

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2025 6:33 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Gail Brown

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

We are in our 70's and have oed our home since 1976, Yes, we use gas for a floor heater and a gas stove. If you saw our consumption you would note it ism eager. We are not the issue . You will create more harm than a solution in imposing change . Please consider new homes or newly purchased homes for your mandates. please let us be.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2025 12:15 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Gilberto Olivarria

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

We live at [REDACTED], a mountain community. Our electrical service is very unreliable, we can't rely on it to power our heaters, stove top or water heater throughout the year and even less during Winter. We need natural gas to survive the cold Winter days and nights.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 24, 2025 12:09 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Gilberto Olivarria

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

We live in Running Springs, CA, a mountain community at [REDACTED]. During Winter we rely on our natural gas appliances to survive the cold days and nights. We have a natural gas central air unit that provides us back-up heat for our 2 natural gas wall heaters and our 2 wood burning stoves. We also use our natural gas stovetop for cooking. Many times during the Winter we lose electricity due to failure of the electrical grid and due to Public Safety Power Shutoffs during windy days. On top of that, SCE is constantly turning off our electricity for maintenance and repairs. We could not survive Winters without natural gas. We can still use the stovetop without electricity and we can keep our 2560 sq.ft. home at "sweater temp" without electricity for as long as we can get to our wood storage. I am an elderly retired person that needs

**warmth to survive. The electricity service in our area is not reliable throughout the entire year, however during the Winter it's less reliable. There is currently an exemption to allow wood burning stoves at our altitude, please extend that for natural gas heating and cooking. If you don't, we will need to sell our mountain home and move out of state.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Jonathan Peske <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 26, 2025 3:26 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Opposed to rule changes 1111 and 1121

Hi Jennifer,

Please let the board know of my opposition to passing rules 1111 and 1121. I have been very frustrated by prior rule-making from the board and how it has limited choices and made things more expensive. I don't think it's wise to aim for everything to be electric because of the limitations of our power grid. Please let individual Californians have the freedom to choose what solutions for heating their homes work best in their specific situations rather than imposing it by board fiat.

Thank you,  
Jonathan Peske  
Redlands, CA

Sent from my iPad

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2025 10:33 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Magdalena Gonzalez

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Stop the nonsense, we already pay so much taxes, inflation is to the roof, cost of living in California is very high, we are verily covering basic needs. Stop, hurting families, you already have done so much damage to our families' budgets. We don't have the money to replace new appliances and infrastructure.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 27, 2025 10:36 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Martha Van

**Email:**

**Phone:**

### Message:

**I am 100% against the Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. I want to have natural gas furnaces, water heaters, and appliances allowed in homes. I do not want to retrofit my home or any home that has natural gas to an all-electric home. We don't have enough electric energy grid in California, and our electric power is turned off during high wind or fires--sometimes for days. There are no benefits to doing this--only needless and expensive costs. The supposed benefits of this are so small--your own studies prove doubt that this is even remotely reasonable. I recommend stopping the attack on natural gas in this state. No more electric mandates.**

Jennifer Vinh  
South Coast Air Quality Management District  
21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765  
[jvinh@aqmd.gov](mailto:jvinh@aqmd.gov)

RE: Opposition to SCAQMD PAR 1111 & 1121

Dear Ms. Vinh:

I write to you today to express my opposition to the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121.

These proposed rules will create significant added burdens and costs to the citizens of this region. We can ill afford yet another regulation that impedes our ability to decide for ourselves what appliances are best for use in our homes and will increase the already high-cost burdens incurred by California residents.

In addition California's electric grid is already challenged with providing sufficient energy to meet the needs of the citizenry. Adding to this burden will only impede further the energy crisis we already face.

Both my sons and their families have already moved out of state due to the high cost of living and the burden of this state's heavy regulatory environment.

It is for the reason stated above that I urge a NO vote on the proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121.

Thank you in advance for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sallee

[REDACTED]

Yorba Linda, CA 92886

[REDACTED]



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 26, 2025 9:33 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Natalie Jones

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I don't even know where to start with this absurdity of a proposal. Not only is it unaffordable for many households, mine included, but there are communities that have frequent power outages, such as mine, that having all electric would be an undue burden. With gas appliances we still have a cooking surface, hot water, and heat. We are a mountain community so heat in the winter is vital. Additionally, do our power plants run off clean energy? No. They do not. Can our current power system handle the stresses and loads currently on it? No. They cannot not. So, do not pass this burden on to the residents of the state that will have minimal impact on air quality- as natural gas is relatively clean burning hence the use of natural gas vehicles, like city busses- but will

**have an unbalanced heavily burdened impact on many households.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Philip Coombs <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2025 4:51 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] SCAQMD Rules 1111 & 1121

Jennifer,

The proposed changes to rules 1111 and 1121 are a shameless attack on the cleanest fuel commodity available throughout the entire planet. With the proper air to fuel ratio, the only products of open-air combustion of methane / natural gas are H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, both of which are essential for more than 99% of life on this earth. Significant amounts of NOX compounds are only produced when a hydrocarbon fuel is subjected to the high pressure and temperature conditions found with internal combustion engines. None of your targeted natural gas appliances, water heaters and Home Heating furnaces, operate by internal combustion. Neither does gas powered cook stoves / ovens, kitchen refrigerators, or clothes dryers, which will be likely addressed by your next round of rule changes.

California can't produce enough of its own electric power as today's conditions require. To meet the current needs California buys much of its electric power from neighboring states. A fair amount of this imported energy is produced by burning natural gas, fuel oil, and coal. But that's all right isn't it? As long as it doesn't happen in our precious state.

So what is the real reason for this attack on natural gas? As you already know, our governor is hell-bent on eliminating any energy source related to the petroleum industry in California. Could it be that pressure is being applied to the SCAQMD by the governor's office?

Thank you for your time and consideration , Phil Coombs

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2025 4:32 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Phil Coombs

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

The proposed changes to rules 1111 and 1112 are a shameless attack on the cleanest fuel commodity available throughout the entire planet. With the proper air to fuel ratio, the only products of open-air combustion of methane / natural gas are H2O and CO2, both of which are essential for more than 99% of life on this earth. Significant amounts of NOX compounds are only produced when a hydrocarbon fuel is subjected to the high pressure and temperature conditions found with internal combustion engines. None of your targeted natural gas appliances, water heaters and Home Heating furnaces, operate by internal combustion. Neither does gas powered cook stoves / ovens, kitchen refrigerators, or clothes dryers, which will be likely addressed by your next round of rule changes. California can't produce enough of its own

**electric power as today's conditions require. To meet the current needs California buys much of its electric power from neighboring states. A fair amount of this imported energy is produced by burning natural gas, fuel oil, and coal. But that's all right isn't it? As long as it doesn't happen in our precious state. So what is the real reason for this attack on natural gas? As you already know, our governor is hell-bent on eliminating any energy source related to the petroleum industry in California. Could it be that pressure is being applied to the SCAQMD by the governor's office?**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 17, 2025 10:43 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Robert Tinch

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

We strongly oppose the elimination of natural gas which is more efficient in heating and less expensive than electricity. California needs a portfolio of energy sources. Removing natural gas will unnecessarily drive up the cost of living in a state that is already the most expensive state to live in America.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2025 6:11 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Robin Crouch

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please consider the negative consequences/impact of having only one source for all our power needs.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Ryan Hinds <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2025 6:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: \*UPDATED NOTICE\* South Coast AQMD Proposed Amended Rule 1111 and Proposed Amended Rule 1121 Notice of Public Hearing

Jen,

Below are my comments. While the new versions of PAR-1111 and PAR-1121 are an improvement over the older versions and allow for alternate paths rather than full electrification of household heating, they could still use some additional changes.

1. The mitigation fees for >0 NOx furnaces are \$100 + CPI (or 3% maximum) and \$500 + CPI (or 3% maximum) for units sold above the targets. The mitigation fees for >0 NOx water heaters are \$50 + annual CPI adjustment (or 3% maximum) and \$250 + annual CPI adjustment (or 3% maximum) for units sold above the targets. In order to facilitate compliance, I propose that the mitigation fees for both furnaces and water heaters are the lesser of the two amounts (\$50/\$250).
2. Alternatively, tankless natural gas/propane hot water heaters and furnaces with >90% AFUE should be either exempt from the mitigation fees or subject to a lesser amount than for other units that otherwise meet the current NOx emission limits. It is not fair to treat units which have been eligible for energy efficient rebates the same as those that are older, less efficient (albeit usually cheaper) options. This would encourage people to upgrade their units to a better option who may not want to go full electric (or where such a conversion would be costly given housing electricity upgrade costs).

Thanks,  
Ryan Hinds

On Tue, Apr 29, 2025 at 7:14 PM Jen Vinh <[jvinh@aqmd.gov](mailto:jvinh@aqmd.gov)> wrote:



**South Coast Air Quality Management District**



***\*UPDATED NOTICE\****

**Proposed Amended Rule 1111**

***Reduction of NOx Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Furnaces***

**Proposed Amended Rule 1121**

***Reduction of NOx Emissions from Residential Type, Natural Gas-Fired Water Heaters***

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast AQMD) has published an updated Notice of Public Hearing for June 6, 2025, when the Governing Board will consider the adoption of Proposed Amended Rule 1111 – Reduction of NOx Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Furnaces (PAR 1111) and Proposed Amended Rule 1121 – Reduction of NOx Emissions from Residential Type, Natural Gas-Fired Water Heaters (PAR 1121). PAR 1111 and PAR 1121 have been revised to provide flexibility and consumer choice. This Notice of Public Hearing has been updated to reflect the deadline of June 3, 2025, for the June 6, 2025 Public Hearing on PAR 1111 and PAR 1121 regarding comment materials to the Clerk of the Board.

The Notice of Public Hearing is available online through the following link:

- [Notice of Public Hearing](#) - June 6, 2025 (subject to change)

For more information on PAR 1111 and PAR 1121, please visit the following links:

- [Myths vs Facts - Understanding the Proposed Space and Water Heating Appliance Rules \(1111/1121\)](#)
- [Space and Water Heating Clearinghouse Webpage](#)

---

For more information, please contact:

Jen Vinh (PAR 1121)  
(909) 396-2148  
[JVinh@aqmd.gov](mailto:JVinh@aqmd.gov)

Peter Campbell (PAR 1111)  
(909) 396-3185  
[PCampbell@aqmd.gov](mailto:PCampbell@aqmd.gov)

---

For more information, please visit the [1111 and 1121 Proposed Rules Page](#).

**Americans with Disabilities Act and Language Accessibility**

Disability and language-related accommodations can be requested to allow participation in the Governing Board meeting. The agenda will be made available, upon request, in appropriate alternative formats to assist persons with a disability (Gov. Code Section 54954.2(a)). In addition, other documents may be requested in alternative formats and languages. Any disability or language-related accommodation must be requested as soon as practicable. Requests will be accommodated unless providing the accommodation would result in a fundamental alteration or undue burden to the South Coast AQMD. Requests can be sent to the Clerk of the Boards, South Coast AQMD, [21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA, 91765-4178](#), at (909) 396-2500 (for TTY, 909-396-3560) from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Tuesday through Friday, or send the request to [cob@aqmd.gov](mailto:cob@aqmd.gov).

*To forward this notice, please do not use your email forward function and instead use the following [Forward to a Friend](#) link to send up to 10 emails addresses at once.*

[Manage Subscription](#) | [Unsubscribe from List](#)

This email was sent to [ryan.r.hinds@gmail.com](mailto:ryan.r.hinds@gmail.com) from the **[Rule 1111 and 1121 Team](#)**.  
[South Coast AQMD](#) | [21865 Copley Drive](#) | [Diamond Bar, CA 91765](#) | 1.800.CUT.SMOG

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 23, 2025 9:01 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Terri K Jones

**Email:** tkjones@tkjonesphotography.com

**Phone:**

### Message:

I am strongly opposed to measure 1111 and 1121 ! I am a senior citizen living on Social Security, as well as many others who are financially compromised. This would severely financially affect the replacement of our gas appliances, as we simply cannot afford it, nor the increasing electric bills to support this. We have a compromised info structure, which would further cause detriment to households during electricity shut downs This entire concept is completely off the charts and not residentially user-friendly.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 18, 2025 6:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] All Electric Mandates Rules 1111 and 1121 Not Affordable

SCAQMD,

I have lived in my 53 year old 900 square foot mobile home for over 41 years.

As a retired person on a fixed income, paying for an all electric household is prohibited. As a rate payer for both natural gas and electricity, natural gas is much more affordable and no doubt creates less environmental damage than an electrical generation facility.

Please reject Rules 1111 and 1121.

Thank you.

TM Snyder  
Chino Hills, CA