April 22, 2002

SCAQMD-South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, California 91765 909-396-3439 Off 909-396-3324 Fax

Barry Wallerstein Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens Planning-CEQA

Re: Ultramar, Inc., SCAQMD Permit Request

Su: Opposition To Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR)

And Issuance Of Permit To ULTRAMAR, Inc.

I am a Wilmington resident and request that you not issue a new building permit to Ultramar, Inc. until they have addressed and eliminated the air pollution they are causing. The technology exists to eliminate or reduce over 90% of all air pollution, yet Ultramar continues to be allowed to pollute our air. Wilmington is a 95%+ minority community and I want Environmental Justice according to the law.

39-1

Every year Ultramar makes 100's of millions of dollars in profit, which appears to be more important then the health and welfare of Wilmington residents. The Draft SEIR contains inaccurate information and provides no mitigation for the negative environmental impact and negative health impact the company is causing our community.

39-2

SCAQMD or Ultramar did not hold a Public Hearing in Wilmington and I want to know why this was not done??? This is a major environmental and health issue that the public has a right to be properly informed.

39-3

I want a Public Hearing be held in Wilmington immediately before any SCAQMD Permit is issued to Ultramar, Inc. and want them present to face us and not hide behind the SCAQMD skirt. I also want the Public Comment Time be extended another 60 days.

39-4

Concerned Citizen,

Miss Trish C. Salas

1037 Bay View Ave.

Wilmington, CA 90744-1223

310-830-5656

COMMENT LETTER NO. 39 LETTER FROM TRISH C. SALAS

April 22, 2002

Response 39-1

Your opinion regarding Ultramar is noted. The Final SEIR will not be certified until all environmental impacts have been addressed and all feasible mitigation measures have been imposed. Please see Response 8-2 regarding air quality mitigation measures. Also, please see Response 7-105 regarding environmental justice issues.

Response 39-2

Please note that Ultramar is proposing modifications because of the Governor's Executive Order and the CARB regulations that require that MTBE be phased-out of gasoline. This is a state mandated project and not a project that Ultramar is electing to implement for business development purposes. See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information. Please see Response 9-9 regarding the health impact analyses for the proposed project.

Response 39-3

Please see Response 3-3 regarding the public hearing.

Response 39-4

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and an extension of the public comment period.