## Rail Yards and Intermodal Facilities Facility-Based Mobile Source Measures







# Agenda

Background

Railyards and Intermodal Facilities Emissions Inventory

### Emission Reduction Opportunities

Emission Reduction Strategies

### Next Steps

## Background – Previous FBMSM Activities

	2016 AQM Approved • Calls for year-lon process to identi potential facility- measures	I <u>P</u> I I fy -based	<u>1<sup>st</sup> FBMSM</u> <u>Working Group</u> <u>Meeting</u> FBMSM Framework and SIP Integrity Elements introduced		<u>3rd FBMSM Working</u> <u>Group Meeting</u> • Discussed key emissions inventory assumptions and potential Emission reduction opportunities			
	Mar.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Oct.		Jan.	
<u>20</u>	<u>17</u>	Introductory FBMSM Workin Group Meetin • Focused on process working group	ng g s for •	2 <sup>nd</sup> FBMSM Working Group Meeting Discussed key requirements for obtaining SIP credit		2018 \ • Di re	<u>4<sup>th</sup> FBMSM</u> <u>Norking Group</u> <u>Meeting</u> iscuss emission eduction strategies	<u>2</u>

# Background

Key mobile sources related to railyards and intermodal facilities:

### > Locomotives

Cargo Handling Equipment (CHE)

Trucks

Transport Refrigeration Units (TRUs)



### Rail Yard and Intermodal Facilities Emission Reduction Categories



### Key Considerations for Emission Reduction Mechanisms



#### **Regulation**

- Must be feasible based on cost, availability of technology, etc.
- Should avoid significant administrative or cost burdens
- Should not hinder available incentives



#### <u>MOUs,</u> Agreements, etc.

- Includes mutually agreeable emission reduction target
- Procedure to make-up shortfalls required in case target not met to be SIP creditable



#### Inventory Adjustment

- Requires demonstrated history of behavio (e.g., fuel use/improved efficiencies)
- Records of behavior must be available to be SIP creditable



#### Facilitating Measures

- Infrastructure projects (e.g., EV charging, TRU plugin, etc.)
- Generally not SIP creditable but critical to facilitate emission reductions



#### **Incentives**

- Availability of technology
- Funding commitment
- Must demonstrate that incentivized activity meets 'integrity elements' to be SIP creditable

### **Potential Emission Reduction Strategies – All Sources at Rail Yards**

Existing Emission Reduction Strategies

- $\succ \text{ Regulation } \checkmark$
- $\succ$  Incentives  $\checkmark$
- Facilitating Measures
- Agreement(s)
- Inventory Refinement

#### Regulation

- CARB petition to EPA for more stringent national locomotive emissions standards
- SCAQMD petition to EPA for more stringent national truck standards
- Potential CARB rule for NZE trucks
- Potential CARB rule for up to 100% ZE CHE by 2030
- Potential CARB rule for ZE TRU
- Potential CARB rule for Low Emission Diesel

#### Incentives

 Incentive programs such as Prop.1B, Carl Moyer, etc.

Potential Additional Emission Reduction Strategies

- $\blacktriangleright$  Regulation  $\checkmark$
- Incentives
- Facilitating 

   Measures
- $\succ \text{ Agreement(s)} \checkmark$
- Inventory
   Refinement

#### Facilitating Measures

 Operational efficiency improvements such as, facility reconfigurations, fuel efficiency improvements, and reduced load testing, etc.

#### Agreement

 Possibility for additional agreements that go beyond existing locomotive agreements

#### Regulation

• (Next slide)

## Potential Indirect Source Regulatory Approach

#### Clean Air Action Plan for each facility

- Facilities would prepare emissions inventory and action plan, and would need to reduce NOx emissions by specific target with implementation dates by 2023 & 2031
- Facility-specific emission reduction target of XX% could potentially be applied depending on type of operations, or instead a rail company-wide target could be established

#### Potential strategies that could be used in Clean Air Action Plans

- Utilize truck Fleet Certification program proposed for warehouses
- Preferentially route cleaner line-haul locomotives
- Use cleanest switchers available
- >Install hood technology to control some onsite locomotive emissions
- Use ZE/NZE Cargo Handling Equipment (e.g., ZE hostlers, wide-span gantry, etc.)
- Increase use of ZE Transportation Refrigeration Units
- ≻Etc.

#### Other compliance options possible

> Mitigation fees, facility reconfigurations to reduce emissions/exposure, etc.

### **Potential ISR Concept at Rail Yards - Two Components**

### Fleet Component

- Voluntary certification program
- Truck fleet could voluntarily certify that their construction activity in the air basin is XX% cleaner than Truck and Bus Rule on average
- Fleets that don't certify are assumed to only operate 2010 trucks starting in 2023
- Voluntary certification program would begin sometime between 2020-2023

### Facility Component

#### Indirect Source Rule

- Facilities would be required to ensure that truck fleets serving their facility are XX% cleaner than the Truck and Bus rule on average
- Facilities must record every truck that visits the facility and which fleet it belongs too
- Facility average based on fleet certification levels
- Full implementation would begin by 2023

## Expected Benefits of Proposed Fleet Certification + ISR for Rail Yards

- >Voluntary for fleets Participating fleets would be eligible for incentive funding
- > Fleet certification program would not interfere with other truck regulations
- Fleet certification program would be available for other programs (e.g., CEQA and other FBMSM)
- > Facilities would not be required to track truck emission level compliance
  - Example: 100% of trucks visiting a facility could be 2010 trucks as long as average of all fleets serving the facility meet the ISR requirement
- > Facility ISR requirement could be supported by substantiating studies (e.g., costeffectiveness, availability of incentives, feasibility, etc.), and could be modified as conditions change
- >ISR could include mitigation fee or other options

## Considerations for Proposed Rail Yard Regulatory Approach

Locomotives are primary source of emissions associated with rail yards
 SIP inventory already assumes ~40-50% Tier 4 line-haul locomotives in 2023

>Only ~3% Tier 4 line-haul locomotives used in 2016

>No Tier 4 locomotives currently included in 2023 inventory for Metrolink

Depending on technologies used, trucks may have lower NOx emissions than locomotives (and lower for other pollutants like GHGs)

> Depending on structure of any potential rule, harmonization with Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act may be required

Potential synergy with AB 617

Incentives will continue to be a critical element to introduce cleaner equipment

## Next Steps

Report to Mobile Source Committee on February 16, 2018

Present proposed Emission reduction strategies to the Governing Board on March 2, 2018 and seek further direction

## Staff Contacts

Ian MacMillan Planning and Rules Manager (909) 396-3244 <u>imacmillan@aqmd.gov</u>

Dan Garcia Program Supervisor (909) 396-3304 <u>dgarcia@aqmd.gov</u>

## **Questions or Comments?**

## **Discussion Period**

- <u>Question 1:</u> What types and levels of incentives would be needed to have greater number of cleaner locomotives in South Coast (e.g., tier 4, alt. fuel)?
- <u>Question 2</u>: What are the benefits/drawbacks of a voluntary agreement (e.g., MOU) vs. a regulatory approach?
- <u>Question 3:</u> What additional or replacement strategies should SCAQMD consider and why would they be better?