the company is causing our community.

I did not hear of SCAQMD or Ultramar holding a Public Hearing in Wilmington and I believe that this is another political manipulation of the law to hide the real truth from Wilmington residents. Proposition 65 requires that there be proper Public Notification to inform the public and I did not read anything in any of our local newspapers.

This appears to be another case of collusion between a government agency and private business to bypass legal public notification, public right to know and due process.

I want a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington immediately before any SCAQMD Permit is issued. I also want the Public Comment Time be extended another 90 days.

Concerned Wilmington Resident,

Eddie Mora 613 Gulf Ave. Wilmington, California 90744 310-834-2829 28-1

28-2

28-3

28-4

28-1

28-2

28-3

28-4

SCAQMD-South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, California 91765 909-396-3439 909-396-3324 F

Barry Wallerstein Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens Planning-CEQA

Ref: ULTRAMAR, INC., SCAQMD Permit Request

Sub: Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR)

And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I live in San Pedroand it has come to my attention of Ultramar's request for a Building Permit and the preparation of a Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and I wish to advise you that I am against SCAQMD issueing a permit.

The air quality is getting worse every year in San Pedro and Wilmington. I want every oil refinery and the Port of Los Angeles to stop polluting our air. I believe that Ultramar, Inc. Oil Refinery is causing significant air pollution.

The Draft SEIR contains inaccurate information and provides no mediation for the negative environmental impact and negative health impact the company is causing our community.

I did not hear of SCAQMD or Ultramar holding a Public Hearing in San Pedro or Wilmington and I believe that this is another political manipulation of the law to hide the real truth from Harbor Area residents. Proposition 65 requires that there be proper Public Notification to inform the public and I did not read anything in any of our local newspapers.

This appears to be another case of collusion between a government agency and private business to bypass legal public notification, public right to know and due process.

I want a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington and San Pedro immediately before any SCAQMD Permit is issued. 28-5 I also want the Public Comment Time be extended another 90 days.

Concerned San Pedro Resident,

Silla Dem

Mr. Carlos X. Zuniga

556 w. 3<sup>rd</sup> St.

San Pedro, California 90731

# COMMENT NO. 28 LETTERS FROM EDDIE MORA AND CARLOS ZUNIGA

April 22, 2002

### Response 28-1

Your opinion regarding the Ultramar proposed project is noted.

Please see Response 6-2 regarding the comment that air quality is getting worse every year. See Response 24-3 regarding emissions from oil refineries. See Response 25-2 regarding the comment that Ultramar is causing significant air pollution.

#### Response 28-2

See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR contains inaccurate information. See Response 10-4 regarding the need for mediation. See Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project.

# Response 28-3

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting. See Response 22-4 regarding the requirements of Proposition 65.

### Response 28-4

Your opinion regarding the Ultramar proposed project is noted. The SCAQMD strongly disagrees with the comment that "this appears to be another cause of collusion between a government agency and private business to bypass legal public notification, public right to know and due process." Public notice for the proposed project has been provided in a matter that meets or exceeds the CEQA requirements. See Response 3-1 regarding public notice for this project.

## Response 28-5

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and an extension of the public comment period.