

Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation

2022 AQMP Mobile Source Working Group Heavy-Duty Trucks (Meeting #2) March 24, 2021

Major NOx and PM_{2.5} Emission <u>Reductions Needed</u>

- California has the worst air quality in the nation
- Key challenges
 - San Joaquin Valley PM2.5
 - South Coast & San Joaquin Valley Ozone
- Heavy-duty trucks and federal sources remain largest contributors
- Action beyond current programs needed by 2031 and 2037
 - Nearly all heavy trucks to have 2010 model year engines by 2023





Disadvantaged Community Focus

- Assembly Bill 617 directs CARB to identify community level strategies
- Communities seek action on transportation and freight emissions
- Seek rapid transition to zero-emissions







4











State and Local Public Fleets

- Cities, counties, special districts, state agencies
- Must purchase ZEVs when adding vehicles to the fleet
 - 50% of purchases for 2024-2026 model year
 - 100% of purchases for 2027 and newer model years
- Three-year exemption if exclusively in designated low population counties
- Exemptions if suitable ZEVs are not available



State and Local Public Fleets

9

Emissions Modeling - Public Fleets

Class 4-8:

CARB

- EMFAC2021 MHD and HHD public categories
- Class 2b-3, Buses, Class 8 Solid Waste Collection Vehicle:
 - DMV registration data is used to determine the population of exempt plate trucks and buses (excluding transit)
- Applies to new vehicle purchases:
 - 50% ZEV for 2024-2026 model year
 - 100% ZEV for 2027 MY onwards
- Low population municipalities with 3-year delay*

* Demographic data acquired from https://www.california-demographics.com/counties by population







		Drayage							
Calendar Year 2019/2020 Statewide Drayage Truck Inventory									
Vehicle Category	Port of Oakland (POAK)	Port of LA/LB (POLA)	Other Seaports [*]	Railyards**					
Instate Class 8 [†] Active Trucks***	4,224 [‡]	13,951 [‡]	1,453 [‡]						
Instate Class 8 [†] Inactive Trucks ^{***}	n/a***	2,770							
Instate POAK Class 8 already in POLA [†]	136			TBD					
Class 4-7 [†]	22	180							
Out of State [†]	823	854							
Total	5,205	17,755	1,453	TBD					

Non-gasoline

T7 POLA Class 8, T7 POAK Class 8, and T7 Other Ports Class 8 in EMFAC202x

Estimate based on past Surveys; Requesting updated information from other seaports UP and BNSF have provided an initial analysis of truck counts at various railyards in California, and staff are analyzing those for inventory purposes

Estimate based on pust surveys, requesting operating of truck counts at various railyards in California, and staff are analyzing those for inventory purposes
 ¹¹ UP and BNSF have provided an initial analysis of truck counts at various railyards in California, and staff are analyzing those for inventory purposes
 ¹² For POLA, trucks with more than 112 visitS/year are considered as "active trucks". 112 visit/year was determined based on POLA monthly active truck counts. POAK did not provide monthly visit data and therefore all of their class 8 in-state trucks were considered active.















ZEV Milestone Phase-In Schedule

- High priority private and federal fleets milestones
 - Percentage of the total fleet must be zero emission
 - Flexibility to meet targets across categories
- Exemptions if suitable ZEVs are not available

Zero-Emission Fleet Percentage	10%	25%	50%	75%	100%
Box trucks, vans, two-axle buses, yard trucks	2025	2028	2031	2033	2035
Work trucks, day cab tractors, three-axle buses	2027	2030	2033	2036	2039
Sleeper cab tractors and specialty vehicles	2030	2033	2036	2039	2042

"Work truck" means any single-unit vehicle that is not a box truck, van, or bus

21

Private and Federal

Emissions Modeling - High Priority Fleets

- High priority fleets identification:
 - Entities with more than \$50 million annual revenue that operates at least one vehicle in California were determine using Dun & Bradstreet database
 - Entities that own more than 50 vehicles were determine using DMV & IRP Registration database
 - Will be further refined based on the Large Entity Reporting in April 2021
- ZEV fractions between the phase-in target years are linearly interpolated

dun & bradstreet

GROWING RELATIONSHIPS THROUGH DATA











Summary of Emissions Reductions



Note: These are preliminary estimates based on CA DMV & IRP Registration as well as Dun & Bradstreet databases 27 and the numbers are subject to change upon availability of Large Entity Reporting







Next Steps

- Continue individual meetings with fleets and stakeholders
- Continuing workshops/workgroups throughout this year
- Receive fleet reported data April 2021
- Continue refining emissions benefit assessment for priority fleets as well as drayage trucks operating at railyards
- Rule recommendation to Board in December 2021

31

For More Information

- Visit CARB's website at: <u>https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/advanced-clean-fleets</u>
- Subscribe to receive ACF email updates at: <u>https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/CARB/subscriber/new?topic_id=zevflee</u> t

Contacts

Paul Arneja ACF Lead Staff Paul.Arneja@arb.ca.gov

Fang Yan Inventory Manager Fang.Yan@arb.ca.gov



Stephanie Kong Inventory Lead Staff Stephanie.Kong@arb.ca.gov

Craig Duehring ACF Manager Craig.Duehring@arb.ca.gov Jesica Johnston ZE Drayage Lead Staff <u>freight@arb.ca.gov</u>

Sara Forestieri MSS Lead Staff Sara.Forestieri@arb.ca.gov

Cleaner Trucks Initiative: Program Overview and Advanced Emission Control Testing

March 24, 2021 AQMP Mobile Source Working Group

Brian Nelson US EPA - Office of Transportation and Air Quality

Outline

- Overview of Major Provisions Under Consideration
- Engine Demonstration Testing
 - Diesel
 - Gasoline
- Accelerated Aging Protocol Validation
- Next steps & discussion



Overview of Major Program Provisions Under Consideration

- Standards and Test Cycles
- ► In-Use Emission Standards
- Extending the Regulatory Useful Life
- Ensuring Long-Term In-Use Emissions Performance
- ► Technologies & testing @ NVFEL
- Certification and Compliance Streamlining

Standards and Test Cycles

- Improving Existing Emission Standards
 - Technologies being considered should enable significant emission reductions

New Emission Test Cycles and Standards

Considering the addition of a low-load test cycle and standard to improve performance of the emission control system at low load and low temperature operation



In-Use Emission Test Procedures & Standards

- Significant in-use performance improvements can be made by considering more of the engine operation outside of today's EPA in-use testing requirements
- ANPR describes the intent of the CTI to improve our inuse procedures to capture nearly all real-world operation
- Evaluating a revised in-use approach, including:
- Using an approach similar to the Euro VI in-use program
- That divides in-use operation into 3 bins to set unique standards for each type of operation
- EPA will be evaluating emission measurement uncertainty of the measurement equipment and test procedure

Extending the Regulatory Useful Life

Begin Regulatory		End of F	End of Regulatory						Actual Engine					
Useful Life, 0 miles		Useful Life	Useful Life, 435k miles						Operating Life, 900k+ mile					
Engine Design & Build	In-Use Operation		-	-			-		-	-				

- ▶ Today's regulatory useful life covers less than half of the primary operational life (i.e. time to first engine rebuild) for most heavy-duty engines
 - Today's useful life ranges between 110,000 and 435,000 miles, depending on the regulatory class
 - ▶ EPA data indicates that the average engine rebuild mileage for those classes range between 315,000 and 910,000 miles
- > ANPR requested comment on issues related to extended useful life requirements such as:
 - Appropriate useful life values
 - Considerations for durability demonstrations
 - Useful life of aftertreatment components
 - ▶ How many times engine cores are typically rebuilt

Ensuring Long-Term In-Use Emissions Performance

- Deterioration of emission controls can increase emissions from in-use vehicles
- Such deterioration can be inherent to the design and/or materials of the components; the result of component failures; or the result of mal-maintenance or tampering
- The ANPR sought comment on ways to develop a modern strategy to improve real-world in-use emissions performance, including:
 - ▶ Warranties that cover an appropriate fraction of engine operational life
 - Improved, more tamper-resistant electronic controls
 - Serviceability improvements for vehicles and engines
 - Education and potential incentives
 - > Engine rebuilding practices that ensure emission controls are functional





In-Vehicle CDA Testing @ NVFEL

Engine: Cummins X15 w/prototype CDA hardware and a dynamometer-developed control strategy

Chassis: 2018 Navistar LT625 w/manual transmission

- Measure emission and exhaust temperature impact of various CDA strategies under common load scenarios & test cycles
- Quantify the NVH impact of CDA using engine- and cab-mounted accelerometers to measure vibration frequencies and forces



CTI-Streamlining Process for Aftertreatment System Aging

- Increasing emissions useful life beyond 435K miles = increased time to dyno age parts, which has impact on certification:
 - Time (risks stifling technology advancement)
 - Cost (unnecessary burden if a cheaper—yet representative alternative exists)

C To exhaust

- CARB and EPA agree that a new aging process is needed
- EPA is validating a Diesel Aftertreatment Rapid Aging Protocol (DARAP) as a method for generating durability cycles based on operational data inputs
 - Adapts to any engine platform
 - Target is a 10X acceleration ^{Air} +Fuel
- DARAP is being validated for mix of engineand burner-based approaches, providing mfrs. maximum flexibility

Next Steps

- Career team is actively working to support future low-NOx standards
 - Engaging in a robust and open dialogue with stakeholders
 - > Furthering our own research with dynamometer- and chassis-based test programs
 - Continued aging & testing of CARB's "Stage 3" aftertreatment system beyond 435K miles
- Engaging with new EPA leadership on future HD criteria and GHG emission standards



EPA Contacts for CTI

- CTI Program Manager, Director of ASD's Heavy-Duty Onroad & Nonroad Center
 - Brian Nelson, Nelson.Brian@epa.gov, 734-214-4278
- CTI Rulemaking Team Leads
 - Christy Parsons, <u>Parsons.Christy@epa.gov</u>, 734-214-4243
 - Jessica Brakora, <u>Brakora.Jessica@epa.gov</u>, 734-214-4936
 - ▶ James Sanchez, <u>Sanchez.James@epa.gov</u>, 734-214-4439
- Assessment & Standards Division
 - Bill Charmley, Director, Charmley. William@epa.gov, 734-214-4466
 - ▶ Kathryn Sargeant, Deputy Director, Sargeant.Kathryn@epa.gov, 734-214-4441

