

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Beverly Shichtman <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 11:49 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Beverly Shichtman  
[REDACTED]  
La Quinta, CA 92253  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Brenda Stueve <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 8:46 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Brenda Stueve  
[REDACTED]  
Riverside, CA 92506  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Jennifer Riley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 5:32 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Riley

[REDACTED]  
Orange, CA 92869  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 29, 2025 5:21 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Jim Rueff

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

The Facts vs. Myths page states "Manufacturers can continue to offer consumers the choice of natural gas units even while sales of zero-emission units are expected to increase." But, Rule 1121, d, (2) Table 2 indicates that, after 1/1/2029 only zero-emission water heaters and furnaces will be allowed for installation in existing buildings. Therefore, in my opinion, the so-called myth "Proposed rules will eliminate all natural gas appliances." is at least partially false as far as water heaters and furnaces are concerned.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Julie Engberg <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 8:04 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

My sole source of income is SS. I cannot afford to do this on the home I own.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Julie Engberg

[REDACTED]  
Indio, CA 92203  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 5:40 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Block Rules 1111 and 1121

It is unconscionable to me to force homeowners and business to by either ALL-electric appliance or face paying higher Natural Gas prices.

All-Electric appliances demand more than the electric grid can handle

Instead enforce Ultra-low Nox emission standards on natural gas appliances.

Residents and businesses in California are ALREADY paying exorbitant costs for power.

BLOCK Rules 1111 and 1121.

*Ken McGowan*

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 1, 2025 10:05 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** L French

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

**Yet another proposal to make affordable house impossible to build. There's a reason that states like TX and FL are seeing declining rents and housing values... because affordable housing actually exists and can be built there. Declining rents and housing values are a good thing! Stop it with this nonsense, stop letting red states kick California's butt in creating affordable housing. Cancel this proposal.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** LaDoris Boozer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 8:06 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

LaDoris Boozer

[REDACTED]  
Norco, CA 92860  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Michael Gerry <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 12:29 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Michael Gerry

[REDACTED]  
RIVERSIDE, CA 92503  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Morgana Rae <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 9:39 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Morgana Rae

[REDACTED]  
LONG BEACH, CA 90806  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Patty [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 6:45 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] OPPOSE RULES 1111 & 1121

**April 30, 2025**

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair  
South Coast Air Quality Management District  
21865 Copley Drive  
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

### **RE: OPPOSE – Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 - as released February 28**

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members:

**Patty Roberts**, I write to express opposition to the proposed amendments to Rules 1111 and 1121.

While the latest rule concept attempts to move in the right direction, it fails to address many of the fundamental concerns we have with the proposed amendments.

Beyond the initial cost of the appliances, the amendments do not account for the substantial retrofit and infrastructure upgrade expenses that owners of older homes will have to bear to accommodate the new technology. These costs could amount to tens of thousands of dollars, placing an undue financial burden on homeowners, renters, and business owners—many of whom can least afford it.

Additionally, I am deeply concerned about the increased strain these rules will place on an already aging electrical grid. This grid not only relies on nonrenewable sources, such as natural gas to generate power, but is also ill-equipped to handle excessive new demand, posing a significant public safety risk. Residents have already endured frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015, electric power lines have caused six of the 20 most devastating wildfires in our community. Furthermore, numerous residential and commercial projects are currently on hold due to a lack of available power.

Many Southern California families are already struggling with high living costs, and mandating costly retrofits or replacements will only exacerbate financial hardships. These rules disproportionately impact lower-income households, which are the least able to afford such expenses.

While we understand and support the Air District's efforts to improve air quality, the proposed amendments would impose an undue and significant burden on homeowners, renters, and small businesses.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We respectfully urge the Board to explore alternative approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic feasibility for all residents.

Sincerely,

**Patty Roberts**

cc: Members of the Governing Board

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Ronald Bergen [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 5:13 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh; Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] NO ON AQMD 1111 & 1121

Hello Jen & Peter,

I am responding to the AQMD proposed rules 1111 & 1121, and requesting that these be rejected.

They will raise the cost of living for residents of California for negligible improvement in our air quality. AQMD is trying to force people off of clean burning natural gas appliances, and onto electric appliances, at the same time the state is trying to force people into electric cars, all while neglecting to adequately build out the electric grid. What could go wrong? The electrical grid is already fragile as it is, subject to blackouts any time we have a summer heat wave. At least with gas, we can cook our dinners during the blackouts! This whole initiative is poorly thought out, and is a prescription for nothing but higher appliance prices, limited consumer choice, higher electricity pricing, and a yet more overburdened electric grid. Just NO! Enough with the oppressive nanny-statism already!

-Ronald L Bergen

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Roy Coon <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 6:10 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Roy Coon

[REDACTED]  
Chino Hills, CA 91709  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Sheree Cloer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 29, 2025 8:28 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Sheree Cloer

[REDACTED]  
Monterey Park, CA 91755  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** steve peterman <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 11:13 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] NO to removing natural gas

To whom it may concern, I want natural gas to stay in California. The alternatives are to costly financially and well as environmentally. California does not have the infrastructure to accommodate electric vehicles, not to mention everything else going to electric.

Have a Great Day  
Steve Peterman [REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Suzi Atyim <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2025 6:17 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Suzi Atyim

[REDACTED]  
Morongo valley , CA 92256  
[REDACTED]