APPENDIX E:

COMMENT LETTERS RECEIVED AFTER CLOSE OF COMMENT PERIOD

Comments Received from March 21, 2025 to March 28, 2025

COMMENT LETTERS

This appendix contains those letters listed in the tables below that were received from March 21, 2025 to March 28 2025.

Table Appendix E-1: Comments Received from March 21, 2025 to March 28, 2025

Le tte r	Date Received	Commentor Name	Representing	Category	# of Letters
1	3/23/2025	Jesse Biebesheimer	Self	Resident	1
2	3/26/2025	Faith Bautista	National Diversity Coalition	Other	1
3	3/21/2025	Roy Profitt	Self	Resident	1
4		Various	Self	Resident	116
5	3/27/2025	Stuart Waldman	Valley Industry & Commerce Association	Other	1
6	3/28/2025	Leah Skinner	Carson Chamber of Commerce	Other	1
7	3/28/2025	Paul Little	Pasadena Chamber of Commerce and Civic Association	Other	1
8	3/21/2025	Erin Pak	Kheir Clinic	Business	1

COMMENT LETTER #1: JESSE BIEBESHEIMER

M	arissa	Poon

To:

From: Jennifer Vinh

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 8:32 AM

Subject: FW: Contact Form

From: South Coast AQMD <
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2025 4:01 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh < jvinh@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Jesse Biebesheimer

Email:

Phone:

Message:

As a long time resident of Huntington Beach, I am writing to voice my opposition to the Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121. I already live in an area subject to the SCAQMD's strict requirements for Ultra-low NOx emitting water heaters and furnaces. I am opposed to further banning or restricting gasfueled appliances for existing homes, as is proposed. Furnaces and water heaters are essential appliances in every household. Driving the costs higher though mandates and bans is

not fair to lower-income residents. Consumers should be incentivized to adopt these theoretically lower-emission technologies, but they should not be mandated to do so, and traditional gas-fueled products should not be "banned" or removed from the market. This simply serves to limit choice and drive up consumer prices. Furthermore, when such an appliance breaks down, replacement is typically urgent, stressful, and already very costly. It is not appropriate to force homeowners in need of an urgent replacement to suddenly have to search for electrical contractors to simultaneously replace their electrical panels and wiring in order to accomplish the installation of an electric water heater, furnace, or heat pump. Consumers should have the freedom to do a like-for-like replacement when one of these products breaks down and needs replacement. Even an exception for repairs is not sufficient -- it will drive homeowners to continue to "put bandaids" on problems, when a more cost effective long-term solution is replacement with a brand new similar unit. It may be reasonable to mandate these changes in brand new construction, but not for existing homes making periodic maintenance replacements. Thank you for your consideration of this viewpoint. Regards, Jesse Biebesheimer Homeowner Huntington Beach, California

2

COMMENT LETTER #2: NATIONAL DIVERSITY COALITION



March 26, 2025

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

RE: OPPOSE - Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 - as released February 28

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members:

On behalf of the National Diversity Coalition, we write to express our opposition to the proposed amendments to Rules 1111 and 1121. National Diversity Coalition is an empowering voice for our nation's minority and low-income communities, particularly in California, at every level of government and within the business community.

While the latest rule concept attempts to move in the right direction, it fails to address many of the fundamental concerns we have with the proposed amendments.

Beyond the initial cost of the appliances, the amendments do not account for fo on homeowners, renters, and business owners—many of whom can least afford it.

Additionally, we are deeply concerned about the increased strain these rules will place on an already aging electrical grid. This grid not only relies on nonrenewable sources, such as natural gas to generate power, but is also ill-equipped to handle excessive new demand, posing a significant public safety risk. Residents have already endured frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015, electric power lines have caused six of the 20 most devastating wildfires in our community. Furthermore, numerous residential and commercial projects are currently on hold due to a lack of available power.

Many Southern California families are already struggling with high living costs, and mandating costly retrofits or replacements will only exacerbate financial hardships. These rules disproportionately impact lower-income households, which are the least able to afford such expenses.

While we understand and support the Air District's efforts to improve air quality, the proposed amendments would impose an undue and significant burden on homeowners, renters, and small businesses.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We respectfully urge the Board to explore alternative approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic feasibility for all residents.

Sincerely,

Faith Bautista

President & Chief Executive Officer, National Diversity Coalition

Email: faith@nationaldiversitycoalition.org

fair batter

COMMENT LETTER #3: ROY PROFITT

From: Emily Yen Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2025 8:58 AM To: Cc: FW: Contact Form

From: Sent: Friday, March 21, 2025 6:59 PM
To: Emily Yen <EYen@aqmd.gov>
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: ROY PROFITT

Email:

Phone:

Message:

I urge a NO vote. There isn't a climate emergency, our state is ran by fruits and nuts. They continue to imagine foolish and strange conditions which don't exist in our world. Our governor has tried to make the once Golden State a 3rd world country. We have homeless people everywhere (that is a concern), we can't get medical appointments for several months, illegals are living here,

1

and some people want to ban gas water heaters (don't be deceived). Do the morally right thing and VOTE NO!

2

COMMENT LETTER #4: VARIOUS

To: Carlos Rodriguez, Curt Hagman, Larry McCallon, Manuel Perez, Michael Cacciotti, Patricia Lock Dawson, Vanessa Delgado, Janet Nguyen, Brenda Olmos, Jennifer Vinh

Subject: URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 & 1121

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries but homeowners and renters, like me.

Under newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, the consumer will still be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, it will be the renters and homeowners who bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day.

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly process, which I understand is very likely in excess of \$30,000 or more per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

With this added ruling, SCAQMD just added to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating, adding to the burdens already imposed by recent rules on pool heaters.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. Without targeted communication through mainstream media and other accessible channels, residents who are directly impacted have not and will not have an adequate opportunity to understand or voice concerns about these extremely expensive rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ADDRESS	CITY	ZIP	EMAIL
Vanessa	Cisneros		Walnut	91789	
Jessica	Coonrod		Chino	91710	
Kim Joseph	Cousins		Lake Elsinore	92530	
Cesar	Khandjian		Temecula	92592	
Luis	Garcia		San Bernardino	92410	
Elena	Fowler	20	PALM DESERT	92260	
John	Weithas	50. Ve	Pasadena	91105	
Samantha	Argosino		Chino Hills	91709	
Tina	Javid		Chino Hills	91709	
Craig	Snow		Redondo Beach	90277	
Craig	Michael		Chino Hills	91709	
Mae	Gentry		Burbank	91501	
Monica	Lopez		San Bernardino	92408	
ROBERT	EVANS		laguna hills	92653	
VICKIE	TALLEY		Laguna Hills	92653	
Gerry	Gutierrez		Pico Rivera	90660	
Lisa	Gutierrez		Pico Rivera	90660	
Eric	Rivera		Pico Rivera	90660	
Bianca	Rivera		Whittier	90605	
Kristine	Scott		Rancho Cucamonga	91730	
Ron	Henderson		West Hills	91304	
Louisa	Henry		Newhall	91321	
Kelly	Laird		Seal Beach	90740	
Denny	Rockwell	55	Placentia	92870	
Darci	Castillejos		Menifee	92585	14
Tracey	Garamone		Murrieta	92563	
Wenjiao	Ма		Irvine	92602	
Mary	King-Batiste		Bellflower	90706	
Nancy	Lulejian Starczyk		Los Angeles	91326	
Robin	Clinch		Long Beach	90804	20
Hanh	Truong		Moreno Valley	92551	
Connie	Berduo		Whittier	90606	





Jacqueline Cherie	Fernandez Pondoff	Chino Murrieta	91710 92563
Nicole	Suydam	Aliso Viejo	92656
Michelle	Murphy	Irvine	92614
Steve	Horn	San Diego	92129
Frances	Dunn	Villa park	92861
Russell	Tao	Whittier	90603
Kelly	Turbeville	Trabuco Canyo	on 92679
Galen	Alldrin	Ballico	95303
Danielle	Corliss	Dove Canyon	92679

COMMENT LETTER #5: VALLEY INDUSTRY & COMMERCE ASSOCIATION



March 27, 2025

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

SUBJECT: OPPOSE - Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 - as released February 28

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members,

On behalf of the Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA), we write to express our strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. Our concerns are based on the revised language released on February 28, 2025, and the negative impact these rules pose for the region's economic vitality.

While we understand and support efforts to improve air quality, the current amendments create disproportionate and unnecessary financial burdens for businesses and working families—particularly in the form of increased compliance costs, higher consumer prices, and costly retrofits.

The amended rules would either require consumers to switch to significantly more expensive "all-electric" space and water heaters or face increased costs passed down by manufacturers paying compliance fees to continue offering natural gas appliances. These policies ignore market realities and affordability challenges, placing businesses—especially small businesses and property owners—in an impossible position.

Projected to cost over \$300 million annually, or \$7.7 billion over 25 years, these rules will severely impact businesses that are already operating on thin margins. This is especially concerning in a region like Southern California, where commercial tenants and landlords are already grappling with high energy bills, inflationary pressures, and a challenging regulatory environment.

An alternative path—such as establishing ultra-low NOx emission standards for natural gas appliances—could achieve meaningful environmental outcomes without forcing costly technology shifts or disrupting established markets. This approach would also allow manufacturers and businesses to innovate within existing infrastructure, avoiding unnecessary grid strain and capital expenditures.

Moreover, the amendments fail to consider the massive retrofitting costs that would fall on commercial property owners, multifamily housing operators, and small businesses occupying older structures. These infrastructure changes could cost tens of thousands of dollars per building and pose significant logistical challenges in areas with outdated electrical systems or limited power access.

The additional burden on California's aging and overstressed electrical grid also raises major concerns. Many business developments are already delayed due to energy constraints, and these rules will only exacerbate the issue by accelerating demand without sufficient infrastructure investment. As we've seen, unreliable power supply leads to operational disruptions, safety hazards, and even contributes to catastrophic wildfires.

Southern California businesses are working hard to remain competitive in a high-cost environment. Saddling them with aggressive mandates that offer limited flexibility and significant expense undermines economic development, workforce stability, and our region's ability to grow sustainably.

Valley Industry & Commerce Association • 16600 Sherman Way, Suite 170 Van Nuys, CA 91406 • phone: 818.817.0545 • fax: 818.907.7934 • www.vica.com



VICA urges the Board to reconsider this one-size-fits-all regulatory approach and instead engage with stakeholders to develop pragmatic solutions that protect both the environment and our local economy.

Sincerely,

Stuart Waldman VICA President

Valley Industry & Commerce Association • 16600 Sherman Way, Suite 170 Van Nuys, CA 91406 • phone: 818.817.0545 • fax: 818.907.7934 • <u>www.vica.com</u>

COMMENT LETTER #6: CARSON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE



Board of Directors Executive Committee Chairman

Richard Chang Premier Printing & Graphics

Treasurer Andy Stanley Morgan Stanley

Vice Chair, Government Affairs Trini Jimenez Watson Land Company

Vice Chair, Leadership Carson Kubi Karul

SA Recycling
Vice Chair, Strategic Initiatives

Tamala Lewis
Dignity Health Sports Park
Vice Chair, Economic

Development Michael Stewart M & B Associates

Vice Chair, Special Events Lena Whittaker

Magnificent Events

Directors

CM Ministerial Consulting

Ben Brower Ineos Polypropylene Norwood Clark Darrow's New Orleans Grill

Ralph Felix

California Water Service Co. Julio Flores

DoubleTree by Hilton Carson Lyman Fox

Kaiser Permanente, South Bay Victor Ibarra

Marathon Tami Lorenzen-Fanselow

Price Transfer, Inc.

Derrick Mims

CSU Dominguez Hills

Shannon Moody Air Products & Chemicals

Mariane Torhus IKEA US West, Inc March 28, 2025

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

RE: OPPOSE - Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 - as released February 28

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members:

On behalf of Carson Chamber of Commerce, we write to express our opposition to Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. Our opposition is reflective of the revised language officially released on February 28, 2025, and discussed at subsequent hearings.

While the latest amendments attempt to move in the right direction, they fail to address many of the fundamental concerns we have with the proposed amendments.

SCAQMD Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 mandate higher costs on consumers. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

These amended rules will burden consumers with over \$300 million annually or \$7.7 billion over the 25-year life of these appliances. With California's soaring cost of living and many consumers struggling to keep up, now is not the time to impose additional costs on consumers.

A better approach to reducing NOx emissions would be to impose ultra-low NOx emission standards to make natural gas appliances even more environmentally friendly at no additional cost to consumers.

Beyond the initial cost of the appliances, the amendments do not account for the substantial retrofit and infrastructure upgrade expenses that owners of older homes will have to bear to accommodate the new technology. These costs could amount to tens of thousands of dollars, placing an undue financial burden on homeowners, renters, and business owners, many of whom can least afford it.

Additionally, we are deeply concerned about the increased strain these rules will place on an already aging electrical grid. This grid not only relies on nonrenewable sources, such as natural gas, to generate power, but is also ill-equipped to handle excessive new demand, posing a significant public safety risk. Residents have already endured frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015, electric power lines have caused six of the 20 most devastating wildfires in our community. Furthermore, numerous residential and commercial projects are currently on hold due to a lack of available power.

Many Southern California families are already struggling with high living costs, and mandating costly retrofits or replacements will only exacerbate financial hardships. These rules disproportionately impact lower-income households, which are the least able to afford such expenses.

While we understand and support the Air District's efforts to improve air quality, the proposed amendments would impose an undue and significant burden on homeowners, renters, and small businesses.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We respectfully urge the Board to oppose Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 and explore alternative approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic feasibility for all residents.

Sincerely,

Leal Skinner

President

Working Together for Growth and Prosperity
530 E Del Amo Blvd., Carson, CA 90746 (310) 217-4590
www.CarsonChamber.com

COMMENT LETTER #7: PASADENA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND CIVIC ASSOCIATION



March 28, 2025

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

RE: PLEASE OPPOSE - Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 - February 28 Versions

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members,

The Board of Directors of the Pasadena Chamber of Commerce and Civic Association opposes the proposed Amended Rules PAR 1111 and PAR 1112.

Despite the changes to the rules we remain opposed. While we do appreciate the amendments, they are simply not enough for us to support or even remain neutral about Rules 1111 and 1121.

SCAQMD Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 force higher costs on consumers. When people are faced with increasing costs for everyday needs, imposing rules that would significantly increase costs for heaters seems almost punitive.

We all recognize the impacts climate change is having on Southern California. We also understand that we all need to amplify our efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. But, we need to balance that with cost considerations and an understanding of the impacts to everyday people and their household economies.

Thank you for your service to Southern California. Your time and expertise is very much appreciated.

The Board of Directors of the Pasadena Chamber of Commerce and Civic Association respectfully urges the AQMD Board to explore different approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic reality facing residents.

Sincerely,

Paul Little

President and Chief Executive Officer

cc: Members of the AQMD Governing Board

44 North Mentor Avenue Pasadena, California 91106-1745

626-795-3355 ph ■ 626-795-5603 fax ■ www.pasadena-chamben.org

COMMENT LETTER #8: ERIN PAK (KHEIR CLINIC)





March 21, 2025

Stationary Source Committee, South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Re: Proposed Amended Rule 1111 & Amended Rule 1121 - OPPOSE

Dear Members of the Stationary Source Committee,

On behalf of nearly 22,000 indigent residents of Los Angeles we serve at Kheir Clinic, we are writing to express our opposition to the Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121. While we recognize that this legislation is regional in scope, the Proposed Rule Concept and Mitigation Fees will have a disproportionate impact on low-income and underserved communities. The rules appear to heavily favor zero-NOX equipment adoption, which often defaults to electric options, without fully accounting for infrastructure readiness, consumer preference, or the resulting financial burden. Kheir is a 501(c)(3) non-profit service agency, providing critical culturally and linguistically sensitive healthcare and social services to the uninsured and under-insured working poor of Los Angeles.

We believe our patients and residents of Southern California should retain the right to choose from a full range of safe, reliable, and affordable energy sources and appliances for their homes and businesses. We further believe there are more balanced and cost-effective strategies available to improve air quality and protect the environment without creating additional hardships for vulnerable communities.

Southern California faces unprecedented affordability challenges: the costs of housing, transportation, and energy continue to rise. Every day, we care for patients struggling with food insecurity, unemployment, and housing instability—all of which significantly impact their health outcomes and overall safety. It is unreasonable to expect that all households and small businesses will be able to bear the expense of switching to zero-NOX appliances—whether electric or otherwise—without encountering financial strain. For homeowners and landlords, these changes often come with additional costs, such as electric panel and wiring upgrades. For renters, these costs are typically passed on in the form of higher rents. Moreover, households that rely exclusively on electric appliances currently face energy bills averaging over \$1,100 more annually than those using mixed-fuel systems.

Additionally, past events such as the Camp Fire, Kincade Fire, Woolsey Fire, and Eaton Fire have demonstrated vulnerabilities in California's utility infrastructure, including safety lapses linked to electric utilities. Until our regional energy infrastructure can provide safe, comprehensive, and reliable service, it is premature to limit residents to primarily electric or zero-NOX alternatives. The proposed rules erode consumer choice and concentrate risk by eliminating energy diversity. In the event of power outages or service interruptions—whether due to natural disasters or utility failures—residents will face the dangerous prospect of being entirely without energy for critical needs such as cooking, heating, and hot water.

For these reasons, we respectfully oppose the Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,

CC: Supervisor Holly Mitchell, Committee Vice Chair

Kheir Clinic is committed to providing linguistically and culturally sensitive quality primary healthcare and human services support to the underserved and uninsured residents of Southern California.