SENT VIA E-MAIL AND USPS:

May 10, 2017

SKelaher@santa-ana.org
Selena Kelaher, AICP, Associate Planner
City of Santa Ana Planning Division
20 Civic Center Plaza
Post Office Box 1988
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Negative Declaration (ND) for the Bridging The Aqua Residential Project

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) staff appreciates the opportunity to comment on the above-mentioned document. The following comment is meant as guidance for the Lead Agency and should be incorporated into the Final ND.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of the demolition of an existing motel and the construction of two new buildings providing 57 housing units on an approximately 0.98-acre site. The project includes an estimated total building space of 39,100 square feet. An outdoor community space, a central courtyard, and a community garden are also planned. Construction is scheduled to take approximately 128 days to complete with the opening year in 2019. The project is bounded by multi-family residences and the Interstate 5 (I-5) Santa Ana Freeway to the north, an office building to the east, commercial uses to the south, and commercial uses and multi-family residences to the west.

Mobile Source Health Risk Assessment

When specific development is reasonably foreseeable as result of the goals, policies, and guidelines in the proposed project, the Lead Agency should identify any potential adverse health risk impacts using its best efforts to find out and a good-faith effort at full disclosure in the CEQA document. Based on a review of aerial photographs and information in the ND, the SCAQMD staff found that the project would facilitate the siting of future residents approximately 50 feet from the I-5 Freeway, which has an average daily volume of 394,000 vehicles, including approximately 21,670 diesel fueled trucks. Because of the close proximity to the existing freeway, residents would be exposed to diesel particulate matter (DPM), which is a toxic air contaminant and a carcinogen. Diesel particulate matter emitted from diesel powered engines (such as trucks) has been classified by the state as a toxic air contaminant and a carcinogen.

Since future residences of the proposed project would be exposed to toxic emissions from the nearby sources of air pollution (e.g., diesel fueled highway vehicles), the SCAQMD staff recommends that the Lead Agency estimate potential health risks to these future residents from these sources. One of the basic purposes of CEQA is to inform governmental decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities (CEQA Guidelines Section 15002(a)(1)). A negative declaration is appropriate when the Lead Agency finds that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines Sections 15070 to 15075). Reasons to support this finding shall be documented in the initial study. Without quantifying the potential risk to future residents that will live at the site from the freeway traffic emissions, the ND has not made that documentation which

¹ ND, Appendix A – Air Quality/Green House Gas (GHG) Reports (Giroux & Associates, April 2017), Page 15.

² Caltrans 2015 annual average daily traffic (Annual ADT) and truck volumes accessed at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/trafficops/census/.

serves as substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project would not have any adverse effects on health. Therefore, the SCAQMD staff recommends that the Lead Agency conduct a health risk assessment (HRA)³ to disclose the potential health risks to the residents from the vehicle emissions coming from vehicles operating on the I-5 Freeway and include the analysis in the Final ND. Otherwise, the Lead Agency has not demonstrated, supported by substantial evidence, that public health will not be significantly impacted by this project.

Notwithstanding the court rulings, the SCAQMD staff recognizes that the Lead Agencies that approve CEQA documents retain the authority to include any additional information they deem relevant to assessing and mitigating the environmental impacts of a project. Because of SCAQMD's concern about the potential public health impacts of siting sensitive populations within close proximity of freeways, the SCAQMD staff will continue to recommend that, prior to approving the project, Lead Agencies consider the impacts of air pollutants on people who will live in a new project and provide mitigation where necessary.

Guidance Regarding Residences Sited Near a High-Volume Freeway or Other Sources of Air Pollution The SCAQMD staff recognizes that there are many factors Lead Agencies must consider when making local planning and land use decisions. To facilitate stronger collaboration between Lead Agencies and the SCAQMD to reduce community exposure to source-specific and cumulative air pollution impacts, the SCAQMD adopted the Guidance Document for Addressing Air Quality Issues in General Plans and Local Planning in 2005. This Guidance Document provides suggested policies that local governments can use in their General Plans or through local planning to prevent or reduce potential air pollution impacts and protect public health. The SCAQMD staff recommends that the Lead Agency review this Guidance Document as a tool when making local planning and land use decisions. This Guidance Document is website http://www.aqmd.gov/home/library/documents-supportavailable on SCAOMD's at: material/planning-guidance/guidance-document. Additional guidance on siting incompatible land uses (such as placing homes near freeways or other polluting sources) can be found in the California Air Resources Board's (CARB) Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective, which can be found at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/handbook.pdf.

Numerous health studies have demonstrated potential adverse health effects associated with living near highly travelled roadways. In traffic-related studies, the additional non-cancer health risk attributable to proximity is seen within 1,000 feet and is strongest within 300 feet.⁴ California freeway studies show about a 70% drop off in particulate pollution levels at 500 feet.⁵ As a result of these studies, the CARB developed a Land Use Handbook⁶ that recommends avoiding new sensitive land uses (such as housing) within 500 feet of a freeway. Additional research has shown that the near roadway environment also contains elevated levels of many pollutants that adversely affect human health, including some pollutants that are unregulated (e.g., ultrafine particles) and whose potential health effects are still emerging.⁷

Mitigation Measures and Limits to Enhanced Filtration Units

In the event that the Lead Agency, after performing an HRA, finds that maximum cancer risk from the proposed project would exceed the SCAQMD significance threshold of 10 in one million, the

6 Ibid.

³ "Health Risk Assessment Guidance for Analyzing Cancer Risk from Mobile Source Diesel Idling Emissions for CEQA Air Quality Analysis," accessed at: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/mobile-source-toxics-analysis.

⁴ California Air Resources Board. April 2005. "Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective," Page 6, accessed at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/landuse.htm.

⁵ Ibid.

See Chapter 9 of the 2012 AQMP for further information. Accessed at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/clean-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2012-air-plans/air-quality-management-p

identification and evaluation of mitigation measures are required to reduce health impacts below the significance level before the ND is considered for adoption (CEQA Guideline Section 15074(b)).

Many mitigation measures have been proposed for other projects to reduce exposure, including, but are not limited to, building filtration systems, sounds walls, vegetation barriers, etc. Because of the potential adverse health risks involved with siting housing near a freeway, it is essential that any proposed mitigation measure must be carefully evaluated in order to determine if those health risks would be brought below recognized significance thresholds.

In the event that enhanced filtration units on housing residents are proposed as a mitigation measure, the Lead Agency should consider the limitations of the enhanced filtration. For example, in a study that SCAQMD conducted to investigate filters, octor some expected to range from \$120 to \$240 per year to replace each filter. In addition, because the filters would not have any effectiveness unless the HVAC system is running, there may be increased energy costs to the resident. It is typically assumed that the filters operate 100 percent of the time while residents are indoors, and it does not account for the times when the residents have their windows or doors open or are in common space areas of the project. These filters also have no ability to filter out any toxic gases from vehicle exhaust. The presumed effectiveness and feasibility of any filtration units, if proposed as a mitigation measure, should therefore be evaluated in more detail prior to assuming that they will sufficiently alleviate near roadway exposures.

Compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1403 during Demolition /Renovation Activities

In the Hazards and Hazardous Materials Section on page 45 of the ND, the Lead Agency discussed the potential to contact asbestos during demolition activities due to the age of the on-site buildings. Based on this discussion, the SCAQMD staff found that encountering asbestos during demolition/renovation is reasonably foreseeable. Therefore, it is recommended that the Lead Agency include a discussion to demonstrate compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1403 – Asbestos Emissions from Demolition/Renovation Activities in the Final ND.

SCAQMD staff is available to work with the Lead Agency to address any other air quality and health risk questions that may arise. Please contact Gordon Mize, Air Quality Specialist, CEQA IGR, at (909) 396-3302, if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely.

lijin Sun

Lijin Sun, J.D.
Program Supervisor, CEQA IGR
Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

LS:GM ORC170502-03 Control Number

8 See also CAL EPA/ARB Research Division Technical Advisory (April 2017) at https://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/landuse.htm, "Strategies to Reduce Air Pollution Exposure Near High-Volume Roadways". This Technical Advisory is intended to provide information on strategies to reduce exposures to traffic emissions near high-volume roadways to assist land use planning and decision-making in order to protect public health and promote equity and environmental justice.

⁹ This study evaluated filters rated MERV 13+ while the proposed mitigation calls for less effective MERV 12 or better filters. Accessed at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/aqmdpilotstudyfinalreport.pdf. Also see also 2012 Peer Review Journal article by SCAQMD: http://d7.iqair.com/sites/default/files/pdf/Polidori-et-al-2012.pdf.