

April 2007

SCH No. 2006111138

CONOCOPHILLIPS LOS ANGELES REFINERY PM10 AND NOX REDUCTION PROJECTS

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer

Planning Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, Dr. PH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Laki Tisopoulos, Ph.D, P.E.

Planning and Rules Manager

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, INC.

Reviewed by:

Michael Krause – Air Quality Specialist
Steve Smith, Ph.D. – Program Supervisor
Mike Harris – Senior Deputy District Council
Bhaskar Chandan – Air Quality Engineer II
Abe Udobot – Air Quality Engineer II

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman:

WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.
Speaker of the Assembly Representative

Vice Chairman:

S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

BILL CAMPBELL
Supervisor, Third District
Orange County Representative

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

GARY OVITT
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

MIGUEL A. PULIDO
Mayor, City of Santa Ana
Cities Representative, Orange County

TONIA REYES URANGA
Councilmember, City of Long Beach
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

CYNTHIA VERDUGO-PERALTA
Governor's Appointee

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER
BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery PM10 and NOx Reduction Projects. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period on April 4, 2007. The comment period ended on May 18, 2007. Four comment letters were received during the public comment period on the Draft EIR. The comment letters and responses are included in Appendix E of this document. The comments were evaluated and minor modifications have been made to the Draft EIR such that it is now a Final EIR. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft EIR, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require re-circulation of the Draft EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final EIR. Additions to the text of the EIR are denoted using italics. Text that has been eliminated is shown using ~~strike outs~~.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CONOCOPHILLIPS LOS ANGELES REFINERY
PM10 AND NO_x REDUCTION PROJECTS

FINAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

	Page No.
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Agency Authority	1-1
1.3 Project Background.....	1-2
1.3.1 Rule 1105.1 Background	1-2
1.3.2 Regulation XX Background.....	1-3
1.4 Scope and Content	1-4
1.5 Responsible Agencies	1-5
1.6 Intended Uses of the EIR	1-5
1.7 Areas of Controversy	1-6
1.8 Executive Summary – Chapter 2: Project Description	1-6
1.8.1 Project Location, Land Use and Zoning	1-6
1.8.2 Existing Refinery Operations.....	1-7
1.8.3 Proposed Projects.....	1-7
1.8.4 Wilmington Plant Modifications	1-7
1.8.5 Carson Plant Modifications	1-8
1.8.6 Operations	1-9
1.8.7 Permits and Approvals.....	1-9
1.9 Executive Summary – Chapter 3: Existing Environmental Setting.....	1-9
1.9.1 Aesthetics.....	1-9
1.9.2 Air Quality	1-9
1.9.3 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-10
1.9.4 Transportation/Traffic	1-10
1.10 Executive Summary – Chapter 4: Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	1-11
1.10.1 Aesthetics.....	1-12
1.10.2 Air Quality	1-13
1.10.3 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-15
1.10.4 Transportation/Traffic	1-16
1.11 Executive Summary – Chapter 5: Cumulative Impacts	1-18
1.11.1 Aesthetics.....	1-18
1.11.2 Air Quality	1-19
1.11.3 Hydrology/Water Quality	1-22
1.11.4 Transportation/Traffic	1-22
1.12 Executive Summary – Chapter 6: Alternatives.....	1-24
1.13 Executive Summary – Chapters 7: References	1-25
1.14 Executive Summary – Chapter 8: Acronyms and Glossary.....	1-25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Project Objectives	2-1
2.3 Project Location.....	2-1
2.4 Land Use and Zoning.....	2-5
2.5 Existing Refinery Configuration and Operation	2-6
2.6 Proposed Project Modifications to the Refinery	2-6
2.6.1 Wilmington Plant Modifications	2-6
2.6.2 Carson Plant Modifications	2-10
2.7 Construction of the Proposed Project	2-10
2.8 Operation of the Proposed Project	2-10
2.9 Permits and Approvals.....	2-12
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Aesthetics	3-1
3.2.1 Aesthetics Environmental Setting.....	3-1
3.2.2 Regulatory Setting	3-3
3.3 Air Quality	3-4
3.3.1 Meteorological Conditions	3-5
3.3.2 Temperature and Rainfall	3-5
3.3.3 Wind Flow Patterns	3-5
3.3.4 Existing Air Quality	3-5
3.3.5 Regulatory Setting	3-11
3.4 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3-14
3.4.1 Surface Water Quality Setting	3-14
3.4.2 Wastewater Generation	3-15
3.4.3 Spill Control and Containment	3-16
3.4.4 Regulatory Setting	3-17
3.5 Transportation and Traffic	3-17
3.5.1 Introduction.....	3-17
3.5.2 ConocoPhillips Carson Plant	3-18
3.5.3 ConocoPhillips Wilmington Plant	3-19
3.5.4 Regulatory Setting	3-21
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Aesthetics	4-2
4.2.1 Significance Criteria	4-2
4.2.2 Environmental Impacts	4-3
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures	4-6
4.2.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-6
4.3 Air Quality	4-6
4.3.1 Significance Criteria	4-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
4.3.2 Environmental Impacts	4-9
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures	4-19
4.3.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-20
4.4 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4-20
4.4.1 Significance Criteria	4-20
4.4.2 Environmental Impacts	4-20
4.4.3 Mitigation Measures	4-22
4.4.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-22
4.5 Transportation and Traffic	4-23
4.5.1 Significance Criteria	4-23
4.5.2 Environmental Impacts	4-23
4.5.3 Mitigation Measures	4-26
4.5.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-27
4.6 Other CEQA Topics.....	4-27
4.6.1 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	4-27
4.6.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes and Environmental Effects Which Cannot be Avoided.....	4-27
4.6.3 Environmental Effects Found not to be Significant.....	4-28
4.6.4 Consistency	4-29
5.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Related Projects	5-1
5.2.1 BP Carson Refinery Safety, Compliance and Optimization Project (#1)	5-3
5.2.2 ExxonMobil Torrance Refinery Rule 1105.1 Compliance Project (#2, located off the map on Figure 5-1).....	5-3
5.2.3 Shell Oil Products Wilmington Refinery Rule 1105.1 Compliance Project (#3)	5-4
5.2.4 Ultramar Inc., Valero Wilmington Refinery Rule 1105.1 Compliance Project (#4)	5-4
5.2.5 Ultramar Inc. – Valero Wilmington Refinery; Alkylation Improvement Project (#5)	5-4
5.2.6 SCAQMD Rule 1118 Compliance projects (#6)	5-5
5.2.7 Kinder Morgan Carson Terminal Expansion (#7)	5-6
5.2.8 Chemoil Terminals Corporation (#8).....	5-7
5.2.9 Shell Carson Terminal Master Plan (#9)	5-7
5.2.10 Alameda Corridor Transit Authority Projects.....	5-8
5.2.11 South Region High School No. 4 (#12)	5-10
5.2.12 Other Projects in the City of Carson	5-10
5.2.13 Port of Los Angeles (#22).....	5-12
5.2.14 Port of Long Beach (#23)	5-16
5.2.15 San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan (#24)	5-17
5.2.16 Other ConocoPhillips Proposed Projects	5-18
5.3 Aesthetics.....	5-19
5.3.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-19
5.3.2 Mitigation Measures	5-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
5.3.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-21
5.4 Air Quality	5-21
5.4.1 Construction Impacts	5-21
5.4.2 Operational Emission Impacts	5-23
5.4.3 Toxic Air Contaminants.....	5-24
5.4.4 Mitigation Measures	5-25
5.4.5 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-26
5.5 Hydrology/Water Quality	5-26
5.5.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-26
5.5.2 Mitigation Measures	5-27
5.5.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-27
5.6 Transportation/Traffic.....	5-27
5.6.1 Construction Impacts	5-27
5.6.2 Operational Impacts.....	5-27
5.6.3 Mitigation Measures	5-30
5.6.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-30
6.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	6-1
6.1 Introduction.....	6-1
6.2 Alternatives Rejected as Infeasible.....	6-2
6.3 Description of the Project Alternatives.....	6-3
6.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-3
6.3.2 Alternative 2 – Alternative Technologies for Particulate Matter Reduction	6-3
6.3.3 Alternative 3 – Alternative Methodology for NOx Emissions Reductions	6-4
6.4 Environmental Impacts From the Project Alternatives.....	6-4
6.4.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-4
6.4.2 Alternative 2 – Alternative Technologies for Particulate Matter Reduction WGS.....	6-6
6.4.3 Alternative 3 – Alternative Methodology for NOx Emissions Reductions	6-7
6.5 Conclusion	6-9
7.0 REFERENCES	7-1
7.1 References.....	7-1
7.2 Organizations and Persons Consulted.....	7-2
7.2.1 Organizations	7-2
7.2.2 Individuals Consulted	7-2
7.3 List of Environmental Impact Report Preparers	7-3
8.0 ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	8-1
8.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations	8-1
8.2 Glossary	8-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
--	----------

APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS)
- Appendix B Project Emission Calculations
- Appendix C: Cumulative Emission Calculations
- Appendix D: Traffic Analysis
- Appendix E: Response to Comments Received on the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report

FIGURES:

2-1:	Regional Map.....	2-2
2-2:	Site Location Map Carson Plant	2-3
2-3:	Site Location Map Wilmington Plant	2-4
2-4:	Plot Plan – Carson Plant	2-7
2-5:	Plot Plan – Wilmington Plant	2-8
2-6:	ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery PM10 and NOx Reduction Projects Construction Schedule	2-11
3-1:	View of Wilmington Plant (near the intersection of Gaffey and Anaheim Street)	3-2
4-1:	Modified Photo Showing Wet Gas Scrubber (Typical Conditions)	4-5
4-2:	Modified Photo Showing Wet Gas Scrubber (Under High Humidity Conditions)	4-5
4-3:	Maximum Impact Locations	4-17
5-1:	Cumulative Projects Location Map	5-2

TABLES:

2-1:	Federal, State and Local Agency Permits and Applications.....	2-14
3-1:	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-8
3-2:	Ambient Air Quality South Coastal Los Angeles County 1 Monitoring Station (2001-2005) Maximum Observed Concentration.....	3-10
3-3:	ConocoPhillips Refinery Baseline Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Tons/Year)	3-11
3-4:	Ambient Air Quality Toxic Air Contaminants – North Long Beach Maximum Concentration 2005	3-13
3-5:	Discharge Limitation	3-17
3-6:	Carson Plant Existing Level of Service Analysis (2007).....	3-20
3-7:	Wilmington Plant Existing Level of Service Analysis (2007).....	3-21
4-1:	Air Quality Significance Thresholds	4-7
4-2:	Determining Significance for Reclaim Pollutants at the ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery	4-9
4-3:	ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery Peak Construction Emissions	4-11
4-4:	Localized Significance Threshold Emissions Comparison.....	4-14
4-5:	ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery Stationary Source	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Operational Emissions	4-15
4-6: ConocoPhillips Carson Plant Construction Traffic Impacts Level of Service Analysis and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio	4-23
4-7: ConocoPhillips Wilmington Plant Construction Traffic Impacts Level of Service Analysis and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio	4-24
5-1: Related Projects in the City of Carson	5-11
5-2: Cumulative Construction Air Quality Impacts	5-22
5-3: Cumulative Operational Air Quality Impacts	5-24
5-4: ConocoPhillips Carson Plant Cumulative Traffic Impacts	5-28
5-5: ConocoPhillips Wilmington Plant Cumulative Traffic Impacts	5-29
6-1: Alternative 3 Peak Construction Emissions.....	6-7
6-2: Environmental Impacts of Alternatives as Compared to Proposed Project	6-9
