

South Coast Air Quality
Management District
21865 E. Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, California 91765
909-396-3439
909-396-3324 F

April 19, 2002

Mr. Barry Wallerstein
Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens
Planning-CEQA

Re: Ultramar, Inc. - SCH No. 2000061113
Su: Opposition to Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
and Issuance Of Permit to Ultramar, Inc.

I attended a community meeting and heard about the Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report regarding SCAQMD's proposal to issue a permit to Ultramar, Inc. and I want to tell you that I am against the issuance of a permit for the reasons listed below:

1. The report does contain accurate information on the negative health Impact of Ultramar's Oil Refinery on Wilmington residents.
2. Ultramar has never conducted a health impact study in Wilmington so how can they say they have caused no health impact.
3. Ultramar has never conducted extensive air quality studies in Wilmington to determine their negative environmental impact.
4. Ultramar never sent a representative to any Wilmington community meeting to inform us of their proposed expansion.
5. SCAQMD did not hold a Public Hearing in Wilmington so that we could and provide public comment and ask questions.

I request that a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington within 45 days so that we can assess the environmental impact on our community. I request that we be given another 45 days after the Public Hearing for additional public comment.

Concerned Wilmington Resident,

Fernando Ruvalcaba
Fernando Ruvalcaba
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
1147 BAY VIEW AVE
WILMINGTON, CA 90744

COMMENT LETTER NO. 38
LETTER FROM FERNANDO RUVALCABA

April 19, 2002

Response 38-1

Your opinion regarding Ultramar is noted. See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information. Also, see Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project.

Response 38-2

See Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project.

Response 38-3

See Response 9-8 regarding air quality studies.

Response 38-4

See Response 3-1 regarding public notice for the proposed project.

Response 38-5

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and an extension of the public comment period.