

Jennifer Vinh

From: Andrea Ross <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 4:57 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear AQMD,

Rules 1111 and 1121 are an **outrage** and they are **unAmerican**. These rules will cause an unsustainable increase and an ongoing expense for all ratepayers and my family and will be a huge burden on the electrical grid as well. **Gas is a cleaner and cheaper source of energy.** I am very much opposed to the passing of Rules 1111 and 1121. The passing of these rules will cause my family great and unnecessary expense in these already challenging economic times. FOR REAL.

Rules 1111 and 1121 will bankrupt individual Californians and the state as well. It will make less than 1% difference to the climate over the next 100 years - so say expert environmental scientists. The cost for implementing these rules that are proposed "to save the planet" are completely wasteful and could be better spent on other pressing California problems SUCH AS THE HOMELESSNESS ISSUE which is beyond reprehensible that politicians have not figured out an answer to this debacle.

I appreciate the opportunity to be heard and pray that you will vote to help the ratepayer and not pass these 2 rules.

Andrea Ross
Fountain Valley, CA
Registered voter

Jennifer Vinh

From: Bob Bell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 9, 2025 9:34 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Please do not implement Rules 1111 and 1121. No more green scams! Gas is cheaper, and it is clean, and furthermore we don't have the infrastructure in place for increased electric demands.

Bob Bell
Fullerton, CA

Jennifer Vinh

From: Christine Evans <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2025 4:29 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Gas Appliances,

Hello,

I have used gas appliances for my whole life. Except 2 years when I was in a rental. I do not like using an electric dryer, takes longer to dry my clothes than a gas dryer and cooking on an electric stove is not pretty. Can not control the heat as well.

Please leave the gas appliances in our home.

Christine Evans
Brea CA

Jennifer Vinh

From: Dana McClure <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 7:14 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Objection to Proposed Rules 1111 & 1121

I am writing to voice my objections to the proposed Rules 1111 & 1121.

Eliminating gas appliances will drive up the electricity usage and overall utility cost for all residences and businesses. Not to mention the cost of upgrading our electrical panels to accommodate the additional electricity draw. We all know that gas is a much cheaper alternative that is entrenched in our infrastructure.

As a small restaurant owner, this will no doubt increase my utility expenses and shrink my bottom line at a time when I am already barely profitable. All Restaurants in So Cal are using Gas. The Costs to upgrade all of our equipment let alone the upgrades of our Electrical Panels and rewiring our restaurants to get the power to the new equipment will destroy us. My guests cannot take a price increase on my food to pay for this. My Transactions are down 20% since the last increase we had to take to offset the California \$20 Minimum Wage. It has been a year since the Minimum Wage increase and our business is getting even worse.

I am not an engineer, but with all the proposed Electrical Charging stations for cars, I keep reading the grid is stressed now. And they are even burning gas to create the Electricity needed? With the kind of additional demand created by these proposals, how will they even keep up without burning even more Gas. If even with that the Grid could handle the extra pressure.

Are you going to prohibit Natural Gas usage for creating electricity and actually ban it from every use in the state? How does this not economically further kill our businesses and make it more expensive for homeowners. With more electrical appliances we will all be on the highest tier multiplier for electrical charges.

Please, Please we are struggling to survive, I sincerely urge you to reconsider these proposals.

Thank You,

Dana McClure



THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS, IS CONFIDENTIAL and may contain information that is privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are neither the intended recipient nor responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, copying or the taking of any action in reliance upon the message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately. The recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Bernard Karcher Investments, Inc, B & J, LLC, Star Goals, LLC, and/or Star Chasers Austin, LLC accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. Thank you.

Jennifer Vinh

From: David Oronoz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2025 3:01 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] New Rules 1111 and 1112

I oppose and disagree about the Rules being considered 1111 and 1112 for homeowners who use natural gas in their homes or rental properties.

Sincerely,

David Oronoz [REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: David Pagenkopp <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2025 2:41 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

To whom it may concern,
Please note that I am against implementing rules 1111 and 1121.
Thank you,
David R Pagenkopp

Jennifer Vinh

From: Donna Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2025 2:58 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Rules 1111 and 1121

I am writing in strong opposition to the proposed rules that would require the replacement of natural gas appliances with electric only models. These rules would have adverse financial consequences for my household budget as I replace existing gas appliances in the future. It is simply untenable and I respectfully request that the council reject Rule 1111 and Rule 1121. Thank you, Donna Smith, [REDACTED].

Jennifer Vinh

From: Garrett Kerr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2025 9:35 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] No on 1111 and 1121

Hello SCAQMD,

I recently read a report regarding Rules 1111 and 1121 that you are proposing. I urge you not to continue with these rules. They will be costly to everyone it will affect which could be in the millions. There are likely unforeseen implications that haven't been considered which could be immediate as well as long term. Please do not continue to push for these two Rules to be established/passed.

Sincerely,
Garrett Kerr
Tustin resident

Jennifer Vinh

From: Georgina Marquez, DVM <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 9, 2025 2:01 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Subject: Strong Opposition to Proposed Regulations on Natural Gas Appliances

To The South Coast Air Quality Management District,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed regulations under Rules 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). While I understand the importance of addressing environmental concerns, I firmly believe that these regulations will add significant financial pressures on Southern California families and small businesses during an already challenging time.

The current economic climate has placed an undue burden on our community, with rising housing costs and utility bills making it increasingly difficult for many to make ends meet. By mandating the replacement of natural gas appliances with electric-only models, we risk exacerbating these challenges. The cost of switching to electric appliances can be prohibitively expensive for homeowners and landlords, and it places an unreasonable financial strain on small businesses that already operate on thin margins.

Moreover, this transition appears to directly disregard the diverse needs of our community. Many families and businesses rely on natural gas for its affordability and efficiency. The sudden push to adopt electric-only models may not only increase operational costs but could also lead to potential disruptions in service and availability, ultimately harming our local economy.

It is crucial to approach environmental policies thoughtfully and collaboratively, ensuring that they do not disproportionately impact those already facing financial pressures. Instead of imposing sweeping regulations, I urge the SCAQMD to explore balanced solutions that encourage innovation in energy use while considering the economic realities faced by Southern California residents and businesses.

I hope my concerns are taken seriously, and I encourage you to reconsider these proposed rules. Our community deserves policies that foster sustainable growth without compromising their financial stability.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Georgina A. Marquez -veterinarian
Home owner in Anaheim Hills
Small business owner in Yorba Linda and Orange

--

Dr. Georgina Marquez DACVIM (internal medicine)

Canyon Hills Animal Hospital and Specialty Center
23259 La Palma Ave
Yorba Linda, CA 92807
(714) 692-8232

Orange Olive Animal Hospital
2187 N Orange Olive RdOrange, CA 92865
(714) 998-1510

Jennifer Vinh

From: Gwen Masters <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 2:37 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] keep natural gas as a reliable energy option

 Earlier this year, we asked you to sign a petition urging U.S. Secretary of Energy Chris Wright to protect consumer energy choice. More than 30,000 of you signed, and Secretary Wright responded by stating emphatically that he would defend access to natural gas as a reliable and affordable energy option.

Gwen Masters
360 Properties LLC
360 E First St
Tustin, CA 92780
714-330-8507
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Harry Schmidt <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2025 12:01 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the EPA from implementing rule 1111and 1121 let us keep our gas water heaters and stoves and heaters that are very energy efficient and low cost besides having very little pollution if any. Many more of us will leave this great state if...

Harry Schmidt California resident for 79 years Sent from my iPhone

Jennifer Vinh

From: Krystal Rivas <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 9, 2025 11:55 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] No to natural gas appliance use fees and mandate

In a time with record high tariffs, now is not the time to mandate this unnecessary expense. Californians already pay some of the highest costs for energy and will be facing increased costs in all consumer goods including good in the coming months and years.

Implementing this mandate is cruel and would be too much of a financial burden on the people of this state.

Absolutely NO on mandates to charge fees to continue to use gas appliances and NO on mandates to change homes from gas to all electric!

Sincerely,

Krystal Macias
Garden Grove 92843

Jennifer Vinh

From: Larry Mueller <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2025 11:17 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Opposition to Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Sirs,

This message is requesting that you reject, vote no, on the rules that would force California households and businesses to convert all appliances from natural gas to electric. We like the balance we have with both electric and natural gas appliances. Natural gas works very well. It would be a major burden to have to pay the cost of conversion, specially as a senior citizen on a fixed income. Having to pay a fee to stay with natural gas is equally burdensome when on a fixed income.

This is a tax I am forced to pay, without any representation. California already is forced to pay some of the highest taxes in the country. This ruling does not not provide me anything in return for this added taxation. Thank you for your consideration.

Larry Mueller
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Nancy Sallee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2025 6:00 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Rules 1111 and 1121

I am opposed to the proposals outlined in Rules 1111 & 1121.

As a California resident and Senior citizen these rules/restrictions would place a heavy burden on my household and on other CA residents.

We have some of the highest Electricity rates in the nation (second only to Hawaii) and now the state wants to force us to convert our gas appliances to electric? My electric bill is already way too high. Stop the madness and return to sanity and allow citizens to retain their gas appliances without having to pay extra fees to the state.

The cost of living in CA is already the highest in the nation. We do not need, nor can we afford, another costly regulation(s).

If the state continues to impose these unreasonable rules and fees you will push more of us out of state.

I urge a no vote on these proposed rules. Stop ruining this state with yet more costly regulations.

Nancy Sallee
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Patricia Kincannon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2025 1:09 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Gas Appliance to Electric

While I'm in agreement that we need to move forward with changes that support a healthier environment, these economic times do not support the expenses required to do so. I happen to be a senior on whom this would be an extreme hardship but families just breaking even would be impacted just as much if not more. Good luck with reelection if this passes.

Jennifer Vinh

From: Phil Krikorian <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2025 9:36 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] rules 1111 and 1121

To Whom It May Concern @ SCAQMD:

Our household cares about our environment, but this plan to make us switch to all electric appliances, or pay a large fee to use gas, is ridiculous, and screams of special interest and politics. Please, for once, consider the PEOPLE who live here and not your own agendas.

My family, and all my friends here in Anaheim Hills unequivocally oppose these plans and we will fight, and make our voices heard, until you back down and come up with something that isn't so impactful. How about something that actually makes our lives BETTER? We are all struggling just to get by.

Phil Krikorian
Anaheim Hills

Jennifer Vinh

From: Andrea Ross <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 4:10 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Rules 1111 and 1121

Rules 1111 and 1121 will cause an outrageous increase and ongoing expense for myself and all ratepayers and will be a huge burden on the electrical grid. Gas continues to be a cleaner and cheaper source of energy. My family is HIGHLY opposed to the passing Rules 1111 and 1121. It will cause my family great and unnecessary expense in these already challenging economic times.

Rules 1111 and 1121 are going to bankrupt individual Californians and the state and, as stated by Bjorn Lomborg, a highly renowned environmental scientist, will make less than 1% of difference to the climate over the next 100 years. The costs they propose to save the planet are completely wasteful and could be better spent on other pressing California problems.

Thank you for allowing us to comment here. We appreciate that you are willing to listen to the ratepayers.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Ross

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Ron Hoffer (Business) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 12:18 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Appliance and Air Quality Rules

I strongly oppose any suggested appliance rule changes that will result in more consumer expenses. These rule changes couldn't come at a worse time, given the problems in the economy, the pain experienced by consumers related to inflation, and the continued decline in people's ability to pay for basic services such as utilities and food much less having free cash for entertainment, restaurants, etc. Please leave air quality and appliance rules as they are.

Ron Hoffer

Jennifer Vinh

From: Salvador Solis <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 9:53 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Re: Rules 1111 and 1121

These proposed rules will impose extreme hardships on those of us who would be required to upgrade our electrical systems. Additional strains on the already underperforming electrical systems would cause blackouts and health hazards for those who need electricity for health equipment. Rents will increase. Restaurants would face even more burdensome challenges. All in all, a bad idea for Californians as a whole. Please reconsider dismissing these drastic measures.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Mr. and Mrs. Solis
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: sherrill huebner <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2025 8:47 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Changing gas appliances to electric

I have a home with gas appliances. I like using gas instead of the more expensive electric appliances. I am on a fixed income. If I had to redo my energy source from gas to electric, it would be so costly that I would have to forgo having a heater, stove, oven, etc. Please do not pass a bill doing this. Using gas is cost effective for me, do not make my life miserable.

Sherrill Huebner

Sent from my iPad

Jennifer Vinh

From: Steve Herm <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Bills 1111 and 1121

To whom it may concern:

I'm opposed to Bills 1111 and 1121

Sincerely,

Steve Herm