

From
Ms. Esperanza Heredia

South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 E. Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, California 91765

April 22, 2002

Barry Wallerstein
Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens
Planning-CEQA

Re: ULTRAMAR, INC., Wilmington Oil Refinery
Su: Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR)
And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I live in Wilmington with my son and it has come to my attention of the proposal to issue a permit to Ultramar, Inc. and I wish to inform you that I am against the issuance of a permit for the following reasons:

1. No Public Hearing was held in Wilmington to advise us of Ultramar's project proposal and its impact on our community.
2. No Public Notice was given in our local newspapers such as the Wilmington Community News, Random Lengths, La Opinion and Daily Breeze.
3. The DSEIR fails to contain and report accurate Negative Environmental Impact and Negative Health Impact information.
4. Ultramar offers no significant mitigation to correct all the environmental damage and health problems it has caused and will continue to cause.

I want no further air pollution which may harm my son and community. I request that a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington as soon as possible before a permit is issued and that the Public Comment Period be extended for more time.

Cordially,


Ms. Esperanza Heredia
820 Broad Ave. # 1 A
Wilmington, CA 90744

COMMENT LETTER NO. 33
LETTER FROM MS. ESPERANZE HEREDIA

April 22, 2002

Response 33-1

Your opinion regarding the Ultramar proposed project is noted. Please see Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting for the proposed project.

Response 33-2

See Response 3-1 regarding the public notice for the proposed project.

Response 33-3

See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information. Also, see Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project.

Response 33-4

The comment that no mitigation has been provided for the proposed project is incorrect. See Response 6-3 for a further discussion.

Response 33-5

Your comment regarding air pollution is noted. Also see Response 23-2. See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and the request for an extension of the public comment period.