

April 22, 2002

South Coast Air Quality
Management District-SCAQMD
21865 E. Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, California 91765
909-396-3439 O
909-396-3324 F

Barry Wallerstein
Executive Officer

Ms. Kathy Stevens
Planning-CEQA

Re: SCAQMD-SCH No. 2000061113
Su: Opposition to Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
and Issuance Of Permit to Ultramar, Inc.

I live in Wilmington with my children and recently have learned information regarding the Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR) regarding SCAQMD's intent to approve a building permit to Ultramar, Inc. Oil Refinery in Wilmington and I want to inform you that I am against the issuance of a permit for the reasons listed below:

1. *The Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report was not made available to Wilmington residents and community organizations to study and comment.*
2. *Ultramar and SCAQMD never sent a representative to any Wilmington community meeting to inform us of their proposed expansion or held a Public Hearing.*
3. *The DSEIR does not properly state and adequately address Ultramar's Negative Environmental Impact and Negative Health Impact on Wilmington's children, senior citizens and residents, nor provide any significant mediation.*

I request that a Public Hearing be held as soon as possible in Wilmington so that we can assess the environmental and health impact on our families and community. I additionally request that we be given another 90 days for public inquiry and comment.

Yours truly,



Rosa Carrasco
1122 Bay View Ave.
Wilmington, California 90744
310-830-9241

COMMENT LETTER NO. 40
LETTER FROM ROSA CARRASCO

April 22, 2002

Response 40-1

Your opinion regarding Ultramar is noted. Please see Response 3-1 regarding the public notice.

Response 40-2

Please see Response 3-1 regarding the public notice and Response 3-3 regarding the public hearing.

Response 40-3

See Response 9-7 regarding the comment that the Draft SEIR fails to contain accurate and complete information. Also, see Response 9-9 regarding the health impacts associated with the proposed project. Please see response 10-4 regarding mediation.

Response 40-4

See Response 3-3 regarding the public meeting and an extension of the public comment period.