Regulation XIII – New Source Review

Working Group Meeting
June 16, 2021

Join Zoom Webinar Meeting
https://scaqmd.zoom.us/j/98344812021
Webinar Meeting ID: 983 4481 2021
Teleconference Dial-In: 1-669-900-6833
Proposed Amended Rule 1304

Marathon Petroleum Comment Letter (May 12, 2021)

Federal NSR Applicability – Permit Project Aggregation
At the February 2021 Working Group Meeting, staff proposed to add a limited BACT exemption for “non-ozone precursors” in PAR 1304.

- Staff was concerned that “non-ozone precursor” may not be clear.

During the April 2021 Working Group Meeting, staff proposed to limit the BACT exemption to PM emissions only.

- Stakeholders requested that the BACT exemption be extended to SOx and CO for increases related to new equipment installed to meet NOx BARCT standards.
Applicability of the BACT Exemption

- Staff is proposing to extend the applicability of the BACT exemption to SOx since:
  - Incremental SOx emissions can occur when installing new permit units with SCR for NOx BARCT compliance
  - Narrow BACT exemptions for PM_{10} and SOx are needed to address emission increases associated with installation of new SCRs or new units with SCR for compliance with a NOx BARCT requirement
- BACT exemption will not apply to CO
Rule 2005 – RECLAIM NSR

- SOx emission increases at facilities that are in SOx RECLAIM are subject to Rule 2005 instead of Reg XIII
  - Refinery operations are usually subject to SOx RECLAIM
  - Rule 2005 will continue to apply for SOx during the NOx RECLAIM transition while facilities are implementing NOx BARCT\(^1\)
- Staff is proposing Rule 2005 clarifications for SOx emission increases associated with NOx BARCT compliance
- Staff is currently discussing with CARB the feasibility of the clarifications with BACT and SOx emissions

\(^1\) Rule 2005 will apply to both NOx and SOx until U.S. EPA SIP approves revisions to sunset NOx RECLAIM. Permits issued prior to final approval could continue to utilize Rule 2005 for both NOx and SOx
PAR 1304 – Updated Rule Language

▪ “…new or modified permit unit(s) that install add-on air pollution control equipment for control of NOx emissions, shall be exempt from the BACT requirement of Rule 1303 (a)(1) for any associated increase in PM$_{10}$ and/or SOx emissions caused by the operation of the add-on air pollution control equipment provided:

(A) The new or modified permit unit(s) is located at a RECLAIM or former RECLAIM facility and is being installed or modified to comply with a South Coast AQMD rule to meet a specified NOx Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) emission limit initially established before December 31, 2003;

(B) The cumulative total maximum rated capacity of all new and modified permit unit(s) is less than or equal to the cumulative total maximum rated capacity of the permit unit(s) being replaced and modified, and the new and/or modified permit unit(s) will serve the same purpose as those being replaced and modified. For the new and/or modified permit unit(s) the permit unit(s) being replaced, a maximum of 90 days is allowed as a start-up period for simultaneous operation;

(C) The facility does not have an increase in physical or operational design capacity, except for those changes needed for the new or modified permit unit(s) that meet the requirement of subparagraph (D)(1); An increase in efficiency is not an increase in the physical and operational design capacity;

(D) Emissions from the new or modified permit unit(s) do not cause an exceedance of any state or national ambient air quality standard, as demonstrated with modeling required in Rule 1303 (b)(1); and

(E) The new or modified permit unit(s) does not constitute a federal Major Stationary Source or Major Modification determined pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165 and 40 CFR 52.21.

(2) All other requirements of Regulation XIII – New Source Review, including but not limited to, permit conditions limiting monthly maximum emissions as required in Rule 1313 – Permits to Operate, shall apply regardless of the limited BACT exemption in paragraph (f)(1).
Marathon Petroleum Comment Letter (May 12, 2021)

PR 1109.1 staff received a letter from Marathon Petroleum Corporation (Marathon) on May 12, 2021

- Summary presented at PR 1109.1 Working Group Meeting #21 (May 27, 2021)

- Comments are more relevant to New Source Review than to PR 1109.1

- Marathon anticipates some of their SCR projects may exceed the federal Major Modification threshold for PM$_{2.5}$, which is 10 tons per year for Major Sources of PM$_{2.5}$

- An exceedance would:
  - Trigger BACT PM requirements
Comment 1: South Coast AQMD Provides No Information to Substantiate that Fine Particulate Matter Emissions due to SCR Would Likely Be Below Federal Major Modification Thresholds

Comment 2: Based on the Example a 425 MMBtu/hour Unit Will Exceed the PM$_{2.5}$ Federal Major Source Modification Threshold of 10 Tons per Year

Comment 3: EPA’s “Project Aggregation” Policy Must be Considered if the Emissions Increase for the SCR Project is Combined with Other SCR Projects at the Refinery
Staff evaluated existing refinery units to determine scenarios that may exceed the federal 10 tons per year PM$_{2.5}$ threshold.

PM emissions depend on several variables:
- Conversion of SO$_2$ to SO$_3$
- Fuel sulfur content
- Size of the unit

Staff is evaluating comment made during the working group meeting that the source test methodology may be a concern.

Comment 1: South Coast AQMD Provides No Information to Substantiate that Fine Particulate Matter Emissions due to SCR Would Likely Be Below Federal Major Modification Thresholds
Hypothetical example was not a PR 1109.1 scenario and overestimates post-project emissions based on an existing unit with an existing SCR

Staff estimates that PM emissions will be below the federal NSR thresholds when considering:

- Newer catalyst will have lower PM emissions
- Emissions from a new units will be lower than an existing older units, provided no increase in rating
- Federal NSR applicability will be determined using the Baseline Actual-to-Projected Actual test
  - Baseline includes actual emissions for the existing unit, rather than a zero baseline for the new unit under Reg XIII
Based on staff’s analysis, aggregating multiple units as one permitting project would not exceed the federal PM threshold of 10 tons per year.

Under the federal NSR applicability test, if a project does not have a significant emissions increase:

- The project is not subject to Major Source NSR requirements (i.e. offsets and LAER).
- There is no need to evaluate the contemporaneous emission increases for the proposed project.

The “project aggregation” policy is to ensure that permitting projects are not split up to circumvent NSR requirements (will be discussed in the next slides).
Stakeholders have raised questions regarding “project aggregation” when determining federal NSR applicability.

Concerns about the possibility of multiple SCR installations being grouped as a single project and exceeding the federal NSR thresholds.

For a PM$_{2.5}$ major source with a PTE $\geq 70$ tons per year, the Major Modification threshold for PM$_{2.5}$ is 10 tons per year.
Federal NSR Applicability Tests

**Step 1 Federal Major Polluting Facility Test**
- Is the facility or project a Major Polluting Facility?
  - Yes: **Step 2 Significant Project Emissions Test**
  - No: NSR is Not Applicable

**Step 2 Significant Project Emissions Test**
- Is there a significant emissions increase from the project?
  - Yes: 
    - Is this a NOx or VOC Emissions Increase?
      - Yes: Applicable to NSR
      - No: NSR is Not Applicable
  - No: NSR is Not Applicable

**Step 3 Significant Net Emissions Test (PM10, PM2.5 Ammonia, and SOx)**
- Is there a significant net emissions increase over the contemporaneous period?
  - Yes: Applicable to NSR
  - No: NSR is Not Applicable

***Federal guidance refers to the Significant Project Emissions Test as Step 1 of the NSR test (only for emission units involved in the project), while the Significant Net Emissions Test is referred to as Step 2 of the NSR test (source-wide emissions netting).***
Purpose of Step 2 is to determine if the project itself will result in a Significant Emissions Increase under the federal definition.

If Project Emissions are greater than or equal to the Federal Significant Emissions Thresholds, then the Significant Net Emissions test (Step 3) is needed to determine if the permitting action is subject to NSR requirements (except for ozone precursors).

“Project Emissions” are the sum of all emissions from project components that are related to the primary permitting project:

\[ \text{Project Emissions} = \sum \text{Component Project Emissions} \]

Any NOx or VOC emission increase is considered a significant net emissions increase and a major modification for ozone for Major Polluting Facilities in an extreme ozone nonattainment area.
Project Emissions – Federal Definition

- U.S. EPA defines the “project” as a physical change in, or change in the method of operation of, an existing major polluting facility [40 CFR 165.(a)(1)(xxxix)]
  - Ensures that nominally-separated projects at a facility are treated as a single project
  - If a project has multiple emission sources, the increases of each individual emission source are added together to determine if the project as a whole has a Significant Emissions Increase
  - Project emissions include all new, modified, and de-bottlenecking units
  - In general aggregated projects includes activities that are substantially related, including technical or economic dependence, and that generally occur within three years of each other
  - Project aggregation is evaluated on a case-by-case basis

Difference Between Federal NSR Applicability and Regulation XIII
- Regulation XIII permits are issued for each individual source or unit
- Regulation XIII does not include emission increases from other permitting or non-permitting actions
Project Aggregation – Federal Policy

- Purpose of federal policy on project aggregation is to ensure that NSR requirements are not circumvented
- U.S. EPA policy on projection aggregation\(^1\) does not address projects that are required for regulatory compliance
  - Available guidance primarily addresses voluntary projects, such as facility expansions or renovations
- South Coast AQMD will continue to follow federal guidance on project aggregation for NSR applicability determinations
  - Substantially related activities because of technical or economic dependence that occur within three years of each other will be aggregated
  - Aggregation not necessary for control projects required solely for regulatory compliance that have no technical or economic dependence to each other

\(^1\) U.S. EPA Federal Register publications on project aggregation: 83 FR 57324 (November 15, 2018), 74 FR 2376 (January 15, 2009), and 71 FR 54235 (September 14, 2006)
Working Group Meeting Summary

- South Coast AQMD will continue to apply the Federal definition of "project" when determining if federal NSR is applicable
- Multiple installations need only be aggregated as required by federal guidance on aggregation
- Staff is developing proposed amendments to Rule 1304 and Rule 2005 to include a limited BACT exemption to address PM and SOx increases
- Based on South Coast AQMD analysis, unlikely that multiple units will exceed the federal PM threshold
- Projects that do not have a significant emissions increase are not required to also evaluate net emissions during the contemporaneous period for the proposed project
  - If NSR requirements are not triggered under Step 2, then the netting calculation under Step 3 is not required
# Contacts – RECLAIM & New Source Review

**General Questions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susan Nakamura</td>
<td>Assistant Deputy Executive Officer</td>
<td>909-396-3105</td>
<td><a href="mailto:snakamura@aqmd.gov">snakamura@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECLAIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary Quinn, P.E.</td>
<td>Program Supervisor</td>
<td>909-396-3121</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gquinn@aqmd.gov">gquinn@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudy Chacon</td>
<td>Program Supervisor</td>
<td>909-396-2726</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rchacon@aqmd.gov">rchacon@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabelle Shine</td>
<td>Air Quality Specialist</td>
<td>909-396-3319</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ishine@aqmd.gov">ishine@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**New Source Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mike Morris</td>
<td>Planning and Rules Manager</td>
<td>909-396-3282</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mmorris@aqmd.gov">mmorris@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uyen-Uyen Vo</td>
<td>Program Supervisor</td>
<td>909-396-2238</td>
<td><a href="mailto:uvo@aqmd.gov">uvo@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizabeth Gomez</td>
<td>Air Quality Specialist</td>
<td>909-396-3319</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lgomez@aqmd.gov">lgomez@aqmd.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To receive e-mail notifications for Regulation XX or Regulation XIII, sign up at: [www.aqmd.gov/sign-up](http://www.aqmd.gov/sign-up)