

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 9:57 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Allison Vega

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

I oppose the amendments to Rule 1111 and 1121, as 3 of our most critical appliances run on gas. If the rules are amended the economic effects will be devastating for many during this time of inflation. A recent analysis by the Cost of Living Council, an alliance of local homeowners, renters, small businesses, and workers, projects that this mandate will cost families in our region \$7.7 billion over the next 25 years. Replacing gas systems with electric ones could run \$47,800 for a single-family home, or \$40,100 for a multi-family rental unit. Even just keeping our current gas appliances could cost an extra \$1,510 per replacement in new fees. And it won't stop at homeowners. Landlords facing massive upgrade costs will pass them on to renters. Small businesses will see higher operating expenses.

Jobs will be lost. And yet, these proposals are being rushed forward without a clear plan to support working families through the transition. Public Power Safety Shutoffs (PSPS) pose a serious risk to households that rely entirely on electricity. When power is cut, sometimes for hours or even days during fire seasons, homes with all-electric appliances lose access to heating, hot water, cooking, and in some cases, essential medical equipment. For families with young children, seniors, or those with health conditions, this is not just an inconvenience, it's dangerous. Additionally, making our appliances solely reliant on electricity could make us more vulnerable to cyber attacks of the power grid. Forcing unaffordable changes on millions of Californians without financial assistance, economic safeguards, or public consensus is not responsible governance. Please represent your constituents concerns and oppose these amendments. Thank you!

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 6:57 AM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Audrey Scranton

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

In reference to proposed amendment 1111 and 1121, we are already under tremendous financial burden in this state and passing these amendments will further add to the debt of Southern California residents. In addition to increased debt, many mountain and desert communities have much colder temperatures in the winter. Natural gas heaters are more efficient and less costly to operate in cold climates. Many who cannot afford their utility bills already will suffer financial and health and safety consequences. Seems to me the SCAQMD has lost sight of being reasonable.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 9:07 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Bradford Martin

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

I am against the Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. The cost of replacing and retrofitting gas heating and water heaters is cost prohibitive for most residents. Are you wanting more residents to move out of CA?

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:58 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Chris Ferguson

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

Enough with the insanity! I cannot afford to change my house to all electric. Do not amend rules 1111 and 1121.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 7:11 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Deborah Christiansen

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

This is an undue financial burden on the citizens of our state. In addition, there is no proof that we have enough electricity to meet the needs of everyone, especially in hot summer months. We often have rolling blackouts. It would also be foolish to put all of our energy needs into one basket. DO NOT AMEND 1111 & 1121 to require electric appliances only, no matter the time table.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 6:56 AM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Dee Perry

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

STOP THE MANDATE TO END GAS HEATERS. WE CANNOT AFFORD ANY MORE COST OF LIVING INCREASES IN LOS ANGELES. WE ALREADY HAVE THE HIGHEST GAS PRICES, THIS IS ANOTHER SHOT AT TEARING DOWN THE ABILITY OF MIDDLE CLASS AND LOWER MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES TO SURVIVE HERE.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 7:54 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Jim Lopez

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

Mandating the replacement or additional cost to use gas appliances will cause us to leave California. It bad past decisions like this one is just adding to the unbelievable cost of living here. I've lived 60 years in this state, an Army veteran and devoted 30 years of my life in law enforcement protective my community. If this rule is enforced, we're moving to Henderson Nevada: no income tax, lower cost of living and the state doesn't try to drain every cent out of us. I'm not the only one that feels this way, you're making this state unbearable to live in.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 8:17 AM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Kathryn Dillon

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

No to this proposal. Californians have the right to a voice on a requirement that will cost an average household \$45,000 for retrofit. This is a agency unelected by citizens forcing something we do not want and cannot afford. It is unjust it is a major hardship at a time when the cost of living in this State is already one of the highest in the nation. This only serves the wealthy who profit from the idea of "Green Energy". Our grid is overwhelmed already. What are you thinking?

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:49 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Kristen Looyesen

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

I am a fourth generation Californian, and have four children and two grandchildren who also live in our beautiful state. I strongly oppose PAR 1111 and 1121. Last year our electricity was turned off on a few occasions, but we were still able to use our stove for cooking, which made the event so much more tolerable. We love our state and want to stay for many more generations. Please do not take any more of our freedoms away, and vote NO on PAR 1111 and 1121. Thank you.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 5:55 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Larry Lewellyn

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: 76703c2

Message:

Please stop this madness! I can not afford all the rules u guys r making! Please vote no on the gas water heater tax!

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 7:24 AM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Matthew Munson

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

This is a very regressive policy, people are struggling to pay rent. People are struggling to survive in our state. Not all people are working 140,000 a year jobs to pay for the regulations that politicians and their staffs propose. We do not have the grid to handle electrification unless we build nuclear power plants. Please NUKE this proposal! Forcing people to replace their appliances is unjust.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 8:11 PM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Patrick Heston

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

I'm sure glad I have natural gas appliances in January when our power was turned off for 3 days. I could still have hot water and cook. It was still cold without my gas heater because it needs electricity to run. This has to be one of the worst ideas coming from a state agency. You already require low nox water heaters which cost more. It will cost me a lot of money to have electricity run for an electric water heater and then a permit for the electrical work and installing the heater. I think we need a proposition on the ballot to allow those items.

Peter Campbell

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2025 5:18 AM
To: Peter Campbell
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Thomas Duff

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

Please do not implement the proposed changes to require residents to shift to electric for heating ,and cooking . This would be a terrible burden for anyone retired like myself or working. I really don't believe the electrical grid could support all this load. Thank you , Tom Duff