

BOARD MEETING DATE: March 6, 2020

AGENDA NO. 24

REPORT: Mobile Source Committee

SYNOPSIS: The Mobile Source Committee held a meeting on Friday, February 21, 2020. The following is a summary of the meeting.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and file.

Dr. William A. Burke, Chair
Mobile Source Committee

PF:SLR:AK

Committee Members

Present: Dr. William Burke/Chair (videoconference)
Mayor Larry McCallon
Council Member Judith Mitchell
Council Member Carlos Rodriguez

Absent: Supervisor Lisa Bartlett
Supervisor V. Manuel Perez

Call to Order

Chair Burke called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

1. 2019 Ozone Summary

Dr. Scott Epstein, Program Supervisor/ Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources presented a summary of 2019 ozone measurements and trends in the South Coast Air Basin and the Coachella Valley.

Dr. Burke asked about the cause of the higher ozone levels near San Bernardino. Staff responded that it was combination of factors including the ozone having had time to react, more sunlight, fewer NOx sources, but primarily due to where the air

mass stagnates. Dr. Burke asked what has been done to reduce concentrations in this region. Staff responded that everything we do is to reduce NOx emissions basin-wide. Council Member Rodriguez asked if there was any way to specifically reduce the ozone in that location. Wayne Nastri, Executive Officer responded that the pollutants that are transported to that area would need to be reduced. Staff noted that there are computer models that also validate this control strategy. Council Member Rodriguez asked if there is any evidence of improvements in the Inland Empire. Staff referenced a slide that showed improvement and added that the AQMP shows how to attain the ozone standards in San Bernardino. There is a need of another 45-55 percent reduction in emissions. Mr. Nastri expressed the need for incentive funding to reduce NOx by the attainment date. Mayor McCallon asked how weather effects the model. Staff responded that a five-year window is used to smooth out shorter term weather variations.

Dr. Burke inquired when standards would be attained. Mr. Nastri responded that with resources attainment could be met by 2037. Mr. Nastri clarified that attainment was based on 2023, 2031 and 2037 for the 70 ppb ozone standard. Dr. Burke asked how progress could be maintained and why additional funding is needed to attain the standards. Mr. Nastri explained that as progress is made, emission reductions become more expensive.

Angela Guzman commented on the poor air quality and expressed the need to do everything possible to clean the air, including switching to zero carbon vehicles and more regulations.

Darby Osnaya talked about living in Colton between railroads, cement factories, waste and recycling facilities, and commented that his family members cannot go outside due to the poor air quality. Mr Osnaya expressed the need for rules and regulations.

Angie Balderas talked about the poor air quality living near Highland and San Bernardino, which is surrounded by airports, warehouses, railroads and how residents with inhalers have been normalized in this area. Ms. Balderas expressed the need to invest in zero emission vehicles.

Carlo De Le Cruz with the Sierra Club shared over 658 digital clean air Valentine cards thanking the South Coast AQMD for working to clean the air. Mr. De Le Cruz expressed the need for rules and regulations, on the ports and warehouses, and having the opportunity for emission reductions.

Alyciad Enciso talked about poor air. She does not think that the air quality has improved much and requested a special subcommittee to study air quality in the San Bernardino area. Dr. Burke responded that he was open to a subcommittee if representatives on the Board from that area request the subcommittee.

2. Update on MOU for the Marine Ports

Dr. Sarah Rees, Assistant Deputy Executive Officer/Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources provided an update on the Ports MOU development including an update on recent activities and options to proceed for consideration.

Council Member Rodriguez inquired if staff had discussed the proposed options with the Ports. Mr. Nastri responded that he was meeting with the Ports' executive directors later in the day to discuss the MOU and the proposed options. Council Member Mitchell inquired about the total twenty-foot equivalent unit (TEU) throughput at the Ports in relation to the cargo diversion rates in the Ports economic study. Staff responded that the total TEU throughput is approximately 17 million TEUs and the potential amount of cargo diverted represents a small fraction of the total throughput. Staff also provided some of the competitive advantages for our Ports that may explain the low diversion rates. Council Member Mitchell asked about competition with other West Coast ports. Staff responded that the drayage cost is higher at Oakland and it takes longer to ship cargo to Oakland from China compared to our Ports. As for Prince Rupert, there is a real risk of diversion since it is competitive both in terms of cost and time, but the risk is mainly for containers moved by rail, not for truck containers.

Dr. Burke inquired if there is an option to adopt a mitigation fee rule on containers, if the Ports are opposed to adopting higher truck rates. Staff responded that an Indirect Source Rule with optional compliance mechanism for facilities to pay a mitigation fee in lieu of complying with rule limits could be proposed. Mayor McCallon asked whether it is realistic to expect significant NOx reductions by 2023 even at \$70 truck rate. Staff responded that there are approximately 8,000 pre-2010 trucks that need to be replaced by 2023 to comply with CARB's Truck and Bus Regulation. With sufficient funding generated from the truck rate, significant NOx reductions could be achieved by replacing pre-2010 trucks with near-zero emission and zero-emission trucks. Otherwise pre-2010 trucks will be replaced with dirtier, used diesel trucks. Council Member Mitchell inquired about how to prevent such turnovers to used diesel trucks. Staff reiterated the importance of providing sufficient incentive funding for near-zero emission and zero-emission trucks, since South Coast AQMD does not have the authority to set emission standards. Staff also added that a container fee is an option that can be considered. Staff reminded the Committee that the State legislature adopted a container fee in the past, but it was vetoed by Governor Schwarzenegger.

Council Member Rodriguez asked why staff is considering an option to pivot to Indirect Source Rule (ISR) now. Staff responded that the MOU negotiation has taken much longer than anticipated, with not much progress. Staff is willing to continue the MOU negotiations within a specified timeline, but also wants to explore other options for the Board to consider. Mayor McCallon recommended that Mr. Nastri should be involved in these negotiations given that we are at an impasse with

the Ports. He also commented on the need to involve political representatives in these negotiations as well. Mr. Nastri responded that he has been meeting with the Ports executive directors while relying on the staff to negotiate details of the MOU.

Council Member Rodriguez commented that the Ports economic study seems to reinforce staff-recommended options and asked if the Ports agreed with the study findings. He also asked that other economic implications including jobs impacts be considered, in addition to NOx reductions for the truck rate. Staff responded that the Ports have not finalized their economic study, but other studies and literature support the findings in the study.

Alyciad Enciso commented on possible legislative efforts to address the port emissions especially affecting the Inland Empire air quality and supported a subcommittee to evaluate the high ozone concentrations in the San Bernardino area.

Heather Tomley, Managing Director of Planning and Environmental from Port of Long Beach commented that the ports have been working collaboratively with South Coast AQMD staff on the MOU development, since mid-2018. The ports do not believe that the progress on the MOU has stalled and that the MOU is the best approach going forward. The Ports support Option 1 in staff's presentation which is based on the MOU approach and a \$10/TEU truck rate for the Ports Clean Trucks Program. The Ports staff will propose the \$10/TEU to the Ports' Harbor Boards of Commissions because of uncertainties related to cargo diversion. The Port Boards are expected to evaluate the progress on the Clean Trucks Program annually to consider possible adjustments to the truck rate. Mayor McCallon inquired how the revenues from the truck rate will be spent. Ms. Tomley responded that the Ports will adopt their incentive funding program for clean trucks through a stakeholder process following CARB's adoption of low-NOx engine emission standards later this year. Council Member Rodriguez asked whether \$10/TEU will be adequate to meet the 100% zero-emission goal in 2035. Ms. Tomley responded that the Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP) goal is to achieve conjunction and partnership with other agencies and the industry. Dr. Burke asked whether the ports decreased their budget because of recent drop in cargo volume. Ms. Tomley indicated that she did not know the actual budget impact.

Chris Cannon, Chief Sustainability Officer and Director of Environmental Management of the Port of Los Angeles commented that the Port has decreased their budget by five percent in response to reduced cargo volume. Mr. Cannon expressed concern about the Ports losing market share over the last 18 years and the potential negative impact of the clean truck rate.

Wayne Nastri mentioned that he has had several meetings and discussions with the Executive Directors of both ports and will be meeting with them again to reach agreement.

Greg Roche from Clean Energy commented about the significant potential reductions from replacing 8,000 pre-2010 diesel drayage trucks with cleaner trucks. He suggested that the Ports adopt a requirement for newly registered trucks to be near-zero-emission trucks in order to achieve immediate emission reductions from these trucks.

Chris Chavez from Coalition for Clean Air expressed concern over the adverse air quality impacts of diesel trucks on communities and recommended a higher truck rate of \$35-\$50 to provide sufficient funding to turn-over diesel trucks. He also expressed support for an indirect source rule (ISR) rather than an MOU.

Carlo De La Cruz from Sierra Club mentioned that a higher truck rate of \$50 is supported by Sierra Club, and a number of other environmental organizations, to transition the existing trucks to cleaner trucks.

Francis Yang from the Sierra Club supported higher truck rates and a transition to zero-emission trucks.

Rag Dhillon from Breathe LA commented that a truck rate of \$10/TEU is too low and will not achieve the CAAP goals. The Ports economic study did not consider the health impacts of port truck emissions. He advocated for \$50/TEU and supported continuation of the MOU if ports agree to a higher rate; otherwise he supported an ISR.

Todd Campbell from Clean Energy re-iterated that the 8,000 pre-2010 diesel drayage trucks represented a great opportunity to be replaced with near-zero or zero-emission trucks by 2023. Replacing these trucks with near-zero trucks will result in 80% NOx reductions. He recommended that Ports should adopt a near-zero emission standard for new trucks registered at the ports.

Dr. Burke mentioned that he is hopeful that a meaningful agreement can be reached with the Ports.

WRITTEN REPORTS:

3. Rule 2202 Activity Report: Rule 2202 Summary Status Report

This item was received and filed.

4. Monthly Report on Environmental Justice Initiatives: CEQA Document Commenting Update

This item was received and filed.

OTHER MATTERS:

5. Other Business

There was no other business.

6. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

7. Next Meeting Date:

The next regular Mobile Source Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, March 20, 2020.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 11:20 a.m.

Attachments

1. Attendance Record
2. Rule 2202 Activity Report – Written Report
3. Monthly Report on Environmental Justice Initiatives: CEQA Document Commenting Update – Written Report

ATTACHMENT 1

**SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
MOBILE SOURCE COMMITTEE MEETING
Attendance – February 21, 2020**

Dr. William Burke (Videoconference).....	South Coast AQMD Board Member
Mayor Larry McCallon.....	South Coast AQMD Board Member
Council Member Judith Mitchell.....	South Coast AQMD Board Member
Council Member Carlos Rodriguez.....	South Coast AQMD Board Member
Ron Ketcham.....	Board Consultant (McCallon)
Fred Minassian.....	Board Consultant (Mitchell)
Andy Silva.....	Board Consultant (Rutherford)
Angie Balderos.....	Sierra Club
Todd Campbell (Videoconference).....	Clean Energy
Chris Cannon.....	Port of Los Angeles
Christopher Chavez.....	Coalition for Clean Air
Rag Dhillon (Videoconference).....	Breathe LA
Carlo De La Cruz.....	Sierra Club
Robert Lively.....	Cal Cartage
Alyciad Enciso.....	Community Representative
Angela Guzman.....	Sierra Club
Bill La Marr.....	California Small Business Alliance
Michael Munoz.....	LA Alliance for a New Economy
Darby Osnaya.....	Sierra Club
Greg Roche.....	Clean Energy
David Rothbart.....	LACSC
Leslie Schenker.....	Sierra Club
Susan Stark.....	Marathon Petroleum
Heather Tomley.....	Port of Long Beach
Peter Whittingham.....	Whittingham Public Affairs
Larry Wilske.....	Maritime
Greg Wolffe.....	Yorke Engineering
Tammy Yamasaki.....	Southern California Edison
Francis Yang (Videoconference).....	Sierra Club
Barbara Baird.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Naveen Berry.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Brian Choe.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Philip Fine.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Carol Gomez.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Scott Epstein.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Kathryn Higgins.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Angela Kim.....	South Coast AQMD Staff
Sang-Mi Lee.....	South Coast AQMD Staff

Wayne Nastri South Coast AQMD Staff
Matt Miyasato..... South Coast AQMD Staff
Robert Paud South Coast AQMD Staff
Zorik Pirveysian South Coast AQMD Staff
Sarah Rees South Coast AQMD Staff
Zafiro Sanchez..... South Coast AQMD Staff
Lijin Sun South Coast AQMD Staff
Lisa Tanaka O Malley South Coast AQMD Staff
Veera Tyagi South Coast AQMD Staff
Kim White South Coast AQMD Staff
Jill Whynot South Coast AQMD Staff



South Coast Air Quality Management District

21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182
(909) 396-2000 • www.aqmd.gov

Rule 2202 Summary Status Report

Activity for January 1, 2020 to February 1, 2020

Employee Commute Reduction Program (ECRP)	
# of Submittals:	14

Emission Reduction Strategies (ERS)	
# of Submittals:	17

Air Quality Investment Program (AQIP) Exclusively		
County	# of Facilities	\$ Amount
Los Angeles	0	\$ 0
Orange	0	\$ 0
Riverside	0	\$ 0
San Bernardino	1	\$ 7,337
TOTAL:	1	\$ 7,337

ECRP w/AQIP Combination		
County	# of Facilities	\$ Amount
Los Angeles	0	\$ 0
Orange	0	\$ 0
Riverside	0	\$ 0
San Bernardino	1	\$ 7,447
TOTAL:	1	\$ 7,447

Total Active Sites as of February 1, 2020

ECRP (AVR Surveys)			TOTAL Submittals w/Surveys	AQIP	ERS	TOTAL
ECRP ¹	AQIP ²	ERS ³				
526	14	52	592	99	651	1,342
39.19%	1.04%	3.87%	44.11%	7.38%	48.51%	100% ⁴

Total Peak Window Employees as of February 1, 2020

ECRP (AVR Surveys)			TOTAL Submittals w/Surveys	AQIP	ERS	TOTAL
ECRP ¹	AQIP ²	ERS ³				
388,648	5,311	29,123	423,082	15,901	283,950	722,933
53.76%	0.73%	4.03%	58.52%	2.20%	39.285%	100% ⁴

- Notes:**
1. ECRP Compliance Option.
 2. ECRP Offset (combines ECRP w/AQIP). AQIP funds are used to supplement the ECRP AVR survey shortfall.
 3. ERS with Employee Survey to get Trip Reduction credits. Emission/Trip Reduction Strategies are used to supplement the ECRP AVR survey shortfall.
 4. Totals may vary slightly due to rounding.

DRAFT

BOARD MEETING DATE: March 6, 2020

AGENDA NO.

REPORT: Lead Agency Projects and Environmental Documents Received

SYNOPSIS: This report provides a listing of CEQA documents received by the South Coast AQMD between January 1, 2020 and January 31, 2020, and those projects for which the South Coast AQMD is acting as lead agency pursuant to CEQA.

COMMITTEE: Mobile Source, February 21, 2020, Reviewed

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and file.

Wayne Nastri
Executive Officer

PF:SN:JW:LS:AM

CEQA Document Receipt and Review Logs (Attachments A and B) – Each month, the South Coast AQMD receives numerous CEQA documents from other public agencies on projects that could adversely affect air quality. A listing of all documents received during the reporting period January 1, 2019 through January 31, 2019 is included in Attachment A. A list of active projects from previous reporting periods for which South Coast AQMD staff is continuing to evaluate or has prepared comments is included in Attachment B. A total of 43 CEQA documents were received during this reporting period and 22 comment letters were sent.

The Intergovernmental Review function, which consists of reviewing and commenting on the adequacy of the air quality analysis in CEQA documents prepared by other lead agencies, is consistent with the Board's 1997 Environmental Justice Guiding Principles and Environmental Justice Initiative #4. As required by the Environmental Justice Program Enhancements for FY 2002-03, approved by the Board in October 2002, each attachment notes proposed projects where the South Coast AQMD has been contacted regarding potential air quality-related environmental justice concerns. The South Coast AQMD has established an internal central contact to receive information on projects with potential air quality-related environmental justice concerns. The public may contact the

South Coast AQMD about projects of concern by the following means: in writing via fax, email, or standard letters; through telephone communication; and as part of oral comments at South Coast AQMD meetings or other meetings where South Coast AQMD staff is present. The attachments also identify, for each project, the dates of the public comment period and the public hearing date, if applicable. Interested parties should rely on the lead agencies themselves for definitive information regarding public comment periods and hearings as these dates are occasionally modified by the lead agency.

At the January 6, 2006 Board meeting, the Board approved the Workplan for the Chairman's Clean Port Initiatives. One action item of the Chairman's Initiatives was to prepare a monthly report describing CEQA documents for projects related to goods movement and to make full use of the process to ensure the air quality impacts of such projects are thoroughly mitigated. In response to describing goods movement, CEQA documents (Attachments A and B) are organized to group projects of interest into the following categories: goods movement projects; schools; landfills and wastewater projects; airports; general land use projects, etc. In response to the mitigation component, guidance information on mitigation measures was compiled into a series of tables relative to: off-road engines; on-road engines; harbor craft; ocean-going vessels; locomotives; fugitive dust; and greenhouse gases. These mitigation measure tables are on the CEQA webpages portion of the South Coast AQMD's website at:

<http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/mitigation-measures-and-control-efficiencies>. Staff will continue compiling tables of mitigation measures for other emission sources.

Staff focuses on reviewing and preparing comments for projects: where the South Coast AQMD is a responsible agency; that may have significant adverse regional air quality impacts (e.g. special event centers, landfills, goods movement); that may have localized or toxic air quality impacts (e.g. warehouse and distribution centers); where environmental justice concerns have been raised; and which a lead or responsible agency has specifically requested South Coast AQMD review. If staff provided written comments to the lead agency as noted in the column "Comment Status," there is a link to the "South Coast AQMD Letter" under the Project Description. In addition, if staff testified at a hearing for the proposed project, a notation is provided under the "Comment Status." If there is no notation, then staff did not provide testimony at a hearing for the proposed project.

During the period January 1, 2019 through January 31, 2019, the South Coast AQMD received 43 CEQA documents. Attachment B lists documents that are ongoing active projects. Of the 60 documents listed in Attachments A and B:

- 22 comment letters were sent;
- 21 documents were reviewed, but no comments were made;
- 15 documents are currently under review;
- 0 document did not require comments (e.g., public notices);
- 0 document were not reviewed; and
- 2 documents were screened without additional review.

(The above statistics are from January 1, 2019 to January 31, 2019, and may not include the most recent “Comment Status” updates in Attachments A and B.)

Copies of all comment letters sent to lead agencies can be found on the South Coast AQMD’s CEQA webpage at the following internet address:

<http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/commenting-agency>.

South Coast AQMD Lead Agency Projects (Attachment C) – Pursuant to CEQA, the South Coast AQMD periodically acts as lead agency for stationary source permit projects. Under CEQA, the lead agency is responsible for determining the type of CEQA document to be prepared if the proposal for action is considered to be a “project” as defined by CEQA. For example, an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is prepared when the South Coast AQMD, as lead agency, finds substantial evidence that the project may have significant adverse effects on the environment. Similarly, a Negative Declaration (ND) or Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) may be prepared if the South Coast AQMD determines that the project will not generate significant adverse environmental impacts, or the impacts can be mitigated to less than significance. The ND and MND are written statements describing the reasons why projects will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment and, therefore, do not require the preparation of an EIR.

Attachments C to this report summarizes the active projects for which the South Coast AQMD is lead agency and is currently preparing or has prepared environmental documentation. As noted in Attachment C, the South Coast AQMD continued working on the CEQA documents for two active projects during January.

Attachments

- A. Incoming CEQA Documents Log
- B. Ongoing Active Projects for Which South Coast AQMD Has or Will Conduct a CEQA Review
- C. Active South Coast AQMD Lead Agency Projects

**ATTACHMENT A
INCOMING CEQA DOCUMENTS LOG
January 1, 2020 to January 31, 2020**

SOUTH COAST AQMD LOG-IN NUMBER PROJECT TITLE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE OF DOC.	LEAD AGENCY	COMMENT STATUS
<i>Airports</i> SBC200108-02 Eastgate Air Cargo Facility	The proposed project consists of construction of a 658,500-square-foot warehouse, taxi lanes and aircraft parking to support 14 aircraft, 12 acres of ground support equipment operational areas, and two maintenance and service buildings totaling 50,000 square feet on 101.52 acres. The project is located on the southwest corner of Perimeter Road and Hangar Way within the City of San Bernardino. Reference SBC190703-08, SBC181018-01, SBC181017-02, SBC180904-03, and SBC180719-04 Comment Period: N/A Public Hearing: 12/23/2019	Final Environmental Assessment	United States Federal Aviation Administration	Document reviewed - No comments sent
<i>Industrial and Commercial</i> LAC200117-02 19500 Main Street Digital Billboards Project	The proposed project consists of demolition of an existing sign structure and construction of a digital sign structure 55 feet in height on 0.02 acres. The project is located on the southeast corner of South Main Street and Interstate 405. Comment Period: 1/17/2020 - 2/17/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration	City of Carson	Document reviewed - No comments sent
<i>Industrial and Commercial</i> ORC200107-01 Ganahl Lumber Project	The proposed project consists of construction of 167,385 square feet of retail and restaurant uses on 17 acres. The project is located at 25865 Stonehill Drive near the northeast corner of Stonehill Drive and San Juan Creek. Reference ORC190522-03 Comment Period: 1/6/2020 - 2/19/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Draft Environmental Impact Report	City of San Juan Capistrano	** Under review, may submit written comments
<i>Industrial and Commercial</i> RVC200115-04 MA19240 (CUP19009)	The proposed project consists of reuse of an existing 2,078-square-foot industrial building for tire repair, sales, and automobile service operations on 2.37 acres. The project is located at 6102 Etiwanda Avenue near the northeast corner of Etiwanda and Limonite Avenue. Comment Period: 11/26/2019 - 12/13/2019 Public Hearing: N/A	Site Plan (received after close of comments)	City of Jurupa Valley	Document reviewed - No comments sent

- Project has potential environmental justice concerns due to the nature and/or location of the project.

** Disposition may change prior to Governing Board Meeting

Documents received by the CEQA Intergovernmental Review program but not requiring review are not included in this report.

**ATTACHMENT A
INCOMING CEQA DOCUMENTS LOG
January 1, 2020 to January 31, 2020**

SOUTH COAST AQMD LOG-IN NUMBER PROJECT TITLE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE OF DOC.	LEAD AGENCY	COMMENT STATUS
Industrial and Commercial RVC200124-01 The Homestead Industrial Project	The proposed project consists of construction of seven warehouses totaling 1,080,060 square feet on 56 acres. The project is located on the southwest corner of Archibald Avenue and Remington Avenue. Reference RVC190917-07 Comment Period: 1/24/2020 - 3/10/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Report	City of Eastvale	** Under review, may submit written comments
Waste and Water-related LAC200102-03 Alcoa Composites, Inc.	The proposed project consists of development of cleanup actions to excavate, dispose, and remediate contaminated soil and groundwater with volatile organic compounds on 13.3 acres. The project will also include installation of a soil vapor extraction system. The project is located at 13344 South Main Street on the northeast corner of South Main Street and East 135th Street in the community of Willowbrook within Los Angeles County. Comment Period: 1/13/2020 - 2/11/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Draft Response Plan	Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board	Document reviewed - No comments sent
Waste and Water-related LAC200123-01 Western Trunk Line Project	The proposed project consists of construction of a 27,795-foot water pipeline ranging in diameter from six inches to eight inches. The project is located along Western Avenue between 59th Place and 121st Street in the communities of South Los Angeles and West Athens-Westmont. Comment Period: 1/23/2020 - 2/24/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration	City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	Document reviewed - No comments sent
Waste and Water-related ORC200110-02 Capistrano Greenery Composting Operation at the Prima Deshecha Landfill	The proposed project consists of construction of a green waste composting facility with a receiving capacity of 204 tons per day of green wastes diverted from landfills on an 18.6-acre portion of 1,530 acres. The project is located at 32250 Avenida La Plata on the southeast corner of Avenida La Plata and Prima Deshecha in the City of San Juan Capistrano. Comment Period: 1/10/2020 - 2/10/2020 Public Hearing: 5/5/2020	Mitigated Negative Declaration	Orange County Department of Waste and Recycling	** Under review, may submit written comments

- Project has potential environmental justice concerns due to the nature and/or location of the project.

** Disposition may change prior to Governing Board Meeting

Documents received by the CEQA Intergovernmental Review program but not requiring review are not included in this report.

**ATTACHMENT A
INCOMING CEQA DOCUMENTS LOG
January 1, 2020 to January 31, 2020**

SOUTH COAST AQMD LOG-IN NUMBER PROJECT TITLE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE OF DOC.	LEAD AGENCY	COMMENT STATUS
Transportation SBC200102-01 Highland Redlands Regional Connector Project	The proposed project consists of construction of 4.7 miles of bikeways and walkways. The project is located along Streater Avenue and Orange Street between Baseline Street to the north and West Pioneer Avenue to the south in the cities of Highland and Redlands. Comment Period: 1/3/2020 - 2/3/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Mitigated Negative Declaration	City of Highland	Document reviewed - No comments sent
Institutional (schools, government, etc.) LAC200114-01 California Highway Patrol Baldwin Park Area Office Replacement Project	The proposed project consists of demolition of an existing office building, and construction of a 36,740-square-foot office building, a 6,925-square-foot automobile service facility, a 148-foot steel communications tower, a fueling service station with two pumps, and a 3,300-square-foot fueling canopy on a six-acre portion of 237 acres. The project is located on the northwest corner of East Campus Drive and South Campus Drive within the California Polytechnic State University, Pomona in Los Angeles County. Comment Period: 1/10/2020 - 2/10/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration	California Highway Patrol	** Under review, may submit written comments
Institutional (schools, government, etc.) LAC200117-01 Hilgard Faculty Housing Project	The proposed project consists of construction of 100 residential units totaling 120,000 square feet with subterranean parking on 0.6 acres. The project is located on the northeast corner of Hilgard Avenue and Lindbrook Drive in the community of Westwood Village within the City of Los Angeles. Comment Period: 1/16/2020 - 2/14/2020 Public Hearing: 2/4/2020	Mitigated Negative Declaration	Regents of the University of California	Document reviewed - No comments sent
Institutional (schools, government, etc.) LAC200124-04 Shenandoah Street Elementary School Comprehensive Modernization Project	The proposed project consists of demolition of 33,684 square feet of existing buildings, modernization of 33,216 square feet of existing buildings, and construction of a 32,290-square-foot building with 19 classrooms on 7.6 acres. The project is located at 2450 Shenandoah Street on the northeast corner of Shenandoah Street and Beverlywood Street in the community of South Robertson. Comment Period: 1/15/2020 - 2/17/2020 Public Hearing: 1/29/2020	Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration	Los Angeles Unified School District	** Under review, may submit written comments

- Project has potential environmental justice concerns due to the nature and/or location of the project.

** Disposition may change prior to Governing Board Meeting

Documents received by the CEQA Intergovernmental Review program but not requiring review are not included in this report.

**ATTACHMENT A
INCOMING CEQA DOCUMENTS LOG
January 1, 2020 to January 31, 2020**

SOUTH COAST AQMD LOG-IN NUMBER PROJECT TITLE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE OF DOC.	LEAD AGENCY	COMMENT STATUS
General Land Use (residential, etc.) ORC200116-01 Brea Mall Mixed Use Project	The proposed project consists of demolition of an existing 161,990-square-foot building and a 12-acre surface parking lot, and construction of 312 residential units totaling 380,947 square feet and 311,615 square feet of retail uses on 17.5 acres. The project is located near the southeast corner of South Randolph Avenue and East Birch Street. Reference ORC190816-04 Comment Period: 1/16/2020 - 3/2/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Draft Environmental Impact Report	City of Brea	** Under review, may submit written comments
General Land Use (residential, etc.) RVC200109-03 Legado Specific Plan	The proposed project consists of construction of 1,061 residential uses, 225,000 square feet of commercial uses, and 14.8 acres of recreational uses on 331 acres. The project will also include 6.3 acres of open space. The project is located on the southeast corner of Rouse Road and Encanto Drive. Reference RVC101110-01 Comment Period: 1/9/2020 - 2/24/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Draft Environmental Impact Report	City of Menifee	** Under review, may submit written comments
Plans and Regulations LAC200124-02 Descanso Gardens Master Plan	The proposed project consists of development of policies and programs to guide future park improvements and resource management with a planning horizon of 2035 on 149 acres. The project is located at 1418 Descanso Drive on the southwest corner of Descanso Drive and Encinas Drive in the City of La Cañada Flintridge. Comment Period: 1/27/2020 - 2/26/2020 Public Hearing: N/A	Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation	Document reviewed - No comments sent
Plans and Regulations ORC200107-03 Vegetation Management Program	The proposed project consists of controlled burning of 25 acres to 310 acres of grassland and vegetation. The project is located near the southeast corner of Bell Canyon Road and Grey Rock within Casper's Park and Starr Ranch Audubon in the cities of Mission Viejo and Rancho San Margarita. http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/comment-letters/2020/January/ORC200107-03.pdf Comment Period: N/A Public Hearing: N/A	Initial Project Consultation	Orange County Fire Authority	South Coast AQMD staff commented on 1/16/2020

- Project has potential environmental justice concerns due to the nature and/or location of the project.

** Disposition may change prior to Governing Board Meeting

Documents received by the CEQA Intergovernmental Review program but not requiring review are not included in this report.

ATTACHMENT C
ACTIVE SOUTH COAST AQMD LEAD AGENCY PROJECTS
THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2020

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROPONENT	TYPE OF DOCUMENT	STATUS	CONSULTANT
<p>The Phillips 66 (formerly ConocoPhillips) Los Angeles Refinery Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel project was originally proposed to comply with federal, state and South Coast AQMD requirements to limit the sulfur content of diesel fuels. Litigation regarding the CEQA document was filed. Ultimately, the California Supreme Court concluded that the South Coast AQMD had used an inappropriate baseline and directed the South Coast AQMD to prepare an EIR, even though the project has been built and has been in operation since 2006. The purpose of this CEQA document is to comply with the Supreme Court's direction to prepare an EIR.</p>	<p>Phillips 66 (formerly ConocoPhillips), Los Angeles Refinery</p>	<p>Environmental Impact Report (EIR)</p>	<p>The Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS) was circulated for a 30-day public comment period on March 26, 2012 to April 26, 2012. The consultant submitted the administrative Draft EIR to South Coast AQMD in late July 2013. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period from September 30, 2014 to November 13, 2014 and two comment letters were received. South Coast AQMD staff edits on the draft responses to comments were incorporated into a draft Final EIR which is undergoing review.</p>	<p>Environmental Audit, Inc.</p>
<p>Quemetco is proposing to modify existing South Coast AQMD permits to allow the facility to recycle more batteries and to eliminate the existing daily idle time of the furnaces. The proposed project will increase the rotary feed drying furnace feed rate limit from 600 to 750 tons per day and increase the amount of total coke material allowed to be processed. In addition, the project will allow the use of petroleum coke in lieu of or in addition to calcined coke, and remove one existing emergency diesel-fueled internal combustion engine (ICE) and install two new emergency natural gas-fueled ICEs.</p>	<p>Quemetco</p>	<p>Environmental Impact Report (EIR)</p>	<p>A Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS) was released for a 56-day public review and comment period from August 31, 2018 to October 25, 2018, and 154 comment letters were received. Two CEQA scoping meetings were held on September 13, 2018 and October 11, 2018 in the community. South Coast AQMD staff received a preliminary Draft EIR on December 20, 2019 which is undergoing review.</p>	<p>Trinity Consultants</p>