

Marissa Poon

From: Brenda Hammett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Brenda Hammett
[REDACTED]
Glendora, CA 91741
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Cherie Abella <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products.

Sincerely,

Cherie Abella
[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92507
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Courtney Barnett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians.

Sincerely,

Courtney Barnett
[REDACTED]
VAN NUYS, CA 91406
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Curt Yaeger <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 9, 2025 12:27 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products.

Sincerely,

Curt Yaeger

[REDACTED]
Orange, CA 92869
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: daniel anacleto <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products.

Sincerely,

daniel anacleto
[REDACTED]
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: David Strickler <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

David Strickler
[REDACTED]
Anaheim, CA 92805
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Eduardo Ceja <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry as a distributor, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians.

Sincerely, Eduard Ceja

Sincerely,

Eduardo Ceja
[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92507
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: IAN MILLER <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a Southern CA Distributer I believe this Regulation will overall hurt the industry. Also, as an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products. Both the consumption of gas and electricity in our industry is huge. But a Zero-Nox regulation would be detrimental to all levels!

Sincerely, Ian Miller.

Sincerely,

IAN MILLER
[REDACTED]
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Jason Stokes <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,
Jason Stokes

Sincerely,

Jason Stokes
[REDACTED]
Yorba Linda, CA 92887
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: JOSE ERNESTO CASTRO MENESES <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

JOSE ERNESTO CASTRO MENESES
[REDACTED]
San Diego, CA 92126
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: joseph campos <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As an HVACR industry professional, I believe the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. The mitigation fee is impossible to implement. The manufacturers and distributors have no information about where their sold equipment is installed. Asking, and basically forcing, this reporting is not possible and would violate business privacy practices. Plus, the manufacturers the SCAQMD are forcing the mitigation fee onto aren't even in the air district's jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

joseph campos
[REDACTED]
Irwindale, CA 91702
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Luis Martinez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Luis Martinez
[REDACTED]
Cathedral City, CA 92234
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Mary Poshinske <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians.

Sincerely,

Mary Poshinske
[REDACTED]
Anaheim, CA 92805
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Michael Krause
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 8:15 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Matthew Russell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a lifetime citizen of Southern California, a father, HVACR industry worker, and a person who spends money in California, I greatly oppose this measure.

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians. This increase in cost would be very detrimental to the end user, as well as to every stage of distribution, including the business I work for, which could cause some serious side-effects, including layoffs etc.

Please protect affordability, consumer choice, and reasonable business practices!

Thank you! Matthew Russell

Sincerely,

Matthew Russell

[REDACTED]
Brea, CA 92821
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Heather Farr
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 7:09 AM
To:
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Neil Martens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Heather Farr <Hfarr@aqmd.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Neil Martens
[REDACTED]
Jurupa Valley, CA 91752
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Michael Krause
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 8:16 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Pat Hinton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Pat Hinton

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92507
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Robert Bielenberg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 9, 2025 12:27 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely
Robert Bielenberg

Sincerely,

Robert Bielenberg
[REDACTED]
Palm Springs, CA 92264
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Ryan Brady <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Ryan Brady
[REDACTED]

El Cajon, CA 92020
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Sandy Carlson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Sandy Carlson
[REDACTED]
Placentia, CA 92870
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Shaun Goode <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As an HVACR industry professional, I believe the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. The mitigation fee is impossible to implement. The manufacturers and distributors have no information about where their sold equipment is installed. Asking, and basically forcing, this reporting is not possible and would violate business privacy practices. Plus, the manufacturers the SCAQMD are forcing the mitigation fee onto aren't even in the air district's jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

Shaun Goode
[REDACTED]
Anaheim, CA 92805
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Michael Krause
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 8:13 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Tiffany Strickler <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Strickler
[REDACTED]
Anaheim, CA 92805
[REDACTED]

Marissa Poon

From: Tony Teuong <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 9, 2025 12:27 PM
To: Michael Krause
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products.

Sincerely,

Tony Teuong
[REDACTED]
West Covina, CA 91790
[REDACTED]