
PROPOSED AMENDED RULE 1469. HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM EMISSIONS FROM CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND CHROMIC ACID ANODIZING OPERATIONS

(a) Purpose
The purpose of this rule is to reduce hexavalent chromium emissions from facilities that perform chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operations and other activities that are generally associated with chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations.

(ab) Applicability
(1) This rule shall apply to the owner or operator of any facility performing chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing. Compliance with this rule shall be in addition to other applicable rules, such as Rule 1401—New Source Review of Toxic Air Contaminants and Rule 1401.1—Requirements for New and Relocated Facilities Near Schools.

(2) Any person who sells, supplies, offers for sale, uses, or manufactures for sale in the District a chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing kit.

(bc) Definitions
For the purposes of this rule, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) ADD-ON AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE means equipment installed in the ventilation system of chromium electroplating and anodizing tanks of a Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank(s) for the purposes of collecting and containing chromium emissions from the tank(s).

(2) ADD-ON NON-VENTILATED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE means equipment installed on any Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank for the purposes of collecting, containing, and eliminating chromium emissions (e.g., merlin hood cover, tank cover) that does not utilize a ventilation system.

(23) AIR POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNIQUE means any method, such as an add-on air pollution control device, add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device, mechanical fume suppressant or a chemical fume...
suppressant, that is used to reduce chromium emissions from one or more Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tanks, chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing tanks.

(34) AMPERE-HOURS means the integral of electrical current applied to an electroplating tank (amperes) over a period of time (hours).

(45) ANNUAL PERMITTED AMPERE-HOURS means the maximum allowable chromium electroplating or anodizing rectifier production in ampere-hours, on an annual basis as specified in the Permit to Operate, Permit to Construct, or Compliance Plan for the facility.

(6) APPROVED CLEANING METHOD means cleaning using a wet mop, damp cloth, low pressure spray nozzle, or using a high efficiency particulate arrestor (HEPA) vacuum.

(57) AREA SOURCE means any stationary source of hazardous air pollutants that is not a major source as defined in this rule.

(68) BASE MATERIAL means the metal, metal alloy, or plastic that comprises the workpiece.

(9) BARRIER means a physical divider that prevents air flows from influencing the collection efficiency of an add-on air pollution control device, and also prevents the migration of dust generated from buffing, grinding, and polishing activities to enter tank process areas.

(710) BATH COMPONENT means the trade or brand name of each component in trivalent chromium electroplating baths, including the chemical name of the wetting agent contained in that component.

(8) BREAKDOWN means an unforeseeable impairment of an air pollution control device or related operating equipment which causes a violation of any emission limitation or restriction prescribed by this rule or by State law and which: is not the result of neglect or disregard of any air pollution control law, rule, or regulation; is not intentional or the result of negligence, or improper maintenance; is not a recurrent breakdown of the same equipment; and, does not constitute a nuisance as defined in the State of California Health and Safety Code, Section 41700, with the burden of proving the criteria of this section placed upon the person seeking to come under the provisions of this law.

(11) BUILDING ENCLOSURE means a permanent building, enclosed with a floor, walls, and a roof to prevent exposure to the elements, (e.g., precipitation, wind, run-off), with limited openings to allow access for
people, vehicles, equipment, or parts that is free of breaks or deterioration that could cause or result in fugitive emissions.

CHEMICAL FUME SUPPRESSANT means any chemical agent that reduces or suppresses fumes or mists at the surface of an electroplating or anodizing bath; another term for fume suppressant is mist suppressant.

CHROMIC ACID means the common name for chromium anhydride (CrO₃).

CHROMIC ACID ANODIZING means the electrolytic process by which an oxide layer is produced on the surface of a base material for functional purposes (e.g., corrosion resistance or electrical insulation) using a chromic acid solution. In chromic acid anodizing, the part to be anodized acts as the anode in the electrical circuit, and the chromic acid solution, with a concentration typically ranging from 50 to 100 grams per liter (g/L), serves as the electrolyte.

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING OR CHROMIC ACID ANODIZING TANK means the receptacle or container in which hard or decorative chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing occurs.

COMPOSITE MESH-PAD SYSTEM (CMP) means an add-on air pollution control device typically consisting of several mesh-pad stages. The purpose of the first stage is to remove large particles. Smaller particles are removed in the second stage, which consists of the composite mesh pad. A final stage may remove any re-entrained particles not collected by the composite mesh pad.

DECORATIVE CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING means the process by which a thin layer of chromium (typically 0.003 to 2.5 microns) is electrodeposited on a base metal, plastic, or undercoating to provide a bright surface with wear and tarnish resistance. In this process, the part(s) serves as the cathode in the electrolytic cell and the solution serves as the electrolyte. Typical current density applied during this process ranges from 540 to 2,400 Amperes per square meter (A/m²) for total electroplating times ranging between 0.5 to 5 minutes.

DRAGOUT means fluid containing hexavalent chromium that drips off from parts being electroplated or anodized, or from equipment used to remove electroplated or anodized parts from a tank.

EARLY EDUCATION CENTER means any public or private property, used for purposes of education as defined as an Early Learning and...
Developmental Program by the U.S. Department of Education, but does not include any property in which education is primarily conducted in private homes. Early education center includes any building or structure, playground, athletic field, or other areas of early education center property.

ELECTROPLATING OR ANODIZING BATH means the electrolytic solution used as the conducting medium in which the flow of current is accompanied by movement of metal ions for the purpose of electroplating metal out of the solution onto a workpiece or for oxidizing the base material.

EMISSION LIMITATION means, for the purposes of this rule, the concentration of total chromium allowed to be emitted expressed in milligrams per dry standard cubic meter (mg/dscm), or the allowable surface tension expressed in dynes per centimeter (dynes/cm) for decorative chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing tanks; and the milligrams of hexavalent chromium per ampere-hour (mg/amp-hr) of electrical current applied to the electroplating tank for hard or decorative chromium electroplating tanks or chromic acid anodizing tanks, or mass emission rate.

ENCLOSED STORAGE AREA is any space or structure used to contain material that prevents its contents from being emitted into the atmosphere.

ENCLOSURE OPENING is any opening such as passages, doorways, bay doors, and windows in a building enclosure. Stacks for add-on air pollution control devices subject to this rule or stacks that exclusively vent products of combustion from tank heaters or burners are not considered enclosure openings.

EXISTING FACILITY means a facility that is in operation before October 24, 2007.

FACILITY means the major or area source at which chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing is performed and/or any source or group of sources or other air contaminant-emitting activities which are located on one or more contiguous properties within the District, in actual physical contact or separated solely by a public roadway or other public right-of-way, and are owned or operated by the same person (or by persons under common control), or an outer continental shelf (OCS) source as determined in 40 CFR Section 55.2. Such above-described
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(242) FIBER-BED MIST ELIMINATOR means an add-on air pollution control device that removes contaminants from a gas stream through the mechanisms of inertial impaction and Brownian diffusion. This device is typically installed downstream of another control device, which serves to prevent plugging, and consists of one or more fiber beds. Each bed consists of a hollow cylinder formed from two concentric screens; the fiber between the screens may be fabricated from glass, ceramic, plastic, or metal.

(222) FOAM BLANKET means the type of chemical fume suppressant that generates a layer of foam across the surface of a solution when current is applied to that solution.

(28) FREEBOARD HEIGHT means the vertical distance from the tank bath contents, including liquid or foam, to the lip of the tank with parts and equipment submerged in the tank.

(232) FRESH WATER means water, such as tap water, that has not been previously used in a process operation or, if the water has been recycled from a process operation, it has been treated and meets the effluent guidelines for chromium wastewater.

(243) FUGITIVE EMISSIONS DUST, for the purpose of this rule means any emissions generated from the operations at the owner or operator’s facility, including solid particulate matter, gas or mist, potentially containing hexavalent chromium that becomes airborne by natural or man-made activities, excluding particulate matter emitted from an exhaust stack.

(253) HARD CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING or INDUSTRIAL CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING means a process by which a thick layer of chromium (typically greater than 1.0 microns) is electrodeposited on a base material to provide a surface with functional properties such as wear resistance, a low coefficient of friction, hardness, and corrosion.
resistance. In this process, the part serves as the cathode in the electrolytic cell and the solution serves as the electrolyte. Hard chromium electroplating process is performed at current densities typically ranging from 1,600 to 6,500 A/m² for total electroplating times ranging from 20 minutes to 36 hours depending upon the desired plate thickness.

(263) HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM means the form of chromium in a valence state of +6.

(273) HIGH EFFICIENCY PARTICULATE ARRESTORS (HEPA) means filter(s) that are individually dioctyl phthalate tested (or equivalent) with 0.3 micron particles or smaller, and rated by the manufacturer to have a control efficiency of not less than 99.97 percent or more efficient in collecting particle sizes 0.3 microns or larger.

(34) HIGH EFFICIENCY PARTICULATE ARRESTOR (HEPA) VACUUM means a vacuum that is both designed for the use of and fitted with HEPA filters that are free of tears, fractures, holes or other types of damage, and securely latched and properly situated in the vacuum to prevent air leakage from the filtration system.

(283) LEAK means the release of chromium emissions from any opening in the emission collection system prior to exiting the emission control device.

(36) LOW PRESSURE SPRAY NOZZLE means a spray nozzle capable of regulating water pressure to 35 pounds per square inch or less.

(293) MAJOR SOURCE means any stationary source or group of stationary sources located within a contiguous area and under common control that emits, or has the potential to emit, considering controls, in the aggregate, 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant or 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants.

(303) MAXIMUM CUMULATIVE POTENTIAL RECTIFIER CAPACITY means the summation of the total installed rectifier capacity associated with the hard chromium electroplating tanks at a facility, expressed in amperes, multiplied by the maximum potential operating schedule of 8,400 hours per year and 0.7, which assumes that electrodes are energized 70 percent of the total operating time. The maximum potential operating schedule is based on operating 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 50 weeks per year.

(313) MECHANICAL FUME SUPPRESSANT means any physical device, including but not limited to polyballs, that reduces fumes or mist at the
surfaces of an electroplating or anodizing bath by direct contact with the surface of the bath. Polyballs are the most commonly used mechanical fume suppressant.

MODIFICATION means either:

(A) any physical change in, change in method of operation of, or addition to an existing permit unit subject to this rule that requires an application for a permit to construct and/or operate and results in an increase in hexavalent chromium emissions. Routine maintenance and/or repair shall not be considered a physical change. A change in the method of operation of equipment, unless previously limited by an enforceable permit condition, shall not include:

(i) an increase in the production rate or annual ampere-hours, unless such increases will cause the maximum design capacity of the equipment to be exceeded, or will cause a facility to be subject to a different requirement in Table 21 of paragraph (eh)(42); or

(ii) an increase in the hours of operation; or

(iii) a change in ownership of a source;

(B) the addition of any new chromium electroplating or anodizing tank at an existing facility which increases hexavalent chromium emissions; or

(C) the fixed capital cost of the replacement of components exceeding 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable new source.

MODIFIED FACILITY means any facility which has undergone a modification on or after October 24, 2007.

NEW FACILITY means any facility that begins initial operations on or after October 24, 2007. “New Facility” does not include the installation of a new chromium electroplating or anodizing tank at an existing facility or the modification of an existing facility.

OPERATING PARAMETER VALUE means a minimum or maximum value established for a control device or process parameter which, if achieved by itself or in combination with one or more other operating parameter values, determines that an owner or operator is in continual
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compliance with the applicable emission limitation or standard.

PACKED-BED SCRUBBER means an add-on air pollution control device consisting of a single or double packed-bed that contains packing media on which the chromic acid droplets impinge. The packed-bed section of the scrubber is followed by a mist eliminator to remove any water entrained from the packed-bed section.

PERFLUOROOCTANE SULFONIC ACID (PFOS) BASED FUME SUPPRESSANT means a fume suppressant that contains 1 percent or greater PFOS (CAS No. 1763-23-1) by weight.

PERMANENT TOTAL ENCLOSURE means a permanent building or containment structure, enclosed with a floor, walls, and a roof to prevent exposure to the elements, (e.g., precipitation, wind, run-off) that has limited openings to allow access for people and vehicles, that is free of breaks or deterioration that could cause or result in fugitive emissions, and has been evaluated to meet the design requirements set forth in U.S. EPA Method 204, or other design approved by the Executive Officer.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL means one of the following:

(A) For a corporation: A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation, or a duly authorized representative of such person if the representative is responsible for the overall operation of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities and either:
   (i) The facilities employ more than 250 persons or have gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding $25 million (in second quarter 1980 dollars); or
   (ii) The delegation of authority to such representative is approved in advance by the U. S. EPA Administrator.

(B) For a partnership or sole proprietorship: a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.

(C) For a municipality, state, Federal, or other public agency: either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For the purposes of this part, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes the chief executive officer having responsibility
for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., a Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [U.S. EPA]).

(D) For sources (as defined in this rule) applying for or subject to a Title V permit: “responsible official” shall have the same meaning as defined in District’s Regulation XXX.

8) SCHOOL means any public or private school, including juvenile detention facilities with classrooms, used for purposes of the education of more than 12 children at the school, including in kindergarten and grades 1 through 12, inclusive, but does not include any private school in which education is primarily conducted in private homes. The term includes any building or structure, playground, athletic field, or other area of school property, but does not include unimproved school property.

9) SCHOOL UNDER CONSTRUCTION means any property that meets any of the following conditions.
   (A) construction of a school has commenced; or
   (B) a CEQA Notice for the construction of a school has been issued; or
   (C) a school has been identified in an approved local government specific plan.

0) SENSITIVE RECEPTOR means any residence including private homes, condominiums, apartments, and living quarters; education resources such as preschools and kindergarten through grade twelve (K-12) schools; daycare centers; and health care facilities such as hospitals or retirement and nursing homes. A sensitive receptor includes long term care hospitals, hospices, prisons, and dormitories or similar live-in housing.

1) SOURCE means any chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operation and any equipment or materials associated with the selected associated air pollution control technique.

2) STALAGMOMETER means a device used to measure the surface tension of a solution by determining the mass of a drop of liquid by weighing a known number of drops, or by counting the number of drops obtained from the weight of each drop, in a given volume of liquid.

3) SUBSTANTIAL USE of a permit to construct means one or more of the following:
   (A) the equipment that constitutes the source has been purchased or acquired;
(B) construction activities, other than grading or installation of utilities or foundations, have begun and are continuing; or
(C) a contract to complete construction of the source within one year has been entered into.

SURFACE TENSION means the property, due to molecular forces, that exists in the surface film of all liquids and tends to prevent liquid from spreading.

TANK OPERATION means the time in which current and/or voltage is being applied to a chromium electroplating tank or a chromic acid anodizing tank.

TANK PROCESS AREA means the area in the facility within 30 feet of any Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank and any associated process tanks, or to the nearest wall in a building enclosure or permanent total enclosure, whichever is closer.

TENSIOMETER means a device used to measure the surface tension of a solution by measuring the force necessary to pull a filament, plate, or ring, or other District-approved object from the surface of a liquid.

TIER I HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM-CONTAINING TANK means a tank permitted as containing a hexavalent chromium concentration of 1,000 parts per million (ppm) or greater.

TIER II HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM-CONTAINING TANK means a TIER I HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM-CONTAINING TANK that meets any one of the following:
(A) Has an operating temperature above 140 Degrees Fahrenheit; or
(B) Uses air sparging as an agitation method; or
(C) Is electrolytic.

TRIVALENT CHROMIUM means the form of chromium in a valence state of +3.

TRIVALENT CHROMIUM PROCESS means the process used for electrodeposition of a thin layer of chromium onto a base material using a trivalent chromium solution instead of a chromic acid solution.

WEEKLY means at least once every seven calendar weekdays.

WETTING AGENT means the type of chemical fume suppressant that reduces the surface tension of a liquid.
(ed) Requirements

(1) The owner or operator of a chromium electroplating tank, chromic acid anodizing tank, or group of such tanks, shall equip each tank with a continuous recording, non-resettable, ampere-hour meter that operates on the electrical power lines connected to the tank or group of tanks. A separate meter shall be hard wired for each rectifier tank.

(2) The owner or operator of a source with any chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank using a wetting agent chemical fume suppressant shall use only wetting agent chemical fume suppressants certified pursuant to subdivision (f).

(3) No hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank shall be air sparged when electroplating is not occurring, or while chromic acid is being added.

(4) The owner or operator shall maintain a tank freeboard height of at least 8 inches for any Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank installed after [Date of Rule Adoption], or for any Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank existing before [Date of Rule Adoption] that undergoes a modification involving a physical change to the dimensions of the tank.

(e) Requirements for Building Enclosures

Beginning [90 days after Date of Rule Adoption], the owner or operator of a facility that conducts chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing shall only operate a Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank and associated process tanks within a building enclosure that meets the following requirements:

(1) The combined area of all enclosure openings, including but not limited to, any roof openings for passage of equipment or vents through which fugitive emissions can escape from the building enclosure, shall not exceed 3% of the building enclosure envelope, which is calculated as the total surface area of the building enclosure’s exterior walls, floor and horizontal projection of the roof on the ground. Information on calculations for the building enclosure envelope, including locations and dimensions of openings that are counted towards the 3% allowance, shall be provided in the compliance status reports required in paragraphs (p)(2) and (p)(3).
(2) Ensure that any building enclosure openings that are on opposite ends of the building enclosure where air movement can pass through are not simultaneously open except during the passage of vehicles, equipment or people by closing or using one or more of the following methods for the enclosure opening(s) on one of the opposite ends of the building enclosure:

   (A) Automated roll-up door;
   (B) Overlapping plastic strip curtain;
   (C) Vestibule;
   (D) Airlock system; or
   (E) Alternative method to minimize the release of fugitive emissions from the building enclosure that the owner or operator can demonstrate to the Executive Officer (an) equivalent or more effective method(s) to minimize the movement of air within the building enclosure.

(3) Except for the movement of vehicles, equipment or people, close any building enclosure opening or use any of the methods listed in subparagraph (e)(2)(A) through (e)(2)(E), that directly faces and opens towards a sensitive receptor, school, or early education center that is located within 100 feet, as measured from the property line of the sensitive receptor, school, or early education center to the building enclosure opening.

(4) Close all enclosure openings in the roof that are directly located within 30 feet from the edge of any Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank except enclosure openings in the roof that are used to:

   (A) Allow access for equipment or parts; or
   (B) Provide intake air for a building enclosure that does not create air velocities that impact the collection efficiency of a ventilation system for an add-on air pollution control device.

(5) Prohibit operation of any device in any building enclosure opening in the roof that pulls air from the building enclosure to the outdoor air unless the air is vented to an add-on air pollution control device that is fitted with HEPA filters.

(6) Inspect any building enclosure at least once a calendar month for breaks or deterioration that could cause or result in fugitive emissions.

(7) Repair any breaks or deterioration that could or results in fugitive emissions.
emissions from any building enclosure within 72 hours of discovery. The owner or operator may request an extension by calling 1-800-CUT-SMOG. The Executive Officer may approve a request for an extension beyond the 72-hour limit if the request is submitted before the 72-hour time limit has expired, and the owner or operator provides information that substantiates:

(A) the repair will take longer than 72 hours, or the equipment, parts, or materials needed for the repair cannot be obtained within 72 hours; and

(B) temporary measures are implemented that ensure no fugitive emissions result from the break or deterioration.

(8) The owner or operator shall notify the Executive Officer of any of the requirements specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(5) that cannot be complied with due to conflicting requirements set forth by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) or the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (CAL-OSHA) regarding worker safety. The written notification shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review and approval no later than [30 days after Date of Rule Adoption] for facilities existing before [Date of Rule Adoption], and prior to initial start-up for all other facilities. The written notification shall include:

(A) An explanation as to why the provision(s) specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(5) is in conflict with the requirements set forth by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) or the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (CAL-OSHA) regarding worker safety; and

(B) Alternative compliance measure(s) that would be implemented to minimize the release of fugitive emissions to the outside of the building enclosure.

(9) The owner or operator shall implement alternative compliance measure(s) specified in paragraph (e)(8), as approved by the Executive Officer, no later than 90 days after receiving notification of approval. Compliance with approved alternative compliance measures shall constitute compliance with the applicable provisions of paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(5).
An owner or operator of a hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing facility shall:

(A) Store chromic acid powder or flakes, or other substances that may contain hexavalent chromium, in a closed container in an enclosed storage area when not in use;

(B) Use a closed container when transporting chromic acid powder or flakes from an enclosed storage area to electroplating or anodizing tanks;

(C) Clean-up, using an approved cleaning method, or contain using a drip tray or other containment device, any liquid or solid material that may contain hexavalent chromium that is spilled immediately and no later than one hour after being spilled;

(D) Clean, using an approved cleaning method, surfaces within the enclosed storage area, open floor area, walkways around the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank(s), or any surface potentially contaminated with hexavalent chromium or surfaces that potentially accumulate dust at least daily once every seven days in one or more of the following manners: HEPA vacuumed, hand wiped with a damp cloth, wet mopped, or maintained with the use of non-toxic chemical dust suppressants; and

(E) Store, dispose of, recover, or recycle chromium or chromium-containing wastes generated from housekeeping activities of this subdivision using practices that do not lead to fugitive emissions. Containers that contain chromium-containing waste material shall be kept closed at all times except when being filled or emptied;

(F) Within 1 hour of the end of the last operating shift for when buffing, grinding, or polishing are conducted, the owner or operator shall clean, using an approved cleaning method, floors within 20 feet of a buffing, grinding, or polishing workstation and any entrance/exit point of a building enclosure.

(G) Eliminate all flooring on walkways in the tank process areas that is made of fabric such as carpets or rugs where hexavalent chromium containing materials can become trapped.

(F) Install a physical barrier to separate the buffing, grinding, or
polishing area within a facility from the hexavalent chromium electroplating or anodizing operation. The barrier may take the form of plastic strip curtains.

(G) Compressed air cleaning operations shall not be conducted at or adjacent to the buffing and grinding areas or the hexavalent chromium electroplating or anodizing operations.

(g) Best Management Practices

(1) The owner or operator of a facility that performs chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing shall minimize dragout outside of the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) by implementing the following practices:

(A) Facilities with automated lines shall have drip trays, or other containment equipment, installed between the electroplating or anodizing tanks and its adjacent tank(s) so such that the liquid does not fall through the space between tanks. Trays shall be placed such that the liquid is captured and returned to the tank(s), and cleaned such that there is no accumulation of visible dust or residue on the drip tray or other containment equipment potentially contaminated with hexavalent chromium.

(B) Facilities without automated lines shall handle each electroplated or anodized part, or equipment used to handle such parts, so that liquid containing chromium, including chromic acid, is not dripped outside the electroplating or anodizing tanks, including associated process tanks, unless the liquid is captured by a drip tray or other containment device. Facilities spraying down parts over the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) to remove excess chromic acid shall have a splash guard installed at the tank to minimize overspray and to ensure that any hexavalent chromium laden liquid is captured and returned to the electroplating or anodizing tank. Splash guards shall be cleaned such that there is no accumulation of visible dust potentially contaminated with hexavalent chromium.

(2) The owner or operator of a facility that conducts chromium electroplating
or chromic acid anodizing operations shall not spray rinse parts or equipment with that have chromium-containing liquid unless the parts or equipment are fully lowered inside a tank where the overspray and all of the liquid is captured inside the tank. The owner or operator may alternatively ensure that any liquid containing chromium is captured and returned to the tank by meeting the following conditions when rinsing above a tank:

(A) Installing a splash guard(s) at the tank that is free of holes, tears or openings. Splash guards shall be cleaned at least daily such that there is no accumulation of visible dust or residue potentially contaminated with hexavalent chromium; or

(B) For tanks located within a process line utilizing an overhead crane system that would be restricted by the installation of splash guards specified in subparagraph (f)(2)(A), use a low pressure spray nozzle used and operated in a manner such that water flows off of the part or equipment and into the tank, and does not splash off parts or equipment during the rinsing process.

(3) Maintain clear labeling of each tank within the tank process area with a tank number or other identifier, SCAQMD permit number, bath contents, maximum concentration (ppm) of hexavalent chromium, operating temperature range, and any agitation methods used.

(4) Maintain a visible indicator on the interior of the tank, such as an etched line or paint mark, that shows inch marking in addition to the location that is 8 inches below the lip of a tank(s) subject to paragraph (d)(4).

(5) Conduct all buffing, grinding, and polishing operations within a building enclosure.

(6) Install a barrier to separate the buffing, grinding, or polishing area within a facility from the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operation. The barrier may take the form of plastic strip curtains.

(7) Prohibit compressed air cleaning or drying operations within 15 feet of all Tier I or Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank(s) unless:

(A) A barrier separates those areas from the compressed air cleaning or drying operation such that particulates from those areas do not become airborne as a result of any compressed air cleaning or drying operation; or

(B) Compressed air cleaning or drying operations are conducted in a
permanent total enclosure.

(h) **Add-on Air Pollution Control Devices and Emission Standards**

(5) The owner or operator of a chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing facility shall be required to add-on air pollution control device(s) for hard or decorative chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tanks—shall not remove or render inoperable such devices unless it is replaced by air pollution control techniques meeting a higher control efficiency than previously achieved, or an emission rate of 0.0015 milligrams per ampere-hour or less, whichever control efficiency is more effective, as demonstrated by a performance source test conducted pursuant to subdivision (e(k), or unless the facility is operating under an approved alternative compliance method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6) subdivision (i).

(6) **Add On-Control Requirement for Hard Chromium Electroplating Tanks**

During tank operation, each owner or operator of an existing, modified or new source, except facilities that have applied for and received approval for an alternative compliance method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6) or an existing operation that has applied for and received approval for an interim alternative requirement as specified in paragraph (d)(5), shall control hexavalent chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from that source by reducing the hexavalent chromium emissions using an add-on air pollution control device.

(7) **Training and Certification**

(A) Chromium electroplating personnel responsible for environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data shall complete a District-approved training program every two years. Initial training shall have been completed prior to May 1, 2004 for facilities existing before that time. For new facilities, initial training must be completed within a period not to exceed two years of start-up.

(B) Only persons who have completed a District-approved training program and have received a certification issued by the District shall be responsible for recordkeeping associated with
environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data.

(C) Notwithstanding subparagraph (c)(7)(B), in the event that all persons who have completed a District-approved training program leave employment at a facility, the owner or operator may be responsible for recordkeeping associated with environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data for a period not to exceed two years.

(8) Interim Emission Standards for Existing Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities Located 25 Meters or Less from a Licensed Daycare, Hospital, Convalescent Home, or a Residence, or Located 100 Meters or Less from an Existing, as of May 2, 2003, School.

The following emission limitations shall be in effect until the limits of paragraph (c)(11) become effective.

(A) The owner or operator shall reduce hexavalent chromium emissions to an emission limitation of 0.0015 milligram or less per ampere-hour for each tank, as measured after add-on controls, if any; or

(B) The owner or operator shall comply with any applicable interim alternative compliance option, as specified in paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(5).

(9) Interim Emission Standards for Existing Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities Located More than 25 Meters from a Licensed Daycare, Hospital, Convalescent Home, or a Residence, and More than 100 Meters from an Existing, as of May 2, 2003, School.

The following emission limitations shall be in effect until the limits of paragraph (c)(11) become effective.

(A) The owner or operator shall reduce hexavalent chromium emissions to an emission limitation of:

(i) 0.01 milligrams or less per ampere-hour for each tank, as measured after add-on controls, if any, when actual consumption of electrical current used by the facility for
electroplating or anodizing tanks subject to this rule is less than the threshold given in Table 1, for the appropriate operating scenario and operating schedule, or the applicable distance-adjusted ampere-hour level as specified in Appendix 7; or

(ii) 0.0015 milligrams or less per ampere-hour for each tank, as measured after add-on controls, if any, when actual consumption of electrical current used by the facility for electroplating or anodizing tanks subject to this rule exceeds the threshold given in Table 1, for the appropriate facility operating scenario and regular operating schedule, or the applicable distance-adjusted ampere-hour level as specified in Appendix 7; or

(B) The owner or operator shall comply with any applicable interim alternative compliance option, as specified in paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(5).

Table 1
Ampere-Hour Thresholds for Facilities Located More than 25 Meters from a Sensitive Receptor or a Residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Scenario</th>
<th>Regular Operating Schedule</th>
<th>Ampere-Hour Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vented to Air Pollution Control Device</td>
<td>More than 12 hours per day</td>
<td>1,800,000 ampere-hours/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vented to Air Pollution Control Device</td>
<td>12 hours per day or less</td>
<td>1,600,000 ampere-hours/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Vented to Air Pollution Control Device</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>1,150,000 ampere-hours/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10) Interim Emission Standards for Existing Facilities Conducting Multiple Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating Processes or Anodizing Processes

(A) For any facility subject to paragraph (c)(9) where a combination of hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing is conducted, the owner or operator shall comply with an emission limitation in lieu of the one specified in paragraph (c)(9). The emission limitation shall be determined by calculating weighted facility energy consumption over any calendar year, using the
following equation:

\[
\text{Weighting Factor} = \frac{|\text{Tanks Vented to APC Operating > 12 hrs/day (Amp-hrs/yr)}|}{(4)} + \frac{|\text{Tanks Vented to APC Operating ≤ 12 hrs/day (Amp-hrs/yr)}|}{(2)} + \frac{|\text{Tanks Not Vented to APC (Amp-hrs/yr)}|}{(3)}
\]

Where

\[(1) = 1,800,000 \text{ ampere-hours per year or applicable distance-adjusted ampere-hour level as specified in Appendix 7.}\]
\[(2) = 1,600,000 \text{ ampere-hours per year or applicable distance-adjusted ampere-hour level as specified in Appendix 7.}\]
\[(3) = 1,150,000 \text{ ampere-hours per year or applicable distance-adjusted ampere-hour level as specified in Appendix 7.}\]

(B) If weighted source energy consumption is less than or equal to 1, the applicable emission limitation shall be 0.01 milligram or less per ampere-hour for each tank.

(C) If weighted source energy consumption is greater than 1, the applicable emission limitation shall be 0.0015 milligram or less per ampere-hour for each tank, as measured after add-on controls, if any.

(11)(Emission Standards for Existing Hexavalent Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities beginning October 24, 2007)

(A) The owner or operator of an existing facility shall control hexavalent chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere by meeting the requirements identified below in Table 12. Alternatively, a facility can choose to comply by operating under an approved alternative compliance method pursuant to subdivision (i) paragraph (d)(6).
### Table 1: Hexavalent Chromium Emission Limits for Hexavalent Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Distance to Sensitive Receptor (meters)</th>
<th>Annual Permitted Amp-Hrs</th>
<th>Hexavalent Chromium Emission Limit (mg/amp-hr)</th>
<th>Required Air Pollution Control Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facility</td>
<td>≤ 100</td>
<td>≤ 20,000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Use of Certified Chemical Fume Suppressant. Alternatively, a facility may install an add-on air pollution control device(s) or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device(s) that controls hexavalent chromium emissions to below 0.0015 mg/amp-hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facility</td>
<td>≤ 100</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>Add-on air pollution control device(s) or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facility</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>≤ 50,000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Use of Certified Chemical Fume Suppressant. Alternatively, a facility may install an add-on air pollution control device(s) or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device(s) that controls hexavalent chromium emissions to below 0.0015 mg/amp-hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facility</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 50,000 and ≤ 500,000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>Use of an air pollution control technique approved by the Executive Officer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facility</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 500,000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>Add-on air pollution control device(s) or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Facility</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>Using an add-on air pollution control device(s), or an approved alternative method pursuant to subdivision (i) to control hexavalent chromium emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>Using a HEPA add-on air pollution control device, or an approved alternative method pursuant to subdivision (i) to control hexavalent chromium emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Distance shall be measured, rounded to the nearest meter, from the edge of the chromium electroplating or anodizing tank nearest the sensitive receptor (for facilities without add-on air pollution control devices), or from the stack or centroid of stacks (for facilities with add-on air pollution control devices), to the property line of the nearest sensitive receptor existing on or before October 24, 2007.

### Table 2: Hexavalent Chromium Emission Limits for Existing Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Sensitive Receptor (meters)</th>
<th>Annual Permitted Ampere-hours</th>
<th>Emission Limit (mg/amp-hr)</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 100</td>
<td>≤ 20,000</td>
<td>0.01²</td>
<td>4/24/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 100</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000 and ≤ 200,000</td>
<td>0.0015²</td>
<td>10/24/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 100</td>
<td>&gt; 200,000</td>
<td>0.0015²</td>
<td>10/24/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>≤ 50,000</td>
<td>0.01²</td>
<td>4/24/2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The owner or operator of an existing facility shall submit by November 24, 2007, a notification to the District providing distance(s) to the nearest sensitive receptor. Distances shall be measured as follows:

(i) For facilities that do not have an add-on air pollution control device on October 24, 2007, the measurement shall be the distance, rounded to the nearest foot, from the edge of the hexavalent chromium electroplating or anodizing tank nearest the sensitive receptor to the property line of the nearest sensitive receptor that exists on October 24, 2007.

(ii) For facilities with an add-on air pollution control device on October 24, 2007, the measurement shall be the distance, rounded to the nearest foot, from the centroid of the stack to the property line of the nearest sensitive receptor that exists on October 24, 2007.

(C) Screening Health Risk Assessment

(i) The owner or operator of an existing facility shall conduct a screening health risk assessment if annual hexavalent chromium emissions from the chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations exceed 15 grams in the calendar year following the year of the facility’s applicable effective compliance date specified in Table 2 of paragraph (c)(11) and any calendar year thereafter.

(ii) The screening health risk assessment shall be conducted for hexavalent chromium emissions from the hexavalent chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations, and in accordance with the most current version of the District’s “Risk Assessment Procedures of Rules 1401 and 212” or “Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines” (OEHHA Guidelines).

(iii) The owner or operator shall submit the screening health
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(12) Modified Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating or Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities

(A) The owner or operator of a modified facility shall, upon start-up of modification, control hexavalent chromium emissions from the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) by:

(i) Using an add-on air pollution control device(s), or an approved alternative method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6), to control hexavalent chromium emission, and

(ii) Meeting an emission limit of 0.0015 milligrams per ampere-hour or less.

(B) When annual emissions of hexavalent chromium after modification are expected to exceed 15 grams per calendar year, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the modification complies with District Rules 1401, 1401.1 and 1402 prior to initial start-up.

(13) New Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities

(A) The owner or operator of a new facility conducting hexavalent chromium emissions to the Executive Officer within 120 days of the end of the calendar year during which the facility’s hexavalent chromium emissions exceeded 15 grams.

(iv) The owner or operator may comply with clause (c)(11)(C)(i) by using an existing health risk assessment or screening health risk assessment previously approved by the District provided the existing health risk assessment is:

(I) Based on the most current version of the District’s “Risk Assessment Procedures of Rules 1401 and 212” or OEHHA Guidelines; and

(II) representative of the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operating conditions for the subject year; and

(III) calculated using an annual hexavalent chromium emission amount that is equal to or greater than the amount of the subject year; and

(IV) uses receptor distances less than or equal to those for the subject year.

(12) Modified Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating or Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities

(A) The owner or operator of a modified facility shall, upon start-up of modification, control hexavalent chromium emissions from the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) by:

(i) Using an add-on air pollution control device(s), or an approved alternative method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6), to control hexavalent chromium emission, and

(ii) Meeting an emission limit of 0.0015 milligrams per ampere-hour or less.

(B) When annual emissions of hexavalent chromium after modification are expected to exceed 15 grams per calendar year, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the modification complies with District Rules 1401, 1401.1 and 1402 prior to initial start-up.

(13) New Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities

(A) The owner or operator of a new facility conducting hexavalent chromium emissions to the Executive Officer within 120 days of the end of the calendar year during which the facility’s hexavalent chromium emissions exceeded 15 grams.

(iv) The owner or operator may comply with clause (c)(11)(C)(i) by using an existing health risk assessment or screening health risk assessment previously approved by the District provided the existing health risk assessment is:

(I) Based on the most current version of the District’s “Risk Assessment Procedures of Rules 1401 and 212” or OEHHA Guidelines; and

(II) representative of the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operating conditions for the subject year; and

(III) calculated using an annual hexavalent chromium emission amount that is equal to or greater than the amount of the subject year; and

(IV) uses receptor distances less than or equal to those for the subject year.
B) chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operations shall:

(i) Demonstrate that the new facility is not located in an area that is zoned for residential or mixed use; and

(ii) Demonstrate that the new facility, determined by the District, is not located within 1,000 feet from the boundary of a sensitive receptor, a school under construction, or any area that is zoned for residential or mixed use;

(iii) Reduce hexavalent chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from the electroplating or anodizing tank(s) by installing a HEPA add-on air pollution control device, or an approved alternative method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6);

(iv) Meet a hexavalent chromium emission rate of \( \leq 0.0011 \) milligrams/ampere-hour as measured after the HEPA add-on air pollution control device;

(v) Conduct a facility-wide screening health risk assessment for all toxic air contaminant emissions which shall be submitted to the District when filing applications for Permit to Construct/Operate the new equipment. The screening health risk assessment shall be conducted in accordance with the most current version of the District’s “Risk Assessment Procedures of Rules 1401 and 212” or OEHHA Guidelines; and

(vi) Comply with District Rules 1401 and 1401.1, if applicable.

(C) A new facility shall be deemed to meet the requirements specified in clauses (c)(13)(A)(i)(h)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) if one of the following criteria is met, even if the facility does not meet the requirement at the time of initial start-up:

(i) The requirements specified in clauses (c)(13)(A)(i)(h)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) are met at the time a permit to construct is issued by the District, and substantial use of the permit to construct takes place within one year after it is issued; or

(ii) The requirements specified in clauses (c)(13)(A)(i)(h)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) are met at the time a Permit to Construct is issued by the District, and
substantial use of the Permit to Construct takes place before any zoning change occurs that affects the operation’s ability to meet the requirement at the time of initial start-up.

(C) Prior to initial start-up, the owner or operator of a new facility shall demonstrate to the District that the new facility meets the requirements specified in paragraph (e)(13)(h)(2).

(14) Decorative Chromium Electroplating Tanks Using a Trivalent Chromium Bath

(A) During tank operation, the owner or operator of an existing, modified, or new facility shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere by meeting one or more of the requirements identified below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of compliance</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add-on air pollution control device, or chemical fume suppressants forming a foam blanket, or mechanical fume suppressants (i.e. polyballs)</td>
<td>≤ 0.01 milligrams of total chromium per dry standard cubic meter of air (mg/dscm) (4.4x10⁻⁶ gr/dscf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Chemical fume suppressants containing a wetting agent that do not contain PFOS</td>
<td>Use wetting agent as bath component and comply with recordkeeping and reporting provisions of paragraphs (j)(9)(o)(8) and (k)(p)(5).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) New facilities that perform electroplating using a trivalent chromium bath shall conduct a facility wide screening health risk assessment for all toxic air contaminant emissions which shall be submitted to the District when filing applications for Permit to Construct/Operate the new equipment. The screening health risk assessment shall be conducted in accordance with the most current version of the District’s “Risk Assessment Procedures of Rules 1401 and 212” or OEHHA Guidelines.

(15) Permit Application Submittals

(A) The owner or operator of a hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing facility subject to this rule, that either does
not have a permitted annual ampere-hour limit, or is requesting a reduction of an existing ampere-hour limit, shall submit an application for administrative change of operating condition subject to fees specified in Rule 301. The application shall be submitted to the District no later than February 24, 2009.

(B) The owner or operator of an existing hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing facility shall submit permit applications for all new or modified equipment necessary to comply with the requirements of Table 2 of paragraph (c)(11). Permit applications shall be submitted to the District no later than 8 months prior to the applicable effective date of Table 2.

(4) Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tanks

(A) The owner or operator of a facility that conducts chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operations shall collect and vent all hexavalent chromium emissions from each Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank, excluding chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing tanks subject to paragraphs (h)(2) and (h)(3), to an add-on air pollution control device, or an approved alternative method pursuant subdivision (i), that meets the following hexavalent chromium emission limits:

(i) For existing facilities, 0.0015 mg/amp-hr, if any tanks that are vented are electrolytic; or

(ii) For new facilities, 0.0011 mg/amp-hr, if any tanks that are vented are electrolytic; or

(iii) 0.20 mg/hr, if all tanks that are vented are not electrolytic.

(B) For Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tanks specified in subparagraph (h)(4)(A) existing prior to [Date of Adoption], the owner or operator shall submit complete permit applications for add-on air pollution control devices to the Executive Officer as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolytic Process at the Facility</th>
<th>Compliance Date for Permit Application Submittal for Add-on Air Pollution Control Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromic Acid Anodizing</td>
<td>[180 Days after Date of Adoption]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Chromium Electroplating</td>
<td>[270 Days after Date of Adoption]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Decorative Chromium Electroplating [365 Days after Date of Adoption]

(i) Install the add-on air pollution control device(s) no later than 1 year after a Permit to Construct for the add-on air pollution control device(s) has been issued by the Executive Officer; and

(ii) Beginning no later than [30 days after Date of Adoption] until the add-on air pollution control device specified in clause (h)(4)(B)(ii) has been installed, cover the tank no later than 30 minutes after ceasing operation of the tank. Tank covers shall be free of holes, tears, and gaps and handled in a manner that does not lead to fugitive emissions.

(C) The owner or operator shall not be subject to the requirement of subparagraph (h)(4)(A) to vent a Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank to an add-on air pollution control device if the uncontrolled hexavalent chromium emission rate of the tank is less than the applicable emission rate specified in (h)(4)(A), as demonstrated by a District-approved source test conducted pursuant to the Technical Guidance Document for Measurement of Hexavalent Chromium Emissions from Chromium Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations for Certification of Wetting Agent Chemical Mist Suppressant Subject to SCAQMD Rule 1469 made available by the Executive Officer.

(5) Ventilation Design and Operation for Air Pollution Control Techniques
The owner or operator of a facility that conducts chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operations shall operate air pollution control techniques required under subdivision (h) and (t) at the applicable minimum hood induced capture velocity specified in the most current edition of the Industrial Ventilation, A Manual of Recommended Practice for Design, published by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

(d) Alternative Compliance Options and Methods

(1) Alternative Interim Compliance Options — Inventory and Health Risk Assessment

PAR 1469 - 27
In lieu of complying with the interim requirements of paragraphs (c)(8), (c)(9), or (c)(10) an owner/operator may elect to submit an inventory and health risk assessment prepared pursuant to Rule 1402—Control of Toxic Air Contaminants from Existing Sources, subdivisions (n) [Emissions Inventory Requirements] and (j) [Risk Assessment Procedures].

(A) Health risk assessments approved by the Executive Officer prior to May 2, 2003, shall demonstrate that facility-wide emissions of all toxic air compounds result in a cancer risk of:

(i) Less than 25 in a million for facilities located more than 25 meters from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, and located more than 100 meters from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12).

(ii) Less than 10 in a million for facilities located 25 meters or less from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, or located 100 meters or less from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12).

(B) Health risk assessments not approved by the Executive Officer prior to May 2, 2003, shall demonstrate that facility-wide emissions of all toxic compounds with existing controls result in a cancer risk of those specified in (d)(1)(A)(i) or (d)(1)(A)(ii) at their respective receptor distances.


(ii) After review, the Executive Officer will notify the facility in writing whether a health risk assessment conducted pursuant to this paragraph is approved or disapproved.

(iii) If a health risk assessment conducted pursuant to this paragraph is disapproved, or if the approved cancer risk exceeds those specified in (d)(1)(A)(i) or (d)(1)(A)(ii) at their respective receptor distances, the facility shall comply with the applicable interim requirements of (c)(8), (c)(9), or (c)(10) no later than one year after notification by the District. Within 60 days from the date of disapproval, the owner or operator shall begin use of a wetting agent.
(C) The owner or operator of a facility subject to subparagraph (d)(1)(A) or (d)(1)(B) shall comply with enforceable conditions to ensure that controls result in a cancer risk of those specified in (d)(1)(A)(i) or (d)(1)(A)(ii) at their respective receptor distances.

(D) If a health risk assessment, approved under this paragraph as demonstrating a cancer risk of those specified in (d)(1)(A)(i) or (d)(1)(A)(ii) at their respective receptor distances, is subsequently determined to demonstrate actual cancer risks exceeding 25 in a million or 10 in a million, as applicable, the health risk assessment will be disapproved and the owner or operator of the facility shall comply with the specific applicable interim requirements of (c)(8), (c)(9), or (c)(10) no later than one year after notification of disapproval by the District. Within 60 days from the date of notification, the owner or operator shall begin use of a wetting agent—chemical fume suppressant certified pursuant to subdivision (f).

(2) Alternative Interim Compliance Options — Emission Reduction Plan

(A) In lieu of complying with the specific interim requirements of paragraph (c)(8), the owner or operator of a facility located 25 meters or less from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, or located 100 meters or less from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12) may elect to submit an Emission Reduction Plan identifying potential emission reduction strategies on or before May 1, 2004. The plan shall demonstrate that facility-wide hexavalent chromium emissions result in a cancer risk of ≤ 10 in a million and shall include, but is not limited to, the following areas:

(i) pollution prevention;

(ii) voluntary, enforceable reduction in ampere-hour limits; and

(iii) installation of add-on control.

(B) Following Executive Officer approval, the owner or operator of a facility that elects to implement an Emission Reduction Plan shall do the following:
(i) submit all necessary permit applications within 90 days of plan approval; and
(ii) install necessary control equipment within 15 months from the date of plan approval; and
(iii) conduct any performance test required for compliance with a permit condition or a compliance plan condition pursuant to subdivision (e).

(3) Alternative Interim Compliance Options—Maximum Installed Controls

Effective May 1, 2005, in lieu of complying with the interim requirements of paragraphs (c)(8), (c)(9), or (c)(10) the owner or operator shall use HEPA or an equivalent air pollution control technique and use a wetting agent chemical fume suppressant, certified under subdivision (f), and comply with all applicable permit conditions and approved Compliance Plan conditions.

(4) Alternative Interim Compliance Options—Facility-wide Mass Emission Rate

(A) As an alternative to complying with the interim emission limitation requirements of paragraph (c)(9), the owner or operator of a facility that is located more than 25 meters from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, and located more than 100 meters from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12) shall provide calculations in the Compliance Plan to demonstrate that facility-wide emissions of hexavalent chromium do not exceed the threshold in Table 3 for the appropriate facility operating scenario and regular operating schedule, or the applicable distance-adjusted annual emission level as specified in Appendix 7.

Table 3
Annual Emission Thresholds for Facilities Located More than 25 Meters from a Licensed Daycare Center, Hospital, Convalescent Home, or a Residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Scenario</th>
<th>Regular Operating Schedule</th>
<th>Annual Emission Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vented to Air Pollution Control Device</td>
<td>12 hours per day or less</td>
<td>0.036 lbs/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vented to Air Pollution Control Device</td>
<td>More than 12-hours per day</td>
<td>0.04 lbs/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Vented to Air</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>0.025 lbs/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(B) The owner or operator of a facility complying with this paragraph shall use the Hexavalent Chromium Source Test Parameter Guidance Document to establish testing parameters.

(C) The owner or operator of a facility complying with this paragraph shall update the facility-wide emissions calculations every year using process information from the preceding twelve months, and shall provide such calculations upon request.

(5) Alternative Interim Compliance Options — Alternative Standards for Existing Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities with Low Annual Ampere-Hour Usage

(A) Until the emission limits of paragraph (c)(11) become effective, the Executive Officer may approve a Compliance Plan specifying interim alternative standards for facilities with actual consumption of electrical current less than or equal to 365,000 ampere-hours for any calendar year. For hard chromium electroplating facilities constructed on or before December 16, 1993, the Executive Officer, with U.S. EPA concurrence shall approve this plan if equivalent results are obtained. Upon approval, the requirements identified in the plan shall be the applicable requirements under this regulation.

(B) At a minimum, the hexavalent chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank shall use chemical fume suppressants containing a wetting agent to lower the surface tension of the electroplating bath to no more than 45 dynes per centimeter (dynes/cm) \( (3.1 \times 10^3 \text{ pound-force per foot} \left[ \text{lbF/ft} \right] ) \), or the surface tension established during testing of a certified fume suppressant under subdivision (f).

(C) Upon approval of a facility’s Compliance Plan, the Executive Officer may require additional emission reduction techniques as necessary to reduce the public health impact of emissions from the operation.

(D) The owner or operator shall comply with the applicable monitoring [subdivision (g)], recordkeeping [subdivision (j)], and reporting [subdivision (k)] requirements.
(E) If the facility is located 25 meters or less from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, or located 100 meters or less from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12), and actual consumption of electrical current exceeds 500,000 ampere-hours per year after May 2, 2003, the owner or operator shall use HEPA or an equivalent air pollution control technique and use a wetting agent chemical fume suppressant certified under subdivision (f), on all hexavalent chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing tanks. An application for a permit to construct the control equipment shall be filed within 90 days of the date of the approved Notice of Violation for the ampere-hour threshold exceedance and the control equipment shall be installed within 15 months from the date of the approved Notice of Violation for the ampere-hour threshold exceedance.

(F) Emission-Related Exceedance

(i) Effective November 1, 2003, the owner or operator of a facility subject to paragraph (d)(5) located 25 meters or less from a licensed daycare center, hospital, convalescent home, or a residence, or located 100 meters or less from an existing, as of May 2, 2003, school (kindergarten through grade 12) that is using a wetting agent chemical fume suppressant with no associated add-on air pollution control device(s) will begin to accrue notices of violation for emission-related exceedances specified under (d)(5)(F)(ii). The owner or operator of a facility who accrues three or more approved notices of violation for an emission-related exceedance within a five year period shall comply with the emission limitation specified in subparagraph (c)(8)(A) by installing a ventilation system and HEPA controls, or equivalent controls, on all hexavalent chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing tanks.

An application for a permit to construct the control equipment shall be filed within 90 days of the date of the third approved notice of violation and the control equipment shall be installed within 15 months from the
date of the third approved notice of violation.

(ii) An emission related exceedance, for the purpose of this rule, is defined as:

(I) exceeding the applicable surface tension limit established under subdivision (f) or subparagraph (d)(5)(B) for a wetting agent chemical fume suppressant; or

(II) exceeding the ampere-hour limit specified in subparagraph (d)(5)(A) by 135,000 ampere-hours per year, or less, or exceeding the ampere-hour limit in an approved Compliance Plan condition for any calendar year; or

(III) exceeding the chromic acid weight concentration limit specified in any permit issued after May 2, 2003; or

(IV) a missing stalagmometer, tensiometer, or ampere-hour meter or a broken or inoperable stalagmometer, tensiometer, or ampere-hour meter unless:

(a) it is repaired or replaced within one week after its breakdown; or

(b) the tank or tanks served by the device are removed from service until the device has been repaired or replaced; or

(c) the owner can provide proof of ordering a new device within 7 days after the device became broken or inoperable, and the device is replaced within 14 days after it became broken or inoperable.

(iii) For the purpose of counting notices of violations which may trigger the installation of controls pursuant to this subparagraph, a notice of violation shall be counted as a single emission related exceedance even if it cites multiple emission related exceedances as defined in subparagraph (d)(5)(F), provided that the multiple emission related exceedances are based on a single field inspection.
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conducted in one day.

(iv) The provisions of subparagraph (d)(5)(F) shall apply to an owner or operator of a facility within any five year time period.

(v) The provisions of this paragraph shall in no way limit the evaluation or prosecution by the District of any notices of violation or any emissions related exceedances contained therein.

(6)(i) Alternative Compliance Methods for New, Modified and Existing Hexavalent Decorative and Hard Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Facilities

The owner or operator of a facility may submit to the District an alternative compliance method(s) to subparagraphs (e)(11)(A)(h)(2) for existing facilities, clause (e)(12)(A)(i) for modified facilities, and clause (e)(13)(A)(iii) for new facilities, and paragraph (h)(4) for Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tanks. In order to operate under this subdivision paragraph, the owner or operator shall:

(A)(1) Submit information contained in Appendix 8-7 to the Executive Officer.

(B)(2) Demonstrate that the alternative method(s) is enforceable, provides an equal, or greater hexavalent chromium emission reduction, and provides an equal, or greater risk reduction than would direct compliance with the requirements of (e)(11)(A)(h)(2)(A) for existing facilities, (e)(12)(A)(i) for modified facilities, and (e)(13)(A)(iii) for new facilities, and (h)(4) for Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tanks.

(C) Implement alternative method(s), upon approval by the Executive Officer, within the applicable compliance dates of Table 2 of (c)(11)(A) for existing facilities and prior to initial start-up for new or modified facilities.

Training and Certification

(1) Chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing personnel responsible for environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data shall complete a District-approved training program every two years. For new facilities, initial training must be completed within a period not to exceed two years of start-up.
(2) Only persons who have completed a District-approved training program and have received a certification issued by the District shall be responsible for recordkeeping associated with environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data.

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (j)(2), in the event that all persons who have completed a District-approved training program leave employment at a facility, the owner or operator may be responsible for recordkeeping associated with environmental compliance, maintaining electroplating bath chemistries, and testing and recording electroplating bath surface tension data for a period not to exceed two years.

(ek) Performance Source Test Requirements and Test Methods

(1) Performance Source Test Requirement

The owner or operator of an existing facility subject to this rule using add-on air pollution control device(s), foam blanket chemical fume suppressants, or mechanical fume suppressants, or air pollution control techniques to comply with the applicable requirements of subdivision (h) paragraphs (c)(8) through (c)(11), (d)(5), or any source electing to comply with the mg/dscm emission standard in paragraph (c)(14) shall conduct a performance initial source test to demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission standards of subdivision (h) within 180 days after initial startup or before the applicable effective date listed in Table 2 of paragraph (c)(11), whichever is sooner. New or modified facilities complying with the requirements of paragraphs (c)(12) and (c)(13) shall conduct a performance test within 60 days after initial start-up.

(2) Use of Existing Performance Test

(A) A performance test conducted prior to July 24, 1997 may be used to demonstrate compliance with applicable interim emission standards specified in (c)(8), (c)(9), (c)(10), and (d)(5), or the mg/dscm emission standard in (c)(14) provided the existing source test is approved by the Executive Officer.

(B) A performance test conducted after January 1, 2000 may be used to demonstrate compliance with emission standards of paragraph (c)(11) or (c)(14) upon District approval. The owner or operator of the facility shall submit the subject performance test to the
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District’s Compliance Division by February 24, 2009 for evaluation, and shall meet, at a minimum, the following criteria:

(i) The test demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limits of paragraph (c)(11) or (c)(14); and

(ii) The test is representative of the method to control emissions currently in use as of December 5, 2008; and

(iii) The test was conducted using one of the approved test methods specified in paragraph (e)(3).

(32) Approved Test Methods

(A) Emissions testing shall be conducted in accordance with one of the following test methods:

(i) CARB Test Method 425, last amended July 28, 1997, (section 94135, Title 17, California Code of Regulations (CCR)); or

(ii) U.S. EPA Method 306, (40 CFR 63 Appendix A) with a minimum of three test runs; or

(iii) SCAQMD Method 205.1, for results reported as total chromium.

(B) Emissions testing from the cover of electroplating and anodizing tanks for add-on non-ventilated air pollution control devices shall be conducted in accordance with Smoke Test to Verify the Seal Integrity of Covers Designed to Reduce Chromium Emissions from Electroplating and Anodizing Tanks procedures (See Appendix 54).

(C) Surface tension using a tensiometer shall be measured in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 306B (40 CFR 63 Appendix A). Surface tension using a stalagmometer shall be measured using the procedure set forth in Appendix 10, or an alternative procedure approved by the District.

(3) Source Test and Emission Evaluation Compliance Dates

(A) The owner or operator shall conduct the initial source test to comply with paragraph (k)(1) no later than 120 days after approval of the initial source test protocol specified in paragraph (k)(4), unless otherwise approved in writing by the Executive Officer. Subsequent source tests are required to be conducted within 36 months of the most recent successful District-approved source test. Failure to retest following a failed or unsuccessful source test
within 60 days shall constitute as a violation of this rule.

(B) A source test conducted after September 1, 2015 may be used to demonstrate compliance with the initial source test required in subparagraph (k)(3)(A). If not previously approved by the District, the owner or operator shall submit the subject source test to the District’s Compliance Division by [30 days after Date of Adoption]. The subject source test shall meet, at a minimum, the criteria specified in clauses (k)(3)(B)(i) through (iii) below. The Executive Officer shall notify the owner or operator within 30 days of receiving the source test if it has been approved.

(i) The test demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limits of subdivision (h);

(ii) The test is representative of the method to control emissions currently in use as of [Date of Adoption]; and

(iii) The test was conducted using one of the approved test methods specified in paragraph (k)(2).

(C) Emission Screening

(i) An emission screening of hexavalent chromium for a Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank subject to (k)(3)(A) may be alternatively conducted to comply with the requirements for subsequent source tests specified in subparagraph (k)(3)(A). The emission screening of hexavalent chromium shall:

(A) Follow a source test protocol previously submitted and approved by the District;

(B) Consist of one run to evaluate the capture and control of hexavalent chromium emissions; and

(C) Be representative of operating conditions at the facility.

(ii) The owner or operator shall submit to the District the results of the emission screening within 30 days of receiving the results.

(iii) The owner or operator will be required to conduct a complete source test using an approved test method specified under paragraph (k)(2) within 60 days of conducting an emission screening that:
(A) Fails the capture efficiency test(s) specified in the source test protocol;

(B) Exceeds an emission limit specified in the Permit to Operate; or

(C) Exceeds an emission standard specified in subdivision (h).

(iv) The owner or operator may conduct an emissions screening test to satisfy the requirement for the initial source test required in subparagraph (k)(3)(A) if the owner or operator conducted a source test after October 24, 2009. If the source test was not previously approved by the District, the owner or operator shall submit the subject source test to the District’s Compliance Division by [30 days after Date of Adoption]. The subject source test shall meet, at a minimum, the criteria specified in clauses (k)(3)(B)(i) through (iii) above. The Executive Officer shall notify the owner or operator within 30 days of receiving the source test if it has been approved.

(4) Pre-Test Source Test Protocol

The owner or operator shall submit source test protocols for source tests required under subdivision (k) as specified below in Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permitted Air Pollution Control Technique</th>
<th>Facility Permitted Annual Ampere-Hours</th>
<th>Due Date of Initial Source Test Protocol</th>
<th>Due Date of Subsequent Source Test Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing on or Before [Date of Adoption]</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000,000 and ≥ 1,000,000</td>
<td>No later than [180 Days After Date of Rule Adoption]</td>
<td>180 Days Prior to Due Date of Subsequent Source Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 20,000,000 and ≥ 1,000,000</td>
<td>No later than [365 Days After Date of Rule Adoption]</td>
<td>180 Days Prior to Due Date of Subsequent Source Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 1,000,000 and &gt; 50,000</td>
<td>No later than [545 Days After Date of Rule Adoption]</td>
<td>180 Days Prior to Due Date of Subsequent Source Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New or Modified After [Date of Adoption]</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>60 days After Initial Start-Up</td>
<td>180 Days Prior to Due Date of Subsequent Source Test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(A) Permitted air pollution control techniques existing on or before [Date of Rule Adoption] with a complete permit application submitted by [60 days after Date of Rule Adoption] for equipment modification shall instead be subject to the requirements of modified permitted air pollution control technique for the submittal of the initial source test protocol. Facilities subject to the provisions of paragraph (e)(1), above, that are either installing new equipment or modifying existing equipment, shall submit a pre-test protocol at least 60 days prior to conducting a performance test. Facilities that are conducting a performance test for existing equipment that require no modification, shall submit a pre-test protocol to the District’s Compliance Division no later than 8 months prior to the applicable effective date of Table 2 of paragraph (c)(11).

(B) The pre-test source test protocol shall include the performance test criteria of the end user and all assumptions, required data, and calculated targets for testing the following:
   (i) target chromium concentration;
   (ii) preliminary chromium analytical data; and
   (iii) planned sampling parameters.

(C) In addition, the pre-test source test protocol shall include information on equipment, logistics, personnel, and other resources necessary for an efficient and coordinated test.

(D) The most recent District-approved source test protocol may be used for subsequent source tests if there are no changes since the last successful District-approved source test.

(5) Emission Points Test Requirements
   Each emission point subject to the requirements of this rule shall be tested unless a waiver is granted by U.S. EPA and approved by the Executive Officer.

(6) For any interim alternative compliance option in subdivision (d) that requires the results of a performance test to demonstrate facility-wide emissions or cancer risk, or any facility operating under an alternative compliance method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6), the owner or operator shall submit a performance test conducted pursuant to subdivision (e).

(7)(6) Capture Efficiency
(A) Quantitative Assessment

(i) The owner or operator of a facility using an add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device to comply with the requirements of subdivision (h) paragraphs (c)(8) through (c)(13), (d)(5), (d)(6), or any source electing to comply with the mg/dscm emission standard in paragraph (e)(14), shall demonstrate that all emissions are captured by the associated ventilation system add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device by a quantitative measurement approved by the District. The demonstration shall be made during any performance source test specified in subdivision (k) paragraph (e)(1) conducted after December 5, 2008. An example of an approved quantitative measurement is demonstrating that the capture system meets the design criteria and ventilation velocities specified in the American Conference of Governmental Hygienists Industrial Ventilation, A Manual of Recommended Practice.

(ii) The owner or operator subject to clause (k)(6)(A)(i) that has an add-on air pollution control device shall measure the velocity of all collection slots and, if applicable, the pressure of the push air manifold, or at alternate locations based on the most recent District-approved source test, in order to demonstrate continuous compliance with the capture efficiency of the add-on air pollution control device at least once every 180 days. The owner shall comply with the monitoring and repair requirements specified below in Table 4.

### Table 4: Add-on Air Pollution Control Device Parameter Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Monitoring</th>
<th>Acceptable Measurement</th>
<th>Required Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collection Slot(s) Velocity</td>
<td>≥ 95% of the most recent passing source test or emission screening; or ≥ 2,000 fpm</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push Air Manifold Pressure (for push-pull systems only)</td>
<td>95-105% compared to the most recent passing source test or emission screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairable Measurement</td>
<td>90-95% of the most recent passing source test or emission screening test, or &lt; 2,000 fpm and &gt; 1,800 fpm</td>
<td>90-110% of the most recent passing source test or emission screening test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing Measurement</td>
<td>&lt; 90% of the most recent passing source test or emission screening test, or &lt; 1,800 fpm</td>
<td>&gt; 110% or &lt; 90% of the most recent passing source test or emission screening test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) The owner or operator of an add-on air pollution control device that demonstrates a parameter monitored to be a repairable measurement shall correct in a timely manner as specified in Table 4: Add-on Air Pollution Control Device Parameter Monitoring.

(B) The owner or operator that is required to shut down a tank controlled by an add-on air pollution control device due to the failing to correct a repairable measurement in a timely manner or upon detection of failing measurement, shall demonstrate that the collection slot velocity and push air manifold pressure are within the acceptable measurement range by re-measuring under typical operating conditions of the tank, with the exception of electrolytic operation remaining shutdown, prior to resuming operation.

(B) Qualitative Assessment

(B) The owner or operator of a facility subject to (k)(6)(A)(i)(e)(7)(A) shall periodically conduct a smoke test in order to demonstrate continuous compliance with the capture efficiency of the ventilation system, air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device. The test shall be:

(i) Conducted using the method described in Appendix 5, Appendix 9, or any other method deemed acceptable by the Executive Officer;
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(ii) Conducted initially upon start-up for new and modified add-on air pollution control devices or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control devices facilities, and within 60 days of the effective date of this rule for existing facilities; and

(iii) Conducted periodically by the facility or a third party at least once every six months of a previously conducted test, and in conjunction with the Quantitative Assessment measurement requirement of subparagraph (k)(6)(A), if applicable.

(iv) The owner or operator of a ventilation system or add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device that demonstrates non-compliance with any smoke test shall immediately shutdown, upon discovery, all associated chromium electroplating or chromic anodizing lines associated with such ventilation systems until a smoke test demonstrating full compliance with subparagraph (e)(7)(B)(k)(6)(B) is achieved.

(7) The owner or operator shall conduct all capture efficiency tests and measurements specified in subparagraphs (k)(6)(A) and (k)(6)(B) under typical operating conditions, except tanks required to be shut down pursuant to (k)(6)(A)(ii)(II) and (k)(6)(B)(iv).

Certification of Wetting Agent Chemical Fume Suppressants
The owner or operator shall not add PFOS based fume suppressants to any chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing bath. Any wetting agent chemical fume suppressant used to comply with the requirements of this rule shall be certified by the Executive Officer as able to reduce or suppress hexavalent chromium emissions at the surface of an electroplating or anodizing bath through the reduction of surface tension of the bath to a level at which an emission factor below 0.01 milligrams per ampere hour is achieved. Wetting agent chemical fume suppressants shall meet, at a minimum, a surface tension below 45 dynes/cm, as measured by a stalagmometer, or below 35 dynes/cm, as measured by a tensiometer, unless an alternative is approved pursuant to subdivision (q)(m). The Executive Officer will publish and periodically update a list of certified chemical fume suppressants. The owner or operator shall use certified chemical fume suppressants in accordance with the certification and manufacturer specifications.
Parameter Monitoring

(1) Add-On Air Pollution Control Device

(A) Pressure Drop

The owner or operator shall continuously monitor the pressure drop across an add-on air pollution control device such as a composite mesh-pad (CMP), packed bed scrubber (PBS), a CMP/PBS, fiber-bed mist eliminator, and a High Efficiency Particulate Arrestors (HEPA) filter with a mechanical gauge. The gauge shall be located so that it can be easily visible and in clear sight of the operation or maintenance personnel. The pressure drop shall be maintained within the range specified in any Permit to Operate for an add-on air pollution control device with the acceptable pressure drop range labeled on the gauge; 1 inch of water of the value established during the performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for CMP, PBS, a CMP/PBS, and a fiber-bed mist eliminator. The pressure drop shall be maintained within 1/2 times to 2 times the inches of water of the value established during the performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for HEPA filters.

(B) HEPA Filters

For the purposes of subparagraph (m)(1)(A), the owner or operator of an add-on air pollution control device equipped with HEPA filters shall ensure that the monitoring device for pressure drop:

(i) Is equipped with ports to allow for periodic calibration in accordance with manufacturer specifications;

(ii) Is calibrated according to manufacturer’s specification at least once every calendar year;

(iii) Is maintained in accordance with manufacturer’s specification.

(B)(C) Inlet Velocity Static and Velocity Pressure

Beginning [60 Days after Rule Adoption], the owner or operator shall continuously monitor the operation of the add-on air pollution control device by installing and maintaining mechanical gauges to measure the applicable pressures and air flows as
specified in Table 5 inlet velocity pressure of a packed-bed scrubber with a mechanical gauge. The gauge shall be located so that it is easily visible and in clear sight of the operation or maintenance personnel. The inlet velocity pressure shall be maintained within $\pm$ 10 percent of the value established during the performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Parameter Monitored</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Push Manifold (for push-pull systems)</td>
<td>Static Pressure</td>
<td>Inches of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Manifold or Any Location within the System Using a Flow Meter</td>
<td>Static Pressure or Volumetric Flow Rate</td>
<td>Inches of water or Actual Cubic Feet per Minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Across Each Stage of the Control Device</td>
<td>Static Pressure</td>
<td>Inches of water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each mechanical gauge shall be located so that it is easily visible and in clear sight of the operation or maintenance personnel. The velocity pressure, exhaust flow rate, or static pressure shall be maintained within the value established during the source test and specified in the Permit to Operate to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation. The gauge shall be labeled with the acceptable operating pressure and/or airflow ranges. The pressure and airflow gauges shall be maintained as specified in Table 4-1 of Appendix 4.

(D) The owner or operator shall ensure that any air velocity within 10 feet of a Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank vented to an add-on pollution control device is less than one-tenth of the collection slot velocity as specified in the most recent successful source test.

(2) Wetting Agent Chemical Fume Suppressants (Excluding Decorative Chromium Electroplating Tanks Using a Trivalent Chromium Bath)

(A) The owner or operator shall monitor the surface tension of the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank that contains a certified chemical fume suppressant with either a
stalagmometer or tensiometer using the applicable method pursuant to subparagraph (ek)(32)(C). The surface tension shall be maintained below the respective value established in the list of certified chemical fume suppressants pursuant to subdivision (4), or at or below a more stringent value specified in permit conditions or approved Compliance Plan conditions. Surface tension shall be measured daily for 20 operating days, and weekly—every third operating day thereafter, but no less than once weekly, as long as there is no violation of the surface tension requirement. If a violation occurs, the measurement frequency shall return to daily for 20 operating days, and every third operating day thereafter.

(B) The owner or operator of a facility operating under an approved alternative compliance method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6) subdivision (i), and using chemical fume suppressants as all or partial control of hexavalent chromium emissions must measure and monitor the surface tension of the electroplating or anodizing bath—each operating day daily. The surface tension must be maintained at or below the surface tension measured during the performance source test.

(3) Fume Suppressants Forming a Foam Blanket
The owner or operator shall monitor the foam blanket thickness across the surface of the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank. The foam blanket thickness shall be maintained consistent with the requirements established during the performance source test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation. Foam thickness shall be measured hourly for 15 operating days, and daily—each operating day thereafter as long as there is no violation of the foam thickness requirement. If a violation occurs, the measurement frequency shall return to hourly for 15 operating days, and daily—each operating day thereafter.

(4) Polyballs or Similar Mechanical Fume Suppressants
The owner or operator shall visually inspect the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tank for coverage comparable to the coverage during the performance source test daily—each operating day.

(hn) Inspection and Maintenance and Operation and Maintenance Plan Requirements
(1) Owners or operators of hexavalent chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations using an add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device shall comply with the applicable inspection and maintenance requirements listed in Table 4-1 of Appendix 4. The owner or operator of an add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device custom designed for a specific operation shall develop operating and maintenance requirements. The requirements shall be submitted to the District for review and approval no later than 120 days after the effective date of this rule for custom systems existing before December 5, 2008, and prior to initial start-up for custom systems installed on or after December 5, 2008. The requirements and frequency of inspection must shall be sufficient to ensure compliance.

Table 4
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite mesh-pad (CMP) system.</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect device to ensure that there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup on the pads, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the device.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect back portion of the mesh pad closest to the fan to ensure there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Visually inspect ductwork from tank to the control device to ensure there are no leaks.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Perform washdown of the composite mesh-pads— in— accordance— with manufacturer’s recommendations.</td>
<td>4. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed-bed scrubber (PBS)</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect device to ensure there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup on the packed-beds, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the device.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect back portion of the chevron-blade mist eliminator to ensure that it is dry and there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Same as number 3 above for CMP system.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Add fresh makeup water to the packed-bed^a.</td>
<td>4. Whenever makeup is added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS/CMP system</td>
<td>1. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Same as for CMP system</td>
<td>4. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aHorizontal packed bed scrubbers without continuous recirculation must add makeup water to the top of the packed bed.
Table 4  
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fiber-bed mist eliminator\(^\text{a}\) | 1. Visually inspect fiber-bed unit and prefitering device to ensure there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup in the units, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the devices.  
2. Visually inspect ductwork from tank or tanks to the control device to ensure there are no leaks.  
3. Perform washdown of fiber elements in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations. | 1. Once per quarter.  
2. Once per quarter.  
3. Per manufacturer. |
| High Efficiency Particulate Arrestors filter (HEPA) | 1. Look for changes in the pressure drop.  
2. Replace HEPA filter. | 1. Once per week.  
2. Per manufacturer’s specifications or District’s requirement. |
| Chromium Tank Covers | 1. Drain the air inlet (purge air) valves at the end of each day that the tank is in operation.  
2. Visually inspect access door seals and membranes for integrity.  
3. Drain the evacuation unit directly into the electroplating tank or into the rinse tanks (for recycle into the electroplating tank). | 1. Once per day.  
2. Once per week.  
3. Once per week. |

\(^\text{a}\) Inspection and maintenance requirements for the control device installed upstream of the fiber-bed mist eliminator to prevent plugging do not apply as long as the inspection and maintenance requirements for the fiber-bed unit are followed.
Table 4
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Visually inspect membranes for perforations using a light source that adequately illuminates the membrane (e.g., Grainger model No. 6X971 Fluorescent Hand Lamp).</td>
<td>4. Once per month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Visually inspect all clamps for proper operation; replace as needed.</td>
<td>5. Once per month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Clean or replace filters on evacuation unit.</td>
<td>6. Once per month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Visually inspect piping to, piping from, and body of evacuation unit to ensure there are no leaks and no evidence of chemical attack.</td>
<td>7. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Replace access door seals, membrane evacuation unit filter, and purge air inlet check valves in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.</td>
<td>8. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitot tube</td>
<td>Backflush with water, or remove from the duct and rinse with fresh water. Replace in the duct and rotate 180 degrees to ensure that the same zero reading is obtained. Check Pitot tube ends for damage. Replace Pitot tube if cracked or fatigued.</td>
<td>Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampere-hour meter</td>
<td>Install and maintain per manufacturer’s specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Hard and decorative chromium electroplating, and chromic acid anodizing operations using chemical fume suppressants (i.e., wetting agent, foam) or mechanical fume suppressants (i.e., polyballs) shall comply with the applicable inspection and maintenance requirements in Table 4-25 of Appendix 4.

PAR 1469 - 49
Table 5  
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Chemical or Mechanical Fume Suppressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirement for Monitoring Equipment</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampere-hour meter</td>
<td>Install and maintain per manufacturer's specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmometer/Tensiometer</td>
<td>Calibrate and maintain per manufacturer's specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) Operation and Maintenance Plan Requirements

(1) Operation and Maintenance Plan

The owner or operator subject to the inspection and maintenance requirements of paragraph (h)(1) and (h)(2)(n)(1) and (n)(2) shall prepare an operation and maintenance plan. For major sources, the plan shall be incorporated by reference into the source's Title V permit. The plan shall incorporate the inspection and maintenance requirements for that device or monitoring equipment, as identified in Tables 4-1 and 4-25 of Appendix 4, and shall include the following elements:

(A) A standardized checklist to document the operation and maintenance of the source, the add-on air pollution control device, and the process and control system monitoring equipment; and

(B) Procedures to be followed to ensure that equipment is properly maintained.

The owner or operator may use applicable standard operating procedure (SOP) manuals, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) plans, or other existing plans, provided the alternative plans meet the requirements of this subdivision.

(2) Operation and Maintenance Plan Availability

The owner or operator shall keep the written operation and maintenance plan on record after it is developed, to be made available for inspection, upon request.
(3)(5) Operation and Maintenance Plan Modifications

Any changes made by the owner or operator should be documented in an addendum to the plan. In addition, the owner or operator shall keep previous (i.e., superseded) versions of the operation and maintenance plan on record to be made available for inspection, upon request, for a period of 5 years after each revision to the plan.

(4)(6) Breakdown Provisions In Operation and Maintenance Plan

The operation and maintenance plan shall be revised as necessary to minimize breakdowns.

(jo) Recordkeeping

(1) Inspection records for sources using add-on control air pollution control devices or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control devices:

The owner or operator shall maintain inspection records to document that the inspection and maintenance requirements of subdivision (hn) and Tables 4-1 and 4-25 of Appendix 4, and that the provisions of the operation and maintenance plan required by subdivision (n) have been met. The record can take the form of a checklist and should identify:

(A) the device inspected;
(B) the date and time of inspection;
(C) a brief description of the working condition of the device during the inspection;
(D) maintenance activities performed on the components of the air pollution control system (i.e. duct work replacement, filter pad replacement, fan replacement, etc.); and
(E) any actions taken to correct deficiencies found during the inspection.

(2) Inspection Records for Sources Using Chemical Fume Suppressants (i.e. wetting agent, foam) or Mechanical Fume Suppressants (i.e., polyballs):

The owner or operator shall maintain inspection records to document that the inspection and maintenance requirement of paragraph (h)(2)(n)(2) and Tables 4-1 and 5 have been met. The record can take the form of a checklist.

(3) Performance Source Test, Capture Efficiency, and Smoke Test Records
The owner or operator shall maintain test reports and records documenting the conditions and results of all performance—source tests, capture efficiency tests, emission screenings, and smoke tests required by subdivision (k)(e). The records shall include performance test results required to determine compliance with paragraph (g)(1)(m)(1), including the pressure drop established during the performance—source test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for composite mesh pad (CMP), packed bed scrubber (PBS), and CMP/PBS, and a fiber bed mist eliminator and the inlet velocity pressure established during the performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation.

(4) Monitoring Data Records
The owner or operator shall maintain records of continuously recorded ampere-hour data required by paragraph (ed)(1) and monitoring data required by subdivision (m)(g) that are used to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of subdivision (c) and subdivision (d), if applicable, including the date and time the data are collected.

(A) Cumulative Rectifier Usage Records
The owner or operator shall, on a monthly basis, record the actual cumulative rectifier usage expended during each month of the reporting period, and the total usage expended to date.

(B) Pressure Drop
The owner or operator shall record the pressure drop once a week. The pressure drop shall be recorded daily beginning February 1, 2009.

(C) Inlet Velocity Pressure Measurements
The owner or operator shall record the inlet velocity applicable pressures and velocities each operating day as specified in Table 5 of subdivision (m) once a week. The inlet velocity pressure shall be recorded daily beginning February 1, 2009.

(D) Surface Tension
(i) The owner or operator shall record the surface tension daily for 20 operating days, and weekly every third operating day thereafter, but no less than once weekly as long as there is no violation of the surface tension requirement. If the surface tension exceeds the respective value established in the list of certified chemical fume suppressants pursuant to...
subdivision (f), or a more stringent value specified in permit conditions or approved Compliance Plan conditions, the owner or operator shall again record the surface tension daily for 20 operating days, and weekly thereafter every third operating day.

(ii) For facilities operating under an approved alternative compliance method pursuant to paragraph (d)(6) subdivision (i), and using chemical fume suppressants as all or partial control of hexavalent chromium emissions, the owner or operator shall record the surface tension of the electroplating or anodizing bath daily.

(E) Mechanical Fume Suppressant and Foam Blankets

(i) The owner or operator using a foam blanket to comply with the emission standards of subdivisions (e) or (d)(h) or (i), shall record the foam thickness hourly for 15 operating days, and daily thereafter as long as there is no violation of the foam thickness requirement. If a violation occurs, the measurement frequency shall return to hourly for 15 operating days, and daily thereafter.

(ii) The owner or operator using polyballs or other mechanical fume suppressants to comply with the emission standards of subdivisions (e) or (d)(h) or (i), shall record the coverage of the electroplating or anodizing bath daily. Coverage shall be reported as a percentage of bath surface area.

(5) Breakdown Records

The owner or operator shall maintain records of the occurrence, duration, and cause (if known) and action taken on each breakdown.

(6) Records of Excesses

The owner or operator shall maintain records of exceedances of: the emission limitations in subdivision(s) (e) and (d)(h) and (i), the monitoring parameter values established under subdivision (g)(m), or any site-specific operating parameters established for alternative equipment. The records shall include the date of the occurrence, the duration, cause (if known), and, where possible, the magnitude of any excess emissions.

(7) The owner or operator shall maintain records demonstrating compliance
with housekeeping practices and best management practices, as required by paragraph (e)(4) subdivisions (f) and (g), including the dates on which specific activities were completed, and records showing that chromium or chromium-containing wastes have been stored, disposed of, recovered, or recycled using practices that do not lead to fugitive emissions.

Records of Fume Suppressant Additions

For sources using fume suppressants to comply with the standards, the owner or operator shall maintain records of the date, time, approximate volume, and product identification of the fume suppressants that are added to the electroplating or anodizing bath.

Records of Trivalent Bath Components

For sources complying with paragraph (e)(4)(h)(3) using trivalent chromium baths, the owner or operator shall maintain records of the bath components purchased, with the wetting agent clearly identified as a bath constituent contained in one of the components.

Records of Filter Purchase and Disposal

For sources using add-on air pollution control devices to comply with the standards, the owner or operator shall retain purchase orders for filters and waste manifest records for filter disposal.

New/Modified Source Review Information

The owner or operator shall maintain records supporting the notifications and reports required by the District’s new source review provisions and/or subdivision (l).

The owner or operator shall keep records of building inspection and repairs specified in paragraphs (e)(6) and (e)(7).

Records Retention

All records shall be maintained for five years, at least two years on site.

Reporting

Performance-Source Test Documentation

(A) Notification of Performance-Source Test

(i) The owner or operator of a source shall notify the Executive
Officer that a performance source test shall be conducted at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled.

(ii) The provisions in clause (kp)(1)(A)(i), above, do not apply if the performance source test was conducted prior to July 24, 1997 and was approved by the Executive Officer and the U.S. EPA.

(B) Reports of Performance Source Test Results
The owner or operator shall report performance source test results to the Executive Officer. Reports of performance source test results shall be submitted no later than 90 calendar days following the completion of the required performance source test, and shall be submitted as part of the notification of compliance status required by paragraphs (kp)(2) and (p)(3).

(C) The content of performance source test reports shall contain, at a minimum, the information identified in Appendix 1.

(2) Initial Compliance Status Report
An initial compliance status report is required each time that a source becomes subject to the requirements of this rule. The owner or operator shall submit to the Executive Officer an initial compliance status report, signed by the responsible official who shall certify its accuracy, attesting to whether the source has complied with this rule.

(A) Initial Compliance Status Report Due Date
The initial compliance status report for existing facilities shall be submitted to the Executive Officer no later than April 24, 2008. New or modified facilities shall submit the initial compliance status report upon start-up.

(B) The initial compliance status report shall contain, at a minimum, the information identified in Appendix 2.

(3) Ongoing Compliance Status and Emission Reports
The owner or operator shall submit a summary report to the Executive Officer to document the ongoing compliance status.

(A) Frequency of Ongoing Compliance Status and Emission Reports
The report shall be submitted each calendar year on or before February 1 for all sources and shall include information covering the preceding calendar year (January 1 through December 31).
(B) The content of ongoing compliance status and emission reports shall, at a minimum, contain the information identified in Appendix 3.

(4) Reports of Breakdowns

(A) The owner or operator shall report breakdowns as required by District Rule 430 notify the Executive Officer within one hour of the incident or within one hour of the time the owner or operator knew or reasonably should have known of, any failed smoke test, any failed source test, any exceedance of a permitted ampere-hour limit, or any malfunction of a non-resettable ampere-hour meter by calling 1-800-CUT SMOG. In the cases of emergencies that prevent the owner or operator from reporting all required information within the 1 hour limit, the Executive Officer may extend the time for reporting the required information provided such owner or operator has notified the Executive Officer of the incident within the 24-hour limit. The notification shall include the following information:

(i) Date and time of the incident and when it was discovered;
(ii) Specific location and equipment involved;
(iii) Responsible party to contact for further information;
(iv) Causes of the incident, to the extent known; and
(v) Estimated time for repairs and correction.

(B) Within seven calendar days after a reported incident has been corrected, but no later than thirty calendar days from the initial date of the incident, unless an extension has been approved in writing by the Executive Officer, the owner or operator shall submit a written incident report to the Executive Officer that includes:

(i) An identification of the equipment involved in causing, or suspected of having caused, or having been affected by the incident;
(ii) The duration of the incident;
(iii) The date of correction and information demonstrating that compliance is achieved;
(iv) An identification of the types of emissions, if any, resulting from the incident;
(v) A quantification of the excess emissions, if any, resulting from the incident;
from the incident and the basis used to quantify the emissions;
(vi) Information substantiating that steps were immediately taken to correct the condition causing the incident, and to minimize the emissions, if any, resulting from the incident;
(vii) Written verification that the facility is operating in compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1469. If the facility is not in compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1469, provide an approximate date the facility is expected to be in compliance;
(viii) A description of the corrective measures undertaken and/or to be undertaken to avoid such an incident in the future; and
(ix) Pictures of the equipment that failed, if available.

(5) Reports Associated with Trivalent Chromium Baths Exclusively Using a Chemical Fume Suppressant Containing a Wetting Agent

Owners or operators with trivalent chromium baths exclusively using a certified chemical fume suppressant containing a wetting agent to comply with subparagraph (c)(14)(A)(h)(5)(A) are not subject to paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subdivision, but shall instead submit the following reports:

(A) Sources Currently Using Trivalent Chromium

No later than November 24, 2007, the owner or operator of an existing facility shall submit a notification of compliance status that contains the information specified in (k)p(5)(A)(i) through (iii). New and modified facilities shall submit this information within 30 days after December 5, 2008, the effective date of this rule.

(i) The name and address of each source subject to this paragraph;
(ii) A statement that a trivalent chromium process that incorporates a wetting agent will be used to comply; and
(iii) The list of bath components that comprise the trivalent chromium bath, with the wetting agent clearly identified.

(B) Sources Changing to Trivalent Chromium

Within 30 days of a change to the trivalent chromium electroplating process, a report that includes:

(i) A description of the manner in which the process has been
changed and the emission limitation, if any, now applicable to the source; and

(ii) The notification and reporting requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this subdivision, if the source complies with the emission limitation option, or paragraph (5) of this subdivision, if the source uses a wetting agent to comply. The report shall be submitted in accordance with the schedules identified in those paragraphs.

(6) Adjustments to the Timeline for Submittal and Format of Reports

The Executive Officer may adjust the timeline for submittal of periodic reports, allow consolidation of multiple reports into a single report, establish a common schedule for submittal of reports, or accept reports prepared to comply with other state or local requirements. Adjustments shall provide the same information and shall not alter the overall frequency of reporting.

(4) New and Modified Sources

(1) Notification of Construction

After the effective date of this rule no person may construct or modify a source, such that it becomes a source subject to this section, without submitting a notification of construction or modification to the Executive Officer and receiving approval in advance to construct or modify the source. The contents of the Notification of Construction shall include information as listed in Appendix 4.

(2) New Source Review Rules

In lieu of complying with the requirements in paragraph (4)(1) of this subdivision, a facility may fulfill these requirements by complying with the District's new source review rule or policy, provided similar information is obtained.

(4g) Procedure for Establishing Alternative Requirements

(1) Request Approval of an Alternative Requirement

Any person may request approval of an alternative requirement. The person seeking such approval shall submit the proposed alternative requirement to the Executive Officer for approval. The request shall include the proposed alternative requirement, the reason for requesting the
alternative requirement, and information demonstrating that the criteria for approval identified in Appendix 6 is met.

(2) Approval of an Alternative Requirement
The Executive Officer may approve an alternative requirement if it determines that application of the alternative requirement meets the criteria for approval identified in Appendix 6 and the Executive Officer has submitted the proposed alternative requirements and has received concurrence from the applicable concurring agencies identified in Appendix 6.

(3) Approval Criteria
Nothing in this subdivision prohibits the Executive Officer from establishing approval criteria more stringent than that required in Appendix 6.

(4) Alternatives Already Approved by U.S. EPA
Waivers for alternatives already approved by the U.S. EPA prior to October 24, 2007 shall remain in effect until the effective dates of the specified requirements become effective.

(nr) Exemptions
(1) This rule shall not apply to process tanks associated with a chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing process in which neither chromium electroplating nor chromic acid anodizing is taking place. Examples of such tanks include, but are not limited to, rinse tanks, etching tanks, and cleaning tanks. Tanks that contain a chromium solution in which no electrolytic process occurs, are not subject to this rule. An example of such a tank is a chromium conversion coating tank where no electrical current is applied.

(2)(1) The requirements of subdivisions (g), (h), and (i)(m) and (n) do not apply to decorative chromium electroplating tanks using a trivalent chromium bath with a wetting agent.

(3) The requirements of paragraphs (c)(8) through (c)(14), (d)(5) and (d)(6), and subdivision (i) do not apply during periods of equipment breakdown, provided the provisions of District Rule 430 are met, notwithstanding subparagraph (b)(3)(B) of Rule 430.

(o) Title V Permit Requirements
The owner or operator of a major source facility subject to the requirements of this section is required to obtain a Title V permit from the District in accordance with the procedures set forth in District Regulation XXX.

(PS) Rule 1402 Inventory Requirements
The owner or operator of chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing tanks at a facility that is in compliance with this rule will not be required to submit an emission inventory to the Executive Officer for emissions of toxic compounds subject to this rule, pursuant to subparagraph (n)(1)(B) paragraph (p)(1) of Rule 1402 - Control of Toxic Air Contaminants from Existing Sources.

(q) Chromium Electroplating or Chromic Acid Anodizing Kits Requirements
(1) Except as provided in paragraph (q)(2), no person shall sell, supply, offer for sale, or manufacture for sale in the District, any chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing kit.
(2) The provisions of paragraph (q)(1) do not apply to any person that sells, supplies, offers for sale, or manufactures for sale in the District a chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing kit to the owner or operator of a permitted facility at which chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing is performed.
(3) No person shall use a chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing kit to perform chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing unless these activities are performed at a permitted facility that complies with the requirements of this rule.
(4) For the purposes of this section, “chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing kit” means chemicals and associated equipment for conducting chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing including, but not limited to, internal and external tank components.

(t) Conditional Requirements for Permanent Total Enclosure
(1) The owner or operator of a facility that conducts chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing operations shall install a Permanent Total Enclosure for a Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank if:
   (A) More than one incident of conducting a non-passing source test as required in paragraph (k)(1) within a consecutive 48-month period; or
   (B) More than one incident of the owner or operator failing to cease
operating an electroplating or anodizing line associated with a
failed measurement of the collection system of an add-on air
pollution control device, or a failed smoke test of an add-on air
pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution
control device within a consecutive 48-month period.

(2) Prior to determining if a facility is required to install a permanent total
enclosure, the owner or operator of a facility may contest the requirement
to install a permanent total enclosure.

(A) Within 30 days of the date of notification by the Executive Officer,
the owner or operator of facility may submit a written report to the
Executive Officer providing evidence that the installation of a
Permanent Total Enclosure is not warranted based on the following
criteria:

(i) The incidences of non-compliances specified in paragraph
(t)(1) did not occur; and

(ii) The owner or operator resolved the incidences of non-
compliance specified in paragraph (t)(1) in a timely manner;

(iii) The owner or operator implemented specific measures to
minimize hexavalent chromium emissions.

(B) The Executive Officer shall use the information provided by the
owner or operator of a facility to determine if a permanent total
enclosure is required and will notify the owner or operator within
90 days of receiving the written report.

(3) The owner or operator required to install a permanent total enclosure
pursuant to subsection (t) shall vent the Permanent Total Enclosure to an
add-on air pollution control device that is fitted with HEPA filters, or other
filter media that is rated by the manufacturer to be equally or more
effective, and designed in a manner that does not conflict with
requirements or guidelines set forth by OSHA or CAL-OSHA regarding
worker safety, or the National Fire Protection Association regarding safety.

(4) The owner or operator that has been notified by the Executive Officer to
have triggered the requirement specified in paragraph (t)(1) shall install the
permanent total enclosure no later than 12 months after the Permit to
Construct is issued by the Executive Officer. The owner or operator shall
submit complete permit applications for the permanent total enclosure to
the Executive Officer no later than:

(A) 180 days after notification by the Executive Officer if the property line of the facility is within 500 feet of the property line of any sensitive receptor, school, or early education center.

(B) 270 days after notification by the Executive Officer for all other facilities.

(u) Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan

(1) The owner or operator shall not be subject to the requirements of paragraph (h)(4) to vent a Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank, existing on or before [Date of Adoption], to an add-on air pollution control device, if the owner or operator submits a Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan to the Executive Officer for review and approval no later than [90 Days after Date of Adoption] containing the following:

(A) A commitment that the facility will permanently eliminate or reduce hexavalent chromium concentrations within the subject tank to below the concentration of a Tier I Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank;

(B) A description of the method by which hexavalent chromium concentrations will be permanently eliminated or reduced from the subject tank(s) and the date of final completion, not to exceed 2 years from approval of the Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan;

(C) A list of milestones, including any testing required to meet specifications or quality assurance requirements, that are necessary to occur in order to allow the facility to reduce or eliminate hexavalent chromium by the completion date;

(D) Completion date for each of the milestones listed in subparagraph (u)(1)(C); and

(E) A list of all control measures that will be implemented for the subject tank(s), including dates of implementation, until the hexavalent chromium-concentration is eliminated or reduced as stated.

(2) The plan shall be subject to the fees specified in Rule 306.

(3) The Executive Officer shall notify the owner or operator in writing whether the plan is approved or disapproved. Determination of approval status shall be based on, at a minimum, submittal of information that satisfies the
criteria set forth in paragraph (u)(1). If the plan is disapproved, the owner or operator shall resubmit the plan, subject to plan fees specified in Rule 306, within 30 calendar days after notification of disapproval of the hexavalent chromium phase out plan. The resubmitted plan shall include any information necessary to address deficiencies identified in the disapproval letter.

(3) Upon approval of the Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan, the owner or operator shall implement the approved plan and shall submit a monthly progress report to the Executive Officer by the 5th of each month indicating the performance to meet the increments of progress for the previous month.

(4) If the owner or operator does not eliminate or reduce hexavalent chromium by the final completion date as stated in the approved Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan or the Executive Officer denies a resubmitted Hexavalent Chromium Phase-Out Plan, the owner or operator shall:

(A) Submit complete permit applications for add-on air pollution control device required to meet the requirements of paragraph (h)(4) within 30 days of when the facility knew, or should have known, it could not meet the completion date; and

(B) Install the add-on air pollution control device(s) no later than 180 days after a Permit to Construct for the add-on air pollution control device(s) has been issued by the Executive Officer.
Appendix 1 – Content of Performance Test Reports.

Performance test reports shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

1. A brief process description;
2. Sampling location description(s);
3. A description of sampling and analytical procedures and any modifications to standard procedures;
4. Test results in milligrams/ampere-hour;
5. Quality assurance procedures and results;
6. Records of operating conditions during the test, preparation of standards, and calibration procedures;
7. Original data for field sampling and field and laboratory analyses;
8. Documentation of calculations; and
9. Applicable Industrial Ventilation Limits;
10. Collection slot velocities (if applicable);
11. Measured static or velocity pressure at the push manifold, collection manifold, across each stage of the control device, and exhaust stack (if applicable); and
12. Any other information required by the test method.

Note: Test reports consistent with the provisions of ARB Method 425 will fulfill the above performance test report content requirement.
Appendix 2 – Content of Initial Compliance Status Reports.

Initial compliance status reports shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

1. Facility name, AQMD ID number, facility address, owner/operator name, and telephone number;
2. The distance of the facility to the property line of the nearest commercial/industrial building and sensitive receptor using measurement methods provided in paragraph (c)(11)(B) paragraph (h)(2);
3. Sensitive receptor locations, if they are located within one-quarter of a mile from the center of the facility;
4. Building parameters
   • Stack height in feet (point sources); or
   • Building area in square feet (volume sources).
5. Maximum potential rectifier capacity per tank and facility maximum operating schedule (more than or less than or equal to 12 hours per day);
6. The applicable emission limitation and the methods that were used to determine compliance with this limitation;
7. Facility-wide emissions established under paragraph (d)(4), if applicable;
8. If a performance source test is required, the test report documenting the results of the performance source test, which contains the elements listed in Appendix 1;
9. If an initial smoke test demonstrating the capture efficiency of a ventilation system or add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device is required, the test report documenting the results which contain the elements listed in Appendix 89;
10. The type and quantity, in pounds, of hazardous air pollutants emitted by the source. (If the owner or operator is subject to the construction and modification provisions of subdivision (l) and had previously submitted emission estimates, the owner or operator shall state that this report corrects or verifies the previous estimate.);
11. For each monitored parameter for which a compliant value is to be established under subdivision (m)(g), the specific operating parameter value, or range of values, that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limit;
The methods that will be used to determine continuous compliance, including a description of monitoring and reporting requirements, if methods differ from those identified in this section;

A description of the air pollution control technique for each emission point;

A statement that the owner or operator has completed and has on file the operation and maintenance plan as required by subdivision (n)(i);

The actual cumulative ampere-hour usage expended during the preceding calendar year, if operation occurred;

Information on calculations for the building enclosure envelope pursuant to paragraph (e)(1), including locations and dimensions of openings that are counted towards the 3% allowance;

A statement that the owner or operator, or personnel designated by the owner or operator, has completed a District-approved training program pursuant to paragraph (e)(7) subdivision (j); and

A statement by the owner or operator as to whether the source has complied with the provisions of this section.
Appendix 3 – Content of Ongoing Compliance Status and Emission Reports.

Ongoing compliance status and emission reports shall, at a minimum, contain the following information:

1. The company name and address of the source;
2. An identification of the operating parameter that is monitored for compliance determination, as required by subdivision (m)(g);
3. The relevant emission limitation for the source, and the operating parameter value, or range of values, that correspond to compliance with this emission limitation as specified in the notification of initial compliance status required by Appendix 2;
4. The beginning and ending dates of the calendar year for the reporting period;
5. A description of the type of process performed in the source;
6. The actual cumulative rectifier usage expended during the calendar year of the reporting period, on a month-by-month basis, if the source is a hard or decorative chromium electroplating tank or chromic acid anodizing tank;
7. Updated facility-wide emissions—established under paragraph (d)(4), if applicable;
8. Hexavalent chromium and trivalent chromium emissions data in grams per year for the reporting period;
9. Sensitive receptor distances, if they are located within ¼ of mile from the center of the facility and facility maximum operating schedule (more than or less than or equal to 12 hours per day), if changed since submittal of the initial compliance status report or subsequent ongoing compliance status and emission reports. Sensitive receptor distances shall be measured using methods provided in (h)(2)-(c)(11)(B);
10. A summary of any excess emissions or exceeded monitoring parameters as identified in the records required by paragraph (io)(6);
11. A certification by a responsible official that the inspection and maintenance requirements in subdivision (nh) were followed in accordance with the operation and maintenance plan for the source;
12. If the operation and maintenance plan required by subdivision (ni) was not followed, an explanation of the reasons for not following the provisions, an assessment of whether any excess emissions and/or monitoring parameter excesses are believed to have occurred, and a copy of the record(s) required by paragraph (oj)(1) documenting that the operation and maintenance plan was not followed;
13. If applicable, results of periodic smoke tests demonstrating capture efficiency of ventilation system(s) an add-on air pollution control device or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device conducted during the reporting period;
14. A description of any changes in monitoring, processes, or controls since the last reporting period;
15. A statement that the owner or operator, or personnel designated by the owner or operator has, within the last 2 years, completed a District-approved training program pursuant to paragraph (c)(7) subdivision (j);
16. Add-on air pollution ventilation measurements conducted during the most recent District-approved passing source test that include:
   (A) The velocity of each collection slot, including the velocity values that would be 95% and 90% of the source-tested value.
   (B) For push-pull systems, the pressure of each push air manifold, including the pressure values that would be 110%, 105%, 95%, and 90% of the source-tested value;
17. A summary of any pollution prevention measures that the facility has implemented that eliminates or reduces the use of hexavalent chromium in the chromium electroplating or chromic acid anodizing process an associated process tanks.
18. Updated information on calculations for the building enclosure envelope pursuant to paragraph (e)(1), including locations and dimensions of openings that are counted towards the 3% allowance.
19. The name, title, and signature of the responsible official who is certifying the accuracy of the report; and
20. The date of the report.
Appendix 4—Notification of Construction Reports.

Notification of Construction reports shall contain the following information:

(A) The owner or operator's name, title, and address;
(B) The address (i.e., physical location) or proposed address of the source if different from the owner's or operator's;
(C) A notification of intention to construct a new source or make any physical or operational changes to a source that may meet or has been determined to meet the criteria for a modification;
(D) The expected commencement and completion dates of the construction or modification;
(E) The anticipated date of (initial) startup of the source;
(F) The type of process operation to be performed (hard or decorative chromium electroplating, or chromic acid anodizing);
(G) A description of the air pollution control technique to be used to control emissions, such as preliminary design drawings and design capacity if an add-on air pollution control device is used; and
(H) An estimate of emissions from the source based on engineering calculations and vendor information on control device efficiency, expressed in units consistent with the emission limits of this subpart. Calculations of emission estimates should be in sufficient detail to permit assessment of the validity of the calculations.

Note: A facility can fulfill these report content requirements by complying with the District's new source review rule or policy, provided similar information is obtained.
### Appendix 4 – Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements

#### Table 4-1:
Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) or Add-On Non-Ventilated Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite mesh-pad (CMP) system.</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect device to ensure that there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup on the pads, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the device.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect back portion of the mesh pad closest to the fan to ensure there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Visually inspect ductwork from tank to the control device to ensure there are no leaks.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Perform washdown of the composite mesh-pads in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations.</td>
<td>4. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed-bed scrubber (PBS)</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect device to ensure there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup on the packed-beds, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the device.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect back portion of the chevron blade mist eliminator to ensure that it is dry and there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Same as number 3 above for CMP system.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Add fresh makeup water to the packed-bed(^{\text{a}}).</td>
<td>4. Whenever makeup is added.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\text{a}}\) Horizontal packed-bed scrubbers without continuous recirculation must add make-up water to the top of the packed-bed.
Table 4-1: Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) or Add-On Non-Ventilated Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBS/CMP system</td>
<td>1. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>3. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Same as for CMP system.</td>
<td>4. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-bed mist eliminator&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect fiber-bed unit and prefiltering device to ensure there is proper drainage, no unusual chromic acid buildup in the units, and no evidence of chemical attack that affects the structural integrity of the devices.</td>
<td>1. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect ductwork from tank or tanks to the control device to ensure there are no leaks.</td>
<td>2. Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Perform washdown of fiber elements in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations.</td>
<td>3. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Efficiency Particulate Arrestors filter (HEPA)</td>
<td>1. Look for changes in the pressure drop.</td>
<td>1. Once per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Replace HEPA filter.</td>
<td>2. Per manufacturer’s specifications or District’s requirement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>B</sup> Inspection and maintenance requirements for the control device installed upstream of the fiber-bed mist eliminator to prevent plugging do not apply as long as the inspection and maintenance requirements for the fiber-bed unit are followed.
### Table 4-1:
**Summary of Inspection and Maintenance Requirements for Sources Using Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) or Add-On Non-Ventilated Air Pollution Control Device(s) (cont)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Technique/Equipment</th>
<th>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Tank Covers</td>
<td>1. Drain the air-inlet (purge air) valves at the end of each day that the tank is in operation.</td>
<td>1. Once per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Visually inspect access door seals and membranes for integrity.</td>
<td>2. Once per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Drain the evacuation unit directly into the electroplating tank or into the rinse tanks (for recycle into the electroplating tank).</td>
<td>3. Once per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitot tube</td>
<td>Backflush with water, or remove from the duct and rinse with fresh water. Replace in the duct and rotate 180 degrees to ensure that the same zero reading is obtained. Check Pitot tube ends for damage. Replace Pitot tube if cracked or fatigued.</td>
<td>Once per quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampere-hour meter</td>
<td>Install and maintain per manufacturer’s specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Gauge</td>
<td>1. Install and maintain per manufacturer’s specification at each Tier I and II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank.</td>
<td>1. Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Calibrated or confirmed to be accurate.</td>
<td>2. Once every 180 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Slots and Push Air Manifolds for Push-Pull Systems</td>
<td>1. Visually inspect slots and push air manifolds to confirm that there are no obstructions or clogs.</td>
<td>1. Once per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Clean slots or push air manifolds.</td>
<td>2. Once every 180 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Measure slot velocity of each slot and pressure at each push air manifold using a hot-wire anemometer, vein anemometer, or approved device</td>
<td>3. Once every 180 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure and Air Flow Gauges</td>
<td>Install and maintain per manufacturer’s specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Inspection and Maintenance Requirement for Monitoring Equipment</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampere-hour meter</td>
<td>Install and maintain per manufacturer’s specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmometer/ Tensiometer</td>
<td>Calibrate and maintain per manufacturer’s specifications.</td>
<td>Per manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 5 – Smoke Test for Chromium Tank Covers.

SMOKE TEST TO VERIFY THE SEAL INTEGRITY OF COVERS DESIGNED TO REDUCE CHROMIUM EMISSIONS FROM CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND CHROMIC ACID ANODIZING, AND TIER II HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM-CONTAINING TANKS

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This alternative method is applicable to all hard chromium electroplating and anodizing operations, Tier II Hexavalent Chromium-Containing Tank where a chromium tank cover or add-on non-ventilated air pollution control device is used on the tank for reducing chromium emissions.

1.2 Principle. During chromium electroplating or anodizing electrolytic operations, gas bubbles of hydrogen and oxygen gas generated during the process rise to the surface of the tank liquid and burst. Non-electrolytic tanks that are either heated or air sparged generate bubbles that rise to the surface. Upon bursting, tiny droplets of chromic acid (chromium mist) or hexavalent chromium laden become entrained in the air above the tank. Because the chromium tank cover completely encloses the air above the tank, the chromium mist either falls back into the solution because of gravity or collects on the inside walls of the chromium tank cover and runs back into the solution. A semi-permeable membrane allows passage of the hydrogen and oxygen out of the chromium tank cover. A lit smoke device is placed inside the chromium tank cover to detect leaks at the membrane, joints, or seals.

2. Apparatus

2.1 Smoke device. Adequate to generate 500 to 1000 ft$^3$ of smoke/20 ft$^2$ of tank surface area (e.g., Model #1A=15 SECONDS from Superior Signal, New York).

2.2 Small container. To hold the smoke device.

3. Procedure

Place the small container on a stable and flat area at center of the chromium tank cover (you can use a board and place it on the buss bars). Place the smoke device inside the container. After lighting activating the smoke device, quickly close the access door to avoid smoke from escaping. Let smoke device completely burn; fill the entire space under the chromium tank cover will now be filled with the smoke. Observe for leaks of smoke from each seal, joint, and membrane of the chromium tank cover. Record these observations including the locations and a qualitative assessment of any leaks of smoke.
When all seals, joints, and membranes have been observed, evacuate the unit to remove the smoke from the chromium tank cover.
### Appendix 6 – Approval of Alternatives for Specific Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description of Authority</th>
<th>Approving Agency</th>
<th>Concurring Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Assisting an owner or operator in determining whether a facility is subject to the ATCM</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)(h)</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Approving alternative standards</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)(1)</td>
<td>Performance Test Requirement</td>
<td>Waiving a performance test requirement</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)(2)</td>
<td>Test Method</td>
<td>Approving site-specific alternatives to test methods</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA for major changes, and ARB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)(4)</td>
<td>Pre-Test Protocol</td>
<td>Approving pre-test protocols</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)(5)</td>
<td>Test All Emission Points</td>
<td>Waiving the requirement to test all emission points</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)(1)</td>
<td>Parameter Monitoring</td>
<td>Approving site-specific changes in monitoring methodology</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA for major changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)(n)</td>
<td>Inspection and Maintenance Requirements</td>
<td>Approving site-specific changes to inspection and maintenance requirements</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)(n)</td>
<td>Operation and Maintenance Plans</td>
<td>Approving or requiring site-specific changes to operation and maintenance plans</td>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)(1)</td>
<td>Recordkeeping</td>
<td>Waiving or altering recordkeeping requirements</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA for major changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Proposed Amended Rule 1469 (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description of Authority</th>
<th>Approving Agency</th>
<th>Concurring Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-(o)(11)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)(12)(o)(12)</td>
<td>Retention of Records</td>
<td>Waiving or altering the requirement to retain records for 5 years</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA for major changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)(p)</td>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Waiving or altering reporting requirements</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>U.S. EPA for major changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Minor change to a test method or monitoring is a modification to a federally enforceable test method or monitoring that (a) does not decrease the stringency of the emission limitation or standard or the compliance and enforcement measures for the relevant standard; (b) has no national significance (e.g., does not affect implementation of the application regulation for other affected sources, does not set a national precedent, and individually does not result in a revision to the test method or monitoring requirement); and (c) is site specific, made to reflect or accommodate the operation characteristics, physical constraints, or safety concerns of an affected source.

2. Intermediate change to a test method is a within-method modification to a federally enforceable test method involving “proven technology” (generally accepted by the scientific community as equivalent or better) that is applied on a site-specific basis and that may have the potential to decrease the stringency of the associated emission limitation or standard. Intermediate changes are not approvable if they decrease the stringency of the standard.

3. Major change to a test method or monitoring is a modification to a federally enforceable test method or federally required monitoring that uses unproven technology or procedures or is an entirely new method (sometimes necessary when the required test method is unsuitable).

4. Intermediate change to monitoring is a modification to federally required monitoring involving “proven technology” (generally accepted by the scientific community as equivalent or better) that is applied on a site-specific basis and that may have the potential to decrease the stringency of the compliance and enforcement measures for the relevant standard.

5. U.S. EPA concurrence is not needed for adjustments made according to paragraph (kp)(6).
Appendix 7—Distance-Adjusted Ampere-Hour and Annual Emissions Limits For Facilities Located More Than 25 Meters from a Residence or Sensitive Receptor.

Facilities subject to the interim requirements of paragraph (c)(9) or complying with the interim facility-wide mass emission rate in paragraph (d)(4) may adjust the ampere-hour or annual emission limits according to actual receptor distance. Ampere-hour limits refer to actual consumption of electrical current from all hexavalent chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations at a facility.

Use the following tables to determine the appropriate ampere-hours or annual emissions for compliance with the interim emission limitations in paragraph (c)(9), or compliance with the interim facility-wide mass emission rate in paragraph (d)(4) according to the distance to the nearest receptor. Receptor distance is measured as follows:

Table 7-1
Measuring Receptor Distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Type</th>
<th>Measure From:</th>
<th>Measure To:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Point-Source, Single-Stack</td>
<td>Stack</td>
<td>Property Line of Nearest Receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point-Source, Multiple Stacks</td>
<td>Centroid of Stacks</td>
<td>Property Line of Nearest Receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume-Source No-Stack</td>
<td>Center of Building</td>
<td>Property Line of Nearest Receptor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7-2

**Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operation Vented to Air Pollution Control Device(s) Normally Operating 12 Hours Per Day or Less**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Nearest Receptor (m)</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampere-Hours/yr (x10^6)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Emissions (lbs/yr)</strong></td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7-3

**Any Hexavalent Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operation Vented to Air Pollution Control Device(s) Normally Operating More Than 12 Hours Per Day**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Nearest Receptor (m)</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampere-Hours/yr (x10^6)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Emissions (lbs/yr)</strong></td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Nearest Receptor (m)</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampere-Hours/yr (x10^6)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Emissions (lbs/yr)</strong></td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7-4

**Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations Without Air Pollution Control**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Nearest Receptor (m)</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampere-Hours/yr (×10^6)</strong></td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Emissions (lbs/yr)</strong></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance to Nearest Receptor (m)</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampere-Hours/yr (×10^6)</strong></td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>8.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Emissions (lbs/yr)</strong></td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 78 – Information Demonstrating an Alternative Method(s) of Compliance Pursuant to Paragraph (d)(6). Subdivision (i)

The owner or operator of a facility applying for approval of an alternative method of compliance must submit to the District the following information.

1. A performance source test as specified in subdivision (e)(i). The test shall have been conducted in a manner consistent with normal electroplating or anodizing operations.

2. A demonstration that the alternative method achieves an equal or greater amount of reductions in hexavalent chromium emissions than would be achieved with direct compliance with the applicable emission rate in (e)(11)(A), (e)(12)(A)(ii), or (e)(13)(A)(iv)(h)(2) or (h)(4).

3. Calculations based on scientifically valid risk assessment methodologies demonstrating that the alternative method results in reducing risk equally or greater than the risk reduction that would be achieved by direct compliance with the applicable emission rate in Table 2 of subparagraph (e)(11)(A), (e)(12)(A)(ii), or (e)(13)(A)(iv). A facility using in-tank controls shall only be modeled as a volume source and the resulting risk compared to the same facility modeled as a point source.

4. Documentation which demonstrates that the method is enforceable, including an operation and maintenance plan, an inspection and maintenance schedule, and a recordkeeping plan.

5. A demonstration that the facility is at least 25 meters from a sensitive receptor.
Appendix 89 – Smoke Test to Demonstrate Capture Efficiency for Ventilation Systems of an Add-on Air Pollution Control Device(s) Pursuant to Paragraph (ek)(76).

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable to all hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromic acid anodizing operations where an add-on air pollution control device is used to reduce chromium emissions from the chromium electroplating or anodizing tank.

1.2 Principle. During chromium electroplating or anodizing operations, bubbles of hydrogen and oxygen gas generated during the process rise to the surface of the tank liquid and burst. Upon bursting, tiny droplets of chromic acid (chromium mist) become entrained in the air above the tank. Collection of this chromium mist is achieved by the ventilation system associated with the add-on air pollution control device for the tank(s) where chromium emissions are reduced downstream. Emission control efficiency at the exhaust of an add-on control device is related to capture efficiency at the inlet of the ventilation system add-on air pollution control device. For this reason, it is imperative that 100% capture efficiency is maintained. A smoke device placed within the area where collection of chromic mist by the ventilation system add-on air pollution control device occurs reveals this capture efficiency.

2. Apparatus

2.1 Smoke Generator. Adequate to produce a persistent stream of visible smoke (e.g., Model #15-049 Tel Tru™ T-T Smoke Sticks from E. Vernon Hill, Incorporated).

3. Testing Conditions

The smoke test shall be conducted while the add-on air pollution control device is in normal operation and under typical draft conditions representative of the facility’s chromium electroplating and/or chromic acid anodizing operations. This includes cooling fans and openings affecting draft conditions around the tank area including, but not limited to, vents, windows, doorways, bay doors, and roll-ups.

The tank shall be in full operation during the smoke test with parts being processed (e.g., air sparging turned on). The smoke generator must be at full generation during the entire test and operated according to manufacturer’s suggested use.

3. Procedure

The smoke test shall be conducted over a minimum twelve point matrix evenly distributed over the entire liquid surface of each chromium electroplating or
chromic acid anodizing tank vented to the add-on air pollution control device. Place the aperture of the smoke device at each point of the matrix at a height within one inch above the tank top. Observe collection of the smoke to the collection location(s) of the ventilation system add-on air pollution control device. An acceptable smoke test shall demonstrate a direct stream to the collection location(s) of the ventilation system add-on air pollution control device without meanderings out of this direct path. Record these observations at each of the points on the matrix providing a qualitative assessment of the collection of smoke to the ventilation system add-on air pollution control device. The test shall also be documented by photographs or video at each point of the matrix.
Appendix 210 – Surface Tension Measurement Procedure for a Stalagmometer

The stalagmometer shall first be properly cleaned before being used for the first time and after a period of storage. Properly clean the stalagmometer using the following procedure:

1. Set up stalagmometer in stand in a fume hood.
2. Place a clean 150 mL beaker underneath the stalagmometer then fill with reagent grade concentrated nitric acid. Immerse bottom tip (approximately ½”) of stalagmometer into the beaker.
3. Squeeze rubber bulb and pinch at the arrow up (1) position to collapse. Place bulb end securely on top end of stalagmometer. Carefully draw the nitric acid by pinching the arrow up (1) position until the level is above the top etched line.
4. Allow nitric acid to remain in stalagmometer for 5 minutes and then carefully remove the bulb allowing the acid to completely drain.
5. Fill a clean 150 mL beaker with distilled or deionized water. Using the rubber bulb per the instructions in Step #3, rinse and drain stalagmometer with deionized or distilled water until the inside is “water break” free.
6. Fill a clean 150 mL beaker with isopropyl alcohol. Again using the rubber bulb per Step #3, rinse and drain stalagmometer twice with isopropyl alcohol and allow the stalagmometer to dry completely.
7. Take a sample of the solution to be tested and adjust the solution to room temperature. Measure the specific gravity and record reading.
8. Fill a clean 150 mL beaker with solution to be tested. Immerse bottom end of stalagmometer into the beaker. Fill the stalagmometer per instructions in Step #3, making sure that the solution level is above the top etched line.
9. Raise the stalagmometer so that the bottom end is completely out of solution. Remove bulb and immediately place a finger on the top end of the stalagmometer. Carefully use the finger to bring the solution level down to the top etched line. Do not release finger at this time.
10. “Wipe” the excess solution on the lower tip by touching it against the side of the beaker.
11. Release fingertip to allow solution to drain and count number of drops until the level reaches the bottom etched line.
**Calculations for Surface Tension**

Surface tension (dynes/cm) = \( \frac{S_w \times N_w \times D}{N \times D_w} \)

- \( S_w \): Surface tension of water at 25°C or 77°F (72.75 dynes/cm)
- \( N_w \): water drop number etched on instrument
- \( D \): measured specific gravity (g/ml)
- \( N \): # of solution drops
- \( D_w \): water density (1.0 g/mL)

**PRECAUTIONS:**

1. Make sure the stalagmometer is clean (no sludge or film)
2. No chips, cracks, etc
3. Vertical placement
4. No vibration
5. 20 drops per minute rate (10 dynes/cm) +/- 1 drop per minute
6. Performance checked with water. The number of drops etched on the instrument shall be verified with deionized water to +/- 1 drop. If the number of drops are not within 1 drop, then the stalagmometer shall be cleaned. If the cleaning process does not bring the drop count within 1 drop of the etched number on the instrument, then the operator shall:
   a) Purchase a new stalagmometer; or
   b) Use the number of drops recorded for the distilled water run as \( N_w \) in the equation instead of the number of drops etched on the stalagmometer.
7. Sample at room temperature.