



SoCal Climate Solutions Exchange Technical Advisory Group Meeting

April 2, 2008

Summary of Comments from March 19, 2008 Meeting

General Comments

- Many supportive of concept
- Difficult to develop in absence of defined state program
- Need to have certainty that the reductions will have use and value in future programs
- Should allow projects outside the Basin
 - May be more opportunity
 - May be more cost-effective

Comments re Protocols

- Questions on the interaction with CARB and CCR for protocol development and use
- Focus on developing protocols with broad applicability rather than individual, unique projects
- Develop protocols where project proponents are known

Comments re AQIP

- Should not compete with private projects
- Must follow the same protocols for reductions
- How would fees be set?
- Need criteria for access

Comments re Reductions and Trading

- International practice is to issue retrospectively
- Be careful to register and track trades
- Use modern technology for trading

Key Comments from Climate Change Committee Meeting March 20th

Key Comments

- Interest for projects?
- Other air districts?
- Feedback from Technical Advisory Group
- How will fees be based?
- Need for program evaluation & Board oversight