

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Alex Buccola, <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 2, 2025 9:47 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Alex Buccola,  
[REDACTED]  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Bill Hussey <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 3:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Bill Hussey  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Gilberto Martinez <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:46 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Gilberto Martinez  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Ken Madsen <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:10 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Ken Madsen  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Kimberly Stromwall [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:28 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Stromwall  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Nicole Kyles <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 2, 2025 9:58 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Nicole Kyles

[REDACTED]  
Riverside, CA 92508  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Olivia Martinez <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 8:11 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Olivia Martinez  
[REDACTED]  
Grand terrace , CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Robert Hice <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 2, 2025 9:55 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Robert Hice

[REDACTED]  
Riverside, CA 92507  
[REDACTED]



## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Robert Smalley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:32 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Robert Smalley  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Steve and Cathy Whitehouse <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 2, 2025 8:33 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rules 111 and 1121

We are against the implementation of these 2 proposed rules and request a no vote on them.

Steve and Cathy Whitehouse

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Thomas Berry <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 6:34 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Berry  
[REDACTED]  
Grand Terrace, CA 92313  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Silvino Mabatid [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Friday, May 2, 2025 10:02 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Silvino Mabatid

[REDACTED]  
Riverside, CA 92504  
[REDACTED]