

**DRAFT 2016 AQMP**  
**APPENDIX IV-B**

---

**CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD**  
**MOBILE SOURCE STRATEGY FOR SOUTH COAST**

**JUNE 2016**

South Coast-Related Excerpts from the Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan (May 17, 2016)



## Excerpts from Chapter 3: Proposed SIP Commitment

### Overview of Commitment

SIPs must contain enforceable commitments to achieve the level of emissions necessary to meet federal air quality standards as defined by the attainment demonstration. The State SIP Strategy proposes new SIP measures and quantifies SIP commitments for two areas of the State – the South Coast and the San Joaquin Valley. The State SIP Strategy will also serve as the basis for additional quantified commitments if needed by other federal nonattainment areas. Adoption of the State SIP Strategy by the Board would create a commitment for new emission reductions by the attainment deadlines for each region. The commitment consists of two components:

1. A commitment to bring to the Board or take action on defined new measures; and
2. A commitment to achieve aggregate emission reductions by specific dates.

The total emission reductions and the obligation to propose certain actions would become enforceable upon approval by U.S. EPA of the elements of the State SIP Strategy included in each air district's SIP.

While this proposed State SIP Strategy discusses a range of measures and indicates that ARB will undertake various actions, this State SIP Strategy remains a staff proposal at this stage, and has not yet been formally approved by the Board. The proposed State SIP Strategy is subject to ARB's formal approval process and will not be approved until the Board formally takes action on the State SIP Strategy.

### Commitment to Act on Defined New Measures

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring to the Board or take action on the list of proposed SIP measures shown in Table 1. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process for each measure designed to achieve the emission reduction estimates identified for each measure. This rule development process will provide additional opportunity for public and stakeholder input, as well as ongoing technology review, and assessment of costs and environmental impacts. The

measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may therefore provide more or less than the initial emission reduction estimates. In addition, action by the Board may include any action within its discretion. For proposed measures in Table 1 that are not under ARB's regulatory authority, ARB staff proposes to commit to take the appropriate actions as identified in the proposed measure descriptions. These actions include:

- Petitioning U.S. EPA for federal action on sources under their authority;
- Advocating with federal and international partners for the International Maritime Organization (IMO) to establish more stringent standards for ocean going vessels; and,
- Working with the BAR to conduct an In-Use Performance Assessment.

### **Commitment to Achieve Emission Reductions**

While the State SIP Strategy includes estimates of the emission reductions from each of the individual new measures, ARB's overall commitment is to achieve the total emission reductions necessary to attain the federal air quality standards, reflecting the combined reductions from the existing control strategy and new measures. Therefore, if a particular measure does not get its expected emission reductions, the State is still committed to achieving the total aggregate emission reductions. If actual emission decreases occur that exceed the projections reflected in current emission inventory and the State SIP Strategy, ARB will submit an updated emissions inventory to U.S. EPA as part of a SIP revision. The SIP revision would outline the changes that have occurred and provide appropriate tracking to demonstrate that aggregate emission reductions sufficient for attainment are being achieved through enforceable emission reduction measures.

ARB's emission reduction commitments may be achieved through a combination of actions including but not limited to the implementation of control measures; the expenditure of local, State or federal incentive funds; or through other enforceable measures. In some cases, actions by federal and international agencies will be needed. In others, programmatic approaches must be developed and funding secured to achieve the reductions outlined in the further deployment of cleaner technologies measure for each sector. The Clean Air Act includes a provision for approval under Section 182(e)(5) advanced technology provisions to allow this future flexibility for Extreme areas such as the South Coast needing additional reductions to meet the ozone standard.

**Table 1: Proposed New SIP Measures and Schedule**

| Proposed Measures   | Agency                  | Action      | Implementation Begins |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| <b>On-Road Light-Duty</b>   |                         |             |                       |
| Advanced Clean Cars 2   | ARB                     | 2020        | 2026                  |
| Lower In-Use Emission Performance Assessment  | ARB / BAR               | n/a         | ongoing               |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | ARB / SCAQMD / U.S. EPA | ongoing     | 2016                  |
| <b>On-Road Heavy-Duty</b>   |                         |             |                       |
| Lower In-Use Emission Performance Level   | ARB                     | 2016        | 2017                  |
| Low-NOx Engine Standard – California Action   | ARB                     | 2017 - 2019 | 2023                  |
| Low-NOx Engine Standard – Federal Action  | U.S. EPA                | 2017 - 2019 | 2024                  |
| Medium and Heavy-Duty GHG Phase 2   | ARB / U.S. EPA          | 2016 - 2019 | 2018                  |
| Advanced Clean Transit  | ARB                     | 2017        | 2018                  |
| Last Mile Delivery  | ARB                     | 2018        | 2020                  |
| Innovative Technology Certification Flexibility   | ARB                     | 2016        | 2016                  |
| Zero-Emission Airport Shuttle Buses   | ARB                     | 2018        | 2023                  |
| Incentive Funding to Achieve Further Emission Reductions from On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles | ARB / SCAQMD            | ongoing     | 2016                  |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | ARB / SCAQMD / U.S. EPA | ongoing     | 2016                  |
| <b>Off-Road Federal and International Sources</b>   |                         |             |                       |
| More Stringent National Locomotive Emission Standards                                     | U.S. EPA                | 2016        | 2023                  |
| Tier 4 Vessel Standards   | ARB / IMO               | 2015 - 2018 | 2025                  |
| Incentivize Low Emission Efficient Ship Visits  | ARB                     | 2017 - 2018 | 2018                  |
| At-Berth Regulation Amendments  | ARB                     | 2017 - 2018 | 2022                  |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | ARB / SCAQMD / U.S. EPA | ongoing     | 2016                  |
| <b>Off-Road Equipment</b>   |                         |             |                       |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Forklift Regulation Phase 1  | ARB                     | 2020        | 2023                  |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Emission Reduction Assessment                                      | ARB                     | 2025        | --                    |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Worksite Emission Reduction Assessment                             | ARB                     | tbd         | --                    |
| Zero-Emission Airport Ground Support Equipment  | ARB                     | 2018        | 2023                  |
| Small Off-Road Engines  | ARB                     | 2018        | 2022                  |
| Transport Refrigeration Units Used for Cold Storage                                       | ARB                     | 2017 - 2018 | 2020                  |
| Low-Emission Diesel Requirement   | ARB                     | by 2020     | 2023                  |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | ARB / SCAQMD / U.S. EPA | ongoing     | 2016                  |
| <b>Consumer Products</b>  |                         |             |                       |
| Consumer Products Program   | ARB                     | 2019 - 2021 | 2020                  |

## South Coast Commitment

### Emission Reductions

The new measures contained in the State SIP Strategy commitment reflect a combination of State actions, petitions for federal action, as well as actions that outline a pathway for achieving further deployment of the cleanest technologies in each sector. These measures, in conjunction with the existing control program, identify all of the reductions needed to achieve a 70 percent reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from mobile sources by 2023, and an 80 percent reduction by 2031 in the South Coast. Current control programs will reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from today's levels by 209 tpd by 2031. The NO<sub>x</sub> and ROG emission reductions from the proposed new SIP measures in 2023 and 2031 are summarized in Table 3 on page IV-B-9. As part of the proposed State SIP Strategy, ARB will provide an enforceable commitment to achieve in aggregate an additional 107 tpd of NO<sub>x</sub> reductions by 2023, and 97 tpd by 2031. The State SIP Strategy will also provide 48 and 60 tpd respectively of ROG reductions in 2023 and 2031 which provide supplemental benefits in reducing ozone in some portions of the air basin. While Table 3 shows the anticipated emission reductions associated with each measure, the measures as proposed by staff or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than the amount shown. Any commitments to address PM<sub>2.5</sub> attainment needs in 2025 will be identified separately upon completion of final air quality modeling.

### Scope of Actions

As shown in Table 2, regulatory actions comprise the core of the overall attainment strategy, although the relative proportion varies by sector reflecting differences in the maturity of the current control program, regulatory authority, and status of technology development. For on-road sectors, implementation of the current control program, coupled with new regulatory measures to require introduction of even cleaner technologies for cars and trucks, provides the 80 percent reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions necessary by 2031. However, recognizing the benefits and opportunities for enhancing the penetration of these cleaner on-road technologies, the State SIP Strategy includes a commitment for additional reductions as part of the further deployment measures. The success of current incentive programs provide a model for expanded funding to achieve this additional deployment. Other actions that could provide pathways for additional reductions include further regulatory development, efficiency improvements, and emerging intelligent transportation systems and autonomous and connected vehicle technologies. Combined, actions for on-road sources will reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions over 85 percent by 2031 from today's levels.

Achieving reductions in the off-road sectors remains a greater challenge due to the diverse nature of these sources, regulatory authority that rests outside of ARB in many cases, and the length of time sources such as locomotives, marine vessels, and aircraft remain in the fleet.

Emissions from aircraft are a particular challenge, as unlike other off-road sources, their emissions are projected to increase through 2031. Nevertheless, the State SIP Strategy includes key regulatory actions to establish the next tier of cleaner combustion for locomotives and marine vessels, and introduction of ZEV technologies for smaller off- road equipment. These actions, when coupled with current regulatory programs will reduce NOx emissions from off-road and federal and international sources by 44 percent by 2031. The further deployment measures in these categories provide the mechanism for additional reductions, which in combination with regulatory actions will reduce NOx emissions from off-road sectors 75 percent by 2031. These further deployment measures will rely on expanded incentive funding programs to accelerate deployment, as well as advocacy for additional actions at the federal and international level, along with efforts to increase system efficiencies. As noted above, the Clean Air Act includes a provision for approval under Section 182(e)(5) to allow these types of actions for Extreme areas such as the South Coast needing additional reductions to meet the ozone standard.

**Table 2: South Coast Mobile Source Emission Reductions**

NOx emissions tons per day

|                 | 2015              | 2031                               |                   |   |                         |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|
|                 | Current Emissions | Reductions from Regulatory Actions | Percent Reduction | Reductions from Further Deployment Measures | Total Percent Reduction |
| On-Road Sector  | 222               | 174                                | 78%               | 16  | 86%                     |
| Off-Road Sector | 157               | 69                                 | 44%               | 47  | 75%                     |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>379</b>        | <b>243</b>                         | <b>64%</b>        | <b>63</b>                                   | <b>81%</b>              |

The South Coast is also proposing a complementary suite of mobile source measures to facilitate implementation of the State SIP Strategy and achieve additional emission reductions<sup>1</sup>. These include identification of funding needs to continue current incentive programs through 2023 and beyond, as well as enforceable mechanisms under local authority.

**Actions to Implement Further Deployment of Cleaner Technology Measures**

Implementation of the current control program, existing incentive program funding, and new regulatory actions defined as part of the State SIP Strategy provide the majority of emission reductions necessary in the South Coast to meet the 80 ppb 8-hour ozone standard by 2023 and the 75 ppb standard by 2031. The remaining increment of reductions will be achieved through a suite of actions as part of implementation of the further deployment of cleaner technology measures to: 1) enhance the penetration of cleaner technologies through additional funding, 2)

<sup>1</sup> Preliminary Draft of the 2016 AQMP SCAQMD Mobile Source Measures, April 14, 2016 <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/clean-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2016-air-quality-management-plan/control-strategies/mobilesourcemeasures041416.pdf?sfvrsn=2>

increase system efficiencies, 3) advocacy for further federal actions, and 4) utilize intelligent transportation systems and emerging autonomous and connected vehicle technologies. These actions will also further California's efforts to meet climate and risk reduction goals and enhance the continuing transformation to a cleaner, more efficient transportation system.

The specific combination of approaches will vary by source sector. However ARB and South Coast staff have collaborated to develop an illustrative pathway for each sector outlining the scope of technology required as well as the suite of implementation tools and recommended actions to be implemented by both agencies along with continued collaboration with U.S. EPA. These pathways are described as part of the measure write-ups contained in Chapter 4.

As part of ARB's efforts to implement these further deployment measures ARB commits to:

1. Identify funding needs and work to secure resources to enhance the scale of cleaner technology deployment;
2. Continue partnerships with the South Coast, U.S. EPA, other government agencies, and the private sector to pursue research, demonstration, and pilot projects for further advancement of zero and near-zero emission technologies;
3. Specify schedules for development of new regulatory and programmatic approaches for commercializing feasible technologies and incorporating improvements in system efficiencies and advanced transportation systems;
4. Provide periodic briefings to the Board on the status of technology assessments, emerging technologies and emission reduction opportunities, and the status of funding. As ARB staff identifies further feasible emission reduction measures, staff will propose those measures to the Board for inclusion in the SIP; and,
5. Provide periodic reports to U.S. EPA on the progress in developing and implementing the further deployment measures.

#### *Identifying and Securing Funding*

Funding must be secured to support incentive-based and other innovative funding programs which will be a core element of the further deployment measures. This will be especially important for achieving significant penetration of the cleanest technologies in the South Coast by 2023. Current incentive programs have been an important part of a portfolio to accelerate the penetration of cleaner technologies. These programs, including the Carl Moyer Program, Clean Vehicle Rebate Program (CVRP), Enhanced Vehicle Modernization Program (EFMP), Hybrid Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP), and the Low Carbon Transportation investments provide examples of a programmatic structure.

The South Coast has proposed a number of complementary mobile source measures<sup>2</sup> that are designed to implement incentive-based programs as part of the overall SIP Strategy. These measures identify potential funding allocations that could be associated with extension of current incentive programs implemented by South Coast, ARB, and U.S. EPA, such as CVRP for passenger vehicles, and HVIP for heavy-duty trucks. In some cases the proposed funding level represents a continuation of current funding allocations; in others it represents a significant expansion. For example, an annual allocation of \$75 million under the CVRP program could provide incentive funding for 15,000 vehicles per year. All together, the proposed South Coast measures identify potential funding of approximately \$250 million per year. This would serve as a down payment on the total funding necessary to achieve the emission reductions identified for each further deployment measure. However, resources significantly beyond the \$250 million will be required. As part of this effort, ARB and the South Coast will need to look beyond traditional grant and subsidy programs in new ways that look at greater opportunities for federal funding support and synergies between public and private funding partnerships. These investments, while critical for South Coast attainment, also support the broad transformation needed to meet California's climate and risk reduction goals.

Over the next few months, ARB, working with the South Coast, will develop a comprehensive funding plan that builds upon current efforts and identifies overall funding needs as well as financing mechanisms. This process will also include coordination with U.S. EPA to develop the programmatic structure for use of incentive-based measures in the SIP to satisfy Clean Air Act requirements. These include: 1) demonstration that the incentive program reductions are quantifiable, enforceable, permanent, and surplus, 2) provisions for an enforceable commitment, 3) technical analyses and supporting documentation, 4) demonstration of funding and legal authority, 5) procedures for public disclosure of information, and 6) provisions to measure and track program results.

Development and implementation of the funding plans will include a three-phase process:

- Phase 1: Identify funding needs, priorities, sources and financing mechanisms (2016)
- Phase 2: Pursue actions to secure funding (2017 and annually thereafter)
- Phase 3: Implement funding and incentive programs (through 2031)

#### *Programs to Support Continued Technology Advancement*

ARB, along with other public and private partners, continue to sponsor research and demonstration programs to further promote advanced technology development. This will occur through ARB's annual research program, grant programs, and other cooperative agreements. For example, ARB, U.S. EPA, the South Coast, and the San Joaquin Valley are partners in a memorandum of understanding that commits to developing and testing new sustainable technologies by aligning resources and evaluating innovative technologies. ARB

---

<sup>2</sup> Preliminary Draft of the 2016 AQMP SCAQMD Mobile Source Measures, April 14, 2016 <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/clean-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2016-air-quality-management-plan/control-strategies/mobilesourcemeasures041416.pdf?sfvrsn=2>

also supports technology demonstrations through various grant programs including a current award to the South Coast for a \$24 million statewide demonstration project for zero-emission drayage trucks. The South Coast and the California Energy Commission are also co-funding a \$2.6 million demonstration project for two class 8 drayage trucks at the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles.

In addition, several measures focus on deploying zero-emission vehicles and equipment in initial applications that are currently well-suited for broader market deployment. Depending upon the success of these applications, and ongoing technology assessment, further regulatory mechanisms for additional applications may be feasible. As part of this effort, ARB will also work with federal and international agencies to advocate for more stringent emission standards and efficiency requirements for sources that are not under ARB's regulatory purview. The status of technology development and identification of schedules for development of further regulatory approaches will be reported through workshops, conferences, symposia, and briefings to the Board.

#### *Identification of Opportunities for Additional Emission Reductions*

In addition to the technology and funding approaches described above, other technology innovations and policies provide further opportunities for emission reductions are part of the broader transformation of the mobile sector. Additional gains in passenger transportation efficiencies can be achieved through more efficient land use and developing sustainable communities that feature a range of mobility choices. Intelligent transportation systems, and autonomous and connected vehicles and new approaches to personal mobility also represent an opportunity to fundamentally transform the transportation system and provide synergies for greater use of zero-emission vehicles. In addition, as part of the California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, ARB and other State agencies are identifying strategies, developed in partnership with stakeholders, to promote greater efficiencies in the freight transport system and approaches to reduce emissions around freight hubs such as rail yards, seaports, airports, and distribution centers.

Many of these actions will be further defined through companion planning efforts occurring this year, including the California Freight Action Plan described above, California's Scoping Plan Update, and SB 375, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act. ARB staff will provide periodic briefings to the Board on the status of these efforts and appropriate approaches for reflecting the benefits of these programs as part of the SIP.

**Table 3: South Coast Expected Emission Reductions from State SIP Measures**

All emission reductions in tons per day (tpd)

| Proposed Measure  | 2023       |            | 2031      |            |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
|   | NOx        | ROG        | NOx       | ROG        |
| <b>On-Road Light-Duty</b>   |            |            |           |            |
| Advanced Clean Cars 2   | --         | --         | 0.6       | 0.3        |
| Lower In-Use Emission Performance Assessment  | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 7          | 16         | 5         | 16         |
| <b>Total Category Reductions</b>  | <b>7</b>   | <b>16</b>  | <b>6</b>  | <b>16</b>  |
| <b>On-Road Heavy-Duty</b>   |            |            |           |            |
| Lower In-Use Emission Performance Level   | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Low-NOx Engine Standard – California Action   | --         | --         | 5         | --         |
| Low-NOx Engine Standard – Federal Action  | --         | --         | 7         | --         |
| Medium and Heavy-Duty GHG Phase 2   | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Advanced Clean Transit  | <0.1       | <0.1       | 0.1       | <0.1       |
| Last Mile Delivery  | <0.1       | <0.1       | 0.4       | <0.1       |
| Innovative Technology Certification Flexibility   | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Zero-Emission Airport Shuttle Buses   | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Incentive Funding to Achieve Further Emission Reductions from On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles | 3          | 0.4        | 3         | 0.4        |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 34         | 4          | 11        | 1          |
| <b>Total Category Reductions</b>  | <b>37</b>  | <b>4</b>   | <b>27</b> | <b>2</b>   |
| <b>Off-Road Federal and International Sources*</b>  |            |            |           |            |
| <b>Aircraft</b>   |            |            |           |            |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 17         | NYQ        | 13        | NYQ        |
| <b>Locomotives</b>  |            |            |           |            |
| More Stringent National Locomotive Emission Standards                                     | 0.7        | <0.1       | 8         | 0.3        |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 10         | 0.4        | 7         | 0.3        |
| <b>Ocean-Going Vessels</b>  |            |            |           |            |
| Tier 4 Vessel Standards   | --         | --         | 4         | --         |
| Incentivize Low Emission Efficient Ship Visits  | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| At-Berth Regulation Amendments  | 0.3        | <0.1       | 1         | <0.1       |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 13         | NYQ        | 10        | NYQ        |
| <b>Total Off-Road Federal and International Reductions</b>                                | <b>41</b>  | <b>0.4</b> | <b>43</b> | <b>0.6</b> |
| <b>Off-Road Equipment</b>   |            |            |           |            |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Forklift Regulation Phase 1  | --         | --         | 1         | 0.1        |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Emission Reduction Assessment                                      | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Zero-Emission Off-Road Worksite Emission Reduction Assessment                             | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Zero-Emission Airport Ground Support Equipment  | <0.1       | <0.1       | <0.1      | <0.1       |
| Small Off-Road Engines  | 0.7        | 7          | 2         | 16         |
| Transport Refrigeration Units Used for Cold Storage                                       | NYQ        | NYQ        | NYQ       | NYQ        |
| Low-Emission Diesel Requirement   | 0.6        | NYQ        | 2         | NYQ        |
| Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies  | 21         | 21         | 17        | 20         |
| <b>Total Off-Road Equipment Reductions</b>  | <b>22</b>  | <b>28</b>  | <b>21</b> | <b>36</b>  |
| <b>Consumer Products</b>  |            |            |           |            |
| Consumer Products Program   | --         | NYQ        | --        | 5          |
| <b>Total Consumer Products Reductions</b>   | <b>--</b>  | <b>NYQ</b> | <b>--</b> | <b>5</b>   |
| <b>Aggregate Emission Reductions</b>  | <b>107</b> | <b>48</b>  | <b>97</b> | <b>60</b>  |

\* Quantification of emission reductions are based on current growth forecasts, which are undergoing review.

"NYQ" denotes emission reductions are Not Yet Quantified

"--" denotes no anticipated reductions



## Excerpts from Chapter 4: State SIP Measures

### Proposed Measures: On-Road Light-Duty Vehicles

#### Description of Source Category:

Passenger cars and light trucks (up to 8,500 lbs., otherwise called light-duty vehicles), are a major contributor to smog-forming and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in California. The State's 39 million residents collectively own about 25 million passenger vehicles and drive more than most other Americans. Over ten million of these vehicles are in the South Coast. The vast majority of these vehicles has internal combustion engines and use gasoline. A small portion is powered by diesel compression ignition engines, and a smaller portion still has electric powertrains. The light-duty vehicle sector is projected to grow to approximately 30 million vehicles statewide by 2031, and will increasingly rely on electric drive vehicles of varying types (e.g. battery electric, plug-in hybrid, or fuel cell electric vehicles).

## Advanced Clean Cars 2

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to make sure that zero and near-zero emission technology options continue to be commercially available, with electric driving range improvements to address consumer preferences and to maximize electric vehicle miles traveled (eVMT). ARB would consider expanded California-specific standards for new light-duty vehicles to increase the number of new ZEVs and PHEVs sold in California and increased stringency of fleet-wide emission standards.

### Background / Regulatory History:

Since setting the nation's first motor vehicle exhaust emission standards in 1966 that led to the first pollution controls, California has dramatically tightened emission standards for light-duty vehicles. Through ARB regulations, today's new cars pollute 99 percent less than their predecessors did thirty years ago. In 1970, ARB required auto manufacturers to meet the first standards to control NO<sub>x</sub> emissions along with hydrocarbon emissions, which together form smog. The simultaneous control of emissions from motor vehicles and fuels led to the use of cleaner-burning gasoline that has removed the emissions equivalent of 3.5 million vehicles from California's roads. Since ARB first adopted it in 1990, the Low Emission Vehicle Program (LEV I and LEV II) and Zero-Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Programs have resulted in the production and sales of hundreds of thousands of zero-emission vehicles (ZEVs) in California. More recently, there is a focus on reducing GHGs from motor vehicles. Transportation is California's largest source of carbon dioxide, with passenger vehicles and light-duty trucks creating more than 30 percent of total climate change emissions. ARB adopted the first GHG emission standards for new passenger vehicles in the United States, effective with the 2009 model year.

### Proposed Actions:

For this proposed measure, ARB staff would develop a regulation based on the technology and market assessments for advanced technology vehicles that would increase the number of new ZEVs and PHEVs sold in California. The regulation may include lowering fleet emissions further beyond the super-ultra-low-emission vehicle (SULEV) standard for the entire light-duty fleet through at least the 2030 model year, and look at ways to improve real world emissions through implementation programs. Additionally, new standards would be considered to further increase the sales of ZEVs and PHEVs in 2026 (and later years) beyond the levels required to ensure future emission reduction, climate, and petroleum targets are met.

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Light-Duty Vehicles

### Estimated Emission Reductions:

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1<sup>3</sup> model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. Baseline projections include emissions from light- and medium-duty passenger car, trucks, and sport utility vehicles. Baseline emissions reflect projected benefits from the LEV III criteria emission vehicle fleet standards which have increasing stringency for new vehicles through the model year 2025. Emission reductions projected beyond baseline were calculated assuming new vehicles continue to become cleaner through the year 2031. ARB staff assumed a combined passenger vehicle (LDA/LDT2) ZEV/PHEV sales increase from 18 percent to 40 percent between 2025 and 2030, medium-duty trucks (MDV) ZEV/PHEV sales beginning 2026, ramping up to 10 percent by 2030, with 100 percent sales of super-ultra-low-emission vehicles certified to the SULEV 20 exhaust emission standards by 2030 for gasoline light-duty automobiles (LDAs). ARB staff also modeled increased fuel efficiency (at approximately 2.9 percent per year) between 2025 and 2035 for gasoline vehicles.

### Timing:

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | By 2020     |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2026 – 2030 |

### Proposed SIP Commitment:

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board by 2020. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

---

<sup>3</sup> Vision Scenario Planning <http://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/vision/vision.htm>

## Lower In-Use Emission Performance Assessment

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to ensure that in-use vehicles continue to operate at their cleanest possible level. This joint ARB and Bureau of Automotive Repair (BAR) assessment is an ongoing further study measure focused on in-use performance and diagnostic inspection procedures.

### Description of Source Category:

This evaluation will apply to all On-Board Diagnostic (OBD) II equipped vehicles that are subject to the Smog Check program. OBD II is the second generation of requirements for on-board, self-diagnostic equipment that monitors a vehicle's control components to ensure they are functioning correctly. Light- and medium-duty vehicles are major contributors of air pollutants in the South Coast. While VMT increased more than 50 percent over the last 20 years, vehicle emissions have dropped threefold due to increasingly stringent vehicle emission standards. Yet, the light- and medium-duty vehicle fleet continues to contribute significantly to the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the State. Studies show that the highest emitting 20 percent of the light-duty fleet contribute well over 50 percent of the fleet's total emissions, emphasizing the need to identify and repair these high emitting vehicles.

### Background / Regulatory History:

#### OBD II

California's first OBD regulation required manufacturers to monitor some of the emission control components on vehicles starting with the 1988 model year. In 1989, ARB adopted OBD II, which required 1996 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles and engines to be equipped with second generation OBD systems. OBD systems are designed to identify when a vehicle's emission control systems or other emission-related computer-controlled components are malfunctioning, causing emissions to be elevated above the vehicle manufacturer's specifications. ARB subsequently strengthened OBD II requirements and added OBD II specific enforcement requirements for 2004 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles and engines. In 2005, the Board adopted regulations that required OBD systems in heavy-duty engines (HD OBD) beginning in the 2010 model year and that established HD OBD-specific enforcement requirements.

#### Smog Check

BAR is the state agency charged with administration and implementation of the Smog Check Program. The Smog Check Program is designed to reduce air pollution from California registered light-duty vehicles by requiring periodic inspections for emission-control system

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Light-Duty Vehicles

problems, and by requiring repairs for any problems found. Prior to 2015, Smog Check stations relied on the BAR-97 Emissions Inspection System (EIS) to test tailpipe emissions with either a Two-Speed Idle (TSI) or Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test depending on the program area. For instance, vehicles registered in urbanized areas or “Enhanced Areas,” received an ASM test, while vehicles in rural areas or “Basic Areas” received a TSI test.

Assembly Bill (AB) 2289 (Eng, Chapter 258, Statutes of 2010) required BAR to implement a new protocol for testing 2000 and newer model-year vehicles. This new test, which relies primarily on the vehicle’s OBD system, provides for a faster and more cost effective inspection compared to tailpipe testing. The BAR-97 EIS utilized OBD test equipment; however, this equipment was outdated and incapable of collecting complete OBD information for all vehicles. To facilitate state-of-the-art OBD-based testing, BAR developed equipment specifications for a new OBD communications device, referred to as the Data Acquisition Device (DAD), which is a component of the new OBD Inspection System (OIS) that replaces the EIS. These changes are aimed at providing for quicker and potentially less costly Smog Check inspections for consumers, and lower Smog Check station operating costs, all while preserving, or even enhancing the emission benefits associated with the Smog Check Program. However, because the OBD inspection procedure does not provide for direct measurement of vehicle emission levels, ARB believes it is prudent to monitor the effectiveness of the new procedure in identifying vehicles in need of emission repairs, and to implement changes necessary to address any issues that are uncovered.

### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB and BAR staff would perform a comprehensive evaluation of California’s in-use performance-focused inspection procedures and, if necessary, make improvements to further the Smog Check Program’s effectiveness. ARB will conduct a study to further evaluate California’s in-use performance inspection procedures through analysis of the Smog Check database and vehicle sampling obtained through BAR’s Random Roadside Inspection Program. Comparison of test results from the fleet at the time Smog Check inspections take place with the results of roadside inspections conducted at random times in between Smog Check inspections will allow for analysis of Smog Check station performance, repair durability, the real-world performance of OBD II systems in detecting emission-related problems, and other factors that impact the emission benefits provided by the program. Further investigation and analysis of in-use vehicles at the ARB Haagen-Smit Laboratory will be conducted as needed based on the preliminary findings of the roadside data. Results from the study can be used to improve inspection test procedures, address program fraud, improve the effectiveness and durability of emission-related repair work, and to improve the regulations governing the design of in-use performance systems on motor vehicles to the extent necessary.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

As this proposed measure is a study to further evaluate the California’s in-use performance and vehicle inspection and maintenance program, anticipated emission reductions are not

identified at this time. This measure may provide emission reduction; should the evaluation identify necessary program improvements, the emission reduction potential and cost effectiveness of such enhancements will be identified at that time.

**Timing:**

Proposed ARB Board hearing: n/a  
Proposed implementation schedule: ongoing

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to conduct a study to further evaluate California's in-use performance inspection procedures in order to improve inspection test procedures as necessary, address program fraud, improve the effectiveness and durability of emission-related repair work, and to improve the regulations governing the design of in-use performance systems on motor vehicles.

## Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies: On-Road Light-Duty Vehicles

### Overview:

The goals of this proposed measure are to accelerate the penetration of zero and near-zero emission vehicles and to promote in-use efficiency gains related to vehicle miles travelled (VMT), and through use of autonomous vehicles and advanced transportation systems. This measure is only applicable to the South Coast.

### Background / Regulatory History:

ARB's mobile source regulatory program is complemented by additional efforts that reduce emissions. These include incentive programs and implementation of SB 375. Incentive Programs are intended to accelerate the introduction of advanced technology vehicles, accelerate the turnover of the oldest, highest emitting vehicles, and increase access to clean vehicles and transportation in disadvantaged communities and lower-income households. The three programs established by AB 118 (Núñez, Chapter 750, Statutes of 2007) and reauthorized by AB 8 (Perea, Chapter 401, Statutes of 2013) provide funding for light-duty vehicle incentives. These include are ARB's Air Quality Improvement Program (AQIP), California's Energy Commission's Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program, and the ARB / BAR's Enhanced Fleet Modernization Program (EFMP). More recently, Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds have greatly expanded the scale of light-duty vehicle incentive funding. Local air district incentive programs complement these statewide efforts. The State's light-duty incentive strategy includes:

- *ZEV Deployment and Infrastructure:* ARB's Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP) provides consumer rebates for the purchase of zero-emission and plug-in hybrid passenger vehicles in order to increase the number of ZEVs on California's roadways and help achieve the large scale transformation of the fleet. The Energy Commission's electric vehicle charging and hydrogen fueling infrastructure investments complement ARB's vehicle deployment incentives.
- *Disadvantaged Community Programs:* CVRP is complemented by incentives aimed at increasing access to these clean vehicles in disadvantaged communities and lower-income households. These include car sharing and other mobility improvement programs and financing assistance, among others.
- *Car Scrap:* EFMP provides incentives to lower-income vehicle owners to retire older, higher emitting vehicles. EFMP includes pilot programs run by the South Coast and San Joaquin Valley air districts that provide additional incentives for lower-income vehicle owners who replace their scrapped vehicles with cleaner, more fuel-efficient vehicles, and the EFMP Plus-Up pilot provides an even greater incentive for ZEV, hybrid, or plug-in hybrid replacement vehicles in underserved communities.

### **Proposed Actions:**

This proposed measure is designed to achieve further emission reductions for South Coast attainment in 2023 and 2031 through a suite of additional actions, including early penetration of zero and near-zero technologies, and emission benefits associated with increased transportation efficiencies, as well as the potential for autonomous vehicles and advanced transportation systems. The emission reductions will be achieved through a combination of actions to be undertaken by both ARB and the South Coast. These actions reflect an initial assessment of a pathway, recognizing that as funding is allocated and advanced technologies further develop, the balance amongst approaches will necessarily adjust.

### Scope of Technology Penetration and Mechanisms to Achieve Reductions:

The Advanced Clean Cars regulation brings together a suite of regulations, including the LEV III standards and the ZEV regulation. To achieve the further reductions associated with early penetration of the zero and near-zero vehicle technologies established under the ZEV regulation, ARB and South Coast staff estimate that approximately 500,000 to 600,000 of the oldest passenger cars and trucks would need to be turned over to model year vehicles meeting the currently applicable LEV III emission standard or advanced hybrid or zero-emission technology by 2023. The following mechanisms provide a pathway for achieving this scale of technology deployment:

- Expand and enhance existing incentive and other innovative funding programs for light-duty vehicles in order to accelerate the replacement of older vehicles with vehicles meeting a LEV III or better emissions level. Assuming incentive funding is the primary mechanism to achieve the scope of further technology deployment described above, funding would be required for approximately 70,000 to 85,000 vehicles per year over a seven year period. The incentive funding required for this effort would go beyond the amount currently authorized for existing programs through 2023. This effort could expand upon the current EFMP and EFMP Plus-Up programs, and include increasing the use of these vehicles in underserved communities and by lower-income consumers. Continued incentive funding post-2023 to further accelerate the deployment of zero-emission vehicles would provide additional reductions for 2031.

Determination of the needed resources will be based on assessment of the incremental cost of technologies and the type of funding mechanism employed. Funding needs and mechanisms will be identified working in collaboration with the South Coast and other State agencies over the next several months.

- Continue to support infrastructure investment programs with the California Energy Commission (CEC) to maximize the use of electric vehicles through expanding charging and hydrogen networks.

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Light-Duty Vehicles

Additional mechanisms reflect reductions achieved through reducing growth in VMT as well as through intelligent transportation systems. While these approaches have the greatest potential to provide further reductions post-2023, early advances in these areas could offset some of the reductions required through incentive funding. These additional pathway mechanisms include:

- Reducing growth in passenger vehicle VMT. Local planning jurisdictions are implementing strategies to create more sustainable communities and integrate transportation and land use planning. These efforts to increase mobility choices and focus growth within existing urban boundaries provide a more efficient passenger transportation system that reduces VMT. The SB 375 Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act serves as a mechanism for implementation of efforts to reduce growth in passenger vehicle VMT.
- Advances in the development of autonomous and connected vehicles. These changes in how the on-road light-duty sector would operate offer the potential to achieve criteria and GHG emission reductions, but could also reduce VMT and congestion as well as petroleum usage. These concepts are based on emerging technologies and will require significant exploration and demonstration, but also offer synergies in a continued transition to zero-emission vehicle technologies.

Additional mechanisms may be developed to achieve additional reductions from vehicles in this category, including on-road motorcycles.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | n/a         |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2017 - 2031 |

| Implementation Milestones and Schedule  |   |                  |
|---|---|------------------|
| Proposed Strategy   | Implementation Steps  | Date             |
| Identify and secure funding for incentive based and other innovative funding programs for accelerated turn-over of zero and near-zero passenger cars and trucks | <i>Phase 1:</i> Identify funding needs and potential sources                                    | 2016+ (annually) |
|   | <i>Phase 2:</i> Pursue actions to secure funding  |                  |
|   | <i>Phase 3:</i> Implement funding/incentive programs  |                  |
| Evaluate potential emission benefits from VMT reductions and autonomous vehicles and quantify and develop mechanisms to provide SIP reductions as appropriate   | <i>Phase 1:</i> Evaluation of approaches and potential for emission reductions                  | 2016 - 2023      |
|   | <i>Phase 2:</i> Demonstration of systems  | 2017 – 2026      |
|   | <i>Phase 3:</i> Quantification of emission reductions and mechanisms for incorporating into SIP | 2023 – 2027      |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB commits to bring to the Board programs and policies to implement this measure to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast in 2023 and 2031. Further development measures for each source category may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

### Description of Source Category:

Heavy-duty trucks over 8,500 pounds are currently the fastest growing transportation sector in the United States, responsible for about 33 percent of total statewide NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, approximately 26 percent of total statewide diesel PM emissions, and a significant source of GHG emissions.

Most of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from heavy-duty engines come from diesel-cycle engines, especially in the higher weight classes. Gasoline and natural gas Otto-cycle spark-ignited engines are also used in heavy-duty trucks, to a lesser extent, and primarily in the lower weight classification vehicles.

## Lower In-Use Emission Performance Level

### Overview:

The goals of this proposed measure are to ensure that in-use heavy-duty vehicles continue to operate at their cleanest possible level. ARB staff would develop and propose new, supplemental actions to address in-use emissions and compliance and to decrease engine deterioration.

### Background / Regulatory History:

Since 1982, both U.S. EPA and ARB have required manufacturers to submit emissions data showing that their engines and vehicles meet applicable emission standards to qualify for a federal “Certificate of Conformity” and/or a California “Executive Order” in order to be sold. The data are generated using specific test procedures for measuring emission levels and assessing durability. The number and types of these tests vary according to the engine/vehicle being tested. The Federal Test Procedure (FTP) is used for regulatory emissions testing of on-road heavy-duty engines. While the FTP was developed to assess emissions performance of an engine under representative operating conditions, it does not assess emissions under all driving conditions, such as high-speed freeway driving and hard accelerations, such as acceleration on an entrance ramp to a freeway.

In the late 1990s, many heavy-duty engine manufacturers were accused of deliberately calibrating their engines to run extremely lean during high-speed freeway driving, which improved fuel economy but increased NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. U.S. EPA and ARB deemed this strategy to be a defeat device deliberately designed to delay or deactivate emissions controls, which prompted both agencies to seek remedial action and penalties against the offending manufacturers. As part of a related settlement agreement, all affected parties were directed to work together to further develop the Not To Exceed (NTE) test protocol. The development effort was successful, and the NTE requirement is in effect today.

In addition to complying with the FTP and NTE requirements, compliance with OBD, anti-tampering, fuel tank fill-pipe and openings, crankcase emissions, and other requirements, as applicable, must also be demonstrated as part of the existing certification protocol. Manufacturers must also provide a warranty for the emissions control systems of their certified engines and vehicles for a specified durability period and identify them with emissions control labels. Also, these engines and vehicles are subject to compliance testing and are required to report warranty-related repair rates to both U.S. EPA and ARB.

Additionally, all heavy-duty vehicles in California are subject to in-use inspections in order to control excessive smoke emissions and tampering. These programs are described below:

- The Heavy-Duty Vehicle Inspection Program, adopted in 1988, requires heavy-duty vehicles to be inspected for smoke opacity (i.e., excessive smoke), tampering, and engine certification label compliance. Any heavy-duty vehicle operating in California,

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

including vehicles registered in other states and foreign countries, may be inspected. Inspections are performed by ARB inspection teams at border crossings, California Highway Patrol weigh stations, fleet facilities, and randomly selected roadside locations.

- The Periodic Smoke Inspection Program, also adopted in 1988, requires heavy-duty vehicle fleet owners to conduct annual smoke opacity inspections of their vehicles, and have them repaired if excessive smoke emissions are observed. In addition, ARB has the authority to randomly audit these fleets, by reviewing the owners' maintenance and inspection records, and conducting opacity inspections on a representative sample of the vehicles.
- The Emissions Control Label Inspection Program requires all vehicles operating in California be equipped with engines that meet California and/or U.S. EPA emission standards. The engine must have an emissions control label which is legible, displayed as originally installed by the engine manufacturer, and must match the engine serial number stamped on the engine. Owners of applicable vehicles not meeting the emissions control label requirements are subject to a penalty.

Currently, there is no regular, mandatory in-use screening for NOx or any emissions other than visible smoke.

### **Proposed Actions:**

For this proposed measure, ARB staff would develop new, supplemental actions, in the form of regulatory amendments or new regulations, to address in-use compliance and to decrease engine deterioration. This suite of actions includes:

- Amendments to ARB's existing Periodic Smoke Inspection and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Inspection Programs to revise the current opacity limit and make other program improvements;
- Amendments to warranty and useful life provisions;
- Amendments to the durability demonstration provisions within the certification requirements for heavy-duty engines;
- Amendments to the NTE supplemental test procedures for heavy-duty diesel engines; and
- Adoption of comprehensive heavy-duty vehicle inspection and maintenance program.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

As this proposed measure is a study to further evaluate the California's in-use performance and vehicle inspection and maintenance program, anticipated emission reductions are not identified at this time. This measure may provide emission reductions; should the evaluation identify necessary program improvements, the emission reduction potential and cost

effectiveness of such enhancements will be identified at that time.

**Timing:**

|                                   |                          |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2016 – 2020 <sup>4</sup> |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2017 – 2026              |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring the above-described elements of this measure that the further study determines are necessary program improvements to the Board between 2016 and 2020.

---

<sup>4</sup> This proposed measure will be implemented via amendment and adoption of multiple regulations. Staff anticipates bringing several of the items to the Board between 2016 and 2020, but some elements may be brought to the Board later.

## Low-NOx Engine Standard

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to introduce near-zero emission engine technologies that will substantially lower NOx emissions from on-road heavy-duty vehicles. ARB will develop a heavy-duty low-NOx engine standard in California, and, if necessary, petition U.S. EPA to establish new federal low-NOx emission standards for heavy-duty engines.

### Background / Regulatory History:

California is the only state with the authority to adopt and enforce emission standards for new motor vehicle engines that differ from the federal emission standards. Since 1990, heavy-duty engine NOx emission standards have become dramatically more stringent, dropping from 6 grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) in 1990 down to the current 0.2 g/bhp-hr standard, which took effect in 2010. In addition to mandatory NOx standards, there have been several generations of optional lower NOx standards put in place over the past 15 years. From 1998 to 2003, optional NOx standards ranged from 0.5 g/bhp-hr to 2.5 g/bhp-hr, at 0.5 g/bhp-hr increments, which was much lower than the mandatory 4 g/bhp-hr limit. Starting in 2004, engine manufacturers could choose to certify to optional NOx + non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) standards ranging from 0.3 g/bhp-hr to 1.8 g/bhp-hr, at 0.3 g/bhp-hr increments, which was significantly below the mandatory 2.4 g/bhp-hr NOx+NMHC standard. Starting in 2015, engine manufacturers could certify to three optional NOx emission standards of 0.1 g/bhp-hr, 0.05 g/bhp-hr, and 0.02 g/bhp-hr (i.e., 50 percent, 75 percent, and 90 percent lower than the current mandatory standard of 0.2 g/bhp-hr). The optional standards allow local air districts and ARB to preferentially provide incentive funding to buyers of cleaner trucks, which encourages the development of cleaner engines.

### Proposed Actions:

This proposed measure would establish low-NOx engine standards for new on-road heavy-duty engines used in medium and heavy-duty trucks.

### California Action

ARB will begin development of new heavy-duty low-NOx emission standards in 2017 with Board action expected in 2019. ARB may also petition U.S. EPA in 2016 to establish new federal heavy-duty engine emission standards. If U.S. EPA fails to initiate its own rule development process for a federal standard by 2017, ARB would continue with its development and implementation efforts to establish a California-only lower NOx standard. If U.S. EPA begins the regulatory development process for a new federal heavy-duty emission standard by 2017, ARB will coordinate its regulatory development efforts with the federal regulation.

A California-only low-NOx standard would apply to vehicles with new heavy-duty engines sold in California starting in 2023. However, the dynamics of the heavy-duty market means that this approach would not achieve the full benefit of the emission reductions that could be realized through a federal program. In order to achieve the maximum emission reductions from this proposed measure, a federal standard is necessary.

### Federal Action

Federal low-NOx standards could apply to all new heavy-duty trucks sold nationwide starting in 2024 or later. This will ensure that all trucks traveling within California would eventually be equipped with an engine meeting the lower NOx standard. Without federal action to implement this emission standard, emission reductions would come mostly from Class 4-6 vehicles (as most Class 7 and 8 vehicles operating in California were originally purchased outside the State) as a result of California-only ARB regulations.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. Both the Federal and California-only low-NOx standards were assumed to provide 90 percent overall NOx emission reductions from the current engine and emission control technologies. This reduction, in part, reflects assumptions on decreasing engine deterioration due to Lower In-Use Emission Performance Level Measure. For Federal low-NOx standards, NOx reductions were applied to all heavy-duty trucks starting in model year 2024, regardless of vocation and registration.

In addition to trucks coming from out-of-state, many California heavy-duty truck owners also purchase used trucks from out-of-state. Therefore, a California-only low-NOx standard would only impact a fraction of the heavy-duty activity and emissions in California. Staff assumed an aggregated fraction to estimate emission reduction based on survival rates derived from multiple years of EMFAC baseline data.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ARB petition to U.S. EPA:         | 2016   |
| U.S. EPA Rulemaking:              | 2017-2019  |
| ARB Rulemaking:                   | 2017-2019  |
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2019   |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | California regulation implementation would be starting in 2023; If U.S. EPA establishes a similarly stringent federal low-NOx standard, federal implementation should align with California. Under such a scenario, to ensure regulatory consistency, ARB would harmonize with U.S. EPA's program. |

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

If U.S. EPA fails to initiate its own rule development process for a federal standard, ARB staff proposes to commit to petition U.S. EPA in 2016. U.S. EPA's action may achieve more or less than the amount shown. If U.S. EPA fails to initiate the rule development process by 2017, ARB proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board by 2019. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Medium and Heavy-Duty GHG Phase 2

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to advance fuel efficiency improvements and achieve greater GHG emission reductions through the introduction of the next generation of integrated engine, powertrain, vehicle and trailer technologies designed to reduce climate emissions and fuel use. This new round of medium and heavy-duty vehicle and engine GHG emission standards, known as Phase 2, will build upon the Phase 1 standards adopted federally in 2011 and in California in 2013.

### Background / Regulatory History:

The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, AB 32, established requirements for a comprehensive program of regulatory and market mechanisms to reduce GHG emissions in California. AB 32 also required ARB to develop and approve a Scoping Plan that describes California's approach to reducing GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. The Scoping Plan was first approved by the Board in 2008 and updated for the first time in 2014.

The Tractor-Trailer GHG Regulation was an early action measure from the 2008 Scoping Plan. First approved by the Board in late 2008 and later amended in 2010, this regulation required improved aerodynamics and tires for 53-foot and longer long-haul tractors and trailers operating on California's roads.

The Phase 1 GHG standards, based on off-the-shelf technologies and applicable to 2014 and later model year medium- and heavy-duty engines and vehicles, were adopted by U.S. EPA in 2011 and by the Board in 2013. The Phase 1 standards took effect with the 2014 model year and are projected to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> by about 12.5 percent by 2035.

### Proposed Actions:

In summer 2016, U.S. EPA expects to finalize the federal Phase 2 standards. The new standards, which push technology improvements beyond what is currently in widespread commercial use, are expected to take effect with model year 2021 for all new class 2b-8 medium- and heavy-duty trucks sold in the nation and in model year 2018 for new trailers, and to be fully phased in by model year 2027. This proposed measure would establish Phase 2 GHG standards for all new class 2b-8 medium- and heavy-duty trucks starting in 2021, and for certain classes of new trailers, starting in 2018. At the federal level, GHG emission reduction requirements would apply to certain box-type trailers for the first time.

ARB staff plans to present a California Phase 2 proposal for the Board's consideration in 2017. In addition to harmonizing with the federal Phase 2 standards where applicable, ARB staff's proposal may include some more stringent, California-only provisions that are necessary to meet California's unique air quality challenges. For example, the California Phase 2 proposal

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

may layer additional requirements for vocational vehicle aerodynamics onto the federal Phase 2 program.

ARB staff also plans to present amendments to the Tractor-Trailer GHG regulation in 2019 to harmonize with the federal Phase 2 trailer requirements that also include requirements for trailer categories not included in the federal Phase 2 program in order to further reduce GHG emissions in California. In California, GHG emission reduction requirements for certain 53-foot and longer box-type trailers have been in place since 2008 under ARB's Tractor-Trailer GHG Regulation. Amendments to this regulation, separate from the Board's adoption of the Phase 2 standards for medium- and heavy-duty engines and vehicles, would align with the federal Phase 2 trailer requirements for box-type trailers and also include GHG emission reduction requirements for other trailer categories not covered by the federal Phase 2 standards, potentially including flatbed, tanker, container, and curtain side trailers, thus providing additional GHG reductions in California. California is the only state with the authority to adopt and enforce emission standards for new motor vehicles and engines that differ from the federal emission standards.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

While criteria emission reductions have not been identified at this time, emission reductions for the measure will be identified as part of the rule development process. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

### **Timing:**

Proposed ARB Board hearing:

2016 - 2019

Proposed implementation schedule:

Implementation will begin with model year 2021 for all new heavy-duty trucks class 2b-8 sold in the nation and model year 2018 for new trailers, and will be fully implemented by model year 2027.

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring the California Phase 2 proposal to the Board by 2017. ARB staff also proposes to commit to bring the amendments to the Tractor-Trailer GHG regulation to the Board by 2019. Emission reductions for the measure will be identified as part of the rule development process. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

## Advanced Clean Transit

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to continue the transition of transit fleets to cleaner technologies to support NO<sub>x</sub> and GHG emission reduction goals. The measure will consider a variety of approaches to enhance the deployment of advanced clean technology and increase the penetration of the first wave of zero-emission heavy-duty technology into transit applications that are well suited to its use. The measures will rely on a suite of actions that together will achieve benefits in disadvantaged communities, maintain or expand service, while deploying advanced technologies. Low-NO<sub>x</sub> engines are available today for many transit agencies which are using compressed natural gas. Transit bus fleets are also well suited for introducing zero-emission technology because they operate in urban centers, have stop and go driving cycles and are centrally maintained and fueled. Experience from using advanced technology in buses and demonstrating its viability will benefit the market for the same technologies to be used in other heavy-duty vehicle applications.

### Description of Source Category:

There are around 11,000 buses operated by transit agencies and by private companies under contract with through state administered funds to provide connecting bus to rail and ferries services. These buses operate primarily on diesel or natural gas.

### Background / Regulatory History:

Adopted in 2000, the Fleet Rule for Transit Agencies required reductions in diesel particulate matter and oxides of nitrogen emissions from urban buses and transit fleet vehicles, and required future zero-emission bus purchases. Urban bus fleets were required to select either the diesel path or the alternative-fuel path. Transit agencies on the diesel path needed to demonstrate zero-emission buses, and to meet the zero-emission bus purchase requirements sooner, while agencies on the alternative-fuel path had to ensure that 85 percent of urban bus purchases were alternative fueled without a demonstration requirement.

The Transit Fleet Rule was amended in 2004, and again in 2006. The 2006 amendments temporarily postponed the zero-emission bus purchase requirement (until 2011 and 2012, depending on the compliance path) and expanded the initial demonstration with a subsequent advanced technology demonstration phase.

In 2009, ARB staff provided a technology update to the Board on the commercial readiness of zero-emission buses. At that time, the extended demonstration was behind schedule due to delays in funding and vehicle production. ARB staff recommended a postponement of the purchase requirements, and proposed to establish technology performance metrics that could be used to assess commercial readiness of zero-emission buses. The Board, through Resolution 09-49, directed ARB staff to delay the purchase requirement, research and develop

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

commercial-readiness metrics to be used as criteria to initiate the zero-emission bus purchase requirement, and to conduct a technology assessment on the readiness of zero-emission bus technologies.

### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB would develop and propose an Advanced Clean Transit (ACT) measure with a combination with incentives, and/or other methods that would result in transit fleets purchasing advanced technology buses during normal replacement and using renewable fuels when contracts are renewed. The concept would consider flexibility to allow transit fleets to implement advanced technology in ways that are synergistic with their operation and potentially recognize factors such as early actions to reduce emissions, utilization of alternative modes of zero emission transportation (e.g., light-rail), and improved efficiencies of the transit system. An important goal would be to ensure the emissions benefits are realized in disadvantaged communities within the transit district while maintaining or expanding service and efficiency. The measure will also be consistent with and complementary to both SB 375 and LCFS.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. As a bounding exercise to estimate the potential emissions benefits, ARB staff modelled 20 percent of the new urban buses purchased by transit agencies beginning in 2018 will be zero-emission buses with the penetration of zero-emission technology ramped up to 100 percent of new sales in 2030. The emission reductions themselves may come from a combination of new purchase requirements, incentives, or alternative performance standards. ARB staff also assumed any new natural gas buses, starting in 2018, and diesel buses, starting in 2020, would meet the optional heavy-duty low-NOx standard. The low-NOx standard was assumed to provide 90 percent overall NOx emission reductions from the current engine and emission control technologies.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2017      |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2018-2040 |

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2017. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2023 and 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Last Mile Delivery

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to achieve NOx and GHG emission reductions goals through advanced clean technology, and to increase the penetration of the first wave of zero-emission heavy-duty technology into applications that are well suited to its use. Last mile delivery fleets are well suited for introducing zero-emission technology because they operate in urban centers, have stop and go driving cycles and are centrally maintained and fueled. Experience gained from demonstrating the viability of advanced technologies in these fleets will benefit the market and enable the same technologies to be used in other heavy-duty vehicle applications.

### Description of Source Category:

The source category includes Classes 3-7 heavy-duty delivery trucks operated within California that are used in last mile freight delivery applications. Most of the last mile delivery trucks are within vehicle classes 3-6 (10,000 -26,000 lbs.) and some are in the vehicle class 7 (26,001-33,000 lbs.) Last mile delivery fleets are predominately used in urban areas to deliver freight from warehouses and distribution centers to its final point of sale or use (last mile delivery). Their duty cycle is favorable for accelerated penetration of zero-emission technology because they typically operate at low average driving speeds with frequent stop-and-go drive cycles, and are centrally maintained and fueled at an urban distribution center.

### Background / Regulatory History:

The Last Mile Delivery is a newly proposed measure to support the SIP, Sustainable Freight Action Plan, SB 350, AB 32, and the Diesel Risk Reduction Plan. This proposed measure would require the use of low-NOx engines and the purchase of zero-emission trucks for class 3-7 last mile delivery trucks in California. Although there have not been previous regulations specific to last mile delivery trucks, ARB has controlled these sources through other regulations such as the Truck and Bus Regulation. All privately and federally owned diesel trucks with a GVWR of 14,000 pounds and greater (Class 4 and above) that operate in California are subject to the requirements of the Truck and Bus Regulation, which include meeting particulate matter (PM) filter requirements and upgrading to 2010 or newer model year engines.

### Proposed Actions:

ARB would develop and propose a regulation that would require the use of low-NOx engines and the purchase of zero-emission trucks for class 3-7 last mile delivery trucks in California. This proposed measure will require certain fleets that operate last mile delivery trucks to purchase zero-emission trucks starting 2020, with a low fraction initially and ramping up to a higher percentage of the fleet gradually at time of normal replacement. The initial ramp up of zero emission trucks will consider the ability of the new technology to meet the operational needs of the users. ARB staff is evaluating options for purchase requirements.

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1 model to estimate conservative emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. The benefits were estimated assuming that zero-emission vehicles comprise 2.5 percent of new Class 3-7 trucks sales in local fleets starting 2020. The penetration rate increases to 10 percent in 2025, and is assumed to remain flat through 2030.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2018      |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2020-2050 |

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2018. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2023 and 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Innovative Technology Certification Flexibility

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to encourage early deployment of the next generation of truck and bus technologies through defined, near-term ARB certification and OBD compliance flexibility for medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. This regulation is intended to balance the need to provide key, promising technologies with a predictable, and practical ARB-certification pathway, while preserving ARB's overarching objective to ensure expected emission benefits of advanced truck and bus technologies are achieved in-use. This regulation would provide the greatest flexibility for potentially transformational engine and vehicle technologies, such as robust hybrids and heavy-duty engines meeting the current optional low-NOx standard.

The deployment of robust hybrids (including those with zero-emission capability) is expected to both yield near-term emission benefits and facilitate the battery innovation needed to expand the application of zero-emission technology. By enabling early deployment of electric drivelines, low-NOx engines, and other key truck and bus technologies, this regulation would also help lay the foundation for the future technology-advancing regulation(s) needed to meet air quality and climate goals.

### Background / Regulatory History:

In December 2013, ARB adopted Optional Reduced Emission Standards for Heavy-Duty Engine to further reduce emissions from the heavy-duty vehicle sector. These optional low-NOx emission standards set targets of 0.10, 0.05, and 0.02 g/bhp-hr NOx, which are 50 percent, 75 percent, and 90 percent, respectively, below the current 2010 emission standard. As of November 1, 2015, only one heavy-duty engine has been certified to an optional low-NOx standard – a Cummins ISL 8.9 liter alternative-fueled engine meeting the 0.02 g/bhp-hr NOx standard.

California law requires new motor vehicles and engines to be certified by ARB for emission compliance before they are legal for sale, use, or registration in California. Light- and medium-duty vehicle emissions are typically evaluated on a *chassis dynamometer* as part of the vehicle certification process. Heavy-duty vehicles (greater than 14,000 lbs.) are not required to be ARB-certified as a complete vehicle; instead, an engine must be ARB-certified for use in a heavy-duty vehicle.<sup>5</sup> Heavy-duty engine emissions are certified using an engine dynamometer, in part due to challenges in chassis testing heavier vehicles, and the impracticality of chassis certifying the diversity of potential truck and bus configurations in which a heavy-duty engine could be installed. However, dynamometer testing of heavy-duty engines does not quantify the potential emission impact of innovative non-engine technologies, such as hybrid drivelines.

---

<sup>5</sup> Hybrid heavy-duty vehicles have the option for complete full vehicle certification, utilizing ARB's Heavy-Duty Hybrid-Electric Vehicles Certification Procedures (December, 2013)

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

ARB certification requirements mandate that manufacturers demonstrate that their new engines or vehicles comply with applicable exhaust and evaporative emission standards over their useful life, and comply with other requirements, such as labeling and emissions warranty requirements.

OBD is an important emission control program that is critical for California to achieve its air quality goals. OBD consists mostly of added software in the relevant powertrain control modules that monitor critical components of the engine and aftertreatment. The OBD system monitors virtually every component that can cause an emission increase, including but not limited to all emission controls and all electronic components (such as sensors and actuators) that affect emissions or are used to monitor other emission controls. To function properly, OBD monitors must run with a specified minimum frequency in-use. The OBD system alerts the driver if something is wrong via the dashboard “check engine” (or malfunction indicator) light, and stores information pinpointing the likely root cause of a potential malfunction to assist repair technicians.

Light- and medium-duty vehicles have met OBD requirements beginning in 1996. Heavy-duty gasoline and diesel engine OBD requirements phased-in with the 2013 model year, while alternative fuel heavy-duty engines must begin OBD compliance in the 2018 model year due in part to their limited production volumes.

### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB’s existing medium- and heavy-duty vehicle certification and OBD requirements provide a critical and effective mechanism for ensuring a vehicle’s expected emission benefits are achieved in-use. However, ARB’s engine and vehicle approval paradigm, geared towards traditional technologies, may deter some manufacturers from developing promising new truck and bus technologies that are uncertain to achieve market acceptance.

Hybrid truck and bus technology, in particular plug-in technology, has potential to achieve near-term emission benefits and provide a technology bridge to zero-emission solutions. Hybrid truck and bus technology can support battery innovation in higher demand zero-emission applications, and help build supply chains for zero-emission components like controllers, motors, and electricity converters. Plug-in hybrids with a robust electric drive can also foster fleet acceptance of zero-emission technology and drivetrains. However, California demand for hybrid trucks and the number of manufacturers offering hybrid truck technology in California has declined significantly in recent years. Part of this decline in hybrid truck manufacturers may be attributed to reduced demand from initial large, early adopter fleets as well as challenges meeting California heavy-duty OBD requirements.

Initial Innovative Technology Regulation concepts discussed with stakeholders would provide tiered ARB certification and OBD requirements for an innovative heavy-duty engine or vehicle technology, providing targeted flexibility at market launch and early technology deployment stages, and reverting back to full ARB approval requirements once the technology achieves a

market foothold. Initial draft flexibility provisions for hybrid trucks are geared towards encouraging manufacturers to enter the market, and address OBD compliance challenges encountered by what are typically non-vertically integrated engine, driveline and vehicle manufacturers. Hybrid flexibility provisions discussed with stakeholders thus far are structured to preferentially encourage hybrids capable of achieving at least 35 miles of zero-emission range. Initial Innovative Technology Regulation concepts for low-NOx engines are geared towards encouraging manufacturers to accelerate development and market launch of a diversity of alternative-fuel and diesel low-NOx engine families.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

As this measure is a modification to a test procedure that is intended to enable key technology-advancing heavy-duty vehicle regulations and incentive programs identified in this SIP, it does not have associated emission reductions.

**Timing:**

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2016      |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2016-2031 |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2016.

## Zero-Emission Airport Shuttle Buses

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to achieve NO<sub>x</sub> and GHG emission reductions goals through advanced clean technology, and to increase the penetration of the first wave of zero-emission heavy-duty technology into applications that are well suited to its use.

### Description of Source Category:

Airport shuttle buses include buses that transport passengers to and from car parking lots and airport terminals as well as those that transport passengers to airport car rental facilities. The emissions in this source category are expected to increase with the projected increase in passenger aviation activities.

### Background / Regulatory History:

Diesel airport shuttle buses with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) greater than 14,000 pounds that are owned or operated by a municipality are regulated under California's Diesel Particulate Matter Control Measure for Municipality or Utility On-Road Heavy-Duty Diesel Fueled Vehicles (Public Agency and Utility Regulation). This regulation requires a municipality or utility that owns, leases or operates on-road diesel fueled vehicles with engine model year 1960 or newer and GVWR greater than 14,000 pounds to reduce its engine's PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions to 0.01 g/bhp-hr. This can be done by repowering, retrofitting, or retiring the vehicle. Implementation of the rule started in 2007, with a compliance schedule based on the engine model year. Airport shuttle buses owned by a municipality that are less than 14,000 pounds GVWR are not subject to the Public Agency and Utility Regulation.

Private contractors that operate diesel airport shuttles are regulated under the Regulation to Reduce Emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter, Oxides of Nitrogen and Other Criteria Pollutants from In-Use Heavy-Duty Diesel-Fueled Vehicles (Truck and Bus Regulation). The regulation requires airport shuttle buses with engines older than 2010 to eventually be replaced with engines that meet the 2010 emission standard of 0.2 g/bhp-hr NO<sub>x</sub> and 0.01 g/bhp-hr PM<sub>2.5</sub>. As a result, by 2023, nearly all shuttle buses should be compliant with this regulation. However, similar to the Public Agency and Utility Regulation, this regulation does not apply to shuttle buses with GVWR less than 14,000 pounds.

Diesel and alternative-fueled shuttles less than 14,000 GVWR are subject to new engine emission standards of 0.20 g/bhp-hr NO<sub>x</sub> and 0.01 g/bhp-hr PM.

There are additional regulatory requirements for airport shuttle fleets that operate in the South Coast District's jurisdiction, as specified in Rule 1194. This rule requires public and private fleets of 15 or more vehicles that provide passenger pickup services at commercial airports to acquire cleaner burning (certified to ARB's ultra-low emission vehicle, super-ultra-low emission vehicle,

or zero-emission vehicle emission standards) or alternative-fueled vehicles when procuring their vehicles.

Non-diesel airport shuttles are not subject to ARB in-use fleet regulations.

**Proposed Actions:**

ARB would develop and propose a regulation or other measures to deploy zero-emission airport shuttles in order to further support market development of zero-emission technologies in the heavy-duty sector. Airport passenger shuttles that frequent the airport such as rental car and parking lot shuttles typically operate fixed short routes coupled with stop-and-go operation and low average speeds. As seen in past zero-emission bus demonstrations, vehicles that operate on fixed routes, have stop-and-go operation, and maintain low average speeds are ideal candidates for zero-emission electric technologies.

The current successes of zero-emission transit buses can reasonably be translated to airport shuttle buses due to the similarities between these two vehicle types. A near-term strategy to encourage airports to begin purchasing zero-emission shuttle buses would introduce these buses into the marketplace, which may result in entire zero-emission shuttle bus fleets in the future. Like transit buses, the inclusion of zero-emission airport shuttles would serve as a stepping stone to encourage broader deployment of zero-emission technologies in the on-road sector. Initially, incentive funding could be used to help defer the higher incremental cost of zero-emission airport shuttles as compared to traditionally-fueled shuttles. As the capital costs for zero-emission technologies decrease due to higher sales volume, implementation of the near-term strategy could occur either by regulation or a memorandum of understanding, or a combination thereof.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

While emission reductions have not been identified at this time, ARB will quantify any emission reductions from this measure during the rule development process. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

**Timing:**

|                                   |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2018 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2023 |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2018.

## **Incentive Funding to Achieve Further Emission Reductions from On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles**

### **Overview:**

The goal of this proposed measure is to provide incentive funding to accelerate the penetration of zero and near-zero equipment beyond the rate of natural turnover achieved through implementation of the other proposed measures identified for on-road heavy-duty vehicles. This measure is specifically for the South Coast.

### **Background / Regulatory History:**

Several State and local incentive funding pools have been used historically -- and remain available -- to fund the accelerated turnover of on-road heavy-duty vehicles. Since 1998, the Carl Moyer Program (Moyer Program) has provided funding for replacement, new purchase, repower and retrofit of trucks in the South Coast. Beginning in 2008, the Goods Movement Emission Reduction Program funded by Proposition 1B has funded cleaner trucks for the region's transportation corridors; the final increment of funds will implement projects in South Coast through 2018.

The Air Quality Improvement Program has funded the Hybrid and Zero-Emission Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP) since 2010, and continued South Coast participation is expected. ARB has also administered a Truck Loan Assistance Program since 2009. Beyond these statewide programs, the District receives local funds to improve air quality through vehicle registration fees authorized by AB 923, AB 2766, and Senate Bill 1928.

### **Proposed Actions:**

This proposed measure would use existing incentive and other innovative funding programs to help increase the penetration of zero and near-zero heavy-duty trucks. Funding mechanisms would target technologies that meet or exceed an optional low-NOx standard through 2023, when implementation of a new federal low-NOx standard will begin and part of the current round of Moyer Program funding ends.

Implementation will require commitment of at least \$28 million of the current State and South Coast District incentive funds described above to truck replacement projects in the 2015 to 2020 timeframe. In addition, pending annual appropriation by the Legislature and approval by the Board, ARB's Low Carbon Transportation and AQIP funds can be apportioned from 2015 through 2020, with approximately \$7 million per year allocated for low-NOx trucks using renewable fuels in South Coast.

It is important to note that funds under the control of the South Coast District may also be used for other applications, including off-road vehicles. Identifying the most effective use of funds in order to maximize emission reductions will depend on the incremental cost of technologies,

cost effectiveness, and the type of financing mechanism employed. Accordingly, the use of these funds to maximize emission reductions for 2023 may be further refined.

**Timing:**

|                                   |                              |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2016 and annually thereafter |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2016-2023                    |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring to the Board by 2018 a prospective incentive-based emission reduction measure for inclusion in the California SIP as a mechanism to allow California to receive SIP credit for reductions achieved through these incentive programs. These measures will meet U.S. EPA integrity requirements and will include a mechanism for tracking and backstopping reductions. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

## **Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles**

### **Overview:**

The goal of this proposed measure is to identify concepts that will further reduce NOx emissions. These concepts will include additional incentive funding and developing technologies to accelerate the penetration of zero and near-zero equipment beyond the rate of natural turnover achieved through implementation of the other proposed measures identified for on-road heavy-duty vehicles. This measure is specifically for the South Coast.

### **Background / Regulatory History:**

A number of existing measures will achieve NOx reductions from heavy-duty trucks, and could be expanded to provide additional reductions. In addition, new technologies, along with regulations, could potentially provide additional NOx reductions.

### Incentives:

Several State and local incentive funding pools have been used historically -- and remain available -- to fund the accelerated turnover of on-road heavy-duty vehicles in the South Coast. Since 1998, the Carl Moyer Program has provided funding for replacement, new purchase, repower and retrofit of trucks in the basin. Beginning in 2008 the Goods Movement Emission Reduction Program funded by Proposition 1B has funded cleaner trucks for the region's transportation corridors. The Air Quality Improvement Program has funded the HVIP since 2010. In addition, new Low Carbon Transportation incentives funded by the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund are available for zero-emission and cleaner combustion truck projects that achieve GHG benefits, and these projects may often provide criteria pollutant reduction co-benefits. However, to achieve additional reductions in this category, new sources of funding will be pursued.

### Advanced Technologies Such as Connected and Autonomous Vehicles/Systems:

Advanced technologies are expected to be introduced into the market and could replace or supplement the need to solely rely on additional funding pools. Examples of these strategies include autonomous and connected vehicle systems, greater fleet and system operational efficiencies, and improvements in transportation logistics. Some of these strategies are currently in the early stages of development and are expected to bring not only emission reductions, but cost savings to the industry once deployed. Many of these technologies will ultimately bring cost saving to the freight movement sector. It is likely that this deployment can be accelerated if necessary using by incentive funding and regulations.

### **Proposed Actions:**

This proposed measure is designed to achieve further emission reductions for South Coast attainment in 2023 and 2031 through a suite of additional actions, including early penetration of zero and near-zero technologies, emission benefits associated with increased operational efficiency strategies, and the potential for new driver assist and intelligent transportation systems. The emission reductions will be achieved through a combination of industry actions, motivated by both ARB and the South Coast. These actions reflect an initial assessment of a pathway, recognizing that as funding is allocated and advanced technologies further develop, the balance amongst approaches will necessarily adjust.

### **Scope of Technology Penetration and Mechanisms to Achieve Reductions:**

Under current ARB regulations, nearly all heavy-duty trucks operating in the South Coast must meet today's 2010 engine standards by 2023, with the exception of very low mileage fleets and public fleets regulated under earlier fleet rule requirements. A key component of the mobile source strategy for heavy-duty vehicles is the adoption of a more stringent engine performance standard reflecting technology that is effectively 90 percent cleaner than today's standards. To achieve the further reductions associated with early penetration of these cleaner heavy-duty technologies, ARB and South Coast staff estimate that by 2023, approximately 100,000 to 150,000 trucks would need to have engine technologies equivalent to emissions represented by a 0.02 g/bhp-hr low-NOx standard. The following mechanisms provide a pathway for achieving this scale of technology deployment:

- Identify and develop regulatory mechanisms that encourage the development of near-zero and zero-emission heavy-duty truck deployment. Similar actions have been done previously in the South Coast, including local regulations and the San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Truck Program. The South Coast will include local measures in its Air Quality Management Plan to address the accelerated deployment of heavy-duty vehicles.
- Expand and enhance existing incentive and other innovative funding programs for heavy-duty vehicles to increase the emphasis on and support for purchase of zero and near-zero equipment. Funding mechanisms would target technologies that meet either lower NOx standards, or are hybrid/zero-emission technologies. If incentive funding is the primary mechanism to achieve the scope of further technology deployment described above, funding would be required for approximately 15,000 to 20,000 trucks per year over a seven year period, depending upon the availability of zero-emission vehicles and engines certified to ARB's optional low-NOx standards of 0.05 g/bhp-hr and 0.02 g/bhp-hr or other advanced hybrid/zero-emission technologies. The incentive funding required for this effort would go beyond the amount currently authorized for existing programs through 2023. Continued incentive funding post-2023 to further accelerate the deployment of trucks meeting or exceeding a 0.02 g/bhp-hr standard would provide additional reductions for 2031.

## Proposed Measures: On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicles

Determination of the needed resources will be based on assessment of the incremental cost of technologies, cost effectiveness, and the type of financing mechanism employed. Funding needs and mechanisms will be identified working in collaboration with the District and other State agencies over the next several months.

Additional mechanisms reflect continued penetration of zero-emission technologies, as well as reductions achieved through intelligent transportation systems and operational efficiencies. While these approaches have the greatest potential to provide further reductions post 2023, early advances in these areas could offset some of the reductions required through incentive funding or regulations. These additional pathway mechanisms include:

- Several individual proposed measures focus on deploying zero-emission vehicles in heavier applications that are currently well-suited for broad market development, such as transit buses, airport shuttles, and last mile delivery. Depending upon the success of these applications, and ongoing technology assessment, regulatory mechanisms to require zero-emission vehicles in additional applications may be feasible. The greatest opportunities exist for fleets that are captive to the South Coast, and drive shorter distances. This technology assessment is already underway.
- Advances in the development of autonomous and connected vehicle systems, particularly if based on zero-emission technologies, as well as greater operational efficiencies, and improvements in transportation logistics. These changes in how the heavy-duty truck sector would operate offer the potential to achieve criteria, toxic, and GHG emission reductions, but also reduce VMT and congestion as well as petroleum usage. These concepts are based on emerging technologies, and will require significant exploration and demonstration prior to becoming concepts with quantified emission reductions. To promote initial demonstration of these concepts, the FY 16/17 Low Carbon Transportation Funding Plan will include eligibility for demonstration projects related to intelligent transportation systems and connected vehicles.

### Timing:

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | n/a         |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2016 - 2031 |

| Implementation Milestones and Schedule   |   |                     |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Proposed Strategy  | Implementation Steps  | Date                |
| Identify and secure funding for incentive based and other innovative funding programs for accelerated deployment of zero and near-zero heavy-duty vehicles                                 | <i>Phase 1:</i> Identify funding needs and potential sources  | } 2016 + (annually) |
|  | <i>Phase 2:</i> Pursue actions to secure funding  |                     |
|  | <i>Phase 3:</i> Implement funding/incentive programs  |                     |
| Develop regulatory strategies for deployment of zero-emission technologies in additional heavy-duty vehicle applications as appropriate  | <i>Phase 1:</i> Evaluation of technology and prototype demonstrations. Regulatory strategies brought to ARB Board for approval. | 2016 – 2023         |
|  | <i>Phase 2:</i> Development of regulatory strategies  | 2020 - 2023         |
|  | <i>Phase 3:</i> Measure implementation  | 2025 – 2031         |
| Evaluate potential for emission benefits from operational efficiencies and intelligent transportation systems and quantify and develop mechanisms to provide SIP reductions as appropriate | <i>Phase 1:</i> Evaluation of approaches and potential for emission reductions  | 2016 – 2023         |
|  | <i>Phase 2:</i> Demonstration of systems  | 2020 – 2024         |
|  | <i>Phase 3:</i> Quantification of emission reductions and mechanisms for incorporating into SIP                                 | 2024 – 2027         |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB commits to bring to the Board programs and policies to implement this measure to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast in 2023 and 2031. Further development measures for each source category may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Proposed Measures: Off-Road Federal and International Sources

### Description of Source Categories:

#### Locomotives

Union Pacific Railroad (UP) and BNSF Railway (BNSF) are the two Class I, or major, freight railroads operating in California. There are also seven intrastate passenger commuter operators and up to 26 freight shortline railroads currently operating in California. UP and BNSF, however, generate the vast majority (90 percent) of locomotive emissions within the State, with most attributable to interstate line haul locomotives.

UP and BNSF operate three major categories of freight locomotives, both nationally and in California. The first category is interstate line haul locomotives, which are primarily ~4,400 horsepower (HP). The second category is made up of medium-horsepower (MHP) locomotives, as defined by ARB staff as typically between 2,301 and 3,999 HP. MHP locomotives are typically older line haul locomotives that have been cascaded down from interstate service. And lastly, there are switch (yard) locomotives, specifically defined by U.S. EPA as between 1,006 and 2,300 HP.

Locomotives operating at railyards and traveling throughout the nation are a significant source of emissions of diesel PM (which ARB has identified as a toxic air contaminant), NO<sub>x</sub>, and GHGs. These emissions often occur in or near densely populated areas and neighborhoods, exposing residents to unhealthy levels of toxic diesel PM, plus regional ozone and fine particulates that form in the atmosphere.

#### Ocean-Going Vessels

OGVs are very large vessels designed for deep water navigation. OGVs include large cargo vessels such as container vessels, tankers, bulk carriers, and car carriers, as well as passenger cruise vessels. These vessels transport containerized cargo; bulk items such as vehicles, cement, and coke; liquids such as oil and petrochemicals; and passengers. Ocean-going vessels travel internationally and may be registered by the U.S. Coast Guard (U.S.-flagged), or under the flag of another country (foreign-flagged). The majority of vessels that visit California ports are foreign-flagged vessels.

## More Stringent National Locomotive Emission Standards

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to reduce emissions from locomotives in order to meet air quality and climate change goals. Under the proposed measure, ARB will petition U.S. EPA to promulgate by 2020 both Tier 5 national emission standards for newly manufactured locomotives, and more stringent national requirements for remanufactured locomotives, to reduce criteria and toxic pollutants, fuel consumption, and GHG emissions.

### Background/Regulatory History:

Under the Clean Air Act, U.S. EPA has the sole authority to establish emissions standards for new locomotives. (42 United States Code (U.S.C.) §7547, (a)(5)) By regulation, U.S. EPA has defined “new” locomotives to include both those newly manufactured and those existing locomotives that are remanufactured or rebuilt.

U.S. EPA has previously promulgated two sets of national locomotive emission regulations (1998 and 2008). In 1998, U.S. EPA approved national regulations that primarily emphasized NO<sub>x</sub> reductions through Tier 0, 1, and 2 emission standards. Tier 2 NO<sub>x</sub> emission standards reduced older uncontrolled locomotive NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by up to 60 percent, from 13.2 to 5.5 g/bhp-hr.

In 2008, U.S. EPA approved a second set of national locomotive regulations. Older locomotives, upon remanufacture, are required to meet more stringent particulate matter (PM) emission standards, which are about 50 percent cleaner than Tier 0-2 PM emission standards. U.S. EPA refers to the PM locomotive remanufacture emission standards as Tier 0+, Tier 1+, and Tier 2+. The new Tier 3 PM emission standard (0.1 g/bhp-hr), for model years 2012-2014, is the same as the Tier 2+ remanufacture PM emission standard. The 2008 regulations also included new Tier 4 (2015 and later model years) locomotive NO<sub>x</sub> and PM emission standards. U.S. EPA Tier 4 NO<sub>x</sub> and PM emission standards further reduced emissions by approximately 90 percent from uncontrolled levels.

### Proposed Actions:

ARB would petition U.S. EPA for new national locomotive emission standards for significant additional reductions in criteria and toxic pollutants, and GHG emissions from existing and future locomotives. ARB staff estimates that U.S. EPA could require manufacturers to implement the new locomotive emission regulations by as early as 2023 for remanufactures and 2025 for newly manufactured locomotives.

This measure describes the emissions levels that ARB staff believes would be achievable with a new generation of national emissions standards for locomotives, including both newly

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Federal and International Sources

manufactured and remanufactured units. The description focuses on technology that could be employed to reach the lower emission levels to address local, regional, and global air pollution concerns in California, and in other states with high levels of railyard activity or rail traffic.

As documented in the Draft Technology Assessment for Freight Locomotives,<sup>6</sup> ARB staff believes the most technologically feasible advanced technology for near-term deployment is the installation of a compact aftertreatment system (e.g., combination of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC) onto new and remanufactured diesel-electric freight interstate line haul locomotives. Newly manufactured locomotives can also be augmented with on-board batteries to provide an additional 10-25 percent reduction in diesel fuel consumption and GHG emissions to achieve the Tier 5 emission levels shown in the table below. For purposes of this document, ARB staff assumes a 15 percent reduction in fuel use for locomotives equipped with this battery technology. On-board batteries could also provide zero-emission track mile capabilities in and around railyards to further reduce diesel PM and the associated health risks.

**Newly Manufactured Locomotives**

| <b>Emission Levels</b>           | <b>NOx<br/>(g/bhp-hr)</b> | <b>PM<br/>(g/bhp-hr)</b> | <b>GHG<br/>Reductions<br/>(relative to Tier 4)</b> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Existing Tier 4 Standard</b>  | <b>1.3</b>                | <b>0.03</b>              | 0%   |
| – In-Use                         | 1.0                       | 0.015                    | N/A  |
| <b>Potential Tier 5 Standard</b> | <b>0.2</b>                | <b>0.0075</b>            | 10-25%   |
| – In-Use                         | 0.15                      | 0.006                    | 10-25%   |

A new federal standard could also facilitate development and deployment of zero-emission track mile locomotives and zero-emission locomotives by building incentives for those technologies into the regulatory structure.

The compact SCR and DOC aftertreatment system could also be retrofitted to existing Tier 4 locomotives to be able to achieve a Tier 4+ emissions standard, when Tier 4 locomotives are scheduled for remanufacture (every 7 to 10 years). Based on the typical remanufacture schedule, all Tier 4 locomotives could potentially be retrofitted with aftertreatment between 2025 and 2037. Existing locomotives originally manufactured to meet Tier 2 or Tier 3 standards could also be upgraded with the same compact aftertreatment system upon remanufacture to achieve emissions equal to Tier 4 levels. The result would be remanufactured locomotives meeting the emissions levels shown in the table below.

<sup>6</sup> Draft Technology Assessment for Freight Locomotives available at: [http://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/tech/techreport/freight\\_locomotives\\_tech\\_report.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/tech/techreport/freight_locomotives_tech_report.pdf)

**Remanufactured Locomotives**

| Baseline Tier Level | Existing U.S. EPA Emissions Standards (g/bhp-hr) |      | Aftertreatment Approach at Remanufacture  | Achievable Emissions Levels at Remanufacture (g/bhp-hr) |       |
|---------------------|--|------|---|---|-------|
|                     | NOx  | PM   |   | NOx   | PM    |
| Tier 2+/3           | 5.5  | 0.1  | Retrofit to Tier 4                        | 1.3   | 0.03  |
| Tier 4              | 1.3  | 0.03 | Retrofit to Tier 4+ (with aftertreatment) | 0.3   | <0.01 |

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB’s Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure.

**Newly manufactured locomotives:**

The Tier 5 emissions standard was modeled as a new tier of locomotives to be introduced in 2025. Tier 5 is defined by the same emission standards as Tier 4 for all pollutants except NOx, PM, and GHG, which would be at the levels shown in the table above. This was represented in the model by increasing the Tier 5 locomotive population in the total tier distribution by ~4.0 percent per year over the baseline population with an equal reduction in the Tier 4 distribution.

**Remanufactured locomotives:**

The locomotive fleet meeting the remanufacture emissions levels is modeled such that 95 percent of line-haul locomotive activity is represented by Tier 4 locomotives by 2031, with phase-in of Tier 4+ starting in 2023. For modeling purposes, this is represented by increasing the Tier 4 locomotive population in the total tier distribution by ~8 percent per year over the baseline with an equal total reduction in the lower tier populations to account for the increase in Tier 4.

**Timing:**

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ARB petition to U.S. EPA          | 2016   |
| U.S. EPA rulemaking date:         | 2020   |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2023 for remanufactured locomotives<br>2025 for newly manufactured locomotives |

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Federal and International Sources

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to petition U.S. EPA to develop this measure by 2016 to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast in 2023 and 2031. U.S. EPA's action may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Tier 4 Vessel Standards

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to reduce emissions from ocean-going vessels (OGVs). ARB would advocate with international partners for the International Maritime Organization (IMO) to establish new Tier 4 NO<sub>x</sub> and PM standards, plus efficiency targets for existing vessels, and new vessel categories not covered by IMO efficiency standards.

### Background/Regulatory History:

The IMO, under Annex VI (“Regulations for the Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships”), specifies new engine NO<sub>x</sub> standards and sets fuel sulfur limits. Tier 2 IMO NO<sub>x</sub> standards have applied to new vessels since 2011, and in 2016, Tier 3 NO<sub>x</sub> standards will apply within NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Control Areas (ECAs) such as the North American ECA. However, the Tier 3 NO<sub>x</sub> limits are relatively high compared to the standards that apply to landside diesel engines. Annex VI regulations also do not limit PM exhaust emissions from new engines. The fuel sulfur limit in the North American ECA is 0.1 percent sulfur, the same as the ARB regulation discussed below. However, there are some differences between the regulations. The California regulation specifies the use of cleaner “distillate” grades of fuel, rather than just a sulfur limit, and the federal ECA provides exemptions for many vessels that are not exempted by the California rule.

The IMO also established amendments to Annex VI in 2011 that set in place efficiency standards for new ships. Beginning in 2013, the regulations establish energy efficiency design index (EEDI) standards that become progressively more stringent over time. The EEDI requires a minimum energy efficiency level per capacity mile (e.g., ton-mile) for different ship types and size segments. The categories of ships covered include oil and gas tankers, bulk carriers, general cargo ships, refrigerated cargo carriers and container ships. Together, these vessel categories account for over 70 percent of the carbon dioxide emissions from the new-build fleet. The regulations do not cover passenger vessels, mixed-use vessels, other specialty vessels, and vessels below 400 gross tons. For vessel types not covered, EEDI formulas are expected to be developed in the future.

The IMO also requires operators of both new and existing vessels to develop and maintain a Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP). The SEEMP, a complement to the EEDI, provides a mechanism to improve the energy efficiency of a ship. A vessel’s SEEMP document is expected to change over time, and many companies already use a similar plan to reduce fuel costs. The SEEMP regulations only require that ships have plan, but an approval of the plan, and tracking of the vessel’s progress by the flag state administration is not required.

California regulations include the Ocean-going Vessel Fuel Regulation and the At-Berth (Shore-power) Regulation. The OGV Fuel Regulation was designed to reduce diesel PM, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SO<sub>x</sub> emissions. This regulation was implemented in 2009, and required that vessels use lower sulfur

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Federal and International Sources

distillate fuels. The current fuel sulfur limit of 0.1 percent was implemented in 2014, a year before the ECA set this same sulfur standard. The At-Berth Regulation was designed to limit emissions of diesel PM and NOx from vessels at dockside. The regulation requires that vessels turn off their auxiliary diesel engines and plug in to shore-based grid electrical power, or utilize alternative technologies to achieve comparable emission reductions.

California has the authority to regulate marine vessels, including foreign-flagged vessels, when they visit our ports, to the extent such regulation is not preempted by federal law. The California OGV Fuel Regulation was adopted as two essentially identical regulations under our authority to regulate both airborne toxicants and criteria pollutants.

### **Proposed Actions:**

Under this Action, ARB would work with U.S. EPA, U.S. Coast Guard, and international partners to urge the IMO to adopt more stringent emission standards for new ocean-going vessels and efficiency requirements for existing vessels. Specifically, ARB would advocate for a Tier 4 NOx standard for new marine engines on ocean-going vessels and vessel efficiency requirements for the existing in-use fleet.

Additional regulations are necessary because the existing IMO marine engine regulations do not include a PM standard, and the Tier III 2016 NOx standard is higher than the NOx standards for other diesel equipment categories. In addition, the IMO efficiency standards for existing vessels only require that vessels have a “Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan.” These regulations do not require approval of the plan, tracking of the vessel’s progress, or actual improvement in energy efficiency.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB’s Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. The measure would require that 100 percent of new vessels meet Tier 4 NOx emissions standards which are 70 percent lower than existing Tier 3 standards, starting in the calendar year 2025. The new standards would be allowed to enter the fleet using natural turnover and would not be accelerated by additional rules or incentives.

### **Timing:**

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| ARB advocacy:                                 | 2015 - 2018 |
| IMO action, ratification, and implementation: | 2020 - 2025 |

### **Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to advocate with international partners for the IMO to establish new Tier 4 NOx and PM standards, and more comprehensive efficiency standards for existing vessels. The measure as proposed may provide more or less than the amount shown.



## **Incentivize Low Emission Efficient Ship Visits**

### **Overview:**

The goal of this proposed measure is to achieve early implementation of clean vessel technologies such as liquefied natural gas, Tier 3 standards or better, and incentivize vessels with those technologies in California service. ARB staff would work with California seaports, ocean carriers, and other stakeholders to develop the criteria and to identify the best way to incentivize introduction of Super-Low Emission Efficient Ships into the existing fleet of vessels that visit California seaports.

### **Background/Regulatory History:**

In addition to the traditional regulations outlined in the previous proposed measure, Port authorities in California have developed a number of measures for OGVs which are typically implemented through incentive programs or lease agreements.

The Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach (San Pedro Bay Ports) have the most comprehensive program. The San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP) is designed to reduce the emissions from a variety of port sources, including OGVs. The plan includes reductions from Port ordinances, regulations, green lease agreements, environmental mitigation requirements, and voluntary and incentive efforts such as the "Green Ship Incentive Program" and "Vessel Speed Reduction Incentive Program" (VSR). In addition, the Ports of Los Angeles, Long Beach, Oakland, San Diego, San Francisco, and Hueneme have installed shoreside infrastructure for vessels to plug in at berth, and some have provisions in leases to require use of the infrastructure beyond the requirements of ARB's statewide At-Berth regulation. Prior to the implementation of a statewide clean fuel regulation for OGVs, the San Pedro Bay Ports also developed a clean fuel incentive program which covered the cost differential between dirty heavy fuel oil and cleaner burning low sulfur distillate fuel.

The Port of Los Angeles' Voluntary Environmental Ship Index Program (ESI) rewards vessel operators for reducing NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> from OGVs. When an operator goes beyond what is required for compliance by bringing their newest and cleanest vessels to the Port and demonstrating technologies onboard their vessels, they are rewarded with incentives via lower dockage fees. It also encourages use of cleaner technology and practices in advance of regulations.

### **Proposed Actions:**

Numerous technologies are available that can reduce emissions from ships and improve the efficiency of a vessel. Incentive programs can be leveraged to encourage vessel owners and operators to implement technologies that exceed current regulatory requirements. Under this proposed measure, ARB staff would work with California seaports and other stakeholders to develop criteria for a Low Emission Efficient Ship, targeting NO<sub>x</sub>, diesel PM, GHG, and sulfur

oxide emissions. ARB would also pursue partnerships with other ports along the Pacific shipping corridor to develop a “green lane” concept with multiple small incentives for cleaner vessels that add up to sufficient financial benefit to change the decisions of vessel operators about which vessels are deployed on which routes. Incentives to encourage visits from ships meeting the criteria would involve identification of funding sources and implementation mechanisms such as development of new programs, enhancement of existing programs such as the Port of Long Beach Green Flag program and the Port of Los Angeles Environmental Ship Index Incentive Program, or incorporation into existing statewide incentive programs.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

While emission reductions have not been identified at this time, ARB will quantify any emission reductions from this measure during the rule development process.

**Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB action date:         | 2017 - 2018 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2018 +      |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to develop criteria for a Low Emission Efficient Ship and incentives for using these ships at California ports by 2018.

## At-Berth Regulation Amendments

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to further reduce emissions from ships auxiliary engines at berth. ARB staff would develop and propose amendments to the current At-Berth Regulation and look for additional reductions from additional vessel fleets or types.

### Description of Source Category:

Auxiliary engines are diesel engines on ocean-going vessels that provide power for uses other than propulsion. They are generally four-stroke diesel engines that are smaller than the main engines. Most ocean-going vessels have more than one auxiliary engine. Auxiliary engines are usually coupled to generators used to produce electrical power. On cargo vessels, most auxiliary engines are used to provide ship-board electricity for lighting, navigation equipment, refrigeration of cargo, and other equipment. Oil tankers typically use on-board boilers to pump product to shore.

Passenger cruise vessels use a different engine configuration that is referred to as “diesel-electric.” These vessels use large diesel generator sets to provide electrical power for both propulsion and ship-board electricity. For the purpose of the regulation, these large diesel generator sets are included in the definition of “auxiliary engines” because they are physically similar to auxiliary engines.

### Background/Regulatory History:

In December 2007, ARB approved the Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ACTM) for Auxiliary Diesel Engines Operated on Ocean-Going Vessels At-Berth in a California Port Regulation (At-Berth Regulation). ARB has broad authority to regulate ocean-going vessel emissions, including the emissions from diesel auxiliary engines on ocean-going vessels docked at California ports.

The At-Berth Regulation was designed to reduce emissions from diesel auxiliary engines on container ships, passenger ships, and refrigerated cargo ships while at berth at California’s major seaports, and is limited to fleets of 25 or more vessels (five or more for passenger ships). The At-Berth regulation also requires that vessels turn off their auxiliary diesel engines and plug in to shore-based grid electrical power, or utilize alternative technologies to achieve comparable emission reductions.

ARB staff has begun developing proposed amendments to the Regulation. These amendments will be designed to both address current implementation issues while preserving the intended air quality benefits, and to expand the scope of the Regulation to achieve additional emission reductions.

**Proposed Actions:**

ARB would evaluate how the Regulation can be amended to achieve further emission reductions by including smaller fleets and/or additional vessel types (including roll-on/roll-off vehicle carriers, bulk cargo carriers, and tankers). In addition, there are two companies with portable emissions capture and control systems that have successfully demonstrated performance and may now be used for compliance with the Regulation on certain container vessels. If one or both systems prove to be feasible and cost-effective on additional vessel types, the technology could help support an ARB staff proposal to expand the scope of the Regulation to include additional vessel types and/or smaller fleets.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB’s Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. The amendments would require additional vessels to reduce emissions at berth, with the primary compliance options likely to be the use of shore power or the emissions capture and control systems. For this calculation, staff assumed that additional vessels (i.e., auto carriers, bulk cargo, general cargo, roll-on roll-off carriers, and tankers) would connect to shore power rather than run auxiliary engines. For modeling purposes, the amendments were limited to the ports that are currently offering shore power and implementation was assumed to start in 2022 at 10 percent fleet compliance and to increase to 50 percent fleet compliance by 2032. This compliance rate was converted into the number of ships impacted, and then multiplied by the average time spent at berth. As the current regulation allows between 3 to 5 hours of auxiliary engine operation for each affected visit, four hours was used as the average time spent at berth using auxiliary engines. The results from above were then combined to find the total hours of auxiliary engine use at berth that would be reduced by the amendments.

**Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2017 - 2018 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2022 - 2032 |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2017. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2023 and 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## **Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies: Off-Road Federal and International Sources**

### **Overview:**

The goals of this proposed measure are to increase the penetration of cleaner ocean-going vessel, locomotive, and aircraft technologies, and to promote efficiency improvements at the equipment, sector, and systems levels. This measure is specifically for the South Coast.

### **Background/Regulatory History:**

This category includes a variety of sources that travel both nationally and internationally, including ocean going vessels, locomotives, and aircraft. Under current requirements, new locomotive engines must meet a Tier 4 engine emission standard. Beginning in 2016, new ocean going vessels must meet a Tier 3 standard if the vessel will be calling at marine ports located in an Emissions Control Area such as the North American Emission Control Area. Finally, new certificated aircraft engines must meet Tier 8 (CAEP/8) standards.

### **Proposed Actions:**

This proposed measure is designed to achieve further emission reductions for South Coast attainment in 2023 and 2031 through a suite of additional actions, including early penetration of cleaner technologies and emission benefits associated with increased efficiencies.

While more stringent engine standards have been established for new equipment, existing equipment tends to remain in operation for a long period of time. In addition, these sources are primarily regulated by the federal government and international organizations. As a result, emissions from these categories have not decreased at the same pace as those for other mobile sources. By 2023, ocean going vessel NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the South Coast are projected to grow to 23 tons per day. Locomotive emissions will also grow to 23 tons per day, and aircraft emissions will grow to almost 17 tpd. Achieving the magnitude of emission reductions necessary from this category is therefore more difficult, and will require strong action at the federal and international level, coupled with State and local advocacy and action to facilitate these efforts.

ARB and South Coast staff have estimated a scope of technology development and penetration as one example pathway of what would be necessary by 2023 and 2031 to achieve equal share reductions from this sector. Achieving equal share reductions would represent a significant expansion of cleaner technology deployment. The time frame to accomplish this is short, the development of cleaner technologies lags behind those for other sectors, and the scope of State and local authority is limited. These issues will need to be considered as the proposed measures are further developed for this SIP. For 2023, this would require: 1) all locomotives operating in the South Coast meeting the Tier 4 standard, 2) all aircraft meeting today's Tier 8 emission levels, and 3) ocean going vessels achieving emission levels significantly cleaner than

today's requirements. An equal share pathway for this sector post-2023 would require deployment of locomotives meeting a more stringent Tier 5 standard. More stringent Tier 4 ocean going vessel standards would also be necessary. Finally, operational efficiency strategies would be needed to provide an additional mechanism for further reductions as a complement to deployment of cleaner technologies.

A series of actions that would be taken at the State and local level to achieve further reductions are outlined below:

- Expand and enhance existing incentive and innovative funding programs to increase the emphasis on and support for deployment of cleaner technologies in these sectors. Air quality incentives and transit funding programs, for example, will be effective in transforming the passenger rail system in the South Coast, with nearly all Metrolink trains expected to reach a Tier 4 level by 2023.

The incentive funding required will go well beyond the amount currently authorized under existing programs through 2023. Funding needs and mechanisms will be identified working in collaboration with the District over the next several months.

- Partner with airports to incentivize cleaner aircraft to come to California airports, along with partnerships with international engine manufacturers to encourage production of cleaner, more efficient engines.
- Seek continued funding for and partnerships with federal agencies such as the U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. EPA, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), U.S. Maritime Administration, and Federal Railroad Administration for new technology and fuel demonstration projects. This would include efforts on development of hybrid, battery and fuel cell technologies for locomotives, the FAA's CLEEN program, and retrofit technologies for in-use vessels and boilers.
- Encourage efficiency improvements, including industry based initiatives (like the San Pedro Bay Ports' Supply Chain Optimization effort to increase port competitiveness), as well as concepts being developed as part of the California Sustainable Freight Action Plan. These improvements may include approaches such as reducing unproductive moves, use of marine vessel sharing agreements that result in maximum use of cargo space, and increased reliance on logistics planning and operations software.

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Federal and International Sources

### Timing:

Proposed implementation schedule: 2016 – 2031

| Implementation Milestones and Schedule   |  |                     |
|--|--|---------------------|
| Proposed Strategy  | Implementation Steps   | Date                |
| Identify and secure incentives, including funding, for accelerated deployment of cleaner ocean going vessels, locomotives, and aircraft in California service  | <i>Phase 1:</i> Identify incentives, including funding needs and potential sources   | } 2016 + (annually) |
|  | <i>Phase 2:</i> Pursue actions to secure funding   |                     |
|  | <i>Phase 3:</i> Implement funding/incentive programs   |                     |
| Work with federal and international agencies to advocate for increased stringency of emission standards and efficiency requirements, demonstration of new technologies, and incentives to attract the cleanest technologies to California          | <i>Ongoing</i>   | 2016 - 2031         |
| Evaluate, quantify and encourage efficiency improvements with the potential to result in lower emissions per unit of cargo transported, including changes in cargo and equipment activity that are typically reflected in SIP emission inventories | <i>Phase 1:</i> Retrospective and prospective evaluation of approaches with potential for lower systemwide emissions per unit of cargo transported | 2016 – 2023         |
|  | <i>Phase 2:</i> Demonstration of system efficiency improvements and support for expanded private and public efforts                                | 2018 – 2027         |
|  | <i>Phase 3:</i> Ongoing quantification of the effect of efficiency improvements on freight activity and emissions for incorporation into SIP       | 2020 – 2031         |

### Proposed SIP Commitment:

ARB commits to bring to the Board programs and policies to implement this measure to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast in 2023 and 2031. Further development measures for each source category may provide more or less than the amount shown.



## Proposed Measures: Off-Road Equipment

### Description of Source Category:

The Off-Road Equipment category includes lawn and garden equipment, transport refrigeration units, vehicles and equipment used in construction and mining, forklifts, cargo handling equipment, commercial harbor craft, and other industrial equipment. Given the diversity of equipment and duty cycles that comprises this category, each measure includes a more detailed description of the specific source.

## Zero-Emission Off-Road Forklift Regulation Phase 1

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to accelerate the deployment of zero-emission technologies in off-road equipment types that are already primed for the technologies that exist today and facilitate further technology development and infrastructure expansion by demonstrating its viability. ARB would develop a regulation that focuses on forklifts with lift capacities equal to or less than 8,000 pounds.

### Description of Source Category:

Forklifts operate in many different industry sectors but are most prevalent in manufacturing and at locations such as warehouses, distribution centers, and ports. There are approximately 100,000 forklifts operating in California, most of which are battery-electric, propane, diesel, or gasoline-fueled. Although battery-electric forklifts offer reduced maintenance requirements, lifetime cost savings, and cleaner tailpipe emissions, electric forklift usage has not changed significantly relative to internal combustion forklift usage over the past 20 years. While the equipment population of this source category has seen limited growth, without ARB actions, the transition to zero-emission may remain very gradual.

### Background/Regulatory History:

Manufacturers of forklift engines are subject to new engine standards for both diesel and Large Spark Ignition (LSI) engines. Off-road diesel engines were first subject to engine standards and durability requirements in 1996 while the most recent Tier 4 Final emission standards were phased in starting in 2013. Tier 4 emission standards are based on the use of advanced after-treatment technologies such as diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction. LSI engines have been subject to new engine standards that include both criteria pollutant and durability requirements since 2001 with the cleanest requirements phased-in starting in 2010.

Forklift fleets can be subject to either the LSI fleet regulation, if fueled by gasoline or propane, or the off-road diesel fleet regulation. Both regulations require fleets to retire, repower, or replace higher-emitting equipment in order to maintain fleet average standards. The off-road diesel regulation was adopted by the Board in 2007 with implementation beginning in 2010. It is applicable to all diesel-fueled, self-propelled off-road equipment with at least 25 HP. Forklifts are included in the fleet average along with other equipment. The LSI fleet regulation was originally adopted in 2007 with requirements beginning in 2009. While the LSI fleet regulation applies to forklifts, tow tractors, sweeper/scrubbers, and airport ground support equipment, it maintains a separate fleet average requirement specifically for forklifts. The LSI fleet regulation requires fleets with four or more LSI forklifts to meet fleet average emission standards.

The Clean Air Act preempts states, including California, from adopting requirements for new off-road engines less than 175 HP used in farm or construction equipment. California may

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

adopt emission standards for in-use off-road engines pursuant to Section 209(e)(2), but must receive authorization from U.S. EPA before it may enforce the adopted standards.

### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB staff would develop and propose a regulation to increase penetration of ZEVs in off-road applications, with specific focus on forklifts with lift capacities equal to or less than 8,000 pounds for which zero-emission technologies have already gained appreciable customer acceptance and market penetration. This regulation would send a market signal to technology manufacturers and investors that zero-emission technologies will be strongly supported moving forward. This proposed measure would advance ZEV commercialization by increasing the penetration of zero-emission technologies. Experience gained from demonstrating the viability of advanced technologies in heavier-duty applications will spur market development and enable the technologies to be transferred to larger, higher power-demand off-road equipment types, such as high lift-capacity forklifts and other equipment types in the construction, industrial, and mining sectors. The regulation could also include requirements that result in the deployment of zero-emission technologies in heavier equipment fleets that remain at a particular location for extended periods of time or other similar provisions that would spur further technology innovation.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. This measure requires electrification of diesel and LSI forklifts with horsepower ratings less than 65 HP in the industrial and airport ground support sectors. Electrification would be accomplished through incentives as well as natural and accelerated turnover. To model the emission reductions, ARB staff reviewed the reporting data and found that approximately 73 percent of forklifts in California were in medium or large fleets and would be subject to the regulation. Additionally, it was assumed that 90 percent of qualifying forklifts (overall 65.7 percent of the total) could reasonably be targeted for electrification by 2035 with a proposed starting year of 2028. A linear penetration of replaced equipment from 2028 to 2035 was applied to the emissions data from the official in-use off-road model.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2020        |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2023 - 2035 |

### **Staff Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board by 2020. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Zero-Emission Off-Road Emission Reduction Assessment

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to expand the use of zero-emission technology in non-freight, off-road applications. This further-study proposed measure would be a follow-up to off-road measures implemented in the 2023+ timeframe, such as the Zero-Emission Off-Road Forklift Regulation Phase 1, and through it ARB would identify opportunities to further expand the use of zero and near-zero emission technologies in off-road applications.

### Description of Source Category:

Equipment in these sectors is typically high power and utilizes diesel powertrains. While it is expected that these sectors will be heavily reliant on diesel for the foreseeable future, diesel-electric and hybrid powertrains significantly reduce fuel consumption and are in the early stages of commercialization. As battery technology develops, it may open up opportunities to apply these advanced technologies to more applications as well as develop all-electric versions of equipment. While new engine and fleet standards continue to reduce emissions from heavy-duty off-road equipment, it is important that ARB continue to look for ways to continue to apply advanced technology to further increase the sustainability of the off-road sector.

### Background/Regulatory History:

Fleets with diesel equipment are subject to the off-road diesel fleet regulation. This regulation requires fleets to retire, repower, or replace higher-emitting equipment in order to maintain fleet average standards. The off-road diesel regulation was adopted by the Board in 2007 with implementation beginning in 2010. It is applicable to all diesel-fueled, self-propelled off-road equipment with at least 25 HP. Manufacturers of diesel engines are subject to new engine standards. Off-road diesel engines were first subject to engine standards and durability requirements in 1996 while the most recent Tier 4 Final emission standards were phased in starting in 2013. Tier 4 emission standards are based on the use of advanced after-treatment technologies such as diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction.

### Proposed Actions:

ARB staff would conduct an assessment and provide the Board with an informational update regarding the status of ZEVs in off-road applications, once the Phase I Forklift Regulation is in place. The update would be the result of a technology assessment, and would identify opportunities to further expand their use. The focus of this proposed measure is on transferring zero and near-zero emission technologies to heavier pieces of off-road equipment, such as high lift-capacity forklifts or other equipment in the construction, industrial, and mining sectors, with the intent of expanding their application as technology matures and infrastructure grows. This evaluation would focus primarily on the scalability and transferability of zero-emission technologies from smaller applications to larger, higher power-demand equipment types and would be used to inform the development of the Phase 2 regulation. The

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

information obtained from this technology review would be used to inform the development of Phase 2 of the Zero-Emission Off-Road Regulation. The Zero-Emission Off-Road Phase 2 Regulation would build upon the Phase 1 regulation and focus primarily on larger, higher power-demand equipment types, such as large forklifts, construction equipment, etc. The scope and timeframe of the Phase 2 regulation would depend upon advancements in technology and information obtained through the Zero-Emission Off-Road Emission Reduction Assessment.

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

As this proposed measure is a study to further evaluate the status of ZEVs in off-road applications and to identify opportunities to further expand their use, anticipated emission reductions are not identified at this time. This measure may provide emission reduction; should the evaluation identify necessary program improvements, the emission reduction potential and cost effectiveness of such enhancements will be identified at that time.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2025+ |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | --    |

### **Staff Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this technology review and assessment to the Board by 2025, in order to identify opportunities to further expand the use of zero and near-zero emission technologies in off-road applications. The intent of this evaluation is to expand the application of zero and near-zero emission technologies as technology matures and infrastructure grows, with a primary focus on the scalability and transferability of zero-emission technologies from smaller applications to larger, higher power-demand equipment types.

## Zero-Emission Off-Road Worksite Emission Reduction Assessment

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to advance ZEV commercialization by increasing the penetration of zero-emission technologies. Through this emission reduction assessment and technology review, ARB would analyze developing worksite integration and efficiency technologies, such as connected vehicle, automation, and fleet management technologies in off-road sectors. ARB would also encourage deployment via incentives or by providing credit in the off-road rule.

### Description of Source Category:

This assessment will focus on technologies and strategies that increase worksite efficiency, such as automation, connected vehicles, and fleet management. These technologies are already being applied to the construction industry in a variety of equipment types, including graders, excavators, and tractors. Examples include grading assisted technologies that can use on-board sensors and GPS to accurately grade to a desired depth and slope thus reducing the number of passes needed. Fleet management technologies allow a fleet manager to monitor parameters such as fuel usage and productivity to optimize equipment utilization on the job site. Technologies such as these have the potential to achieve significant fuel-savings if applied across the industry and could yield emission reductions beyond what is achieved through engine and fleet regulations.

### Background/Regulatory History:

Currently, there is no regulatory program that considers worksite efficiency technologies. One of the goals of the assessment will be to consider potential metrics in order to compare fuel efficiency, work productivity, and emission reductions and develop ways to award either regulatory credits or credits or incentives for usage of these technologies.

Fleets with diesel equipment are subject to the off-road diesel fleet regulation. This regulation requires fleets to retire, repower, or replace higher-emitting equipment in order to maintain fleet average standards. The off-road diesel regulation was adopted by the Board in 2007 with implementation beginning in 2010. It is applicable to all diesel-fueled, self-propelled off-road equipment with at least 25 HP. Manufacturers of diesel engines are subject to new engine standards. Off-road diesel engines were first subject to engine standards and durability requirements in 1996 while the most recent Tier 4 Final emission standards were phased in starting in 2013. Tier 4 emission standards are based on the use of advanced after-treatment technologies such as diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction.

### Proposed Actions:

Staff would conduct an assessment and provide the Board regarding the technologies and/or strategies that increase worksite efficiency, such as connected vehicles, automation, and fleet

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

management technologies. While there is not yet a consensus on how to quantify the benefits of such technologies, advanced machine control and worksite integration technologies that are commercially available today reportedly hold the potential for fuel savings of up to 30 percent or more, depending on worksite conditions. Some of these products are available today from new equipment manufacturers, as well as aftermarket suppliers, and can be adapted or retrofitted to much of the existing legacy fleet. The scalability of these systems is wide ranging and such systems can be applied to a single piece of off-road equipment on a small project or on many vehicles at the largest, most complex worksites. While there is significant promise in these types of technologies, more work has to be done to ensure the development of a robust worksite efficiency program that is cost-effective and achieves emission reductions that are real and quantifiable. This proposed measure would evaluate business return on investment, sustainability of the system, and ancillary benefits such as improved safety and work consistency. There would also be potential testing comparing fuel efficiency, work productivity, and emission reductions via portable emission measurement system (PEMS).

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

As this proposed measure is an assessment of the technologies and/or strategies to increase worksite efficiency, anticipated emission reductions are not identified at this time. This measure may provide emission reduction; should the evaluation identify necessary program improvements, the emission reduction potential and cost effectiveness of such enhancements will be identified at that time.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |     |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | tbd |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | --  |

### **Staff Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this technology review and assessment to the Board, with a focus on the technologies and/or strategies that increase worksite efficiency in off-road sectors, such as connected vehicles, automation, and fleet management technologies. This assessment would analyze developing worksite integration and efficiency technologies for their potential to yield further emission reductions.

## Zero-Emission Airport Ground Support Equipment

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to increase the penetration of the first wave of zero-emission heavy-duty technology in applications that are well suited to its use, and to facilitate further technology development and infrastructure expansion. ARB staff would develop a regulation to accelerate the transition of diesel and LSI Airport Ground Support Equipment (GSE) to zero-emission technology.

### Description of Source Category:

Airport GSE are used in airport operations and perform a wide variety of functions including providing power to aircraft, transporting cargo, baggage, and passengers to and from aircraft, and providing aircraft maintenance and fueling. The most common equipment types include belt loaders, baggage tugs, cargo tractors, cargo loaders, forklifts, and aircraft tugs. GSE are fueled by gasoline, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), compressed natural gas (CNG) and diesel fuel. Battery-electric GSE are the most common zero-emission alternative technology equipment commercially available today. The current California population estimate of internal combustion powered GSE is greater than 10,000. This includes approximately 4,000 compression ignition engine powered equipment and approximately 6,000 large spark-ignited engine powered equipment. Aircraft activity is expected to increase significantly by 2050. This increase will likely necessitate an increase in GSE population as well.

### Background/Regulatory History:

California has adopted regulations limiting emissions from new engines used in GSE as well as emissions from existing GSE in-use.

Engines used in newly manufactured GSE operating on gasoline, LPG, and CNG are required to meet California's new engine emission standards for LSI. The LSI engine standard for engines greater than 1.0 liter (typical for GSE) is 0.6 g/bhp-hr of hydrocarbons (HC) and NO<sub>x</sub>. Engines meeting this standard are 70 percent cleaner than LSI engines produced as recent as 2009. Additionally, fleets operating LSI GSE must meet the in-use LSI engine fleet requirements. Adopted in 2006, the LSI fleet rule requires GSE fleets to maintain an average emission level of no more than 2.5 g/bhp-hr HC+NO<sub>x</sub>, starting January 1, 2013.

Diesel engines in newly manufactured GSE must meet the Tier 4 emission standards applicable to off-road compression-ignition engines. These standards vary by horsepower and are more than 90 percent cleaner than the emissions levels of engines produced twenty years ago. Additionally, in 2007, California adopted the In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets regulation which requires fleets operating in-use diesel equipment to meet an annual fleet average emissions target that decreases over time. For example, for equipment over 175 and under 750 HP, the final 2023 NO<sub>x</sub> fleet average target is 1.5 g/bhp-hr, which is equivalent to the interim Tier 4 NO<sub>x</sub> standard for newly produced engines. Fleets that do not meet the required

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

annual fleet average must meet the best available control technology (BACT) requirements that require turnover, repower or retrofit of a specific percent of a fleet's total HP. These requirements are currently being phased-in.

Lastly, non-mobile GSE such as portable air-start units, ground power units and air conditioners may be subject to the Portable Diesel-Engines Air Toxic Control Measure (ATCM). The ATCM reduces PM emissions by requiring engine replacement in a schedule based on a fleet's weighted PM emission average.

### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB would develop and propose a regulation to transition diesel and LSI GSE to zero-emission technology. The current commercial availability of several GSE equipment types indicates the feasibility of this transition. Battery-electric GSE are the most common type of zero-emission GSE, and are available for several high-population equipment types. Many large air carriers which operate diesel GSE have already begun moving towards electric equipment. The added introduction of zero-emission GSE will act as a catalyst to further zero-emission equipment penetration in the off-road equipment sector and other heavier duty-cycle and longer range applications.

A conservative strategy would rely on incentives and natural turnover, along with current in-use requirements, to replace equipment in which electric replacements are readily available, such as belt loaders, baggage tractors and cargo tractors. A more aggressive turnover and implementation strategy could utilize a memorandum of understanding, regulation, or a combination thereof, along with incentives for demonstration, to ensure 60 percent of existing diesel equipment in these categories would be replaced with zero-emission equipment by 2032, along with 50 percent of narrow body aircraft tugs and 30 percent existing wide-body aircraft tugs. Incentive funds would be targeted to demonstrating the feasibility of zero-emission technologies in the high-power equipment applications (e.g., wide-body aircraft tugs).

### **Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff used ARB's Vision 2.1 model to estimate the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure. This proposed measure requires electrification of certain diesel airport ground support equipment (belt loaders, baggage tugs, and cargo tractors) through incentives and natural turnover. To model emission reductions, ARB staff used the turnover inherent in the official in-use off-road model, and assumed all new vehicles of the applicable types would be electric starting in 2023. For modeling purposes, new electric GSE vehicles would replace older vehicles using the natural turnover rate for this sector, which is the historical rate that equipment has been replaced, with no acceleration of purchasing habits.

### **Timing:**

|                                   |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2018 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2023 |

**Staff Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2018. Emission reductions for the measure will be identified as part of the rule development process. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

### Small Off-Road Engines

#### **Overview:**

The goal of this proposed measure is to reduce emissions from Small Off-Road Engines (SORE) and to increase the penetration of zero-emission technology. Small off-road engines that are subject to ARB regulations are used in residential and commercial lawn and garden equipment, and other utility applications. ARB staff will propose tighter exhaust and evaporative emission standards, encourage increased use of zero-emission equipment, and enhance enforcement of current emission standards for SORE.

#### **Description of Source Category:**

SORE are spark-ignited engines rated at or below 19 kilowatts. They are used in applications such as lawn and garden, industrial, construction and mining, logging, airport ground support, commercial utility, and farm equipment, golf carts, and specialty vehicles. It is estimated that there are approximately 16 million pieces of SORE equipment in California in 2015. In the absence of tighter emission standards for SORE, emissions of the ozone precursors ROG and NOx are expected to increase beginning in the late-2020s.

#### **Background/Regulatory History:**

The Board first approved regulations to control exhaust emissions from SORE in December 1990. ARB adopted amendments to the 1990 regulations to further control exhaust emissions in 1998 and 2003. These regulations were implemented through three tiers of progressively more stringent exhaust emission standards that were phased in between 1995 and 2008. Evaporative emissions from SORE were uncontrolled prior to the adoption of standards by the Board in 2003, which were implemented from 2006-2013. As a result of these regulations, the sum of exhaust and evaporative ROG emissions from SORE in the South Coast have been reduced by 60 percent in 2015, compared to 1990 levels, and NOx emissions from SORE in the South Coast have been reduced by 2 percent in 2015, compared to 1990 levels.

#### **Proposed Actions:**

ARB will develop and propose a regulation to tighten exhaust and evaporative emission standards for small off-road engines, including incentives for manufacturers to produce zero-emission equipment. High failure rates have been observed in evaporative emissions testing of SORE, preventing previously-claimed emission reductions from being realized. Exhaust and evaporative emissions from SORE would be reduced through enhanced enforcement of the current emission standards, adoption of tighter exhaust and evaporative emission standards, and increased use of zero-emission equipment. Strategies will be developed for transitioning to zero-emission technologies from SORE, including phased emission standards and incentives for zero-emission equipment. A conservative strategy would use incentives and natural turnover to replace existing spark-ignited small off-road engines and equipment representing 25 percent of statewide ROG and NOx emissions with zero-emission equipment by 2030, while

other spark-ignited equipment would meet exhaust and evaporative emission standards that are approximately 90 percent tighter than today's by 2030. The greatest emission reductions from incentives would be realized by the early turnover of commercial lawn and garden equipment to zero-emission equipment, which accounts for a disproportionate amount of SORE emissions.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

ARB staff estimated the emission reductions associated with this proposed measure by applying a NOx and hydrocarbon emission factor reduction by model year, beginning in 2022 with a reduction of 50 to 75 percent, and increasing in stringency to 2031 with reductions of 80 to 90 percent. The reductions apply to running and evaporative emissions (though each has its own reduction factor), and manufacturers are assumed to meet the required reductions with engine controls and by increasing sales of battery or electric powered equipment to replace traditional small gasoline engines.

**Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| ARB enhanced enforcement:         | 2016        |
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2018        |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2022 – 2030 |

**Staff Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to begin enhanced enforcement in 2016. Staff proposes bringing a regulation to the Board by 2018 that achieves the emission reductions described in this measure. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2023 and 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

### Transport Refrigeration Units Used for Cold Storage

#### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to advance zero and near-zero emission technology commercialization by increasing the early penetration of hybrid electric and electric standby-equipped Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) used for cold storage, and supporting the needed infrastructure developments. ARB would develop a regulation to reduce NO<sub>x</sub>, PM, and GHG emissions by reducing the amount of time that TRUs operate using internal combustion engine while refrigerated trucks, trailers, and shipping containers are parked (stationary) at certain California facilities and other locations.

#### Description of Source Category:

TRUs are refrigeration systems powered by an internal combustion engine (inside the unit housing), designed to control the environment of temperature-sensitive products that are transported in refrigerated trucks, trailers, railcars, and shipping containers. Examples of the products hauled are food, beverages, pharmaceuticals, flowers, medical products, industrial chemicals, and explosives. TRUs may be capable of both cooling and heating. TRUs operate in large numbers at distribution centers, food manufacturing facilities, packing houses, truck stops, and intermodal facilities. They deliver perishable goods to retail outlets, such as grocery stores, restaurants, cafeterias, convenience stores, etc. The growth rate of TRUs is tied to population, since food is the main product type that is hauled.

#### Background/Regulatory History:

The Board identified diesel particulate matter (PM) as a TAC and in October 2000, ARB published a "*Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-fueled Engines and Vehicles.*" In the Diesel Risk Reduction Plan, ARB identified TRU PM emissions associated with refrigerated warehouse distribution centers as creating potential cancer risks and included TRU engines in the plan to reduce diesel PM emissions 85 percent by 2020.

ARB adopted its ATCM for In-Use Diesel-Fueled TRUs and TRU Generator Sets in 2004. This regulation requires TRU diesel engines to meet in-use diesel PM emission standards by the end of the seventh year after manufacture. Implementation of the TRU ATCM began in 2009. The TRU ATCM was amended in 2010 and 2011.

#### Proposed Actions:

The initial concepts of the proposed regulation would limit the amount of stationary operating time that a transport refrigeration system powered by an internal combustion engine can operate at certain facilities. The time limit would decrease on a phased compliance schedule. Compliance options include the use of commercially available hybrid electric TRUs, TRUs equipped with electric standby motors, and cryogenic transport refrigeration systems. Hybrid

electric and electric standby-equipped TRUs would plug into electric power plugs while stationary and use diesel engine power while on the road. Cryogenic transport refrigerators use liquid nitrogen and liquid carbon dioxide to provide cooling. Development and use of zero-emission technologies, such as all-electric plug-in / advanced battery transport refrigeration systems would be encouraged, as well as adequately-sized cold storage facilities, and more efficient inbound delivery appointment and outbound dispatch scheduling.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

While emission reductions have not been identified at this time, ARB will quantify any emission reductions from this measure during the SIP measure development process.

**Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2017 - 2018 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2020+       |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board in 2018. Emission reductions for the measure will be identified as part of the rule development process. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less reductions than as proposed by staff.

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

### Low-Emission Diesel Requirement

#### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to reduce emissions from the portion of the heavy-duty fleet that will continue to operate on internal combustion engines in order to reduce emissions as quickly as possible. This proposed measure would put into place standards for Low-Emission Diesel (LED), and would require that diesel fuel providers sell steadily increasing volumes of low-emission diesel until it comprises 50 percent of total diesel sales by 2031.

#### Description of Source Category:

The total diesel sales in California in 2012 were about 3.3 billion gallons.<sup>7</sup> Based on the California Energy Commission analysis, it is expected that the total diesel demand may remain more or less the same or slightly decline by 2030.<sup>8</sup> The use of low-emission diesel in on-road vehicles and off-road equipment will reduce NOx and PM emissions in addition to other criteria pollutants and life cycle GHG emissions. Studies carried out to date on low-emission diesel, particularly hydrotreated vegetable oil (HVO), have reported NOx emission reductions of 6 percent to 25 percent and PM emission reductions of 28 percent to 46 percent, depending on the types of fuels and diesel engines used. The absolute amounts of NOx and PM reductions will primarily be determined by the level of low-emission diesel penetration in the California diesel market.

ARB has a long history of achieving emission reductions via setting fuel standards. Cleaner fuel has an immediate impact in reducing emissions from the mobile source sector and has had a significant impact in reducing reactive organic compounds and sulfur oxide emissions. More recently, ARB developed the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuels, which will reduce our dependence on petroleum, and incentivizes increased production and use of renewable, low-carbon fuels.

California's stringent air quality programs treat motor vehicles and their fuels holistically (as a system, rather than as separate components). As a result, ARB's fuels programs achieve significant reductions in criteria and toxic air pollution from motor vehicles used in California. Relative to federal gasoline, ARB's reformulated gasoline program reduces smog forming emissions by 15 percent and toxic air contaminants by 50 percent. Similarly, ARB's ultralow sulfur diesel program reduces emissions significantly relative to federal diesel, about 7 percent reduction in NOx and 25 percent in diesel PM. Further, in combination with other state and

---

<sup>7</sup> California Energy Commission. Retrieved from [http://energyalmanac.ca.gov/gasoline/piira\\_retail\\_survey.html](http://energyalmanac.ca.gov/gasoline/piira_retail_survey.html)

<sup>8</sup> Gene Strecker, 2015. Overview of Preliminary Transportation Energy Demand Forecast. Retrieved from [http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/15-IEPR-10/TN205135\\_20150623T151914\\_Overview\\_of\\_Preliminary\\_Transportation\\_Energy\\_Demand\\_Forecast.ppt](http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/15-IEPR-10/TN205135_20150623T151914_Overview_of_Preliminary_Transportation_Energy_Demand_Forecast.ppt).

federal GHG-reduction programs (the state Advanced Clean Cars and Pavley Vehicle Standards programs; the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Renewable Fuel Standard 2 and Corporate Average Fuel Economy programs), implementation of the recently re-adopted LCFS and adopted new Alternative Diesel Fuel (ADF) regulations is anticipated to result in environmental benefits that include an estimated reduction in GHG emissions of more than 60 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMT<sub>CO2e</sub>) from transportation fuels used in California from 2016 through 2020. On its own, the LCFS is estimated to reduce transportation-related GHG emissions by 35 MMT during those years.

In 2015, Governor Brown set a goal to reduce petroleum use by up to 50 percent by 2030. One of the opportunities to help meet this goal is for fuel providers to sell diesel with incrementally higher blends of advanced renewable fuels, which will support the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and ensure sufficient volumes of advanced renewable fuels are available. As the mobile sector will continue operating on internal combustion engines for some time, it is critical that the fuels consumed in these vehicles contribute to the emission reductions needed to meet our 2031 air quality and 2030 climate and petroleum reduction goals. The Vision scenarios illustrate that, since diesel vehicles will comprise a large portion of the fleet still operating with combustion engines, a low-emission diesel standard would reduce NO<sub>x</sub>, diesel PM, and GHG emissions. Furthermore, a low-emission diesel fuel standard would provide the flexibility to target the most cost-effective emission reductions, for example by requiring higher blend levels in South Coast than in the rest of the State.

**Proposed Actions:**

ARB would bring to the Board a proposed measure that would require low-emission diesel comprise a steadily increasing percent of the ARB diesel pool. Due to the magnitude of needed NO<sub>x</sub> reductions in the South Coast and the large volumes of low-emission diesel needed for full statewide implementation, the proposed measure would be phased-in with a gradual implementation strategy that starts in the South Coast, and subsequently expands statewide.

This standard is flexible and enables multiple fuel types to meet this standard. The specifications of low-emission diesel would require less than one percent aromatics, virtually no sulfur, and a blendstock carbon intensity maximum of 30-60 gCO<sub>2e</sub>/MJ. This standard is anticipated to increase consumption of low-emission diesel fuels, including: renewable diesel from biomass, NO<sub>x</sub>-mitigated biodiesel, renewable natural gas from biomethane, gas to liquid diesel from biomethane, renewable hydrocarbon diesel, and/or co-processed renewable hydrocarbon diesel. This proposed measure would provide NO<sub>x</sub> benefits predominately from legacy (pre-2010) on-road heavy-duty vehicles, off-road engines, stationary engines, portable engines, marine vessels and locomotives, as well as NO<sub>x</sub> and Diesel PM benefits in potentially all model year off-road engines, stationary engines, portable engines, marine vessels and locomotives. Interstate vehicles, even those registered out-of-state but operating on ARB

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

diesel blended with low-emission diesel, are also anticipated to provide emission reduction benefits.

This standard would complement existing ARB programs that incentivize increased use of renewable fuels as substitutes for conventional gasoline and diesel fuels, and will focus on more completely transitioning the fuel mix away from petroleum based diesel to a cleaner, renewable mix of diesel substitute fuels. Potential diesel substitutes that may be considered include renewable diesel from biomass, NOx mitigated biodiesel, renewable natural gas from biomethane, gas to liquid diesel from biomethane, renewable hydrocarbon diesel, and/or co-processed renewable hydrocarbon diesel. The proposed measure is anticipated to diversify the fuel pool, as it will incentivize increased production of low-emission diesel fuels. This proposed measure would require incremental progress toward a goal of low-emission diesel comprising 50 percent of the on- and off-road diesel sold in State by 2031.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

To calculate the emission reductions for this requirement, ARB staff used ARB’s official emissions inventory coupled with the reductions associated with the aforementioned measures. Under this requirement, emissions for NOx and PM2.5 would be reduced by 9 percent to 18 percent and 24 percent to 34 percent, respectively. Furthermore, the requirement would only affect non-SCR engines, 50 percent of the diesel pool, and it would assume that 50 percent of the fuel for locomotives and OGV is from in-state. For modeling purposes, the total emissions associated with the locomotive and OGV were reduced by 50 percent (in-state fuel), while the rest of the off-road inventory emissions were reduced to include only those emissions associated with non-SCR engines. The total emissions were then calculated (locomotive, OGV, and the rest of the off-road inventory) and this number was reduced by the sum of the measure reductions, multiplied by 50 percent (diesel pool), and multiplied by 13 percent or 29 percent (average NOx or PM2.5 reductions) to determine the total reductions in NOx and PM2.5 for this requirement.

**Timing:**

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | by 2020   |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2023-2031 |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board by 2020. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Further Deployment of Cleaner Technologies: Off-Road Equipment

### Overview:

The goals of this proposed measure are to accelerate the penetration of zero and near-zero equipment and to promote in-use efficiency gains through use of connected and autonomous vehicles, and worksite efficiencies. This measure is specifically for the South Coast.

### Background/Regulatory History:

#### Incentive Funding

Several State and local incentive funding pools have been used historically – and remain available – to fund the accelerated turnover of off-road heavy-duty vehicles in the South Coast. Since 1998 the Carl Moyer Program has provided funding for replacement, new purchase, repower and retrofit of engines in the South Coast. However, to achieve additional reductions in this category, new sources of funding will be pursued.

#### Low Emission Technologies and Efficiency Improvements

In addition to developing new funding sources, alternative strategies may exist to replace or supplement additional funding pools. While new engine and fleet standards continue to reduce emissions from heavy-duty off-road equipment, it is important that ARB continue to look for ways to apply advanced technology to further increase the sustainability of the off-road sector such as automation, connected vehicles, and fleet management. These technologies are already being applied to the construction industry in a variety of equipment types, including graders, excavators, and tractors. Examples include grading assisted technologies that can use on-board sensors and GPS to accurately grade to a desired depth and slope thus reducing the number of passes needed. Fleet management technologies allow a fleet manager to monitor parameters such as fuel usage and productivity to optimize equipment utilization on the job site. Technologies such as these have the potential to achieve significant fuel-savings if applied across the industry and will yield emission reductions beyond what is achieved through engine and fleet regulations.

### Proposed Actions:

This proposed measure is designed to achieve further emission reductions through a suite of additional actions, including early penetration of zero and near-zero technologies, and emission benefits associated with the potential for worksite integration and efficiency, as well as connected and autonomous vehicle technologies. These emission reductions will be achieved through a combination of actions to be undertaken by both ARB and the District.

#### Scope of Technology Penetration and Mechanisms to Achieve Reductions:

Under current requirements, most new equipment is required to meet Tier 4 emission standards, and many smaller engines are converting to use of zero-emission technologies. To

achieve the further reductions associated with early penetration of the cleanest technologies across each sector, ARB and South Coast staff estimated the scope of penetration that would be required by 2023. This would include: 1) electrification of small engine forklifts less than 65 HP; 2) cleaner zero and near-zero technologies for TRUs; 3) electrification of ground support equipment such as baggage tugs, belt loaders, cargo tractors, and aircraft tugs; 4) electrification of certain types of lawn and garden equipment such as mowers, leaf blowers, and edgers; and 5) replacement of construction, mining, and industrial equipment with engines that are below Tier 4 with Tier 4 final equipment.

The following mechanisms provide a pathway for achieving this scale of technology deployment: Identify and develop mechanisms to incentivize deployment of construction and mining equipment meeting Tier 4 final standards such as the South Coast's SOON program for the clean-up of off-road diesel equipment. Such programs have allowed affected fleets to meet requirements through public funding assistance. This could achieve further reductions from the approximately 7,000 pieces of equipment that would still have engines that are Tier 2 and below in 2023. The South Coast will include local measures to address certain types of heavy-duty equipment in their AQMP.

- Develop requirements for cleaner zero and near-zero technologies for TRUs. Emission reductions associated with Transport Refrigeration Units Used for Cold Storage measure have not yet been quantified. This proposed measure reflects concepts to limit the amount of stationary operating time that a TRU powered by an internal combustion engine could operate at certain facilities. Development and use of zero-emission technologies would be encouraged. This proposed measure will need to motivate distribution and other facilities to install the infrastructure needed to support zero and near-zero emissions technologies, encourage the development and demonstration of zero and near-zero emission technologies, and cause refrigerated fleets to evaluate and invest in zero and near-zero technologies.
- Expand and enhance existing incentive and other innovative funding programs for off-road equipment to increase the emphasis on and support for zero-emission capable equipment. Assuming incentive funding is the primary mechanism to achieve early deployment of zero-emission capable technologies for forklifts, airport ground support equipment, and TRUs by 2023, funding would be required for at least 4,000 pieces of equipment per year over a seven year period. This early deployment through enhanced incentive funding would provide a down payment towards meeting requirements that would be established through the subsequent regulatory mechanisms identified for these categories. The population of lawn and garden equipment in the South Coast is very large; thus funding programs would target the types of lawn and garden equipment with the greatest emissions, such as mowers, leaf blowers, and edgers. Use of zero-emission technologies would also provide near-source risk reduction for operators of the equipment. The incentive funding required for these efforts would go beyond the amount currently authorized through 2023.

## Proposed Measure: Off-Road Equipment

Determination of the needed resources will be based on assessment of the incremental cost of technologies, cost effectiveness, and the type of financing mechanism employed. Funding needs and mechanisms will be identified working in collaboration with the District and other State agencies over the next several months.

Additional mechanisms reflect continued penetration of near-zero and zero-emission technologies, as well as reductions achieved through worksite efficiencies. Reductions from other equipment types within this category will also be considered, including motorcycles, watercraft, aftermarket parts, and additional enforcement initiatives. While these approaches have the greatest potential to provide further reductions post 2023, early advances in these areas could offset some of the reductions required through incentive funding. These additional pathway mechanisms include:

- Further advanced technology deployment. Based on on-going technology assessment, regulatory mechanisms to expand zero-emission technologies into heavier pieces of off-road equipment such as high lift-capacity forklifts and other equipment in the construction, mining, and industrial sectors may be feasible. The greatest opportunities exist for engines that have a duty cycle to accommodate battery electric or fuel cell electric technologies.
- Advances in the development of autonomous systems, particularly if based on zero-emission technologies, as well as greater worksite integration, efficiency and fleet management technologies. These changes in how the off-road equipment sector would operate offer the potential to achieve criteria, toxic, and GHG emission reductions as well as reduce petroleum usage. These concepts are based on emerging technologies, and will require exploration and demonstration prior to quantifying emission reductions.

### **Timing:**

Proposed implementation schedule: 2016 – 2031

| Implementation Milestones and Schedule:   |   |                     |
|---|---|---------------------|
| Proposed Strategy   | Implementation Steps  | Date                |
| Identify and secure funding for incentive based and other innovative funding programs for accelerated deployment of zero and near-zero off-road equipment                                   | <i>Phase 1:</i> Identify funding needs and potential sources                                    | } 2016 + (annually) |
|   | <i>Phase 2:</i> Pursue actions to secure funding  |                     |
|   | <i>Phase 3:</i> Implement funding/incentive programs  |                     |
| Develop regulatory strategies for deployment of zero-emission technologies in off-road equipment applications as appropriate  | <i>Phase 1:</i> Evaluation of technology and prototype demonstrations                           | 2016 – 2023         |
|   | <i>Phase 2:</i> Development of regulatory strategies  | 2022 – 2025         |
|   | <i>Phase 3:</i> Measure implementation  | 2027 – 2031         |
| Evaluate potential for emission benefits from operational efficiencies, and intelligent transportation systems and quantify and develop mechanisms to provide SIP reductions as appropriate | <i>Phase 1:</i> Evaluation of approaches and potential for emission reductions                  | 2016 – 2023         |
|   | <i>Phase 2:</i> Demonstration of systems  | 2020 – 2025         |
|   | <i>Phase 3:</i> Quantification of emission reductions and mechanisms for incorporating into SIP | 2025 – 2031         |

**Proposed SIP Commitment:**

ARB commits to bring to the Board programs and policies to implement this measure to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast in 2023 and 2031. Further development measures for each source category may provide more or less than the amount shown.

## Proposed Measures: Consumer Products

### Description of Source Category:

Chemically formulated consumer products such as personal care products, household care products, and automotive care products are a significant source of ROG emissions and have been regulated as a source of ROG in numerous rulemakings since 1989. Consumer products are the largest source of ROG emissions in the South Coast, and the fourth largest source statewide. The magnitude of emissions from this sector indicates that additional approaches to reduce emissions from this sector remain important, even though the average photochemical reactivity of ROG emissions from the consumer product sector has decreased.

## Consumer Products Program

### Overview:

The goal of this proposed measure is to further reduce emissions of ROG from consumer products. Staff would evaluate the 2013-2015 data reported to the Consumer Products Program to identify strategies to achieve emission reductions from consumer products. The proposed measure may involve establishing new ROG limits for categories currently unregulated and/or lowering ROG limits for categories already regulated. To identify categories of consumer products for rulemaking, staff may consider both mass and reactivity of category emissions.

### Background/Regulatory History:

Consumer products are a source of ROG emissions and have been regulated since 1989. These products are widely used by consumers throughout the State.

As part of the State's effort to reduce air pollutants, in 1988 the Legislature added section 41712 to the California Clean Air Act (Act) in the Health and Safety Code. Along with subsequent amendments, this section requires ARB to adopt regulations to achieve the maximum feasible reduction in ROG emissions from consumer products. Prior to adopting regulations, the Board must determine that adequate data exist to establish that the regulations are necessary to attain State and federal ambient air quality standards. Commercial and technological feasibility of the regulations must also be demonstrated. The Act further stipulates that regulations adopted must not eliminate any product form, and that recommendations from health professionals must be considered when developing ROG control measures for health benefit products.

For almost 30 years, the Board has taken actions pertaining to the regulation of consumer products. Three regulations have set ROG limits for 129 consumer product categories. The most recent amendments to these three regulations were approved for adoption on September 26, 2013. The regulations will cumulatively reduce ROG emissions by about 50 percent compared to 1990 levels. By 2020, limits on the use of ingredients with higher GWP values will provide reductions of approximately 0.23 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents per year.

Aerosol coating products are regulated under a reactivity-based regulation. This regulation limits the ozone formation potential of all aerosol coating product emissions. Tables of Maximum Incremental Reactivity have also been adopted to implement the Aerosol Coating Products Regulation.

Exposure to toxic air contaminants (TACs) has also been reduced by prohibiting use of certain chlorinated compounds in 83 categories of consumer products. Total emissions of TACs have been reduced by over 13 tons per day. Furthermore, when setting ROG limits, ARB has applied California Environmental Quality Act provisions requiring that environmental impacts of

proposed regulations be evaluated. Consequently, use of alkylphenol ethoxylate surfactants has also been prohibited in several categories of consumer products.

In addition, a voluntary program regulation, the Alternative Control Plan was adopted to provide compliance flexibility to companies.

Despite the progress with emission reductions, population growth in the years ahead is expected to increase emissions from consumer products after 2023 even as new and revised limits become effective in 2017.

In order to ensure the ROG emission reductions are based on the state-of-science, ARB staff periodically conducts mandatory Consumer and Commercial Surveys (Survey) to assess the volume of sales of consumer products sold in California and the ingredients within those products. Over the past 25 years ARB has conducted at least seven of these data collection efforts. ARB staff is currently conducting a Survey on consumer products sold into California during the years 2013 to 2015. ARB staff expects to use this data to assess future regulatory directions for the Consumer Products Program. Staff will conduct a Survey for Aerosol Coatings in 2018 to determine emissions and reformulation trends.

**Proposed Actions:**

In order to achieve further ROG reductions, ARB staff may consider reducing existing ROG limits in product categories, setting limits for other categories and revisiting chemical-specific exemptions in existing product categories. Staff may investigate opportunities to establish alternative compliance options to provide flexibility to industry to comply with regulations, such as an emission “bubble” or cap to reduce ROG emissions from consumer products. Other approaches, including a multi-media labeling program or other incentive programs, would also be evaluated. Staff will work with stakeholders to explore mechanisms that would encourage the development, distribution, and sale of cleaner, very low, or zero-emitting products. In undertaking these efforts staff will ensure that no negative impacts occur either through the use of TACs or other chemicals that may have other negative environmental impacts.

**Estimated Emission Reductions:**

In the South Coast, emissions of ROG from consumer products are projected to grow from an estimated 90 tpd in 2023 to 94 tpd in 2031. Staff will use the Survey data along with other technical information to propose control strategies to mitigate projected ROG emission increases.

**Timing:**

|                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Proposed ARB Board hearing:       | 2019 – 2021 |
| Proposed implementation schedule: | 2020 – 2023 |

**Proposed Commitment:**

ARB staff proposes to commit to bring this measure to the Board by 2021. ARB staff will initiate a rule development process designed to achieve the reductions shown for the South Coast nonattainment area in 2031. The measure as proposed by staff to the Board or adopted by the Board may provide more or less than the amount shown.