BOARD MEETING DATE: December 4, 2020 AGENDA NO. 23

REPORT: Stationary Source Committee

SYNOPSIS: The Stationary Source Committee held a meeting remotely on

Friday, November 20, 2020. The following is a summary of the

meeting.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** 

Receive and file.

Ben Benoit, Chair Stationary Source Committee

AD:cr

# **Committee Members**

Present: Council Member Ben Benoit (Chair)

Senator Vanessa Delgado (Ret.) Board Member Gideon Kracov Council Member Judith Mitchell Supervisor Janice Rutherford

# Call to Order

Chair Benoit called the meeting to order at 9:32 a.m.

# **ACTION ITEM:**

1. Approve Financial Incentive Program to Reduce Emissions from Hexavalent Chromium Plating Facilities, Issue Program Opportunity Notices, Execute Contracts, Release Unspent Project Funds, and Reimburse General Fund Dr. Jillian Wong, Planning and Rules Manager, presented an overview of staff's proposal to establish a financial incentive program for hexavalent chromium plating facilities to implement projects which will reduce hexavalent chromium emissions beyond existing regulatory requirements.

Council Member Mitchell inquired about the kind of control devices that can be used in lieu of polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) chemical fume suppressants and about the potential problems with facilities switching over to a trivalent chromium plating process. Dr. Wong explained that the control device projects would involve installation of a HEPA filter system and switching from a hexavalent to a trivalent process could also be funded, however, there were industry concerns regarding the finished product using the trivalent process.

Council Member Mitchell inquired about the process of using PFAS in tanks and if a HEPA filter system is sufficient to replace PFAS in that process. Dr. Wong responded that a HEPA filter system works as a PFAS alternative by capturing emissions from the tank and routing the emissions to the HEPA filter.

Council Member Mitchell requested clarification on the project cost for small businesses and distribution of funds. Dr. Wong stated that under the proposal, which is subject to CARB approval, small businesses could receive full project funding. Susan Nakamura, Assistant Deputy Executive Officer/Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources, clarified that funding is available for capital costs and some filter replacements, but facilities will be responsible for ongoing operating costs and source tests. Early consultations with facilities indicate concerns with ongoing operating costs.

Council Member Mitchell asked if Boyle Heights wanted to add metal plating facilities into their Community Emissions Reduction Plan (CERP). Dr. Wong responded that Boyle Heights has identified metal plating facilities as an action item in the CERP and staff plans to provide the Community Steering Committee (CSC) with updates.

Council Member Mitchell inquired about unsubscribed funds going to mobile source projects and whether it will be allocated equally among all AB 617 communities. Dr. Matt Miyasato, Deputy Executive Officer/Office of Science and Technology Advancement, responded that there is large backup list of mobile source projects which comply with AB 617 funding requirements as that program is oversubscribed.

Jerry Desmond, Metal Finishers Association, expressed support for the program and the willingness of the Association to coordinate with staff on program implementation. He also echoed concerns with switching from a hexavalent chromium to trivalent plating process adding that some product specifications such as military specifications do not allow the facilities to use the trivalent plating process.

James Simonelli, California Metals Coalition, expressed support for this incentive program and requested use of unspent funds for other hexavalent chromium emission reduction projects, if possible, before funds are used for mobile sources.

Moved by Kracov; seconded by Mitchell; unanimously approved.

Ayes: Benoit, Kracov, Mitchell, Rutherford

Noes: None Absent: Delgado

# **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

# 2. Summary of Proposed Rule 1407.1 – Control of Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions from Chromium Alloy Melting Operations

Michael Morris, Planning and Rules Manager, presented a summary of Proposed Rule 1407.1.

James Simonelli thanked staff for working with industry to conduct emissions testing and developing a rule that is based on science. The California Metals Coalition is working with Vanderbilt University to conduct research to determine why hexavalent chromium concentrations significantly reduce from the chromium alloy melt to the inlet of the pollution control device. Additionally, Mr. Simonelli stated that there are significant costs associated with the proposed rule and facilities have been making investments to comply.

Jason Gutierrez, Griswold Industries, thanked staff for their efforts in working with the industry to develop this rule. He expressed concern about the costs of compounding metal melting rules that will affect their industry but said they are willing to make the investment.

Council Member Mitchell, Council Member Benoit, and Board Member Krakov thanked staff for their efforts in developing this rule with industry.

# 3. Summary of Proposed Rule 1150.3 – Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen from Combustion Equipment at Landfills

Board Member Kracov recused himself from this item due to his work for the California Waste & Recycling Association.

Michael Morris, Planning and Rules Manager, provided a summary on Proposed Rule 1150.3.

There were no Committee member or public comments.

# 4. Community Emissions Reduction Plan for Southeast Los Angeles

Daniel Garcia, Planning and Rules Manager, presented an overview of the Southeast Los Angeles (SELA) Draft CERP which included the development timeline, background information on the community, a description of the CSC, the top air quality priorities identified by the CSC, and key actions to address each air quality priority.

Council Member Benoit thanked staff for their efforts into developing the CERP and mentioned that Board members have participated in many CSC meetings and expressed enthusiasm to see that the CERP development process was progressing.

Board Member Kracov mentioned that he and Council Member Mitchell participated in the previous SELA CSC meeting and acknowledged that there was broad support for the CERP. He thanked staff and CSC members for the time and dedication that they spent on developing the CERP. He stated that there was a broad consensus that this was a very good CERP.

Council Member Mitchell mentioned that she attended many of the SELA CSC meetings and commended staff for being excellent facilitators and for going out of their way to solicit feedback from the CSC to understand their concerns. She recommended that more Board members participate in the CSC meetings and encouraged more elected officials to become CSC members, since land-use issues are an important component to addressing many air quality problems.

Chris Chavez, Coalition for Clean Air, expressed appreciation to the staff for their efforts. He recognized and thanked Council Member Mitchell, Board Member Kracov, and Senator Delgado for their participation in many of the CSC meetings this year. Mr. Chavez mentioned that his organization, submitted comments on the SELA CERP which he distilled to four main points. First, emission reduction targets included in the CERP result in actual emission reductions. Second, South Coast AQMD to take an aggressive stand and ensure transportation electrification requirements as part of any I-710 freeway expansion plans to limit on-road diesel trucks. Third, he recommended that South Coast AQMD pursue concrete actions to study and reduce emissions and exposure to pollutants from the Exide battery recycling facility. Fourth, the CERP has an overreliance on incentives to achieve emission reductions and instead encourages South Coast AQMD to develop and strengthen rules and regulations, including the development of a strong Indirect Source Rule (ISR) for warehouses and railyards.

Board Member Kracov thanked Mr. Chavez and his organization for their efforts and participation in the AB 617 meetings and acknowledges his membership as a

CSC member in the Wilmington, Carson, West Long Beach AB 617 community. Board Member Krakov is pleased with the SELA CERP and he stated that it was incumbent upon South Coast AQMD to implement the CERP actions while acknowledging the difficulty in doing so. He is looking forward to working with all stakeholders to help realize the goals detailed in the CERP.

Council Member Mitchell recognized the importance of the Board adopting upcoming ISRs as major components of many AB 617 community' CERP implementation plans.

# 5. Community Emissions Reduction Plan for Eastern Coachella Valley Daniel Garcia presented an overview of the Eastern Coachella Valley (ECV) Draft CERP which included the development timeline, background information on the community, a description of the CSC, the top air quality priorities identified by the CSC, and key actions to address each air quality priority.

Board Member Kracov acknowledged the difficulties during CERP development due to the pandemic, and recognized the time and effort of the CSC and staff, including the four charter working group meetings in July, the compressed timeline to develop the CERP, and the commitment to hold another meeting in the week of November 30. He recognized the AB 617 statutory requirement to adopt a CERP within one year of community selection (December 2020 for ECV), as well as the commitment of staff to refine the CERP actions in the first two quarters of 2021 and bring the CERP back to the Board for consideration by the second quarter of 2021. He noted that this next meeting with the CSC would help to narrow the specific list of issues that would be addressed in the first two quarters of 2021.

Maria "Conchita" Pozar, Resident of North Shore and ECV CSC member, acknowledged there were CSC discussions on air quality priorities one year ago and requested the concerns of the community be prioritized in the CERP. She emphasized that staff should listen to community input on concerns about the Salton Sea, pesticides, and fugitive road dust, including dust from off-roading activities. She also expressed concern regarding the quality of the data produced from deploying a low-cost sensor network.

Rebecca Zaragoza, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability and ECV CSC member, acknowledged the contributions of the CSC, staff, and Board Member Kracov during the CERP development process. However, she asked that the Board not approve the plan and direct staff to incorporate the comments from the Joint-CSC comment letter submitted on November 13, 2020. Ms. Zaragoza felt that the process had not been community-led and was rushed, resulting in an incomplete and ineffective CERP. She stated the CSC would like to see actions to address emissions from off-roading, the Thermal Airport, the Thermal racetrack,

the freight train, and land use issues in the CERP. She also felt that the CERP should include quantifiable emission reduction strategies for all six Air Quality Priorities, actions to expand monitoring to identify toxic air contaminants and pesticides, and to improve upon the implementation timelines in the CERP. She requested that staff present a Resolution that states the CERP is incomplete and the development process is still ongoing. She stated that staff should outline the timeline for incorporating CSC comments into the CERP and there should be.

Patricia Leal-Gutierrez, Alianza Coachella Valley and ECV CSC Member, acknowledged the contributions of the CSC, staff, and Board Member Kracov during the CERP development process. She requested a resolution that states the CERP is incomplete and that development is still in progress, and to include a clear timeline and commitment to incorporate CSC comments in the first quarter 2021. An updated CERP that addresses and uplifts the community's concerns would make community members feel more comfortable and help build trust. The CERP should include emission reduction targets over time, outline the monitoring baseline and strategies, and address off-roading emissions. Lastly, she requested the CSC meet monthly in 2021.

Bea Gonzalez, Coachella Valley Unified School District and ECV CSC member, echoed the comments of the previous speakers. She also felt that the CERP is incomplete, the development process has not been community-led, and South Coast AQMD staff influenced CSC votes. She mentioned the joint-CSC comment letter and stated she was one of the CSC members who signed it. Ms. Gonzalez recognized and thanked Board Member Kracov for his participation in the CERP development process.

Ryan Sinclair, Loma Linda University and ECV CSC Member, expressed support for the previous speakers and the joint-CSC comment letter he signed. He requested that the resolution state that the CERP is incomplete and include a clear timeline for incorporating the comments and suggestions made in the letter. He acknowledged the contributions from Board Member Kracov, and the amount of work that staff put in to adapt the program to ECV.

Council Member Benoit asked Executive Officer Wayne Nastri to respond to public comments.

Mr. Nastri acknowledged the difficulties mentioned South Coast AQMD has more AB 617 communities than any other air district and suggested that the issues that have arisen in ECV are unique and may be due to the historical perspective. He also acknowledged the challenge that the virtual format had on this process and how it added to existing uncertainty and a lack of confidence. Mr. Nastri recognized the efforts of staff to listen to the community, be respectful and create a community-led

process. He cited the one-year statutory requirement for the CERP, and the added challenge when establishing the governance document took 6-7 months of this one-year period. Consequently, little time was left for CERP development. Mr. Nastri also ensured the Board and community that the intentions of staff are to continue to work with the community to improve air quality and public health. He stated that staff was revising the Draft CERP to address comments made by the CSC and would continue to work with the community to further refine the CERP actions so that another revised CERP would be brought to the Board for consideration in the second quarter of 2021.

Council Member Benoit stated that this is a community that he has been close to in his life, particularly when his late father was the Supervisor for this community. He noted that this community not only has many air quality issues, but also water quality and land use issues. He expressed his commitment to ensure that South Coast AQMD does everything we can to improve air quality. He encouraged staff to continue working with the community to address the community's requests, while understanding the statutory limitations.

Council Member Mitchell acknowledged the CSC and staff, the difficulties caused by the pandemic, and the distrust that the CSC feels towards staff. She recognized that the ECV is unique to other South Coast AQMD AB 617 communities but is similar to other AB 617 communities in the state, including areas impacted by PM10 and PM2.5. She also recognized the issue of the Salton Sea and pesticides and emphasized the importance of collaborating with land use agencies.

Council Member Mitchell asked staff what would happen if the CERP was not approved by the committee. Mr. Nastri clarified that the CERP is not approved by the South Coast AQMD Stationary Source Committee or the Board, rather it gets adopted by the South Coast Board and approved by the CARB Board. The CERP will be considered for approval by the CARB Board in 2021. He also acknowledged that the ECV community has many members with differing interests and stated that at the recent CSC meeting, a majority of the members present expressed support for the draft CERP with the inclusion of the CSC's comments.

Board Member Kracov asked if there would be a response to comments and revised CERP, which the community would have an opportunity to discuss before the Board meeting in December. Additionally, he asked about the timeline of further refining the CERP in early 2021. Mr. Nastri responded that a revised draft CERP would be released to the public on Tuesday November 24, 2020. He also stated that staff would continue to work with the CSC to establish more detailed timelines.

Senator Delgado asked if the ECV community had the same amount of time for CERP development as SELA. Mr. Nastri confirmed both communities had equal time to develop the CERP. She thanked the community in English and Spanish.

# 6. Regulatory Overview of Retail Mobile Fueling Operations

Susan Nakamura presented regulatory background information on retail mobile fueling operations and challenges as staff moves forward with rule development.

Council Member Benoit asked for the number of permits that have been issued for large mobile fueler trucks and requested confirmation that smaller mobile fueler trucks do not require permits. Ms. Nakamura responded that five permits have been issued for one business and confirmed that smaller mobile fueler trucks currently do not require a permit.

Supervisor Rutherford stated that mobile fueling would reduce traffic and overall emissions and has the potential to be a good business model that can help a lot of people. She also expressed appreciation for staff's continued efforts on rule development.

Council Member Mitchell stated that a mobile operator had contacted her about seven months ago and requested confirmation that there may be a number of mobile fuelers operating that staff is unaware of. Ms. Nakamura responded that staff is aware of non-retail companies, but it is difficult and challenging to know all the unpermitted mobile fueling companies. Staff is aware of some retail mobile fueling companies based on internet searches and newspaper articles.

Council Member Mitchell asked about the future availability of the component that is not being manufactured and what features on slide 6 are required under Rule 461. Ms. Nakamura stated that the mini jet pump is probably a year or two from being manufactured and CARB certified and explained that Rule 461 currently requires compliance with Phase I and Phase II vapor recovery. She clarified that tank surface reflectivity and insulation are part of the Phase II vapor recovery system.

Council Member Mitchell also inquired if there are any mobile fueling systems operating that are permitted and meet Phase I and Phase II requirements. Ms. Nakamura stated that there are almost 70 non-retail permitted mobile fuelers operating with the Hill-Vac system. Ms. Nakamura added that if the mini jet pump were available, we would be in a different situation.

Council Member Mitchell asked if this item was informational and if staff will continue to work on the rule and try to find a solution while the component is being manufactured. Ms. Nakamura stated that the preference would be to wait until the CARB certified equipment is available before allowing the operations, but the

operations are occurring now so South Coast AQMD needs to establish regulations in the interim. Ms. Nakamura commented that the issue is not unique to the South Coast AQMD and is being discussed at other agencies throughout the state, who are also taking an interest in our rulemaking efforts.

Bill LaMarr, California Small Business Alliance, spoke on behalf of the California Fuels and Convenience Alliance. He stated that the vast majority of their members are small business owners with many being family-owned businesses. is unsure if emissions are less or greater in the scenario where someone drives to a gas pump compared to if the gas pump drives to them. He noted that either way both vehicles are discharging fossil fuel emissions and mobile fuelers are more likely to run on diesel which would add to diesel emissions into communities. He mentioned one mobile fueling company has already received four Notices of Violation in the last few years for equipment and permit deficiencies. He requested that businesses which are competitive in the delivery and sale of the same product be held to the same, and not less, regulatory standards.

# 7. Update of the Flare Event Notification System

Michael Krause, Planning and Rules Manager, presented the new features recently updated in the Flare Event Notification System (FENS).

Council Member Benoit asked about the ability to add a visual of the plume on the public portal map. Mr. Krause acknowledged the availability of such technology but would need to ensure it would be compatible with the FENS platform.

Board Member Kracov asked about whether the records retrieved through FENS highlights any change in the frequency of flaring events at the refineries. Mr. Krause explained that the FENS records do not indicate an increase in flare events but help to provide insight into correlations between certain refinery activities and flaring events. In response to a request from Council Member Mitchell, staff will provide the link to the FENS portal.

# **WRITTEN REPORTS:**

8. Monthly Update of Staff's Work with U.S. EPA on New Source Review Issues for the Transition of RECLAIM Facilities to a Command and Control Regulatory Program

The report was acknowledged by the Committee.

# 9. Notice of Violation Penalty Summary

The report was acknowledged by the Committee.

# **OTHER MATTERS:**

# 10. Other Business

There was no other business.

# 11. Public Comment Period

David Rothbart, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts, regarding Proposed Rule 1150.3 expressed appreciation for staff's efforts to work with stakeholders and for developing separate rules for biogas fueled combustion equipment.

# 12. Next Meeting Date

The next Stationary Source Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, January 22, 2021.

# Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:57 a.m.

# **Attachments**

- 1. Attendance Record
- 2. Monthly Update of Staff's Work with U.S. EPA on New Source Review Issues for the Transition of RECLAIM Facilities to a Command and Control Regulatory Program
- 3. Notice of Violation Penalty Summary

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

# SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT STATIONARY SOURCE COMMITTEE

Attendance –November 20, 2020

Council Member Ben Benoit	South Coast AQMD Governing Board
Senator Vanessa Delgado (Ret.)	South Coast AQMD Governing Board
Board Member Gideon Kracov	South Coast AQMD Governing Board
Council Member Judith Mitchell	South Coast AQMD Governing Board
Supervisor Janice Rutherford	South Coast AQMD Governing Board
Fred Minassian	Board Consultant (Mitchell)
Mark Taylor	Board Consultant (Rutherford)
Ross Zelen	Board Consultant (Kracov)
Karen Buckley	CARB
Chris Chavez	Coalition of Clean Air
Curtis Coleman	Southern California Air Quality Alliance
Frances Keeler	CCEEB
Bill LaMarr	California Small Business Alliance
Guo Quan Lim	CARB
Bridget McCann	Western States Petroleum Association
Dan McGivney	Southern California Gas Co
Noel Muyco	Southern California Gas Co
Liliana Nunez	CARB
David Rothbart	•
Patty Senecal	Western States Petroleum Association
Peter Whittingham	Whittingham Public Affairs Advisors
Jason Aspell	
Barbara Baird	
Naveen Berry	
Amir Dejbakhsh	
Philip Fine	
Daniel Garcia	_
Jo Kay Ghosh	
Bayron Gilchrist	
Sheri Hanizavareh	_
Mark Henninger	
Michael Krause	_
Jason Low	
Terrence Mann	~
Matt Miyasato	South Coast AQMD staff

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

# SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT STATIONARY SOURCE COMMITTEE

# Attendance –November 20, 2020

Michael Morris	. South Coast AQMD staff
Ron Moskowitz	. South Coast AQMD staff
Susan Nakamura	. South Coast AQMD staff
Wayne Nastri	. South Coast AQMD staff
Anthony Tang	. South Coast AQMD staff
Jill Whynot	. South Coast AQMD staff
Jillian Wong	. South Coast AQMD staff
Paul Wright	. South Coast AQMD staff

# November 2020 Update on Work with U.S. EPA on New Source Review Issues for the RECLAIM Transition

At the October 5, 2018 Board meeting, the Board directed staff to provide the Stationary Source Committee with a monthly update of staff's work with U.S. EPA regarding resolving NSR issues for the transition of facilities from RECLAIM to a command and control regulatory structure. The table below summarizes key activities since the last report.

Item	Discussion
Video Conference with CARB –	Discussed the Rule 2005 on-going RTC holding
October 13, 2020	requirement
	<ul> <li>Discussed BACT requirements for secondary pollutants</li> </ul>
Video Conference with EPA –	Discussed BACT requirements for secondary pollutants
October 29, 2020	Discussed quantification of ERCs and offsets for future
	generation
Video Conference with CARB –	Discussed quantification and surplus discount of ERCs
October 29, 2020	
Video Conference with EPA –	Follow-up discussions regarding BACT requirements for
November 12, 2020	secondary pollutants and quantification for offset
	generation

# SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT **General Counsel's Office**

# Settlement Penalty Report (10/01/2020 - 10/31/2020)

# **Total Penalties**

\$62,646.96 Civil Settlement:

Criminal Referral Settlement: \$43,148.63 Hearing Board Settlement : \$25,000.00 MSPAP Settlement: \$7,800.00

Total Cash Settlements: \$138,595.59

Fiscal Year through 10/31/2020 Cash Total: \$3,460,907.59

Fac ID	Company Name	Rule Number	Settled Date	Init	Notice Nbrs	<b>Total Settlement</b>
Civil						
149179	A V PLATING, ANGEL SEDANO DBA	203	10/09/2020	WW	P66432	\$3,000.00
177941	APRO LLC DBA UNITED OIL #143	203	10/02/2020	ВТ	P64925	\$31,500.00
185861	BESTWAY FOODS	1415.1	10/09/2020	VT	P64838	\$340.00
17818	COACHELLA VALLEY WATER DIST(WPR 7)	201	10/02/2020	WW	P70102	\$13,406.96
9053	ENWAVE LOS ANGELES INC.	2012	10/02/2020	DH	P68654	\$9,500.00
800057	KINDER MORGAN LIQUIDS TERMINALS, LLC	3002(c)(1)	10/09/2020	VT	P60285	\$1,500.00
185894	QUANTUM FREIGHT	403	10/28/2020	SH	P63965	\$2,400.00
126919	QUIKRETE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	203(b)	10/09/2020	WW	P66798	\$1,000.00
Total Civi	Settlements: \$62,646.96					

Criminal R	eferral					
188149	CHICAM LLC	40 CFR 61.145, 1403	10/21/2020	GC	P65401, P65402	\$20,659.00
187655	THE ROBERTS COMPANIES	40 CFR 61.145, 1403	10/15/2020	GC	P66295	\$21,304.05

Fac ID	Company Name	Rule Number	Settled Date	Init	Notice Nbrs	Total Settlement
97908	TRI SPAN INC	1403	10/21/2020	GV	P69418	\$1,185.58
Total Crir	minal Referral Settlements: \$43,148.63					
Hearing E	Board					
104234	SCAQMD v. Mission Foods	202, 203(b), 1153.1, 1303	10/28/2020	KCM	5400-4	\$25,000.00
Total Hea	aring Board Settlements: \$25,000.00					
MSPAP						
148888	H B SHELL	461, H&S 41960.2	10/09/2020	TCF	P69860	\$1,500.00
36491	MILLIE & SEVERSON INC	403	10/09/2020	TCF	P59287	\$3,200.00
121252	REGENT AEROSPACE CORP	1171	10/09/2020	TCF	P65600	\$800.00
189259	STAYBRIDGE SUITES	222	10/09/2020	TCF	P63891	\$500.00
		203(b)	10/09/2020	TCF	P69371	\$800.00
1625	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD	203(0)	10/00/2020		1 0007 1	*

# SOUTH COAST AQMD'S RULES AND REGULATIONS INDEX FOR OCTOBER 2020 PENALTY REPORT

#### **REGULATION II - PERMITS**

Rule 201 Permit to Construct

Rule 202 Temporary Permit to Operate

Rule 203 Permit to Operate

Rule 222 Filing Requirements for Specific Emission Sources Not Requiring a Written Permit Pursuant to Regulation II

### **REGULATION IV - PROHIBITIONS**

Rule 403 Fugitive Dust

Rule 461 Gasoline Transfer and Dispensing

## **REGULATION XI - SOURCE SPECIFIC STANDARDS**

Rule 1153.1 Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen from Commercial Food Ovens

Rule 1171 Solvent Cleaning Operations

#### **REGULATION XIII - NEW SOURCE REVIEW**

Rule 1303 Requirements

### **REGULATION XIV - TOXICS**

Rule 1403 Asbestos Emissions from Demolition/Renovation Activities

Rule 1415.1 Reduction of Refrigerant Emissions from Stationary Refrigeration Systems

# **REGULATION XX - REGIONAL CLEAN AIR INCENTIVES MARKET (RECLAIM)**

Rule 2012 Requirements for Monitoring, Reporting, and Recordkeeping for Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) Emissions

# **REGULATION XXX - TITLE V PERMITS**

Rule 3002 Requirements for Title V Permits

# **CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE**

41960.2 Gasoline Vapor Recovery

# **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

40 CFR 61.145 Standard for demolition and renovation