

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

FINAL MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON MIRA LOMA PEAKER PROJECT IN ONTARIO

SCH No. 2006121112

April 2007

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Laki Tisopulos, Ph.D, P.E.

Planning and Rules Manager CEQA and Socioeconomic Analyses

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENSR Corporation

Reviewed by: Michael Krause – Air Quality Specialist
Steve Smith, Ph.D. – Program Supervisor
Mike Harris – Senior Deputy District Counsel
Chris Perri – Air Quality Engineer II

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman: WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.
Speaker of the Assembly Representative

Vice Chairman: S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

BILL CAMPBELL
Supervisor,
Orange County Representative

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

GARY OVITT
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

MIGUEL A. PULIDO
Mayor, City of Santa Ana
Cities Representative, Orange County

TERESA REYES-URANGA
Councilmember, City of Long Beach
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

CYNTHIA VERDUGO-PERALTA
Governor's Appointee

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, City of Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Southern California Edison Mira Loma Ontario Peaker Project. The Draft MND was released for a 30-day public review and comment period on December 27, 2006. The comment period ended on January 25, 2007. No comment letters were received during the public comment period. Note that some minor modifications for clarity and continuity as well as to correct some typographical errors have been made to the Draft MND since its release for public review and comment. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft MND, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require recirculation of the Draft MND pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15073.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final MND. To facilitate identification, modifications to the document are included as underlined text and text removed from the document is indicated by ~~strikethrough~~.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
FINAL MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR:
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
MIRA LOMA PEAKER PROJECT IN ONTARIO

Page No.

CHAPTER 1: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Introduction.....	1-1
Regulatory Authority	1-1
Required Permits.....	1-2
Project Location	1-2
Project Background.....	1-3
Project Schedule.....	1-3
Project Description.....	1-3
Equipment Description	1-7
Process Description.....	1-10
Operating Schedule.....	1-13

CHAPTER 2: ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Introduction	2-1
General Information	2-1
Potentially Significant Impact Areas	2-2
Determination	2-3
Environmental Checklist and Discussion	2-4
1. Aesthetics.....	2-4
2. Agriculture Resources	2-6
3. Air Quality	2-8
4. Biological Resources	2-30
5. Cultural Resources	2-33
6. Energy.....	2-37
7. Geology and Soils	2-41
8. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	2-45
9. Hydrology and Water Quality	2-55
10. Land Use and Planning	2-61
11. Mineral Resources	2-63
12. Noise	2-64
13. Population and Housing	2-73
14. Public Services	2-74
15. Recreation	2-76
16. Solid/Hazardous Waste	2-77
17. Transportation/Traffic	2-81
18. Mandatory Findings of Significance	2-88
19. Conclusion	2-92
References.....	2-93
Acronyms.....	2-97

FIGURES:

Figure 1	Site Location Map.....	1-4
Figure 2	Aerial Photograph of Facility with Plot Plan.....	1-5
Figure 3	Relative Location of Five Proposed Peaker Plants.....	1-6
Figure 4	Pipeline Route.....	1-10
Figure 5	Process Flow Diagram.....	1-12

TABLES:

Table 1	Five Proposed Peaker Generator Projects.....	1-5
Table 2	Process Rates.....	1-13
Table 3-1	SCAQMD Significance Thresholds.....	2-9
Table 3-2	California Clean Air Act Planning Requirements.....	2-10
Table 3-3	Construction Peak Daily Emissions Summary.....	2-13
Table 3-4	Construction NOx Mitigation.....	2-14
Table 3-5	LM6000 Turbine Maximum Hourly Emissions During Normal Operations.....	2-16
Table 3-6	LM6000 Turbine Maximum Hourly Emissions During SU/SD Conditions.....	2-17
Table 3-7	LM6000 Turbine Commissioning Emission Rates.....	2-18
Table 3-8	LM6000 Emissions for First Year and Subsequent Years of Operation.....	2-18
Table 3-9	Waukesha ICE Maximum Hourly and Annual Emissions.....	2-19
Table 3-10	Proposed Facility-Wide Criteria Pollutant Emissions During Normal Operations.....	2-19
Table 3-11	Indirect Operational Emissions.....	2-20
Table 3-12	Operational Emissions Significance Evaluation.....	2-21
Table 3-13	Normal Operations Modeling Results.....	2-22
Table 3-14	Startup Modeling Results.....	2-23
Table 3-15	Commissioning Modeling Results.....	2-23
Table 3-16	Cumulative Construction Emission Evaluation.....	2-25
Table 3-17	Facility-Wide TAC Emissions During Normal Operations.....	2-26
Table 3-18	Maximum Predicted Risks.....	2-28
Table 6-1	Projected Natural Gas Supplies for California.....	2-39
Table 8-1	Schools Along the Pipeline Route.....	2-53
Table 12-1	Estimated Noise Levels Generated by Onsite Construction Equipment.....	2-68
Table 12-2	Estimated Noise Levels Generated by Pipeline Construction Equipment.....	2-69
Table 12-3	Distance Attenuated Noise Levels Generated by Construction Equipment.....	2-70
Table 12-4	Maximum Sound Pressure Levels Proposed Project Equipment.....	2-71
Table 16-1	Summary of Construction Waste Streams and Management Methods.....	2-79
Table 16-2	Summary of Operational Waste Streams and Management Methods.....	2-79
Table 16-3	Local Solid Waste Disposal Facilities.....	2-80
Table 18-1	Cumulative Construction Emission Estimates.....	2-90

APPENDICES:

Appendix A	California Public Utilities Commission Assigned Commissioner's Ruling
Appendix B	Visual Simulations
Appendix C	Air Quality Impacts Analysis Methodologies
Appendix D	Biological Resources Assessment
Appendix E	Archaeological Paleontological Assessment
Appendix F	Acoustical Analysis Report
Appendix G	Comments and Responses to Comments on the Draft MND