April 22,2002

# From The Desk Of Eddie Greenwood

SCAQMD

South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, California 91765 909-396-3439

Barry Wallerstein Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens Planning-CEQA

Reference:

ULTRAMAR, INC., Wilmington Oil Refinery

Subject:

Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR)

And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I live in Wilmington with my wife and children and it has come to my attention of the above DSEIR regarding the proposed issuance of a permit by SCAQMD to Ultramar. Inc. and I wish to state that I am against the issuance of a permit for the following reasons:

30-1

- 1. SCAQMD & Ultramar failed to hold a Public Hearing in Wilmington to advise us of the project proposal and its impact on our community.
- SCAQMD & Ultramar failed to provide Public Notice in the local Newspapers servicing Wilmington such as the Wilmington Community News and Daily Breeze.

30-2

3. The Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete Negative Environmental and Health Impact information.

30-3

4. Ultramar offers no mitigation to correct all the environmental damage and health problems it has caused.

30-4

I request that a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington within 60 days and that the Public Comment Period be extended another 60 days from the date of the hearing.

30-5

Cordially,

Walter Eddie Greenwood 1220 W. Cruces Street

atter Elle Grenoof

Wilmington, CA 90744

310-830-9109

## COMMENT LETTER NO. 30 LETTER FROM WALTER EDDIE GREENWOOD

April 22, 2002

## Response 30-1

Your opinion regarding the Ultramar proposed project is noted. Please see Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting for the proposed project.

## Response 30-2

Please see Response 3-1 regarding the public notice for the proposed project.

### Response 30-3

See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information.

### Response 30-4

The comment is incorrect. See Response 6-3 regarding mitigation measures that have been imposed.

### Response 30-5

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and an extension of the public comment period.