

Marissa Poon

From: Heather Farr
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:02 PM
To:
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----


From: Tina Shaw <tina@desertairps.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2025 11:50 AM
To: Heather Farr <Hfarr@aqmd.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians.

Sincerely,

Tina Shaw


Palm Springs, CA 92264
tina@desertairps.com


Marissa Poon

From: Vahag Kerasimian <vic@aireservofpasadena.com>
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:53 AM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a concerned Californian who works in the HVACR industry, I urge the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to not adopt the proposed amended rules to (PAR) 1111 and 1121. This rule would force manufacturers to pass on a \$500 mitigation fee for fossil fuel space and water heaters sold. This fee would cause manufacturers to pass down the cost to the distributors, who will then pass it to contractors, finally leading to another increase in cost for Californians. Thank you

Sincerely,

Vahag Kerasimian

Pasadena, CA 91103
vic@aireservofpasadena.com

Marissa Poon

From: WILLIAM MCCOY <WMCCOY@PALMDESERTAC.COM>
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:53 AM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As an HVACR, I believe the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. The mitigation fee is impossible to implement. one, it drives up the cost of something that is already very expensive, it removes freedom of choice, it will mean more older equipment will be repaired defeating the purpose of your goal. contractor and homeowners feel suffocated with these regulations, frankly it immoral, what about C a. electric grid infostructure? this reporting puts more hardship on contractors, suppliers and manufacturers business privacy. Plus, the manufacturers the SCAQMD are forcing the mitigation fee onto aren't even in the air district's jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM MCCOY



Palm Desert, CA 92211

WMCCOY@PALMDESERTAC.COM

Marissa Poon


From: William Myers <wmyers@southlandind.com>
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:53 AM
To: Heather Farr
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As an HVACR industry professional, the proposed amendments to (PAR) 1111 and 1121 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) should not be adopted. This rule will ban gas products if a manufacturer doesn't participate in the alternative pathway. And if they do participate in the alternative pathway, the mitigation fee will drive up the cost of gas products to the point that nobody can afford them. Creating a ban on fossil fuels and removing the consumer's choice between gas or electric products.

Sincerely,

William Myers


Huntington Beach, CA 92649
wmyers@southlandind.com