SENT VIA E-MAIL AND USPS:

kwhite@sanjacintoca.gov

Kevin White, Senior Planner City of San Jacinto, Planning Department 595 South San Jacinto Avenue San Jacinto, CA 92583

April 9, 2019

<u>Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Proposed</u> <u>Single Family Subdivision - TTM-37495</u>

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) staff appreciates the opportunity to comment on the above-mentioned document. The following comments are meant as guidance for the Lead Agency and should be incorporated into the Final MND.

SCAQMD Staff's Summary of Project Description

The Lead Agency proposes to develop 42 residential units on 11.5 acres (Proposed Project). Based on a review of Figure A, *Aerial Map*, in the MND, SCAQMD staff found that existing residential uses are located immediately west to the Proposed Project.

SCAQMD Staff's Summary of Air Quality Analysis

In the Air Quality Analysis Section, the Lead Agency quantified the Proposed Project's construction and operation emissions and compared them to SCAQMD's regional and localized air quality CEQA significance thresholds. The Lead Agency found that the Proposed Project's regional air quality impacts from construction and operation would be less than significant. While the Proposed Project's localized air quality impacts from construction were also found to be less than significant, the Proposed Project would result in approximately 3.50 pounds per day (lbs/day) of PM2.5 during grading activities, which was slightly below SCAQMD's localized air quality significance threshold of PM2.5 at 4 lbs/day¹.

SCAQMD Staff's Comments – Recommended Mitigation Measure

Tier 4 Construction Equipment or Level 3 Diesel Particulate Filters

CEQA requires that all feasible mitigation measures go beyond what is required by law to minimize any significant impacts. To further reduce localized particulate matter emissions from PM2.5 during grading and minimize their impacts on nearby residents located to the west of the Proposed Project, SCAQMD staff recommends that the Lead Agency use off-road diesel-powered construction equipment that meets or exceeds the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Tier 4 off-road emissions standards for equipment rated at 50 horsepower or greater during Project construction. Such equipment will be outfitted with Best Available Control Technology (BACT) devices including a CARB certified Level 3 Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF). Level 3 DPFs are capable of achieving at least 85 percent reduction in in particulate matter emissions². A list of CARB verified DPFs are available on the CARB website³. To ensure that Tier 4 construction equipment or better will be used

¹ MND. Focused Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Evaluation. Table 2, *Localized Significance – Construction Emissions* (*lbs/day*). Page. 3.

² California Air Resources Board. November 16-17, 2004. *Diesel Off-Road Equipment Measure – Workshop*. Page 17. Accessed at: https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/ordiesel/presentations/nov16-04 workshop.pdf.

³ *Ibid*. Page 18.

Kevin White April 9, 2019

during the Proposed Project's construction, SCAQMD staff recommends that the Lead Agency include this requirement in applicable bid documents, purchase orders, and contracts. Successful contractor(s) must demonstrate the ability to supply the compliant construction equipment for use prior to any ground disturbing and construction activities. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification or model year specification and CARB or SCAQMD operating permit (if applicable) shall be available upon request at the time of mobilization of each applicable unit of equipment. Additionally, the Lead Agency should require periodic reporting and provision of written construction documents by construction contractor(s) to ensure compliance, and conduct regular inspections to the maximum extent feasible to ensure compliance. In the event that construction equipment cannot meet the Tier 4 engine certification, the Project representative or contractor must demonstrate through future study with written findings supported by substantial evidence that is approved by the Lead Agency before using other technologies/strategies. Alternative applicable strategies may include, but would not be limited to, reduction in the number and/or horsepower rating of construction equipment, limiting daily grading activities, using cleaner vehicle fuel, and/or limiting the number of individual construction project phases occurring simultaneously.

Conclusion

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15074, prior to approving the Proposed Project, the Lead Agency shall consider the MND for adoption together with any comments received during the public review process. Please provide SCAQMD with written responses to all comments contained herein prior to the adoption of the Final MND. When responding to issues raised in the comments, response should provide sufficient details giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions are not accepted. There should be good faith, reasoned analysis in response. Conclusory statements unsupported by factual information do not facilitate the purpose and goal of CEQA on public disclosure and are not meaningful, informative, or useful to decision makers and the public who are interested in the Proposed Project. Further, if the Lead Agency makes a finding that the additional recommended mitigation measures are not feasible, the Lead Agency should describe the specific reasons for rejecting or substituting these mitigation measures in the Final MND (CEQA Guidelines Section 15074.1).

SCAQMD staff is available to work with the Lead Agency to address any air quality questions that may arise from this comment letter. Please contact me at lsun@aqmd.gov if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lijin Sun

Lijin Sun, J.D. Program Supervisor, CEQA IGR Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

LS RVC190404-06 Control Number