SENT VIA E-MAIL AND USPS:

john.arnau@ocwr.ocgov.com

John Arnau, CEQA Manager Orange County Waste & Recycling 601 N. Ross Street, 5th Floor Santa Ana, CA 92701 October 17, 2019

<u>Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Proposed</u> <u>Bee Canyon Composting Operation at the Frank R. Bowerman (FRB) Landfill (SCH No.: 2019099059)</u>

South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast AQMD) staff appreciates the opportunity to comment on the above-mentioned document. The following comments are meant as guidance for the Lead Agency and should be incorporated into the Final MND.

South Coast AQMD Staff's Summary of Project Description

The Lead Agency proposes to construct and operate an open windrow composting facility in a 30-acre area of the FRB Landfill to assist cities in Orange County (County) as well as the County unincorporated areas in meeting the Senate Bill 1383's requirements for the diversion and recycling of organic waste materials (Proposed Project). The FRB Landfill is a Class III landfill that only accepts municipal solid waste for disposal from commercial haulers and vehicles operating under commercial status and that no hazardous or liquid waste can be accepted1. Processed green waste is currently used as alternative daily cover (ADC) at the FRB Landfill. Construction of the Proposed Project is expected to take two months². Once the Proposed Project becomes operational in 2020³, processed green waste and agricultural material will be diverted to the Proposed Project for composting for 306 days a year with a maximum daily tonnage of 437 tons per day (tpd)⁴, which was reduced from a maximum daily tonnage of 595 tpd⁵. Processed green waste and agricultural material will be placed in a designated unloading area that can accommodate storage of approximately 1,092 cubic yards of incoming materials⁶. Any contaminated or highly odorous loads will be immediately transported to the active landfill for disposal⁷. On-site water trucks will be used to add moisture during composting⁸. The composting process consists of 12 weeks of active composting followed by eight weeks of curing, resulting in a total of 20 weeks or five months⁹. Finished compost will be stockpiled on-site prior to being loaded out for delivery to end users 10.

South Coast AQMD's Summary of the Air Quality Analysis

In the Air Quality Analysis Section, the Lead Agency quantified the Proposed Project's construction and operational emissions based on the worst-case scenario assumption using a maximum daily tonnage of 595 tpd and compared those emissions to South Coast AQMD's recommended regional and localized air quality CEQA significance thresholds. Based on the analysis, the Lead Agency found that the Proposed Project's regional and localized construction air quality impacts would be less than significant ¹¹. The Lead Agency

¹ MND. Page 3.

² Appendix C: Air Quality-Health Risk-GHG-Energy Impact Analysis. Page 25.

³ *Ibid.* CalEEMod Annual Run, Section 1.0 Project Characteristics. PDF Page 47.

⁴ The Lead Agency reduced the size of composting operation from a maximum daily tonnage of 595 tpd to 437 tpd. MND. Page 50.

⁵ *Ibid.* Table 1. PDF Page 45 and Page 50.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ *Ibid*. Page 12.

¹¹ MND. Section 2.3 Air Quality. Pages 25 through 27.

John Arnau October 17, 2019

also found that the Proposed Project's operational air quality impacts would be less than significant, with net new 3.6 pounds per day (lbs/day) of VOC emissions¹². The Lead Agency included in the MND discussions on applicable South Coast AQMD rules, including Rule 402 – Nuisance, Rule 403 – Fugitive Dust, and Rule 1133.3 – Emissions Reductions from Greenwaste Composting Operations¹³.

South Coast AQMD Staff's Comments on the Operational Air Quality Analysis

In Appendix C to the MND, the Lead Agency explains that operational "[e]missions from existing conditions and [the] proposed open windrow composting were calculated based on SCAQMD's *Guidelines for Calculating Emissions form Greenwaste Composting and Co-Composting Operations* [...]. Composting and ADC use of green waste would be expected to have similar emissions rates, as shown in Table L shows that the proposed open windrow composting process will result in the same amount of VOC emissions at 2,779 lbs/day as the existing green waste decomposition and that the Proposed Project's net new VOC emissions will be 3.6 lbs/day from mobile and off-road sources¹⁵. As a result, the Lead Agency found that operation of the Proposed Project would not exceed South Coast AQMD's air quality CEQA operational significance threshold for VOC emissions at 55 lbs/day.

Processed green waste is currently used as ADC in the FRB Landfill. As such, VOC emissions from the existing green waste decomposition are collected and destructed by the existing landfill gas collection and destruction equipment ¹⁶. On the other hand, the Proposed Project will be an open air windrow composting operation, where VOC emissions will be emitted fugitively instead of being collected in the existing landfill gas collection system. The MND did not include information on how emissions from the existing green waste decomposition in Table L were calculated. Since existing emissions were used as emission credits to derive net new operational emissions, the Lead Agency should provide additional information on how existing emissions are collected and destroyed by the existing landfill gas collection and destruction equipment, and provide additional information on how existing emissions, particularly from VOC and ammonia in Table L, were calculated in the Final MND as substantial evidence to support the finding that the Proposed Project's operational air quality impacts based on net new emissions will be less than significant.

Compliance with South Coast AQMD Rule 1133.3

South Coast AQMD Rule 1133.3 - Emissions Reductions from Greenwaste Composting Operations

Since the Proposed Project includes greenwaste composting operations, the Proposed Project is subject to the requirements of South Coast AQMD Rule 1133.3¹⁷, specifically as follows:

- Under Subparagraph (d)(2)(A), the Lead Agency should cover each active phase compost pile with a six-inch layer of (screened or unscreened) finished compost within 24 hours of initial pile formation and shall not turn the pile for the first seven days
- Under Subparagraph (d)(2)(B), the Lead Agency should water each active phase compost pile for the first 15 days after initial pile formation, within six hours before turning that the pile shall be wet at a depth of at least three inches. In Clause (i) under Subparagraph (d)(2)(B), being wet is determined by a squeeze ball test
- Under Subparagraph (d)(2)(B), if the pile needs to be turned within the first seven days for managing temperature or pathogen reduction pursuant to Title 14 Division 7, Chapter 3.1, Section 17868.3 of

¹² Appendix C: Air Quality-Health Risk-GHG-Energy Impact Analysis. Table L. Pages 28 and 29.

¹³ MND. Project Description. Page 8. Appendix C: Air Quality-Health Risk-GHG-Energy Impact Analysis. Pages 30, 39.

¹⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵ *Ibid*.

¹⁶ *Ibid.* Pages 3 through 4.

¹⁷ South Coast AQMD Rule 1133.3 – Emissions Reductions from Greenwaste Composting Operations. Accessed at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xi/rule-1133-3.pdf.

John Arnau October 17, 2019

- the California Code of Regulations, the operator does not need to re-apply the finished compost cover and shall apply water for the first fifteen days of the active phase
- It is important to note that the emission factors in Rule 1133.3 are used to calculate emissions from the active composting phase. Emissions from the curing phase of greenwaste composting operation should be included in the total emission calculations. For more information on the uncontrolled and controlled emission factors for the active phase and subsequent curing phase of greenwaste composting operations can be found here: https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/annual-emission-reporting/guidecalcgreenwaste.pdf.

Additional South Coast AQMD Permitting and Compliance Requirements

In addition to South Coast AQMD Rules 402, 403, and 1133.3, the Proposed Project will be subject to the requirements of the following South Coast AQMD Rules and Regulations, which should be discussed in the Final MND to demonstrate that the Proposed Project will comply with them. Information on each of the rules and regulation is available on the South Coast AQMD's website at: https://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/rules/scaqmd-rule-book.

- Rule 201 Permit to Construct
- Rule 203 Permit to Operate
- Rule 401 Visible Emissions
- Rule 1133 Composting and Related Operations
- Rule 1150 Excavation of Landfill Sites (for example, excavation work associated with preparation and/or construction of the project may need a Rule 1150 Landfill Excavation Management Plan)
- Regulation 13 New Source Review
- Rule 1401 New Source Review of Toxic Air Contaminants
- Regulation 30 Title V Permits

The Proposed Project will be required to submit complete and timely permit applications to South Coast AQMD for the following equipment:

- Applications for Permit to Construct and Permit to Operate will be required for screeners used to screen finished compost.
- Applications for Permit to Construct and Permit to Operate will be required for engines powering the screeners, if the engines are rated above 50 brake horsepower (bhp) and are not used for locomotion of the screener.
- Applications for Permit to Construct and Permit to Operate will be required for engines powering the pumps at the pump station, if the engines are rated above 50 bhp.

South Coast AQMD's Engineering and Permitting staff should be consulted in advance to determine whether or not any additional permitting and compliance will need to be filed and approved by South Coast AQMD prior to start of the construction or operation of the Proposed Project. Any assumptions used in the Air Quality Analysis in the Final MND will be used as the basis for permit conditions and limits for the Proposed Project. Should there be any questions on permits, please contact South Coast AQMD's Engineering and Permitting staff at (909) 396-3385. For more general information on permits, please visit South Coast AQMD's webpage at: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/permits.

Other Comment

While the CEQA Guidelines do not prescribe the level of technical details in a MND, there is some guidance on how to handle technical details in an environmental impact report (EIR). "Writing Environmental Impact Reports in plain language" (CEQA Guidelines Section 15006(q)). "The information contained in an EIR

John Arnau October 17, 2019

shall include summarized technical data, maps, plot plans, diagrams, and similar relevant information sufficient to permit full assessment of significant environmental impacts by reviewing agencies and members of the public. Placement of highly technical and specialized analysis and data in the body of an EIR should be avoided through inclusion of supporting information and analyses as appendices to the main body of the EIR. Appendices to the EIR may be prepared in volumes separate from the basic EIR document, but shall be readily available for public examination and shall be submitted to all clearinghouses which assist in public review (CEQA Guidelines Section 15147).

After reviewing the Air Quality Analysis in the main body of the MND, South Coast AQMD staff found that the Analysis was substantively deficient and lacking. The Analysis in the main body of the MND did not discuss South Coast AQMD's air quality CEQA significance thresholds for construction and operation, or the methodology that was used to analyze the Proposed Project's regional and localized construction and operational air quality impacts. Additionally, the Analysis did not disclose the Proposed Project's regional or localized construction and operational emissions, although they were disclosed in the technical appendix.

The MND, together with all of the technical appendices, is an informational document to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities (CEQA Guidelines Section 15002(a)(1)). The Air Quality Analysis in the main body of the MND should be revised in a manner that will include meaningful and useful information about the Proposed Project's air quality impacts from construction and operational activities. For example, the main body of the Final MND should include a summary of the environmental setting, regulatory framework that guide the assessment of the Proposed Project's air quality impacts, methodology (including modeling tools and any assumptions used), analysis, and findings from the Air Quality Technical Report (Appendix C) and include a reference to Appendix C. This recommendation will foster informed decision-making and meaningful public participation.

Conclusion

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15074, prior to approving the Proposed Project, the Lead Agency shall consider the MND for adoption together with any comments received during the public review process. Please provide South Coast AQMD with written responses to all comments contained herein prior to the adoption of the Final MND. When responding to issues raised in the comments, responses should provide sufficient details giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions are not accepted. There should be good faith, reasoned analysis in response. Conclusory statements unsupported by factual information do not facilitate the purpose and goal of CEQA on public disclosure and are not meaningful, informative, or useful to decision makers and the public who are interested in the Proposed Project.

South Coast AQMD staff is available to work with the Lead Agency to address any air quality questions that may arise from this comment letter. Please contact Alina Mullins, Assistant Air Quality Specialist, at amullins@aqmd.gov or (909) 396-2402, should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lijin Sun

Lijin Sun, J.D.
Program Supervisor, CEQA IGR
Planning, Rule Development & Area Sources

LS:AM/AS/ST/JL ORC190917-08 Control Number