Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms Indicates a proposed CAAP strategy	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness	Potential Primary Responsible Parties	Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to - Technology Demonstration? - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	Mechanism Implementation with Respect to: - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
	Cleaner vessel visits	Enhanced / additional incentives to increase clean vessel visits through existing or related upcoming programs (e.g. Green Ship Incentives, Green Shipping Corridors, Vessel Speed Reduction)	Annual tier distribution of vessel calls	Port Authority	Environmental ordinance / tariff / Memorandum of Understanding					
		Establish a feebate program akin to Clean Truck Program to encourage cleaner vessel and discourage older vessel visits (e.g. CAAP-proposed Clean Ship Program)	Annual tier distribution of vessel calls	Port Authority	Environmental ordinance / tariff					
		Include in lease operating agreements and customer contracts that a minimum percentage of vessel calls meet latest IMO standards and/or use of emission control technologies. The minimum percentage is increased over time.	Annual tier distribution of vessel calls	Vessel Owner / Operator	Contractual agreements / negotiations					
Ocean-going Vessels (NOx: 19.5 tpd; 63%)		Technology demonstrations of emissions control technologies for in-use vessels	Funding amount allocated to projects Number of projects funded & completed NOx reduced per trip	Vessel Operator Technology Manufacturer / Provider	Obtain grant funding					
(DPM: 0.24 tpd; 48%)	Understanding co- benefits of NOx and other criteria pollutants with alternative fuels	Include in Green Shipping Corridor implementation plans study of criteria pollutant reduction benefits with GHG reductions and criteria pollutant reduction targets	NOx reduced compared to a diesel propulsion engine	Port Authority Trans-Pacific and Other International and Domestic Partners Shipping Lines	Memorandum of Understanding Obtain grant funding					
		Include in alternative technology demonstration projects (e.g. dual fuel engines) study of emissions profile to ensure Tier 3-level benefits and investigate any low load issues	NOx reduced compared to a diesel propulsion engine	Vessel Operator Technology Manufacturer / Provider	Obtain grant funding					
	Reduce at-anchor emissions	Build / facilitate building of support infrastructure for at-anchor emissions control through public-private partnerships	Annual infrastructure component installation progress report	Port Authority Infrastructure / technology supplier	Obtain grant funding					
		Introduce incentive program for use of technologies or methods to control emissions during anchorage	NOx reduced per trip	Port Authority	Environmental ordinance / tariff					

Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms Indicates a proposed CAAP strategy	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness		Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to - Technology Demonstration? - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	Mechanism Implementation with Respect to: - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
Cargo Handling Equipment	100% on-terminal ZE equipment by 2030	Development and implementation of terminal-specific master plans	Annual distribution of ZE vs. diesel vs. non-diesel	Marine Terminal Operators Port Authority	Contractual agreements / negotiations Environmental ordinance					
(NOx: 1.8 tpd; 6%) (DPM: 0.1 tpd; 10%)	Increase ZE equipment usage	Issue incentives for container moves to peel- off yard by ZE yard trucks	dieser equipment	Marine Terminal Operators	Private-public partnerships to establish incentive program					

Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms Indicates a proposed CAAP strategy	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness	Potential Primary Responsible Parties	Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to - Technology Demonstration? - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	o Mechanism Implementation with Respect to: - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
	Increase ZE drayage usage / moves	Ocean carrier / terminal / on road carrier (truck) who share same corporate structure coordinate dedicated ZE drayage fleet to sibling terminal (e.g. APM and Maersk and Performance Team)	Annual emission reductions from diesel truck visits replaced with	Ocean Carriers Marine Terminal Operator	Contractual agreement from ocean carriers					
		Terminal prioritizes contracts with customers (e.g. ocean carriers) that work with freight forwarders who can send ZE fleets	Annual emission reductions from diesel truck visits replaced with ZE trucks per terminal	Marine Terminal Operators Ocean Carriers Freight Fowarders	Contractual agreements / negotiations					
		Increase funds towards accelerated ZE drayage truck deployment	Annual emission reductions from diesel truck visits replaced with ZE trucks per terminal	Port Authority	Environmental ordinance / tariff Clean Truck Program / Policy amendments					
		Fee discounts or waiver programs on empty container storage fees moved by ZE trucks	Annual emission reductions from diesel truck visits replaced with ZE trucks per terminal	Port Authority Marine Terminal Operators	Contractual agreements / negotiations Environmental ordinance / tariff					
Drayage Trucks (NOx: 4.1 tpd; 13%) (DPM: 0.03 tpd; 5%)		Preferential gate access for ZE trucks via appointment system	Annual emission reductions from diesel truck visits replaced with ZE trucks per terminal	Marine Terminal Operators	RFID tags					
	Reduce on-terminal emissions	Feebate or incentive programs to reduce truck queue time (e.g. reward dual transactions and decreased truck turnaround times)	Emission reductions from annual reduced diesel truck idling time or distance traveled on- terminal	Marine Terminal Operators Port Authority	Assessments to determine feebate / incentive amounts that encourage uptake by terminals or truckers / shippers					
		Complementary mechanism to ensure compliance with Heavy-Duty Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Regulation	Emissions monitoring/vehicle	Port Authority	Environmental ordinance / tariff Clean Truck Program / Policy amendments					
		Infrastructure improvements to reduce vehicle miles traveled (vmt) on terminal	Emission reductions from annual reduced diesel truck idling time or distance traveled on- terminal	Marine Terminal Operators Utility Companies	Terminal layout/land assessments					
	Buildout ZE infrastructure	Allocate funds collected from (potentially increased) Clean Truck Fee towards ZE charging/fueling infrastructure	Annual MWh installed per power demand	Port Authority	Planning and progress updates on what has been built/installed and any challenges					

Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms Indicates a proposed CAAP strategy	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness	Potential Primary Responsible Parties	Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to I - Technology Demonstration? - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	Vechanism Implementation with Respect to: - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
	100% Zero Emission Short Line Fleet	Include in short line operator contract turnover schedule of current locomotives to zero emission		Port Authority Short Line Operator	Contractual agreements / negotiations					
Switcher Locomotives	Build out ZE charging/fueling infrastructure	Partner with third party to build short line charging/fueling facility	Reductions per MWh (or additional energy unit) installed to meet demand for short haul locos/switchers	Port Authority	Public-private partnership Contractual agreements / negotiations (if tenant route)					
(NOx: 0.2 tpd; 1%) (DPM: 0.002 tpd; 0.4%)	Reduce idling emissions	Faster rail access on/off of Terminal Island	Emission reductions from Annual Reduced idle time or Reduced fuel consumption	Marine Terminal Operators Port Authority	Assessment of on-dock rail usage, operational efficeincy, and logisitcal footprint of short line operator					
		Greater efficiency for switching activity at terminals	Emission reductions from Annual Reduced idle time or Reduced fuel consumption	Marine Terminal Operators Port Authority Short Line Operator	Assessment of on-dock rail usage, operational efficeincy, and logisitcal footprint of short line operator					
	Cleaner locomotive visits to Ports	Dedicated Tier 4 or cleaner fleet for intrastate activity	Per train emission reductions compared to fleet average from Ports El/1998 MOU Annual % reduction in line haul fleet composite emission factor (EF)	Class I Railroads	Contractual agreements / negotiations \$450 million incentive funding statewide for ZE infrastructure, locomotives, vessels, and vehicles through SWIFT					
Line Haul Locomotives		Per call incentive program for hybrid conversions and ZE trains	Per train emission reductions compared to fleet average from Ports El/1998 MOU Annual % reduction in line haul fleet composite emission factor (EF)	Port Authority Class I Railroads	Contractual agreements / negotiations \$450 million incentive funding statewide for ZE infrastructure, locomotives, vessels, and vehicles through SWIFT					
LOCOMOUNES (NOX 3.2 Lpt; 10%) (DPM: 0.1 tpd; 24%)		Establish "green corridor" for hybrid/ZE locomotives going from Ports to inland rail facilities	Per train emission reductions compared to fleet average from Ports El/1998 MOU Annual % reduction in line haul fleet composite emission factor (EF)	Port Authority Class I Railroads	Contractual agreements / negotiations \$450 million incentive funding statewide for ZE infrastructure, locomotives, vessels, and vehicles through SWIFT					
	Build out ZE charging/fueling infrastructure	Electrical infrastructure phase in plan with milestones	Annual MWh installed to meet demand stated in plan	Port Authority Marine Terminal Operators Class I Railroads Utilities	Contractual agreements / negotiations Public-private partnership Obtain funding					

Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms Indicates a proposed CAAP strategy	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness	Potential Primary Responsible Parties	Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terrminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to - Technology Demonstration? - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	Mechanism Implementation with Respect to: - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
	Cleaner harbor craft at the Ports ahead of CARB compliance schedule	Terminal operators include in customer contracts (vessel operators) turnover to cleaner harbor craft by 20XX	Annual emission reduction from older to cleaner vessel	Port Authority Vessel Owners/Operators	Contractual agreements / negotiations Obtain grant/incentive funding					
		Incentivize harbor craft Operators to repower/replace vessels to meet Tier 4 or cleaner by 20XX	Annual % fleet distribution	Port Authority Vessel Owners/Operators	Obtain grant/incentive funding Environmental ordinance / tariff					
	Accelerate ZE turnover / entry into harbor craft fleets	Incentivize harbor craft operators to enroll and meet specific ZEA milestones or operation of vessel fleet above Tier 4 level starting year 20XX	Annual % fleet ZE distribution % Operating hours/kWh in ZE	Port Authority Terminal Operators Vessel Owner/Operator	Obtain grant funding for demonstration projects					
Commercial Harbor Craft (NOx: 2.2 tpd; 7%) (DPM: 0.05 tpd; 11%)		Technology demonstrations and pilot projects	Annual % fleet ZE distribution % Operating hours/kWh in ZE	Port Authority Terminal Operators Vessel Owner/Operator	Contractual agreements / negotiations					
		Terminal operators include in customer contracts (vessel operators) minimum use of ZE/hybrid assist tugs, if demo successful	Annual % fleet ZE distribution % Operating hours/kWh in ZE	Port Authority Terminal Operators Vessel Owner/Operator	RFPs and grant funding for infrastructure installation					
	Buildout ZE charging / fueling infrastructure	Port include in leases with harbor craft operators equipment procurement/modernization schedule (Equipment type supported, # of vessels supported, # of plugs with amperage and voltage for each connection)	Annual MWh installed per power demand - planning and progress updates on what's been built/installed + challenges	Port Authority Vessel Owners/Operators	Contractual agreements / negotiations					
		RFPs and grant funding for infrastructure installation - Ports incorporate this into their master plan	Annual MWh installed per power demand - planning and progress updates on what's been built/installed + challenges	Port Authority	Obtain funding for infrastructure					

Instructions: Stakeholders	welcome to provide add	itional potential emission reduction	mechanisms not identified in "Pote	ntial Mechanisms" tab						
Port Vehicle / Emission Source (NOx and DPM Emissions in 2022 per Ports' Emissions Inventory Report)	Desired Outcome	Potential Mechanisms	Potential Approaches to Measure Emission Reduction Benefits / Mechanism Effectiveness	Potential Primary Responsible Parties	Potential Tools for Mechanism Implementation	Additional Role(s) or Influence that Could be Provided by: - Port Authority? - Marine Terminal Operators? - Others?	Potential Constraints & Opportunities to - Technology Demonstration? Respect t - Timing? - Equipment / Vehicle Manufacturing? - Costs? - Workforce?	Mechanism Implementation with <sup>D1</sup> - Utilities? - Permitting / External Agency Approval? - Incentives? - Other Regulations? - Others?	Potential Community Benefits or Impacts from Mechanism	Any Other Considerations for Mechanism Development and Implementation
Ocean-going Vessels (NOx: 19.5 tpd; 63%) (DPM: 0.24 tpd; 48%)										
Cargo Handling Equipment (NOX: 1.8 tpd; 6%) (DPM: 0.1 tpd; 10%)										
Drayage Trucks (NOx: 4.1 tpd; 13%) (DPM: 0.03 tpd; 5%)										
Switcher Locomotives (NOX: 0.2 tpd; 1%) (DPM: 0.002 tpd; 0.4%)										
Line Haul Locomotives (NOX: 3.2 tpd; 10%) (DPM: 0.1 tpd; 24%)										
Commercial Harbor Craft (NOX: 2.2 tpd; 7%) (DPM: 0.05 tpd; 11%)										