# **SUBCHAPTER 3.1**

## **AESTHETICS**

Introduction

**Regulatory Setting** 

**Environmental Setting** 

#### 3.1 **AESTHETICS**

#### 3.1.1 Introduction

The <u>2012</u> AQMP control measures could potentially create projects that can affect the visual character quality within the district. Specifically, on-road mobile source control measures that include electrification of trucks using a catenary (overhead-wired) system have the potential to adversely affect scenic resources such as scenic highways. Therefore, an overview of existing aesthetic resources, including scenic highways and coastal zones within the district, is provided in this subchapter.

## 3.1.2 Regulatory Setting

#### 3.1.2.1 Federal

Aesthetic resources on federal lands are managed by the federal government using various visual resource management programs, depending on the type of federal land and/or the federal agency involved with a given project. Examples of federal visual resource management programs include the Visual Resource Management System utilized by the Federal Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Visual Management System utilized by the United States Forest Service (USFS).

#### 3.1.2.2 State

### 3.1.2.2.1 California Coastal Act

The California Coastal Act of 1976 was enacted to regulate development projects within California's Coastal Zone. The act includes requirements that protect views and aesthetic resources through siting and design control measures, which are typically implemented at the local planning level through local coastal programs (LCPs) or land use plans (LUPs). According to the California Coastal Act:

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas. New development in highly scenic areas such as those designated in the California Coastline Preservation and Recreation Plan prepared by the Department of Parks and Recreation and by local government shall be subordinate to the character of its setting (California Public Resources Code. California Coastal Act [Chapter 3 (Coastal Resources Planning and Management Policies) Article 6, Section 30251]).

For local jurisdictions that do not have an approved LCP, regulation of development projects within the coastal zone remains under the jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission (CCC).

## 3.1.2.2.2 State Scenic Highway Program

California's Scenic Highway Program was created by the California Legislature in 1963 to preserve and protect scenic highway corridors from change that would diminish the aesthetic value of land adjacent to those highways. When a city or county nominates an eligible scenic highway for official designation, it must adopt ordinances to preserve the scenic quality of the corridor or document such regulations that already exist in various portions of local codes. These ordinances make up the scenic corridor protection program.

Scenic corridor protection programs include policies intended to preserve the scenic qualities of the highway corridor, including regulation of land use and density of development, detailed land and site planning, control of outdoor advertising (including a ban on billboards), careful attention to and control of earthmoving and landscaping, and careful attention to design and appearance of structures and equipment (California Streets and Highways Code §260 et seq.).

#### 3.1.2.3 Local

#### 3.1.2.3.1 Counties and Cities

The geographic area encompassed by the district includes numerous cities and unincorporated communities in the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside. Each of these counties and incorporated cities has prepared a general plan, which is the primary document that establishes local land use policies and goals. Many of these general plans also establish local policies related to aesthetics and the preservation of scenic resources within their communities or subplanning areas, and may include local scenic highway programs.

#### 3.1.2.3.2 Local Coastal Programs

The CCC and the local governments along the coast share responsibility for managing the state's coastal resources. Through coordination with the CCC, coastal cities and counties develop LCPs. These programs are the primary means for carrying out the policies of the California Coastal Act at the local level. In general, these policies are intended to promote public access and enhance recreational use of the coast as well as protection of natural resources in the coastal zone. Examples of counties, cities and local jurisdictions within the district that do have an approved LCP or LUP include Los Angeles County and the County of Orange and the cities of Santa Monica, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, Long Beach, Avalon, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, Irvine, Laguna Beach, Laguna Niguel, Dana Point, and San Clemente.

Following approval by the CCC, an LCP is certified and the local governments implement the programs. LCPs include two main components, a Land Use Plan and an Implementation Plan. These components may include policies or regulations that apply to preservation of visual and scenic resources within the coastal zone. Typically, these policies relate to preservation of views of the coast.

## 3.1.3 Environmental Setting

This environmental setting subchapter describes the aesthetics resources settings that may be adversely affected by the proposed project. Specifically, this environmental setting subchapter describes visual character and quality, visual resources, scenic highways, and coastal zones within the district.

### 3.1.3.1 Visual Character and Quality

Visual character and quality are defined by the built and natural environment. The *visual character* of a view is descriptive cataloguing of underlying landforms and landcover including the topography, general land use patterns, scale, form, and the presence of natural areas. Urban features, such as structures, roads, utility lines, and other development associated with human activities also help to define visual character. *Visual quality* is an evaluative appraisal of the aesthetics of a view and is established using a well-established approach to visual analysis adopted from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) based upon the relative degree of vividness, intactness, and unity found within the visual setting, as defined in the following bullet points (FHWA, 1981).

- Vividness is the visual power or memorability of landscape components as they combine in striking and distinctive patterns.
- Intactness is the visual integrity of the landscape and its freedom from encroaching elements; this factor can be present in well-kept urban and rural landscapes, as well as in natural settings.
- Unity is the degree to which the visual resources of the landscape join together to form a coherent, harmonious visual pattern. Unity refers to the compositional harmony or inter-compatibility between landscape elements.

Each of the three criteria is independent and intended to evaluate one aspect of visual quality; however, no one criterion considered alone equates to visual quality.

The perception of visual quality can vary significantly among viewers depending on their level of visual sensitivity (interest). Sensitive viewers' perceptions can vary seasonally and even hourly as weather, light, shadow, and the elements that compose the viewshed change. Form, line, color, and texture are the basic components used to describe visual character and quality for most visual assessments (FHWA, 1981). Sensitivity depends upon the length of time the viewer has access to a particular view. Typically, residential viewers have extended viewing periods and are often concerned about changes in views from their homes. Visual sensitivity is, therefore, considered to be high for neighborhood residential areas. Visual sensitivity is considered to be less important for commuters and other people driving along surrounding streets. Views from vehicles are generally more fleeting and temporary, yet under certain circumstances are sometimes considered important (e.g., viewers who are driving for pleasure, views/vistas from scenic corridors).

As discussed in the Subchapter 3.1 - Aesthetics, of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2012 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Final Environmental

Impact Report (FEIR), various jurisdictions within the SCAG region, which includes the jurisdiction of SCAQMD such as cities, counties, and federal or regional agencies, provide guidelines regarding the preservation and enhancement of visual quality in their plans or regulations<sup>1</sup>. An example of such guidance is the Caltrans Scenic Highway Visual Quality Program Intrusion Examples, which are presented in Table 3.1-1. As the table illustrates, a given visual element may be considered desirable or undesirable, depending on design, location, use, and other considerations. Because of the size and diversity of the area within the SCAQMD's jurisdiction, it is not possible to apply uniform standards to all areas within the district.

TABLE 3.1-1

Caltrans Scenic Highway Program – Examples of Visual Quality Intrusions

| Minor Intrusion   | <b>Moderate Intrusion</b>      | <b>Major Intrusion</b>         |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Buildings: Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Developments |                                |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Widely dispersed buildings.                                     | Increased numbers of           | Dense and continuous           |  |  |  |  |
| Natural landscape dominates.                                    | buildings, not well integrated | development. Highly            |  |  |  |  |
| Wide setbacks and buildings                                     | into the landscape. Smaller    | reflective surfaces. Buildings |  |  |  |  |
| screened from roadway.  | setbacks and lack of roadway   | poorly maintained. Visible     |  |  |  |  |
| Forms, exterior colors and                                      | screening. Buildings do not    | blight. Development along      |  |  |  |  |
| materials are compatible with                                   | dominate the landscape or      | ridgelines. Buildings          |  |  |  |  |
| landscape. Buildings have                                       | obstruct scenic view.          | dominate the landscape or      |  |  |  |  |
| cultural or historical  |                                | obstruct scenic view.          |  |  |  |  |
| significance.   |                                |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Unsightly Land Uses:</b>                                     | Dumps, Quarries, Concrete Pa   | lants, Tank Farms, Auto        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Dismantling                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Screened from view so that                                      | Not screened and visible but   | Not screened and visible by    |  |  |  |  |
| most of facility is not visible                                 | programmed/funded for          | motorists. Will not be         |  |  |  |  |
| from the highway.   | removal and site restoration.  | removed or modified. Land      |  |  |  |  |
|   | Land use is visible but does   | use dominates the landscape or |  |  |  |  |
|   | not dominate the landscape or  | obstructs scenic view.         |  |  |  |  |
|   | obstruct scenic view.          |                                |  |  |  |  |
| C   | Commercial Retail Development  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|   | Neat and well landscaped.      | Not harmonious with            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Single story. Generally blends | surroundings. Poorly           |  |  |  |  |
|   | with surroundings.             | maintained or vacant.          |  |  |  |  |
| N/A   | Development is visible but     | Blighted. Development          |  |  |  |  |
|   | does not dominate the          | dominates the landscape or     |  |  |  |  |
|   | landscape or obstruct scenic   | obstructs scenic view.         |  |  |  |  |
|   | view.                          |                                |  |  |  |  |

3.1-4 November 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California cities and counties are not required to include visual quality elements in their General Plans although many do. However, the General Plans are required to include a Conservation Element, which includes resources such as waterways and forests that frequently are also scenic resources.

**TABLE 3.1-1 (Continued)**Caltrans Scenic Highway Program – Examples of Visual Quality Intrusions

| Minor Intrusion  | <b>Moderate Intrusion</b>   | <b>Major Intrusion</b>  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Parking Lots   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Screened from view so that most of the vehicles and pavement are not visible from the highway. | Neat and well landscaped. Generally blends with surroundings. Pavement and/or vehicles visible but do not dominate the landscape or   | Not screened or landscaped. Pavement and/or vehicles dominate the landscape or degrade scenic view.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | degrade scenic view.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Off-Site Advertising Structure  |   |  |  |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A   | Billboards degrade or obstruct scenic view.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Noise Barriers  |   |  |  |  |  |
| N/A  | Noise barriers are well landscaped and complement the natural landscape. Noise barriers do not degrade or obstruct scenic view.       | Noise barriers degrade or obstruct scenic view.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lines and Communication Fa  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Not easily visible from road.  | Visible, but do not dominate scenic view.   | Towers, poles or lines dominate view. Scenic view is degraded.  |  |  |  |  |
| Agric  | ulture: Structures, Equipment,  | Crops   |  |  |  |  |
| Generally blends in with scenic view. Is indicative of regional culture.                       | Not compatible with the natural landscape. Scale and appearance of structures and equipment visually competes with natural landscape. | Scale and appearance of structures and equipment are incompatible with and dominates natural landscape. Structures, equipment or crops degrade or obstruct scenic view. |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Exotic Vegetation</b>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Used as screening and landscaping. Generally is compatible with scenic view.                   | Competes with native vegetation for visual dominance.   | Incompatible with and dominates natural landscape. Scenic view is degraded.   |  |  |  |  |
| Clearcutting   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| N/A  | Clearcutting or deforestation is evident, but is in the distant background.   | Clearcutting or deforestation is evident. Scenic view is degraded.  |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Minor soil erosion (i.e., rill erosion).   | Rill erosion starting to form gullies.  | Large slip outs and/or gullies with little or no vegetation. Scenic view is degraded.   |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.1-1 (Concluded)

Caltrans Scenic Highway Program – Examples of Visual Quality Intrusions

| Minor Intrusion               | <b>Moderate Intrusion</b>      | <b>Major Intrusion</b>         |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grading                       |                                |                                |  |  |  |
| Grading generally blends with | Some changes, less engineered  | Extensive cut and fill.        |  |  |  |
| adjacent landforms and        | appearance and restoration are | Unnatural appearance, scarred  |  |  |  |
| topography.                   | taking place.                  | hillsides or steep slopes with |  |  |  |
|                               |                                | little or no vegetation.       |  |  |  |
|                               |                                | Canyons filled in. Scenic      |  |  |  |
|                               |                                | view is degraded.              |  |  |  |
| Road Design                   |                                |                                |  |  |  |
| Blends in and complements     | Large cut and fill slopes are  |                                |  |  |  |
| scenic view. Roadway          | visible. Scale and appearance  |                                |  |  |  |
| structures are suitable for   | of roadway, structures, and    | N/A                            |  |  |  |
| location and compatible with  | appurtenances are              |                                |  |  |  |
| landscape.                    | incompatible with landscape.   |                                |  |  |  |

Source: Caltrans, 2008

The *viewshed* can be defined as all of the surface area visible from a particular location or sequence of locations, and is described in terms of the dominance of landforms, landcover, and manmade development constituting visual character. Views of high visual quality in urban settings generally have several of the following additional characteristics:

- Harmony in scale with the surroundings;
- Context sensitive architectural design; and,
- Impressive landscape design features.

Areas of medium visual quality have interesting forms but lack unique architectural design elements or landscape features. Areas of low visual quality have uninteresting features and/or undistinguished architectural design and /or other common elements.

#### 3.1.3.2 Visual Resources

Visual resources include historic buildings that uniquely identify a setting, views identified as significant in local plans, and/or views from scenic highways. The importance of a view to viewers is related to the position of the viewers relative to the resource and the distinctiveness of a particular view. The visibility and visual dominance of landscape elements are usually described with respect to their placement in the viewshed.

Visual resources occur in a diverse array of environments within the boundaries of the district, ranging in character from urban centers to rural agricultural land, natural woodlands, and coastal views. The extraordinary range of visual features in the region is afforded by the mixture of climate, topography, flora, and fauna found in the natural environment, and the diversity of style, composition, and distribution of the built environment. Views of the coast from locations in Los Angeles and Orange counties are considered valuable visual resources, while views of various mountain ranges are prevalent throughout the district. Other natural

features that may be visually significant in the district include rivers, streams, creeks, lakes, and reservoirs.

The County of Los Angeles General Plan identifies regional open space and recognized scenic areas, generally including the Santa Monica Mountains, as well as the San Gabriel Mountains, Verdugo Hills, Santa Susana Mountains, Simi Hills, Santa Monica Mountains, and Puente Hills. In addition, ridgelines and hillsides are generally considered to be scenic resources, with specific measures for the protection of these areas (LA County, 2010).

The County of Orange General Plan identifies the Santa Ana Mountains along with their distinctive twin peaks known as "Saddleback" as the county's signature landmark. The Plan designates 10 scenic "viewscape corridors," which include among others Pacific Coast Highway, Oso Parkway, Ortega Highway, Jamboree Road, Santiago Canyon Road, and Laguna Canyon Road. These designated viewscape corridors provide scenic views of the Santa Ana Mountains, Lomas de Santiago and the San Joaquin Hills, as well as numerous canyons and valleys including the Santa Ana Canyon, Capistrano Valley, Laguna, Aliso, Wood, Moro, San Juan, Trabuco Santiago, Modjeska, Silverado, Limestone, and Black Star Canyons. Finally, the General Plan identifies nearly 42 miles of coastline and approximately 33 miles of sandy beaches as defining scenic resources (Orange County, 2011).

The County of Riverside General Plan identifies regional scenic resources, including Santa Ana River basin, Lake Mathews, Lake Perris, Lake Elsinore, Lake Skinner, Vail Lake, the San Jacinto River, Murrieta Creek, the Santa Margarita River, the vineyard/citrus region near Temecula, the Diamond Valley Reservoir, Joshua Tree National Park, Whitewater River, the Santa Rosa Mountains, and a portion of the Salton Sea (Riverside County, 20112009).

The County of San Bernardino General Plan identifies several scenic areas, including the San Gabriel Mountains, the San Bernardino Mountains, La Loma Hills, Jurupa Hills, Chino Hills, Yucaipa Hills, Holcomb Valley, and the Mojave Desert. In addition, Big Bear Lake, Silverwood Lake, Lake Arrowhead, and Lake Gregory, along with associated waterways, serve as defining characteristics of the mountain regions within the County. San Bernardino County has a wide variety of scenic and wilderness areas respectively categorized as the Mountain, Valley, and Desert regions. Each region has its own defined measures for protecting the specific resources contained in this region. The County of San Bernardino also considers desert night-sky views to be scenic resources and has enacted measures to reflect this (San Bernardino County, 20072012).

In addition to County plans, many of the cities within the district have general plan policies, and in some cases, ordinances, related to the protection of visual resources. In addition to the visual resources related to natural areas, many features of the built environment that may also have visual significance include individual or groups of structures that are distinctive due to their aesthetic, historical, social, or cultural significance or characteristics, such as architecturally appealing buildings or groups of buildings, landscaped freeways, bridges or overpasses, and historic resources.

## 3.1.3.3 Scenic Highways

Within the district, there are numerous officially designated state and county scenic highways and one historic parkway, as listed in Table 3.1-2.

There are also a number of roadways that have been determined eligible for state scenic highway designation, as listed in Table 3.1-3.

**TABLE 3.1-2**Scenic Highways Within District Borders

| Route | County            | Location  | Description  | Miles | Designation          |
|-------|-------------------|---|--|-------|----------------------|
| 2     | Los<br>Angeles    | From near La<br>Cañada<br>Flintridge north<br>to the San<br>Bernardino<br>County line.            | This U.S. Forest Service Scenic Byway and State Scenic Highway winds along the spine of the San Gabriel Mountains. It provides views of the mountain peaks, the Mojave Desert, and the Los Angeles Basin.  | 55    | ODSSH <sup>(a)</sup> |
| 38    | San<br>Bernardino | From east of<br>South Fork<br>Campground to<br>State Lane.  | This U.S. Forest Service Scenic Byway and State Scenic Highway crosses the San Bernardino Mountains at Onyx Summit. It features forested mountainsides with far-off desert vistas near the summit.         | 16    | ODSSH                |
| 62    | Riverside         | From I-10<br>north to the San<br>Bernardino<br>County line.                                       | This highway features high desert country scenery and leads to or from Joshua Tree National Monument. Large "windmill farms," where wind power is used to generate electricity, can be seen along the way. | 9     | ODSSH                |
| 74    | Riverside         | From west<br>boundary of the<br>San Bernardino<br>National Forest<br>to SR-111 in<br>Palm Desert. | This road goes from the southern Mojave Desert to oak and pine forests of San Bernardino National Forest. It offers views of the San Jacinto Valley and peaks of the San Jacinto Mountains.                | 48    | ODSSH                |
| 91    | Orange            | From SR-55 to east of Anaheim city limit.   | This freeway runs along the banks of the Santa Ana River. Views include residential and commercial development with intermittent riparian and chaparral vegetation.  | 4     | ODSSH                |

**TABLE 3.1-2 (Continued)** 

Scenic Highways Within District Borders

| Route | County         | Location   | Description  | Miles | Designation          |
|-------|----------------|--|--|-------|----------------------|
| 243   | Riverside      | From SR-74 to the Banning city limit.  | This U.S. Forest Service Scenic Byway and State Scenic Highway traverses forested mountain scenery along a ridge of the San Bernardino Mountains. It then drops in a series of switchbacks offering views of the San Bernardino Valley and the desert scenery. | 28    | ODSSH                |
| N/A   | Los<br>Angeles | Mulholland Highway from SR- 1 to Kanan Dume Road and from west of Cornell Road to east of Las Virgenes Road. | With the dramatic canyons, oak woodlands, open spaces and ocean views of the Santa Monica Mountains, Mulholland Highway offers travelers views of the mountains, the Pacific Ocean, and historic sites along its stretch.                                      | 19    | ODCSH <sup>(b)</sup> |
| N/A   | Los<br>Angeles | Malibu Canyon- Las Virgenes Highway from State Route 1 to Lost Hills Road.                                   | The rugged terrain and ancient rock formations along this route have been a backdrop of many early California settlers. The formations have known presence dating to the original De Anza expedition of Spanish colonists.                                     | 7.4   | ODCSH                |

Source: Caltrans, Officially Designated State Scenic Highways, accessed July 2012.

(a) Officially Designated State Scenic Highway

- (b) Officially Designated County Scenic Highway

**TABLE 3.1-3** Highways Within District Boundaries Eligible for State Scenic Highway Designation

| Route | County  | Location (From/To)                                    | Postmiles   |  |
|-------|---|---|-------------|--|
| 1     | Orange/LA   | I-5 south of San Juan Capistrano/SR-19 near Long      | 0.0-3.6     |  |
|       |   | Beach   |             |  |
| 1     | LA/(Ventura)  | SR-187 near Santa Monica/SR-101 near El Rio           | 32.2-21.1   |  |
| 2     | LA/SB   | SR-210 in La Cañada Flintridge/SR 138 via             | 22.9-6.36   |  |
|       |   | Wrightwood  |             |  |
| 5     | (SD)/Orange   | Opposite Coronado/SR-74 near San Juan                 | R14.0-9.6   |  |
|       |   | Capistrano  |             |  |
| 5     | LA  | I-210 near Tunnel Station/SR-136 near Castaic         | R44.0-R55.5 |  |
| 10    | SB/Riverside  | SR-38 near Redlands/SR-62 near Whitewater             | T0.0-R10.0  |  |
| 15    | (SD)/Riverside  | SR-76 near San Luis Rey River/SR-91 near Corona       | R46.5-41.5  |  |
| 15    | SB  | SR-58 near Barstow/SR-127 near Baker                  | 76.9-R136.6 |  |
| 18    | SB  | SR-138 near Mt. Anderson/SR-247 near Lucerne          | R17.7-73.8  |  |
|       |   | Valley  |             |  |
| 27    | LA  | SR-1/Mulholland Drive                                 | 0.0-11.1    |  |
| 30    | SB  | SR-330 near Highland/I-10 near Redlands               | T29.5-33.3  |  |
| 38    | SB  | I-10 near Redlands/SR-18 near Fawnskin                | 0.0-49.5    |  |
| 39    | LA  | SR-210 near Azusa/SR-2                                | 14.1-44.4   |  |
| 40    | SB  | Barstow/Needles                                       | 0.0-154.6   |  |
| 57    | Orange/LA   | SR-90/SR-60 near City of Industry                     | 19.9-R4.5   |  |
| 58    | (Kern)/SB   | SR-14 near Mojave/I-15 near Barstow                   | 112.0-R4.5  |  |
| 62    | Riverside/SB  | I-10 near Whitewater/Arizona State Line               | 0.0-142.7   |  |
| 71    | Riverside   | SR-91 near Corona/SR-83 north of Corona               | 0.0-G3.0    |  |
| 74    | Orange/Riverside  | I-5 near San Juan Capistrano/I-111 (All)              | 0.0-R96.0   |  |
| 79    | (SD)/Riverside  | SR-78 near Santa Ysabel/SR-371 near Aguanga           | 20.2-2.3    |  |
| 91    | Orange/Riverside  | SR-55 near Santa Ana Canyon/I-15 near Corona          | R9.2-7.5    |  |
| 101   | LA/(Ventura)/   | SR-27 (Topanga Canyon Blvd)/SR-46 near Paso           | 25.3-57.9   |  |
|       | (SBar)/(SLO)  | Robles  |             |  |
| 111   | (Imperial)/   | Bombay Beach-Salton Sea/SR-195 near Mecca             | 57.6-18.4   |  |
|       | Riverside   |   |             |  |
| 111   | Riverside   | SR-74 near Palm Desert/I-10 near Whitewater           | 39.6-R63.4  |  |
| 118   | (Ventura)/LA  | SR-23/Desoto Avenue near Browns Canyon                | 17.4-R2.7   |  |
| 126   | (Ventura)/LA  | SR-150 near Santa Paula/I-5 near Castaic              | R12.0-0R5.8 |  |
| 127   | SB/(Inyo)   | I-15 near Baker/Nevada State Line                     | L0.0-49.4   |  |
| 138   | SB  | SR-2 near Wrightwood/SR-18 near Mt. Anderson          | 6.6-R37.9   |  |
| 142   | SB  | Orange County Line/Peyton Dr.                         | 0.0-4.4     |  |
| 173   | SB  | SR-138 near Silverwood Lake/SR-18 south of            | 0.0-23.0    |  |
|       |   | Lake Arrowhead  |             |  |
| 210   | LA  | I-5 near Tunnel Station/SR-134                        | R0.0-R25.0  |  |
| 215   | Riverside   | SR-74 near Romoland/SR-74 near Perris                 | 23.5-26.3   |  |
| 243   | Riverside   | SR-74 near Mountain Center/I-10 near Banning 0.0-29.7 |             |  |
| 247   | SB  | SR-62 near Yucca Valley/I-15 near Barstow 0.0-78.1    |             |  |
| 330   | SB SR-30 near Highland/SR-18 near Running Springs 29.5-44.1 |   |             |  |

Source:

Caltrans, Eligible and Officially Designated Routes, accessed July 2012.
geles SB = San Bernardino SD = San Diego SBar = Santa Barba LA = Los Angeles SB = San Ber ( ) = County not within the district SBar = Santa Barbara SLO = San Luis Obispo

#### 3.1.3.4 Coastal Zones

According to the California Coastal Act of 1976, a coastal zone is the land and water area of the State of California from the Oregon border to the border of Mexico, extending seaward to the state's outer limit of jurisdiction, including all offshore islands, and extending inland generally 1,000 yards from the mean high tide line of the sea. In significant coastal estuarine, habitat, and recreational areas, the coastal zone extends inland to the first major ridgeline paralleling the sea or five miles from the mean high tide line of the sea, whichever is less, and in developed urban areas the coastal zone generally extends inland less than 1,000 yards.

The coastal zone within the district generally extends from Leo Carrillo State Park in Malibu in the northwestern corner of Los Angeles County to San Clemente Beach in San Clemente near the southern tip of Orange County.

Local Coastal Plans (LCPs) typically contain policies on visual access and site development review. LCPs are basic planning tools used by local governments to guide development in the coastal zone, in partnership with the California Coastal Commission. LCPs contain the ground rules for future development and protection of coastal resources in the 75 coastal cities and counties. The LCPs specify appropriate location, type, and scale of new or changed uses of land and water. Each LCP includes a land use plan and measures to implement the plan (such as zoning ordinances). Prepared by local government, these programs govern decisions that determine the short- and long-term conservation and use of coastal resources. While each LCP reflects unique characteristics of individual local coastal communities, regional and statewide interests and concerns must also be addressed in conformity with Coastal Act goals and policies.