Item#17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:28 PM

To: Cc: Carole Wayman

CC:

Faye Thomas

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Thomas Jelenic [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:13 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,

Thomas Jelenic

tjelenic@pmsaship.com

1 World Trade Center, #1700 Long Beach, CA 90831 Constituent

Item # 17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:28 PM

To: Cc: Carole Wayman Faye Thomas

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Billy Patterson [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:22 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely, Billy Patterson

b.j.patterson@pacmtnlog.com

12973 Dale Evans Court Yucaipa, CA 92399 Constituent

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 12:35 PM

To: Cc:

Carole Wayman Fave Thomas

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Jessica Alvarenga [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 12:34 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely, Jessica Alvarenga jalvarenga@pmsaship.com

1 World Trade Center Long Beach, CA 90802 Constituent

Ikm #17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 11:23 AM

To: Cc: Carole Wayman Fave Thomas

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Matthew Sullivan [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 11:19 AM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,
Matthew Sullivan

matthew.sullivan@ssamarine.com
700 Pier A Plaza Long Beach, CA 90813 Constituent

Item #17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:23 AM

To:

Carole Wayman

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

From: Brissa. Sotelo [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:22 AM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely, Brissa. Sotelo bsotelocd14@hotmail.com

1233 S. Bluff Rd. Montebello, CA 90640 Constituent

Ifem # 17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:59 AM

To:

Carole Wayman

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Martin Kai [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:58 AMTo: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

1519 Terra Nova Blvd. Pacifica, CA 94044 Constituent

Item #17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 7:02 AM

To:

Carole Wayman

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Joanne McClaskey [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 6:02 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,
Joanne McClaskey
joanne@industrybc.org
15651 Stafford St City of Industry, CA 91744 Constituent

Flom #17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Wednesday, February 2, 2022 5:01 PM

To: Cc:

Faye Thomas

Subject:

Carole Wayman

---,--

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up Flagged

Flag Status:

From: Nancy Starczyk [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 4:49 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,
Nancy Starczyk

nancy@elitestates.com

19347 Vista Grande Way Porter Re

19347 Vista Grande Way Porter Ranch, CA 91326 Constituent

Ifem #17

Carole Wayman

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Wednesday, February 2, 2022 4:56 PM

To: Cc:

Faye Thomas Carole Wayman

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

From: Pat Anderson [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 4:48 PM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:,

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,

Pat Anderson

exec@lacanadaflintridge.com

One Civic Center Drive La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011-3345 Constituent

Ikm # 17

From:

Clerk of Board

Sent:

Thursday, February 3, 2022 8:04 AM

To:

Carole Wayman

Subject:

FW: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

From: Michele Grubbs [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 7:59 AM
To: Clerk of Board <clerkofboard@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Re: Agenda Item 17: Port Indirect Source Rule

Dear Members of the AQMD Board:

I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to an Indirect Source Rule (ISR) and my SUPPORT for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

I disagree with the SCAQMD staff's assessment that the Ports have not been doing their part to reduce emissions. Even though these last two years have brought an unprecedented amount of strain on the global supply chain, the Ports have managed to implement emerging zero emission technologies and have taken action to proceed with the Clean Truck Fund Rate - which will raise \$100 million for clean trucks. What's more, the Ports overall have demonstrated a 90% reduction in diesel particulate matter despite a 21% growth in cargo since 2005.

The Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are essential gateways for commerce. The goods movement industry is vital to the regional, state, and national economy. An Indirect Source Rule will place a cap on the ports, which will have a significant effect on the Southern California economy, and the supply and flow of goods nationwide.

An Indirect Source Rule is unnecessary. Please continue to work with both ports on voluntary measures to reduce emissions without disruptions to the supply chain.

Sincerely,
Michele Grubbs

mgrubbs@pmsaship.com

1 World Trade Center Long Beach, CA 90803 Constituent