

AMENDED

PETITION FOR VARIANCE
BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE
SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

PETITIONER: CANYON POWER PLANT (CITY OF ANAHEIM) CASE NO: Case 6264-2

FACILITY ID: 153992

FACILITY ADDRESS: 3071 E. Miraloma Avenue

[location of equipment/site of violation; specify business/corporate address, if different, under Item 2, below]

City, State, Zip: Anaheim, CA 92806

1. TYPE OF VARIANCE REQUESTED (more than one box may be checked; see Attachment A, Item 1, before selecting)

☐ INTERIM ☐ SHORT ☒ REGULAR ☐ EMERGENCY ☐ EX PARTE EMERGENCY

2. CONTACT: Name, title, company (if different than Petitioner), address, and phone number of persons authorized to receive notices regarding this Petition (no more than two authorized persons).

Ron Hoffard – Generation Plant Manager

Cesar Santana – Project Engineer

Canyon Power Plant

Montrose Environmental Solutions, Inc.

3071 E. Miraloma Avenue

1631 E. St. Andrew Place

Anaheim Zip 92806

Santa Ana, CA Zip 92705

☎ (714) 765-4536 Ext.

☎ (949) 988-4279 Ext.

Fax ()

Fax ()

E-mail rhoffard@anaheim.net

E-mail cesarsantana@montrose-env.com

3. RECLAIM Permit ☒ Yes ☐ No Title V Permit ☒ Yes ☐ No

Persons with disabilities may request this document in an alternative format by contacting the Clerk of the Board at 909-396-2500 or by e-mail at clerkofboard@aqmd.gov.

If you require disability-related accommodations to facilitate participating in the hearing, contact the Clerk of the Board at least five (5) calendar days prior to the hearing.

[ALL DOCUMENTS FILED WITH CLERK'S OFFICE BECOME PUBLIC RECORD]

4. **GOOD CAUSE:** Explain why your petition was not filed in sufficient time to issue the required public notice. (Required only for Emergency and Interim Variances; see Attachment A, Item 4)

Not applicable.

5. Briefly describe the type of business and processes at your facility.

The Canyon Power Plant located at 3071 E. Miraloma Avenue supplies electric power to the California independent System Operator (CAISO) for The City of Anaheim to meet a portion of their electrical needs. The facility has four (4) LM6000 gas turbines that drive four (4) 50 Mega-Watt (MW) generators. The turbines are fueled by natural gas with CO and VOC emissions controlled by CO oxidation catalysts and NOx controlled by ammonia injected SCR catalysts.

Gas Turbine No. 1 is the subject of this petition.

6. List the equipment and/or activity(s) that are the subject of this petition (see Attachment A, Item 6, Example #1). **Attach copies of the Permit(s) to Construct and/or Permit(s) to Operate for the subject equipment. For RECLAIM or Title V facilities, attach *only* the relevant sections of the Facility Permit showing the equipment or process and conditions that are subject to this petition. You must bring the entire Facility Permit to the hearing.**

Equipment/Activity	Application/ Permit No.	RECLAIM Device No.	Date Application/Plan Denied (if relevant)*
Gas Turbine No. 1		D1	N/A
CO Oxidation Catalyst, No. 1		C3	N/A

*Attach copy of denial letter

7. Briefly describe the activity or equipment, and why it is necessary to the operation of your business. A schematic or diagram may be attached, in addition to the descriptive text.

Gas Turbine No. 1 is one of four (4) gas turbines located at the Canyon Power Plant. The turbines are used to generate electricity to the CAISO for the City of Anaheim. CO oxidation catalysts are used to reduce CO and VOC emissions from the turbines.

8. Is there a regular maintenance and/or inspection schedule for this equipment? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, how often: Semi-Annual; Date of last maintenance and/or inspection January 8, 2024

Describe the maintenance and/or inspection that was performed.

- The last inspection following a repair was performed at the General Electric (GE) Turbine repair facility in Houston, Texas.
- Routine Semi-Annual Inspections and Maintenance consist of a borescope inspections, oil and air filter changes, and instrumentation calibrations.
- Another maintenance requirement is a GE Service Bulletin requiring replacement of turbine compressor, blades rows (stages) 3 through 5. Replacements for blades in rows 3-5 are required when a turbine reaches 1,500 starts. By June 21, 2024, the date of the incident necessitating this petition, the turbine had 1,087 starts and 49.4 hours of operation since the turbine was returned to service, from a previous repair, on May 14, 2024.
- In addition to the regular maintenance and inspections, a borescope inspection was conducted following a triggered alarm and audible boom (compressor stall) caused by a broken stage 3 blade. The damage caused by the compressor stall far exceeded the replacement of only the compressor 3-5 blades.

9. List all District rules, and/or permit conditions [indicating the specific section(s) and subsection(s)] from which you are seeking variance relief (if requesting variance from Rule 401 or permit condition, see Attachment A). Briefly explain how you are or will be in violation of each rule or condition (see Attachment A, Item 9, Example #2).

Rule	Explanation
Permit Condition D29.3	SOx, VOC, and PM testing must be conducted once every three years. This testing is used to demonstrate compliance with BACT requirements. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot meet Permit Condition D29.3.
Rule 203(b)	Equipment cannot be operated contrary to permit conditions. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 203.
Rule 2001(j)	Facilities in the RECLAIM program must comply with all provisions of District rules and regulations. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 2001.
Rule 3002(c)(1)	All equipment at a Title V facility must be in compliance with all terms, requirements, and conditions of the Title V permit. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 3002.
Rule 218 (c)(1)(B)(i)	Operations and maintenance of the certified CEMS must be in accordance with the requirements of Rule 218.1 Sections b and d. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements in Rule 218.1 Section b with the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 218.

Rule 218.1 (b)(4)(B)	Facilities must complete a System Bias Test every 12 months. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 218.1.
Rule 218.1 (b)(4)(C)	Facilities must complete a Relative Accuracy Test Audit (RATA) every 12 months. The facility is unable to meet testing requirements for CO while the turbine is out for repair, and therefore cannot be fully in compliance with Rule 218.1.

10. Are the equipment or activities subject to this request currently under variance coverage? Yes ☒ No ☐

Case No.	Date of Action	Final Compliance Date	Explanation
6264-1		August 31, 2025	The variance is to allow operations until the source testing can be conducted. Due to an extended period coordinating with the repair depot, the turbine will not be reinstalled until later this year, past the compliance date. A second variance is required to have coverage until the turbine is reinstalled and source testing is conducted.

11. Are any other equipment or activities at this location currently (or within the last six months) under variance coverage? Yes ☐ No ☒

Case No.	Date of Action	Final Compliance Date	Explanation

12. Were you issued any Notice(s) of Violation or Notice(s) to Comply concerning this equipment or activity within the past year? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, you must attach a copy of each notice.

13. Have you received any complaints from the public regarding the operation of the subject equipment or activity within the last six months? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, you should be prepared to present details at the hearing.

14. Explain why it is beyond your reasonable control to comply with the rule(s) and/or permit condition(s). Provide specific event(s) and date(s) of occurrence(s), if applicable.

The Gas Turbine No. 1 is physically absent from the facility and source testing cannot be done until the turbine is repaired, returned, and reinstalled. The turbine is currently in Canada and is not expected to be reinstalled until the end of the year. The relevant chronology is provided below.

- July 2020 – Triennial testing was last conducted July 2020. The next test would have been due July 2023.
- April 11, 2023 – Due to damage in the high-pressure turbine (the hot section), Gas Turbine No. 1 was removed from service.
- April 21, 2023 – Gas Turbine No. 1 was transported to the GE repair depot in Houston, Texas for repair.
- July 2023 – Triennial testing was not possible in the absence of Gas Turbine No. 1, which was sent to Texas for repair.
- February 14, 2024 – Gas Turbine No. 1 was transported back to Canyon Power Plant, reinstalled and, started for test. Gas Turbine No. 1 was unable to operate due to generator high vibrations and a failed automatic voltage regulator.
- May 14, 2024 – After the issues discovered on February 14, 2024 were resolved, Gas Turbine No. 1 was successfully started and placed in operation. Triennial testing was scheduled for August 2024.
- May 15, 2024 through and including May 20, 2024 – Gas Turbine No. 1 was not operated.
- May 21, 2024 – Linearity testing was completed.
- May 23, 2024 – RATA and ammonia slip testing were completed.
- May 27, May 28, May 31, June 3, June 4, June 6, June 12, and June 21, 2024 – Gas Turbine No. 1 operated for only 49.4 hours.
- June 21, 2024, at 10:25 PM – One of the blades from Gas Turbine No. 1 broke off from the rotor shaft and traveled through the compressor, combustor, and the hot section, damaging components along the way. The liberated blade caused air flow turbulence that resulted in extreme high-pressure air travelling in opposing directions, triggering a compressor fault alarm and a compressor stall event which caused an emergency turbine trip (shutdown). It was estimated that 50% of all compressor blades and vanes would have to be replaced, and that damage to the combustor, fuel nozzles, and hot section blades would need to be repaired.
- July 12, 2024 – A request for bids for the repair of these components was sent out. At the time of the submittal of the petition for Case 6264-1, it was not known when repairs would be completed. At the time, Canyon Power Plant estimated the turbine could be returned to service by May 2025.
- August 20, 2024 – Physical copies of the variance petition for Case 6264-1 were delivered to South Coast AQMD offices.
- October 3, 2024 – A Public Hearing was held by South Coast Air Quality Management District for Case 6264-1. A regular variance was granted, with a final Compliance Date of August 31, 2025.
- October 9, 2024 – The repair contract was awarded to a contractor with qualified depot facilities for the necessary repairs.
- October 2024 – April 2025 – Contract negotiations were unusually extensive due to the holidays, unpredictable tariffs and import/export regulations and costs applicable to a Canadian contractor, and

supply chain issues that impacted the materials and services in the initially proposed scope of work/services.

- April 9, 2025 – Contract finalized for repair to Gas Turbine No. 1.
- April 21, 2025 – Gas Turbine No. 1 arrived at the contractor's repair depot in Alberta, Canada.
- May 16, 2025 – The contractor began turbine disassembly/induction.
- June 30, 2025 - The CO RATA for Gas Turbine No. 1 could not be completed. SCAQMD indicated on August 12, 2025 that an additional NOV will be issued for the missed testing.
- July 11, 2025 – The contractor issued a Depot Findings Report detailing the as-found conditions of the engine modules and engine parts needing to be replaced, repaired, or overhauled. The contractor provided an initial cost estimate of the repairs, totaling \$3,465,441.02. Costs include transportation and assembly costs, the high-pressure compressor, combustor repair and overhaul of fuel nozzles, the high pressure turbine, the low pressure turbine, low pressure compressor, compressor front frame, and compressor rear frame. Canyon Power Plant estimated the turbine could be returned to service by March 2026.

15. When and how did you first become aware that you would not be in compliance with the rule(s) and/or permit condition(s)? Provide specific event(s) and date(s) of occurrence(s).

In mid-July, when Petition received the contractor's Depot Findings Report dated July 11, 2025, Petitioner became aware that Gas Turbine No. 1 would not be returned, reinstalled, and tested prior to the end of the existing variance, which was scheduled to end on August 31, 2025. The relevant chronology is below.

- July 2023 – Turbine No. 1 Triennial testing due. The Triennial test date was missed due to the duration of Gas Turbine No. 1 repairs while at GE, Houston Texas.
- April 12, 2023 – Turbine No. 1 transported to GE, Houston Texas to repair/replace fractured and damaged turbine blades, nozzles, and shrouds
- February 12, 2024 – Turbine No. 1 returned and reinstalled. Turbine No. 1 was out of service up to May 14, 2024, due to automatic voltage regulator and generator high vibration issues.
- May 14, 2024 – Turbine No. 1 was returned to service.
- May 2024 – Canyon Power Plant conducted various required testing in May, including the annual RATA and ammonia slip testing on May 23, 2024, and linearity testing on May 21, 2024.
- June 21, 2024 – The turbine incident occurred on the evening of June 21, 2024, before the August 2024 triennial compliance testing could be conducted. The turbine had operated for 49.4 hours since the annual RATA was conducted in early May 2024.
- August 2024 – A Triennial compliance test had been scheduled for August 2024, which was the earliest available testing date on the testing company's schedule.
- October 3, 2024 – Variance Petition, Case 6264-1, was granted, with a final compliance date of August 31, 2025.
- April 17, 2025 – Turbine No. 1 transported to the contractor in Alberta, Canada.
- July 11, 2025 – The contractor issued an initial cost estimate and findings report for the turbine repairs.

- July 2025 – In discussions with Canyon Power Plant staff, the contractor indicated that the turbine would not be returned until Fall of 2025. In more recent discussions with the contractor, the contractor indicated that repairs may require shipment of parts to and from other locations, and the most recent estimate as of the submittal of this Petition is that the turbine will be returned at the end of the year.

16. List date(s) and action(s) you have taken since that time to achieve compliance. That the Petition Form HB-V, and any related instructions, include requirement that the Petitioner include a timeline in suitable, chronological format to address the events, dates, and actions called for by Questions 15 and 16, including the dates of communication with the South Coast AQMD to notify them of the occurrence(s) giving rise to the requested variance.

- Triennial testing was originally scheduled to be completed by July 2023 but did not occur because the turbine was out of state for repairs.
- Once the turbine was in service in May 2024, testing was scheduled for August 2024.
- A borescope inspection was conducted on June 22nd-June 26th, 2024, to confirm the damage to the unit and determine the extent of repairs needed. The findings of the inspection were documented in an internal report completed on June 26, 2024.
- The South Coast AQMD inspector Thomas Lee was notified of the incident on June 26th, 2024.
- A request for Bids was sent out on July 12th, 2024, for Turbine No. 1 repairs. The South Coast AQMD attorney Karin Manwaring was notified of the incident by phone of July 19th, 2024.
- A variance petition, Case 6264-1 was submitted to the SCAQMD Hearing Board on August 20, 2024.
- The regular variance was granted on October 3, 2024, with a final compliance date of August 31, 2024.
- October 9, 2024 – The repair contract was awarded to a contractor with qualified depot facilities for the necessary repairs.
- October 2024 – April 2025 – Contract negotiations were unusually extensive due to the holidays, unpredictable tariffs and import/export regulations and costs, and supply chain issues that impacted the materials and services in the contractor's initially proposed scope of work/services.
- April 9, 2025 – Contract finalized for repair to Gas Turbine No. 1.
- The turbine was transported to the contractor's repair depot on April 17, 2025, in Alberta, Canada and arrived on April 21, 2025, with an induction date of May 16, 2025.

17. What would be the harm to your business during **and/or after** the period of the variance if the variance were not granted?

Economic losses: N/A

Number of employees laid off (if any): N/A

Provide detailed information regarding economic losses, if any, (anticipated business closure, breach of contracts, hardship on customers, layoffs, and/or similar impacts).

The turbine will not be operational during the variance period, so there will be no economic losses resulting from the variance not being granted other than the potential penalties that may arise from any Notice of Violation issued for the same reasons that required the last variance (triennial testing schedule being missed due to the non-operational turbine or physical absence of the turbine).

18. Can you curtail or terminate operations in lieu of, or in addition to, obtaining a variance? Please explain.

Yes. Gas Turbine No. 1 is not in operation and will not be in operation until it is returned, reinstalled, and source testing is completed.

19. Estimate excess emissions, if any, on a daily basis, including, if applicable, excess opacity (the percentage of total opacity above 20% during the variance period). If the variance will result in no excess emissions, insert "N/A" here and skip to No. 20.

Pollutant	(A)	(B)	(C)*
	Total Estimated Excess Emissions (lbs/day)	Reduction Due to Mitigation (lbs/day)	Net Emissions After Mitigation (lbs/day)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Column A minus Column B = Column C

Excess Opacity: N/A%

20. Show calculations used to estimate quantities in No. 19, or explain why there will be no excess emissions.

During the time the turbine is absent, there will be no excess emissions. When the turbine is returned and reinstalled, the turbine will be tested prior to placing it in service. The turbine will not operate until testing is done, so there will be no excess emissions.

21. Explain how you plan to reduce (mitigate) excess emissions during the variance period to the maximum extent feasible, or why reductions are not feasible.

There will be no need to mitigate excess emissions since there will be no excess emissions during the variance period. When the turbine is returned and reinstalled, the turbine will be tested prior to placing it in service. The turbine will not operate until testing is done, so there will be no excess emissions.

22. How do you plan to monitor or quantify emission levels from the equipment or activity(s) during the variance period, and to make such records available to the District? **Any proposed monitoring does not relieve RECLAIM facilities from applicable missing data requirements.**

There will be no need to quantify emission levels since there will be no emissions from Turbine No. 1 during the variance period.

23. How do you intend to achieve compliance with the rule(s) and/or permit condition(s)? Include a detailed description of any equipment to be installed, modifications or process changes to be made, permit conditions to be amended, etc., dates by which the actions will be completed, and an estimate of total costs.

A Request for Bids to repair Turbine No. 1 was sent on July 12th, 2024. The turbine is not expected to be back in operation until March 2026, due to supply constraints. The triennial compliance testing will be completed shortly after it is returned to service as directed by scheduling. The total repair costs are estimated to be about \$3,465,441.02 and total losses are estimated at \$1,700,000.

24. State the date you are requesting the variance to begin: September 4, 2025; and the date by which you expect to achieve final compliance: March 31, 2026.

If the regular variance is to extend beyond one year, you **must** include a **Schedule of Increments of Progress**, specifying dates or time increments for steps needed to achieve compliance. See District Rule 102 for definition of Increments of Progress (see Attachment A, Item 24, Example #3).

List Increments of Progress here: N/A

25. List the names of any District personnel with whom facility representatives have had contact concerning this variance petition or any related Notice of Violation or Notice to Comply.

<u>Karin Manwaring</u>	Ext. <u>2236</u>
<u>Thomas Lee</u>	Ext. <u>2412</u>

If the petition was completed by someone other than the petitioner, please provide their name and title below.

<u>Cesar Santana</u>	<u>Montrose Environmental Solutions, Inc.</u>	<u>Project Engineer</u>
Name	Company	Title

The undersigned, under penalty of perjury, states that the above petition, including attachments and the items therein set forth, is true and correct.

Executed on AUGUST 27, 2025, at CITY OF ANAHEIM, California

<u>R Hoffard</u>	<u>Ron Hoffard</u>
Signature	Print Name

Title: Generation Plant Manager

26. SMALL BUSINESS and TABLE III SCHEDULE A FEES: To be eligible for reduced fees for small businesses, individuals, or entities meeting small business gross receipts criterion [see District Rule 303(h)], you must complete the following:

Declaration Re Reduced Fee Eligibility

1. The petitioner is
- a) ☐ an individual, or
 - b) ☐ an officer, partner or owner of the petitioner herein, or a duly authorized agent of the petitioner authorized to make the representations set forth herein.

If you selected 1a, above, skip item 2.

2. The petitioner is
- a) ☐ a business that meets the following definition of Small Business as set forth in District Rule 102:
SMALL BUSINESS means a business which is independently owned and operated and meets the following criteria, or if affiliated with another concern, the combined activities of both concerns shall meet these criteria:
 - (a) the number of employees is 10 or less; **AND**
 - (b) the total gross annual receipts are \$500,000 or less or
 - (iii) the facility is a not-for-profit training center.

-OR-

- b) ☐ an entity with total gross annual receipts of \$500,000 or less.
3. Therefore, I believe the petitioner qualifies for reduced fees for purpose of filing fees and excess emission fee calculations, in accordance with Rule 303(h).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____, at _____, California

Signature

Print Name

Title



South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 COPLEY DRIVE, DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765-4178

P 79467

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

DATE OF VIOLATION		
Month	Day	Year
07	23	2023

Facility Name CANYON POWER PLANT		Permit No. 153992	County OE
Location Address 3071 E MIRALOMA AVE.		City ANAHEIM	Zip 92806
Mailing Address 201 S. ANAHEIM BLVD.		City ANAHEIM	Zip 92805

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE BEEN CITED FOR ONE OR MORE VIOLATIONS OF THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (SCAQMD) RULES, STATE LAW OR FEDERAL LAW. IF PROVEN, SUCH VIOLATION(S) MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

EACH DAY A VIOLATION OCCURS MAY BE HANDLED AS A SEPARATE OFFENSE REGARDLESS OF WHETHER OR NOT ADDITIONAL NOTICES OF VIOLATION ARE ISSUED.

DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATIONS

#	Authority*	Code Section or Rule No	SCAQMD Permit to Operate or CARB Registration No	Condition No (if Applicable)	Description of Violation
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR	2004 (f)(1)		D29.3	FAILURE TO CONDUCT TRIENNIAL SOURCE TESTING FOR POLLUTANTS SOX, PM, AND VOC EMISSIONS
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR	3002 (c)(1)			FAILURE TO OPERATE ALL EQUIPMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL TERMS, REQUIREMENTS AND CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN THE TITLE V PERMIT AT ALL TIMES.
3	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR	2004 (f)(1)		D29.2	FAILURE TO CONDUCT ANNUAL AMMONIA SLIP TEST FOR DEVICE ID D1 IN THE 2023 COMPLIANCE YEAR
5	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				

Served To BERTHA HERNANDEZ	Phone (714) 765-7481	Served By AVELINO REVILLA	Date Notice Served 09/26/2024
Title ENV SERVICES SPECIALIST	Email BHERNANDEZ@ANAHEIM.NCT	Phone No. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909-396-2577 <input type="checkbox"/> 310-233-	Email arevilla@aqmd.gov
*Key to Authority Abbreviations SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District CCR - California Code of Regulations		CH&SC - California Health and Safety Code CFR - Code of Federal Regulations	
		Method of Service <input type="checkbox"/> In Person <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail	

ORIGINAL



South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 COPLEY DRIVE, DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765-4178

P 79687

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

DATE OF VIOLATION		
Month	Day	Year
7	1	25

Facility Name Canyon Power Plant		Facility ID# 153992	State OE
Location Address 3071 E Miraloma Ave		City Anaheim	Zip 92806
Mailing Address 201 S Anaheim Blvd, MS 802		City Anaheim	Zip 92805

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE BEEN CITED FOR ONE OR MORE VIOLATIONS OF THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (SCAQMD) RULES, STATE LAW OR FEDERAL LAW. IF PROVEN, SUCH VIOLATION(S) MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

EACH DAY A VIOLATION OCCURS MAY BE HANDLED AS A SEPARATE OFFENSE REGARDLESS OF WHETHER OR NOT ADDITIONAL NOTICES OF VIOLATION ARE ISSUED.

DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATIONS

#	Authority*	Code Section or Rule No	SCAQMD Permit to Operate or CARB Registration No	Condition No (If Applicable)	Description of Violation
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR	218.1 (b)(4) (C)			Failure to perform Turbine Unit 1 (device D1) CO RATA before the deadline outlined in Rule 218.1.
2	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				
3	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				
4	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				
5	<input type="checkbox"/> SCAQMD <input type="checkbox"/> CH&SC <input type="checkbox"/> CCR <input type="checkbox"/> CFR				

Name Bertha Hernandez		Phone 714.765.4243	Name Erin Landi		Date 8/27/25
Title Env. Services Specialist		Email bhernandez@anaheim.net	Phone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909-396-2306 <input type="checkbox"/> 310-233-		Email elandi@aqmd.gov
Key to Authority Abbreviations: SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management District CH&SC California Health and Safety Code CCR California Code of Regulations In Person <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

ORIGINAL



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

Equipment	ID No.	Connected To	RECLAIM Source Type/ Monitoring Unit	Emissions* And Requirements	Conditions
Process 1: POWER GENERATION					
System 1: GAS TURBINE					
GAS TURBINE, NO. 1, NATURAL GAS, GENERAL ELECTRIC, MODEL LM6000PC SPRINT, SIMPLE CYCLE, 479 MMBTU/HR AT 46 DEG F, WITH INLET CHILLING, WITH WATER INJECTION WITH A/N: 555828	D1	C3	NOX: MAJOR SOURCE**	CO: 4 PPMV NATURAL GAS (4) [RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002]; CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 2.5 PPMV NATURAL GAS (4) [RULE 2005, 12-4-2015]; NOX: 25 PPMV NATURAL GAS (8) [40CFR 60 Subpart KKKK, 3-20-2009]; PM10: 0.01 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5A) [RULE 475, 10-8-1976; RULE 475, 8-7-1978]; PM10: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]; PM10: 1.67 LBS/HR NATURAL GAS (5C) [RULE 1303(b)(2)-Offset, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(b)(2)-Offset, 12-6-2002]; PM10: 11 LBS/HR NATURAL GAS (5B) [RULE 475, 10-8-1976; RULE 475, 8-7-1978]; SO2: (9) [40CFR 72 - Acid Rain Provisions, 11-24-1997]; SO2: 0.06 LBS/MMBTU NATURAL GAS (8) [40CFR 60 Subpart KKKK, 3-20-2009]; VOC: 2 PPMV NATURAL GAS (4) [RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002]	A63.1, A99.1, A99.2, A99.3, A195.1, A195.2, A195.3, A327.1, B61.1, D12.1, D29.2, D29.3, D82.1, D82.2, E193.1, H23.1, I298.1, K40.1
GENERATOR, 50.95 MW					

- * (1) (1A) (1B) Denotes RECLAIM emission factor (2) (2A) (2B) Denotes RECLAIM emission rate
(3) Denotes RECLAIM concentration limit (4) Denotes BACT emission limit
(5) (5A) (5B) Denotes command and control emission limit (6) Denotes air toxic control rule limit
(7) Denotes NSR applicability limit (8) (8A) (8B) Denotes 40 CFR limit (e.g. NSPS, NESHAPS, etc.)
(9) See App B for Emission Limits (10) See section J for NESHAP/MACT requirements

** Refer to section F and G of this permit to determine the monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting requirements for this device.



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

Equipment	ID No.	Connected To	RECLAIM Source Type/ Monitoring Unit	Emissions* And Requirements	Conditions
Process 1: POWER GENERATION					
CO OXIDATION CATALYST, NO. 1, BASF, 110 CUBIC FEET OF TOTAL CATALYST VOLUME A/N: 476654	C3	D1 C4			
SELECTIVE CATALYTIC REDUCTION, NO. 1, CORMETECH CMHT-21, 1012 CU.FT.; WIDTH: 2 FT 6 IN; HEIGHT: 25 FT 9 IN; LENGTH: 18 FT WITH A/N: 476654 AMMONIA INJECTION	C4	C3 S6		NH3: 5 PPMV NATURAL GAS (4) [RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002]	A195.4, D12.2, D12.3, D12.4, E179.1, E179.2, E193.1
STACK, TURBINE NO. 1, HEIGHT: 86 FT; DIAMETER: 11 FT 8 IN A/N: 555828	S6	C4			

- * (1) (1A) (1B) Denotes RECLAIM emission factor (2) (2A) (2B) Denotes RECLAIM emission rate
(3) Denotes RECLAIM concentration limit (4) Denotes BACT emission limit
(5) (5A) (5B) Denotes command and control emission limit (6) Denotes air toxic control rule limit
(7) Denotes NSR applicability limit (8) (8A) (8B) Denotes 40 CFR limit (e.g. NSPS, NESHAPS, etc.)
(9) See App B for Emission Limits (10) See section J for NESHAP/MACT requirements

** Refer to section F and G of this permit to determine the monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting requirements for this device.



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

The test(s) shall be conducted quarterly during the first twelve months of operation of the catalytic control device and annually thereafter when four consecutive quarterly source tests demonstrate compliance with the ammonia emission limit. If an annual test is failed, four consecutive quarterly source tests must demonstrate compliance with the ammonia emissions limits prior to resuming annual source tests.

The South Coast AQMD shall be notified of the date and time of the test at least 10 days prior to the test.

If the turbine is not in operation during one calendar year, then no testing is required during that calendar year.

The NO_x concentration, as determined by the CEMS, shall be simultaneously recorded during the ammonia slip test. If the CEMS is inoperable, a test shall be conducted to determine the NO_x emissions using South Coast AQMD Method 100.1 measured over a 60 minute averaging time period.

The test shall be conducted and the results submitted to the South Coast AQMD within 60 days after the test date.

The test shall be conducted to demonstrate compliance with the Rule 1303 concentration limit.

[RULE 1135, 11-2-2018; **RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996**; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002]

[Devices subject to this condition : D1, D7, D13, D19]

D29.3 The operator shall conduct source test(s) for the pollutant(s) identified below.

Pollutant(s) to be tested	Required Test Method(s)	Sampling Time	Test Location
SOX emissions	AQMD Laboratory Method 307-91	District-approved averaging time	Fuel sample



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

VOC emissions	District Method 25.3 Modified	1 hour	Outlet of the SCR serving this equipment
PM emissions	District method 5.1	4 hours	Outlet of the SCR serving this equipment



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

The PM emissions testing shall be conducted using South Coast AQMD Method 5.1 as described in Section 3.3 of the Delta Air Quality Services, Inc. protocol, dated September 27, 2013 and approved by the South Coast AQMD on October 10, 2013. The testing shall consist of one run with a sampling time of four hours minimum for the run. The PM emissions results will be considered to be a surrogate for the PM10 emissions.

As source testing methods and techniques evolve, a new protocol may be submitted and evaluated by the South Coast AQMD for approval in accordance with the procedure described below.

For PM (surrogate for PM10), the tests shall be conducted at least once every 18 months in order to verify compliance with the emission rate of 1.67 lb/hr PM10 at maximum load during normal operations. If all tests conducted over a three-year period comply with the 1.67 lb/hr limit for PM10, the facility shall have the option of reducing the source test frequency to once every three years.

For SOx and VOC, the test shall be conducted at least once every three years. The South Coast AQMD shall be notified of the date and time of the test at least 10 days prior to the test.

The test shall be conducted to determine the oxygen levels in the exhaust. In addition, the tests shall measure the fuel flow rate (CFH), the flue gas flow rate, and the turbine generating output in MW.

The test shall be conducted in accordance with South Coast AQMD approved test protocol. The protocol shall be submitted to the South Coast AQMD engineer no later than 45 days before the proposed test date and shall be approved by the South Coast AQMD before the test commences..

The test protocol shall include the proposed operating conditions of the turbine during the tests, the identity of the testing lab, a statement from the testing lab certifying that it meets the criteria of Rule 304, and a description of all sampling and analytical procedures.



FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE CANYON POWER PLANT

SECTION D: FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

The operator shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth below:

The test shall be conducted when this equipment is operating at loads of 100, 75, and 50 percent, with the exception of PM testing (surrogate for PM10). For PM, the test shall be conducted when this equipment is operating at a load of 100 percent.

For natural gas fired turbines only, for the purpose of demonstrating compliance with VOC BACT limits as determined by South Coast AQMD, the operator shall use South Coast AQMD Method 25.3 modified as follows:

- a) Triplicate stack gas samples extracted directly into Summa canisters, maintaining a final canister pressure between 400-500 mm Hg absolute,
- b) Pressurization of the Summa canisters with zero gas analyzed/certified to less than 0.05 ppmv total hydrocarbons as carbon, and
- c) Analysis of Summa canisters per the canister analysis portion of South Coast AQMD Method 25.3 with a minimum detection limit of 0.3 ppmv or less and reported to two significant figures. The temperature of the Summa canisters when extracting the samples for analysis shall not be below 70 F.

The use of this modified method for VOC compliance determination does not mean that it is more accurate than unmodified South Coast AQMD Method 25.3, nor does it mean that it may be used in lieu of South Coast AQMD Method 25.3 without prior approval, except for the determination of compliance with the BACT level of 2.0 ppmv VOC calculated as carbon for natural gas fired turbines.

For purposes of this condition, an alternative test method may be allowed for any of the above pollutants upon concurrence by South Coast AQMD, EPA, and CARB.

[RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002; RULE 1303(b)(2)-Offset, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(b)(2)-Offset, 12-6-2002]

[Devices subject to this condition : D1, D7, D13, D19]

D82.1 The operator shall install and maintain a CEMS to measure the following parameters:

(Adopted January 9, 1976)(Amended January 5, 1990)(Amended December 3, 2004)

RULE 203. PERMIT TO OPERATE

- (a) A person shall not operate or use any equipment or agricultural permit unit, the use of which may cause the issuance of air contaminants, or the use of which may reduce or control the issuance of air contaminants, without first obtaining a written permit to operate from the Executive Officer or except as provided in Rule 202.
- (b) The equipment or agricultural permit unit shall not be operated contrary to the conditions specified in the permit to operate.

- (F) public transit;
- (G) restaurants;
- (H) potable water delivery operations;
- (I) facilities located in the Riverside County portions of the Salton Sea and Mojave Desert Air Basins, except for a facility that has elected to enter the RECLAIM program pursuant to subparagraph (i)(2)(M); and
- (J) facilities that have permanently ceased operations of all sources before January 1, 1994.

(j) Rule Applicability

Facilities operating under the provisions of the RECLAIM program shall be required to comply concurrently with all provisions of District rules and regulations, except those provisions applicable to NO_x emissions under the rules listed in Table 1 adopted or amended prior to October 5, 2018, and those provisions applicable respectively to SO_x emissions of the listed District rules in Table 2 which have initial implementation dates in 1994. In addition, NO_x RECLAIM facilities are required to comply with all NO_x provisions in rules contained in Table 1 that are adopted or amended on or after October 5, 2018. The Facility Permit holder shall comply with all other provisions of the rules listed in Tables 1 and 2 relating to any other pollutant.

(b) Application Shield

Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of this rule, it is not a violation of this rule to operate a Title V facility or equipment located at a Title V facility without a Title V permit, provided that:

- (1) A timely and complete application for initial Title V permit issuance or Title V permit renewal for such facility or equipment has been filed with the Executive Officer; and,
- (2) The Executive Officer has not taken final action on the application.

For the purpose of an application shield, a timely and complete application is one that has been submitted in accordance with subdivisions (a) and (c) of Rule 3003. The application shield shall not apply if the permit applicant has failed to submit information required pursuant to subdivision (d) of this rule.

(c) Duty to Comply

- (1) A person shall construct and operate a Title V facility and all equipment located at a Title V facility in compliance with all terms, requirements, and conditions specified in the Title V permit at all times.
- (2) Any non-compliance with a Title V facility permit term, requirement, or condition is a violation of Regulation XXX and is a violation of the federal Clean Air Act if the permit term, requirement or condition is federally enforceable. Each day during any portion of which a violation occurs is a separate offense. Any non-compliance shall be grounds for:
 - (A) enforcement action (under the California Health & Safety Code and the federal Clean Air Act);
 - (B) permit termination;
 - (C) permit revocation and reissuance;
 - (D) permit revision; and
 - (E) denial of a permit renewal or revision application.
- (3) It shall not be a defense for a person in any of the actions listed in paragraph (c)(2) of this rule that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of the permit, except as provided for in subdivision (g) of Rule 3002.
- (4) A permit may be revised, revoked, reopened and reissued, or terminated for cause as provided in Rule 3004 - Permit Types and Content, and Rule 3005 - Permit Revisions. The filing of a request by the holder of a Title V

completion. If satisfactory performance is demonstrated, final approval of the CEMS shall be granted. Subsequent operation and maintenance of the certified CEMS shall be in accordance with the provisions of Rule 218, Section (c)(1)(B). After final approval, modifications made to the CEMS shall be reviewed and approved by the Executive Officer according to the specifications stipulated in Rule 218, Section (c)(1)(B), and may require all or a portion of performance tests to be conducted.

- (B) Upon submission of an "Application for CEMS" or "Application for CEMS Modification" as prescribed in Rule 218 Section (c)(1)(A), the applicant shall indicate either one of the following conditions:

(i) That the CEMS shall be reviewed and certified according to the provisions of Rule 218.1, "Continuous Emission Monitoring Performance Specifications", Section (b), and the subsequent operation and maintenance of the certified CEMS shall be in accordance with the provisions of Rule 218, Sections (b), (e), (f) and (g) and of the requirements of Rule 218.1(b) and (d), or,

(ii) That the CEMS shall be reviewed and certified according to the applicable provisions of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40 - "Protection of Environment", Part 60 - "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (40CFR60), Appendix B - "Performance Specifications" (Appendix B), and the subsequent operation and maintenance of the certified CEMS shall be in accordance with the provisions of Rule 218, Sections (b), (e), (f) and (g), and the requirements of 40CFR60, Appendix F - "Quality Assurance Procedures" (Appendix F).

Notwithstanding the requirements of Section (c)(1)(B)(ii), any alternative test methods for 40CFR60, Appendices B and F shall be those that are listed in Rule 218.1, Table 1 - Reference Methods.

- (C) A "Notification of Pre-Approved Modification" and report of results of prescribed quality assurance checks may be submitted in-lieu of the "Application for CEMS Modification" when the modification

(E) Interference

The owner or operator shall perform tests to verify the absence of sampling, analytical and flow interference, as applicable.

(F) Linearity Error

LE tests shall be performed at the low, middle and high ranges of concentration, namely 20 to 30, 50 to 60, and 80 to 100 percent. Each calibration gas shall be introduced into the CEMS three times. The same gas shall not be used twice in succession. LE shall be less than or equal to 5.0 percent of the calibration gas concentration.

(G) Multiple -Span-Range

For CEMS that have multiple- span range, all certification tests shall be performed at the lowest range. Except for RA and interference tests, all other certification tests shall be performed on other ranges.

(4) Operational Requirements and Performance Specifications for New or Modified CEMS

After final approval, the CEMS shall be subsequently operated and maintained according to the following requirements and specifications:

(A) 24-Hour CE

CE tests shall be performed once each day as close to 24 hour intervals as practicable at the low (0 to 20 percent) and high (80 to 100 percent) ranges of concentration. However, CE tests are not required on any day when the underlying equipment is not operated. CE test results which are greater than the limits specified in Sections (b)(2)(A)(i) and (ii), but less than or equal to 5.0 percent of the full span range shall be addressed by QA/QC Plan remediation. The CEMS shall be deemed out-of-control during such period when any CE test result is greater than the specified limits and greater than 5.0 percent of the full span range, until the CE test meets the specifications. All data generated by the CEMS during an out-of-control period shall be deemed invalid but shall not be deleted or excluded from the records or database.

(B) System Bias Test

A system bias shall be conducted every 12 months in conjunction with relative accuracy audit required under Rule 218.1 Section

(b)(4)(C). The CEMS system bias shall not exceed ± 5.0 percent of the full span range for contaminant analyzers. In addition, the owner or operator shall include in the facility QA/QC Plan, criteria for excessive drift (e.g. control limits on cumulative drift) and appropriate diagnostic techniques to identify sources of analyzer drift and system bias when control limits are exceeded.

(C) Relative Accuracy Testing

RATA and RAA, as applicable, shall be performed at least once every 12 months. The test shall be completed annually no later than the end of the calendar quarter in which the date of the original certification test was performed. During any relative accuracy tests after CEMS certification, the owner or operator may request a waiver from stratification, cyclonic flow, and/or interference requirements in Sections (b)(3)(C), (D) and (E), respectively, by submitting to the Executive Officer, for approval, any applicable documentation or previous test or historical data that meets the stratification, cyclonic flow, and/or interference requirements.

(D) Cylinder Gas Audit (CGA)

A CGA shall be performed every calendar quarter but in no more than three quarters in succession. The CGA shall be conducted according to the provisions of 40 CFR 60, Appendix F. The audit gases shall be according to the certification requirements of Rule 218.1.

(E) The Executive Officer may require recertification of the CEMS if the annual availability percentage is below 95 percent. Annual CEMS availability percentage calculations will be based on the year ending on the last day of the calendar quarter in which the CEMS was originally certified.

(F) The owner or operator of a CEMS that requires moisture correction in reporting flow and concentration shall measure and monitor moisture in the stack gas used for emission data calculations in accordance with the written technical guidance document set forth by the Executive Officer. Alternatively, with Executive Officer approval, for equipment whose moisture source is only from fuel combustion, the operator may calculate the moisture content using