PROPOSED AMENDED RULES 1147, 1100, & PROPOSED RULE 1147.1
WORKING GROUP MEETING #5

AGENDA

- Summary of Previous Working Group Meeting
- BARCT Analysis
  - Other Regulatory Requirements
  - Assessment of Pollution Control Technologies
  - Initial BARCT Emission Limit
- Next Steps
PREVIOUS WORKING GROUP RECAP

Working Group #4

• Presented results of Rule 1147 Equipment Survey results
• Analysis on source test results for all Rule 1147 categories* and Micro-turbines
• Observed data from all category suggests that equipment size does not impact NOx concentration potential

*Analysis excludes equipment from PR 1147.2 and 1147.3 universe

RULES FROM OTHER AGENCIES

Assessment of South Coast AQMD Regulatory Requirements
Assessment of Emission Limits of Existing Units
Other Regulatory Requirements
Assessment of Pollution Control Technologies
Initial BARCT Emission Limit and Other Considerations
Cost Effectiveness Analysis
BARCT Emission Limit
Technology Assessment
Other Regulatory Requirements
### RULES FROM OTHER AGENCIES
#### CALIFORNIA

**Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD)**
- **Rule 74.34 – Misc Sources**
  - **Applicability:** > 5 MMBtu/hr
  - **Limit:** 30 to 80 ppm depending on application and process temperature
  - **O₂ Correction:** 3%

**Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD)**
- **Rule 419 – Misc. Sources**
  - **Applicability:** > 2 MMBtu/hr located at major sources / >5 MMBtu/hr located at other sources
  - **Limit:** Between 30 to 60 ppm depending on application and process temperature
  - **O₂ Correction:** 3%

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD)**
- **Rule 4309 – Dryers, Dehydrators, and Ovens**
  - **Applicability:** > 5 MMBtu/Hr
  - **Limit:** 3.5 to 4.3 ppm depending on process (corrected to 19% O₂)
  - **O₂ Correction:** 19% (if measured O₂ is <19%) / Measured O₂ if measured O₂ is >19%

*Rule does not mention process temperatures

**Great Basin Unified Air Pollution Control District (GBUAPCD)**
- **Regulation 404-B – Oxides of Nitrogen**
  - **Applicability:** Fuel Burning Equipment
  - **Limit:** 125 ppm (Natural Gas) / 225 ppm (Liquid or Solid Fuel)
  - **O₂ Correction:** 3%

**Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)**
- **Regulation 9, Rule 3 – Nitrogen Oxides from Heat Transfer Operations**
  - **Applicability:** Heat Transfer Operations
  - **Limit (New):** 175 ppm (Gaseous Fuel) / 300 ppm (Liquid Fuel)
  - **Limit (Existing):** 175 ppm (Gaseous Fuel) / 300 ppm (Liquid Fuel)
  - **O₂ Correction:** None

**San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD)**
- **Rule 68 – Fuel-Burning Equipment, Oxides of Nitrogen**
  - **Applicability:** Non-vehicular; fuel burning equipment ≥50 MMBtu/hr
  - **Limit:** 125 ppm (Gaseous Fuel) / 225 ppm (Liquid or Solid Fuel)
  - **O₂ Correction:** 3%

*Rule does not mention process temperatures
RULES FROM OTHER AGENCIES
UNITED STATES

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection – New Jersey Administrative Code

• Title 7, Chapter 27, Subchapter 19 – Oxides of Nitrogen
  • Applicability: Stationary Combustion Equipment (Size varies for equipment type)
  • Limit: None applicable for Rule 1147 equipment
  • O2 Correction: N/A

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

• Chapter III, Subchapter A, Part 227-Stationary Combustion Installations
  • Applicability: Stationary Combustion Equipment (Size varies for equipment type)
  • Limit: 3 lb/hour NOx
  • O2 Correction: N/A

*Rule does not mention process temperatures

ASSESSMENT OF EMISSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY
ASSESSMENT OF EMISSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

BACKGROUND

- Technology assessments are conducted to assess current NOx control technologies available for equipment categories subject to Proposed Amended Rule 1147
  - Assessment will also consider opportunities for potential reductions
- NOx pollution control technologies are separated into two control categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combustion</th>
<th>Post-Combustion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Low NOx/Ultra-Low NOx Burners</td>
<td>- Selective Catalytic Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Flue Gas Recirculation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Flameless Thermal Oxidizers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERVIEW OF POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

Combustion Controls (Low-NOx/Ultra-Low NOx Burners)

- Various burner configurations and designs:
  - Lean premix
  - Flue gas recirculation
  - Fuel/air staging
  - Metal mesh burner head
  - Recuperative/regenerative
- Reduces thermal NOx formation
- Costs are generally lower than post combustion controls
- Most common form of control in the PAR 1147 Universe
OVERVIEW OF POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

Assessment of Pollution Control Technologies

Combustion Controls
(Low-NOx/Ultra-Low NOx Burners) – Cont’d

Additional Considerations:

- Multiple burner manufacturers provide emission guarantees to meet <30 ppm\(^*\) for both low and high temperature applications
  - Emissions guarantees are for multiple models for a wide range of applications
- Source test data gathered from equipment impacted by PAR 1147 show low NOx burners are capable of achieving real world emissions of <20 ppm\(^*\) in some applications
- Ultra-Low NOx burners available in boiler applications capable of achieving <5 ppm\(^*\) without the need of post combustion controls

\(^*\) NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O\(_2\) dry

Post-Combustion Controls
(Selective Catalytic Reduction)

- NOx treatment at the exhaust with the use of reactant (ammonia/urea) and catalyst
  - Capable of >95% NOx reduction
  - Technology is scalable and used mostly in applications >10 MMBtu/hr
- Generally more costly than combustion controls via Low NOx/Ultra-Low NOx burners
  - Additional recurring costs includes electricity, catalyst, and reagent
- Some applications require exhaust pre-treatment prior to intake of SCR
OVERVIEW OF POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

Post-Combustion Controls (Selective Catalytic Reduction) – Cont’d

Additional Considerations:

- Upfront costs of SCR systems are generally more expensive than that of combustion control technologies
- Additional monitoring will be required to keep SCR in optimal operation
  - Exhaust temperature and ammonia input
- Introduction of ammonia/urea will cause unreacted ammonia to slip at the exhaust
  - Current South Coast AQMD BACT for ammonia slip is 5 ppm
- No applications of SCR found for existing Rule 1147 equipment universe

Post-Combustion Controls
Flameless Thermal Oxidizer Technology

- Utilizes natural gas burner paired with hot ceramic matrix
- Natural gas burner is only used to bring ceramic media to operating temperature (>1,500°F)
- Natural gas is injected directly into the ceramic matrix where combustion occurs
  - Heat released from oxidation process is absorbed back into ceramic media
  - Advertised to meet <2 ppm NOx and 99.99% destruction efficiency

Only applicable to equipment category containing afterburners, thermal oxidizers, RTOs, and Oxidizers
### Overview of Pollution Control Technologies

#### Prospective Transferable Technologies

- **ClearSign Duplex™ Technology**
  - <5 ppm\(^*\) achieved in practice using natural gas

- **John Zink Hamworthy SOLEX**
  - ~5 ppm\(^*\) demonstrated at test facility using natural gas
  - Designed for refinery applications

\(^*\) NO\(_x\) concentrations are corrected to 3% O\(_2\) dry

---

## Initial NO\(_x\) BARCT Emission Limit for Reclaim and Non-Reclaim Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Assessment</th>
<th>Initial BARCT Emission Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of South Coast AQMD Regulatory Requirements</td>
<td>Initial BARCT Emission Limit and Other Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of Emission Limits of Existing Units</td>
<td>Cost Effectiveness Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Regulatory Requirements</td>
<td>BARCT Emission Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of Pollution Control Technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INITIAL BARCT EMISSION LIMIT
Oven, Dryer, Heater, Furnace, Kiln, and Heated Process Tank

BACKGROUND
OVEN/DRYER/HEATER/FURNACE/KILN/HEATED PROCESS TANK

RECLAIM Universe
- Consists of 191 pieces of permitted equipment
- Source test results were evaluated for 43 out of 191 units

Non-RECLAIM Universe
- Consists of 1,509 pieces of permitted equipment
- Source test results were evaluated for 173 out of 1,509 units

Large Sources (≥40 MMBtu/hr)
- Identified one unit from RECLAIM and RECLAIM universe rated ≥40 MMBtu/hr
- Unit is a Major Source in the RECLAIM universe and equipped with CEMS
CEMS ANALYSIS OF RECLAIM MAJOR SOURCE
OVEN/DRYER/HEATER/FURNACE/KILN/HEATED PROCESS TANK

Initial BARCT Emission Limit

Identified Major Source (RECLAIM)

- Heat Input: 84 MMBtu/hr*
- Operating Temperature: ≥1,200°F
- Total Emissions (2018 to 2019 CEMS data): 7.5 tons/year
- CEMS Lifetime NOx Maximum: 9.47 ppm

Unit does not have any post combustion controls

➢ Will conduct cost-effectiveness for potential further reductions

*Total heat input consists of twelve 7 MMBtu/hr burners

SUMMARY OF SOURCE TEST ASSESSMENT
OVEN/DRYER/HEATER/FURNACE/KILN/HEATED PROCESS TANK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>Process Temperature</th>
<th>RECLAIM/Non-RECLAIM</th>
<th>Source Test Results*</th>
<th>Number Units at Lower NOx Concentrations (Source Tests)</th>
<th>Recommended Emission Limit Based on Source Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oven, Dryer, Heater, Furnace, Kiln, Heated Process Tank</td>
<td>&lt;1,200°F</td>
<td>RECLAIM*</td>
<td>11 to 54 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>5 to 30 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥1,200°F</td>
<td>RECLAIM*</td>
<td>18 to 80 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>18 to 59 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry

^Excludes RECLAIM Major Source
### INITIAL BARCT NOX LIMIT (WORKING GROUP #5)

**OVEN, DRYER, HEATER, FURNACE, KILN, AND HEATED PROCESS TANK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Units**</th>
<th>South Coast AQMD Limit*</th>
<th>Other Regulatory#</th>
<th>Technology Assessment**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥40 MMBtu/hr</td>
<td>&lt;40 MMBtu/hr</td>
<td>≥40 MMBtu/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,200° F</td>
<td>11 of 31 RECLAIM</td>
<td>30 ppm</td>
<td>5 ppm (via SCR*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 of 189 Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>30 to 175 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1,200° F</td>
<td>1 of 4 RECLAIM</td>
<td>60 ppm</td>
<td>5 ppm (via SCR*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 of 13 Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>30 to 175 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Staff assumption of 95% efficiency for SCR reductions from default emission factor of 130 lb/mmscf (~102 ppm)

# Oxygen corrections for NOx concentrations vary depending on regulatory agency

Analysis is needed

---

### INITIAL BARCT EMISSION LIMIT

**Afterburner, Thermal Oxidizer, RTO, and Oxidizer**
BACKGROUND
AFTERBURNER, THERMAL OXIDIZER, RTO, AND OXIDIZER

RECLAIM Universe
• Consists of 80 pieces of permitted equipment
• Source test results were evaluated for 15 out of 80 units

Non-RECLAIM Universe
• Consists of 267 pieces of permitted equipment
• Source test results were evaluated for 67 out of 267 units

Additional Considerations
• Flameless thermal oxidizers will be further evaluated in cost-effectiveness analysis
• BACT for this equipment category is 30 ppm

SUMMARY OF SOURCE TEST ASSESSMENT
AFTERBURNER, THERMAL OXIDIZER, RTO, AND OXIDIZER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>RECLAIM/Non-RECLAIM</th>
<th>Source Test Results</th>
<th>Number Units at Lower NOx Concentrations (Source Tests)</th>
<th>Recommended Emission Limit Based on Source Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afterburner, Thermal Oxidizer, RTO, and Oxidizer</td>
<td>RECLAIM</td>
<td>3 to 117 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm 4 of 15 units</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>4 to 59 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm 20 of 67 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry
### INITIAL BARCT NOX LIMIT (WORKING GROUP #5)
AFTERBURNER, THERMAL OXIDIZER, RTO, AND OXIDIZER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Temp</th>
<th>Existing Units**</th>
<th>South Coast AQMD Limit</th>
<th>Other Regulatory</th>
<th>Technology Assessment</th>
<th>Initial BARCT NOx Limit*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,200° F</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
<td>60 ppm (30 ppm BACT)</td>
<td>125 to 175 ppm</td>
<td>20 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
<td>20 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1,200° F</td>
<td>4 of 15 RECLAIM</td>
<td>20 ppm (30 ppm BACT)</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Emisssions data collected from source test results
** NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂, dry
* Oxygen corrections for NOx concentrations vary depending on regulatory agency
¹ Low NOx Burner (LNB) technology assessment is based off of vendor guarantees. Source test results analyzed demonstrate burners can achieve lower concentrations

---

### INITIAL BARCT EMISSION LIMIT
Evaporator, Fryer, Heated Process Tank, and Parts Washer
**BACKGROUND**

**EVAPORATOR, FRYER, HEATED PROCESS TANK, AND PARTS WASHER**

**RECLAIM Universe**
- Consists of 15 pieces of permitted equipment
- Source test results were evaluated for 1 out of 15 units

**Non-RECLAIM Universe**
- Consists of 55 pieces of permitted equipment
- Source test results were evaluated for 8 out of 55 units

**Additional Considerations**
- Retrofit options available for parts washers utilizing immersion tube burners
- Equipment vented to a control device such as Afterburners and RTOs are excluded from this analysis
- Limited number of source tests were available due to current Rule 1147 compliance schedule and the popularity of alternate heating methods in this application space (i.e. hot oil heaters, electric)

---

**SUMMARY OF SOURCE TEST ASSESSMENT**

**EVAPORATOR, FRYER, HEATED PROCESS TANK, AND PARTS WASHER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>RECLAIM/Non-RECLAIM</th>
<th>Source Test Results*</th>
<th>Number Units at Lower NOx Concentrations (Source Tests)</th>
<th>Recommended Emission Limit Based on Source Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator, Fryer, Heated Process Tank, and Parts Washer</td>
<td>RECLAIM</td>
<td>57 ppm (One Source Test found)</td>
<td>Below 60 ppm 1 of 1 units</td>
<td>60 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>48 to 56 ppm</td>
<td>Below 60 ppm 8 of 8 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry
## INITIAL BARCT NOX LIMIT (WORKING GROUP #5)
EVAPORATOR, FRYER, HEATED PROCESS TANK, AND PARTS WASHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Temp</th>
<th>Existing Units**</th>
<th>South Coast AQMD Limit</th>
<th>Other Regulatory*</th>
<th>Technology Assessment</th>
<th>Initial BARCT NOx Limit*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,200°F</td>
<td>60 ppm</td>
<td>1 of 1 RECLAIM</td>
<td>60 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1,200°F</td>
<td>8 of 8 Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td>125 to 175 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Emissions data collected from source test results
* NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂, dry
* Oxygen corrections for NOx concentrations vary depending on regulatory agency
¹ Low NOx Burner (LNB) technology assessment is based off of vendor guarantees. Source test results analyzed demonstrate burners can achieve lower concentrations

** INITIAL BARCT EMISSION LIMIT

Burn-off Furnace, Burnout Oven, Incinerator, Crematory with or without Integrated Afterburner
BACKGROUND
BURN-OFF FURNACE, BURNOUT OVEN, INCINERATOR, CREMATORY WITH OR WITHOUT INTEGRATED AFTERBURNER

RECLAIM Universe
- Consists of 12 pieces of permitted equipment
- All RECLAIM equipment are using default emission factor of 130 lb/mmscf
- Unable to obtain source test results from RECLAIM equipment

Non-RECLAIM Universe
- Consists of 315 pieces of permitted equipment
- Observed some permitted equipment has different emission limits for primary and secondary chambers (30 and 60 ppm respectively)
- Source test results were evaluated for 68 out of 315 units

Additional Considerations
- Due to lack of source tests in RECLAIM, technology assessment will be done using only Non-RECLAIM equipment and data
- Multiple burner setups will be considered in cost-effectiveness analysis

SUMMARY OF SOURCE TEST ASSESSMENT
BURN-OFF FURNACE, BURNOUT OVEN, INCINERATOR, CREMATORY WITH OR WITHOUT INTEGRATED AFTERBURNER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>RECLAIM/Non-RECLAIM</th>
<th>Source Test Results*</th>
<th>Number Units at Lower NOx Concentrations* (Source Tests)</th>
<th>Recommended Emission Limit Based on Source Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burn-off Furnace, Burnout Oven, Incinerator, Crematory with or without Integrated Afterburner</td>
<td>RECLAIM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>30 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>3 to 60 ppm</td>
<td>Below 30 ppm 9 of 68 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry
INITIAL BARCT NOx LIMIT (WORKING GROUP #5)
BURN-OFF FURNACE, BURNOUT OVEN, INCINERATOR, CREMATORY WITH OR WITHOUT INTEGRATED AFTERBURNER

Operating Temp | Existing Units** | South Coast AQMD Limit | Other Regulatorty* | Technology Assessment | Initial BARCT NOx Limit*
---|---|---|---|---|---
<1,200°F | 30 ppm | 0 of 0 RECLAIM | 30 ppm (primary) | 30 ppm (via LNB1) | 30 ppm (via LNB2)
≥1,200°F | Non-RECLAIM | 9 of 68 Non-RECLAIM | 60 ppm (secondary) | 125 to 175 ppm |

* Emissions data collected from source test results
* NOx concentrations are corrected to 2% O2, dry
* Oxygen corrections for NOx concentrations vary depending on regulatory agency
1 Low NOx Burner (LNB) technology assessment is based off of vendor guarantees. Source test results analyzed demonstrate burners can achieve lower concentrations

INITIAL BARCT EMISSION LIMIT
Tenter Frame, Fabric or Carpet Dryer
BACKGROUND
TENTER FRAME, FABRIC OR CARPET DRYER

RECLAIM Universe

• Consists of 25 pieces of permitted equipment
• Source test results were evaluated for 9 out of 25 units

Non-RECLAIM Universe

• Consists of 37 pieces of permitted equipment
• Units installed during or prior to 2008 were subject to a higher permit limit of 60 ppm
• Source test results were evaluated for 20 out of 37 units

SUMMARY OF SOURCE TEST ASSESSMENT
TENTER FRAME, FABRIC OR CARPET DRYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>RECLAIM/Non-RECLAIM</th>
<th>Source Test Results*</th>
<th>Number Units at Lower NOx Concentrations* (Source Tests)</th>
<th>Recommended Emission Limit Based on Source Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burn-off Furnace, Burnout Oven, Incinerator, Crematory with or without Integrated Afterburner</td>
<td>RECLAIM</td>
<td>16 to 57 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm 2 of 9 units</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td>17 to 58 ppm</td>
<td>Below 20 ppm 1 of 20 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O\textsubscript{2}, dry
**INITIAL BARCT NOX LIMIT (WORKING GROUP #5)**
TENTER FRAME, FABRIC OR CARPET DRYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Temp</th>
<th>Existing Units**</th>
<th>South Coast AQMD Limit</th>
<th>Other Regulatory*</th>
<th>Technology Assessment</th>
<th>Initial BARCT NOx Limit*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,200° F</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm</td>
<td>30 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
<td>20 ppm (via LNB¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1,200° F</td>
<td>2 of 9 RECLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 to 175 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 of 20 Non-RECLAIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Emissions data collected from source test results

**NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂, dry

Technology assessment is based off of vendor guarantees, source test results analyzed demonstrate burners can achieve lower concentrations.

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis is needed

---

**ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES**
ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES

Absorption Chillers

- BACT for these equipment is 20 ppm
- No units found in Non-RECLAIM universe
- 3 active units in RECLAIM permitted to 20 ppm
  - All units source tested to demonstrate <10 ppm
- Unable to locate active units in Non-RECLAIM
- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis is needed

^ NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry

ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES

Micro-turbines
(Natural Gas and Liquid Fuel)

- Diesel units are permitted to 77 ppm permit limit
  - Pending additional assessment
- Natural gas units are permitted to 9 ppm permit limit
  - Permit limit is backed by manufacturer guarantee
  - All units source tested to below 6 ppm
- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis is needed

^ NOx concentrations are corrected to 15% O₂ dry
ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES

Autoclaves

- One RECLAIM unit source tested to demonstrate 28 ppm
- New units are capable of meeting 30 ppm
- Retrofit is feasible, but costs vary depending on pressure vessel
- Requires further assessment with cost-effectiveness analysis

Initial BARCT NOx Limit*: Pending

ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES

Singeing Machines

- RECLAIM Equipment
  - Two units reporting under RECLAIM default emission factor of 130 lb/mmscf
  - Requires further assessment with cost-effectiveness analysis

Initial BARCT NOx Limit*: Pending

* NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry
ADDITIONAL MISC. CATEGORIES

Hot Pot/Diesel Tar Pot

- RECLAIM Equipment
- Determined to be process heaters applicable to Rule 1146.2

Initial BARCT Emission Limit

Initial BARCT NOx Limit*: Not Applicable

* NOx concentrations are corrected to 3% O₂ dry

NEXT STEPS

Continue to schedule emissions screenings and site visits with applicable facilities

Calculate emissions reductions and conduct cost effectiveness analysis

Next Working Group Meeting – April 2020
# CONTACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General RECLAIM Questions</th>
<th>Proposed Amended Rules 1147, 1100 and Proposed Rule 1147.1</th>
<th>Proposed Amended Rule 1147, 1100 and Proposed Rule 1147.2</th>
<th>Proposed Amended Rule 1147, 1100 and Proposed Rule 1147.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Gary Quinn, P.E.</td>
<td>• Shawn Wang, Air Quality Specialist</td>
<td>• James McCreary, Assistant Air Quality Specialist</td>
<td>• Yanrong Zhu, Air Quality Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Supervisor</td>
<td>909-396-3319, <a href="mailto:gquinn@aqmd.gov">gquinn@aqmd.gov</a></td>
<td>909-396-2451, <a href="mailto:jmccreary@aqmd.gov">jmccreary@aqmd.gov</a></td>
<td>909-396-3289, <a href="mailto:yzhu1@aqmd.gov">yzhu1@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gary Quinn, P.E.</td>
<td>• Uyen-Uyen Vo, Program Supervisor</td>
<td>• Gary Quinn, P.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Supervisor</td>
<td>909-396-3121, <a href="mailto:gquinn@aqmd.gov">gquinn@aqmd.gov</a></td>
<td>909-396-2238, <a href="mailto:uvo@aqmd.gov">uvo@aqmd.gov</a></td>
<td>909-396-3121, <a href="mailto:gquinn@aqmd.gov">gquinn@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>909-396-3492, <a href="mailto:korellana@aqmd.gov">korellana@aqmd.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Information provided is subject to change.*