From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:20 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

**Name: Brad Thomas** 

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

This is crazy. Just another regulation to make it very expensive to live in California. I'm against PAL 1111 \$ 1121.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 12:19 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Brianna Rowlen

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

To Whom It May Concern, I am a resident in San Bernardino County which will be impacted adversely by your proposal to amend rule 1111 and 1121, regarding converting to all electric appliances (stove, water heater, etc.) I reside in the community of Crestline in the San Bernardino Mountains. Here our winters can be harsh and devastating. Personally I have experienced countless power outages, some lasting nearly a week. During those times the ability to continue to cook utilizing my natural gas stove. Not all homes in the area have a wood burning fireplace, therefore, in the event of a major storm (think the storm just recently in 2023) where we are likely to lose power deaths will occur due to freezing temperatures and lack of access to warming facilities. There are also the instances in which Southern

California Edison cuts power to the entire community regularly during fire season when high winds exist (again sometimes for days at a time). The inability to cook, warm ourselves or our water for days and weeks at a time will cause a quality of life hardship for most of the community. I urge you to reconsider the proposed amendments, and reconstruct them to include allowances for homes in areas highly impacted by severe weather and fire danger, as electricity is not a reliable source of fuel for us. Communities at elevation will suffer greatly, as will the tourism industry which is supported by the former. Respectfully, Brianna L. Rowlen

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:31 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Carlo Capomazza

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

Dear SCAQMD Board Members, I am writing to formally express my opposition to the proposed rule banning natural gas heaters and water heaters beginning in 2026 for homes and buildings in Southern California. While environmental initiatives are essential, this regulation presents an unfair financial burden on homeowners and renters that must be reconsidered. The estimated retrofitting costs—up to \*\*\$47,800 for a single-family home\*\* and \*\*\$40,100 for a rental unit\*\*—are simply unrealistic for the vast majority of Southern California residents.

Additionally, the \*\*\$1,510 in fees\*\* imposed on those who wish to continue using gas appliances creates further economic hardship. Such drastic financial demands could force families and businesses into untenable situations, disrupting livelihoods

and housing stability at a time when economic conditions remain precarious. The transition to alternative energy sources should be feasible, fair, and \*\*supported by incentives or assistance programs\*\*, rather than mandated through costly requirements that disproportionately affect working-class residents. I urge the SCAQMD to reconsider this regulation and seek alternative solutions that prioritize cleaner air \*\*without forcing homeowners and landlords into financial distress\*\*. There are viable ways to \*\*encourage cleaner energy adoption without imposing undue hardship\*\*—including phased implementation, tax credits, subsidies, and more accessible financing options. Instead of enforcing financial penalties, the SCAQMD should focus on \*\*collaborative solutions\*\* that help residents transition smoothly and affordably. I strongly urge the board to reject this rule or, at the very least, amend it to provide realistic compliance measures that balance environmental goals with financial sustainability for homeowners, renters, and property owners. Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to seeing the district pursue \*\*more equitable solutions\*\* that respect both the environment and the economic realities of Southern California's residents.

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 9:33 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Cassandra Barrows

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

I DO NOT WANT ALL ELECTRIC. QUIT MESSING WITH WHAT WORKS!!!!!!!! :(:(

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 12:23 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Cynthia Underwood

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

This is a violation of our rights to choose! This foolishness must stop now!

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 10:03 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: David Parikh

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

Hi, Please forward this to the appropriate person: The ban on gas appliances would cause great hardships. In my building we do not have enough electrical power to run electric water heaters, wall heater, electric stoves at the same time. When a space heater is plugged in, it blows the breaker. The other units will have to make some sort of schedule so we cannot have heat and cook dinner at the same time... Please don't ban gas appliances for existing buildings that were designed to run on gas.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:54 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Debra Gervais

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

We strongly oppose the SCAQMD proposals to amend 1111 and 1121. This would wreak economic havoc in the San Bernardino mountains, where we live. We are retired, and our home is nearly 100 years old. We do not have 240 service at our home. We have many days of no electrical service and it is only due to our gas heaters and water heaters and stove that we are able to manage. Please do not approve these amendments. This will cost us, and our neighbors, tens of thousands of dollars that none of us can afford.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:48 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Dennis Kilbourn

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

The cost benefit analysis is faulty. It assumes too much. It assumes s replacement cost that cannot be guaranteed. It assumes that electrical rated will stay the same or will go down resulting in costs in replacement cost being meet to offset finance cost. Experience that in the real world this is seldom the case. Further the interest rate assumed is totally impractical. Again the real world interest rates are frequently much higher. I'm hedging the sudden impact of having to replace a major appliance with home warranty insurance. While it will cover current items with like kind it will not cover and of the costs associated with government mandated upgrades. I recently had experience with this situation causing significant or of pocket expense. I'm a retiree living on practically fixed income with regulatory costs

significantly inflating day to day costs. Under no circumstances be passed. Air quality or and associated health benefit is equally dubious. The only benefit will be to manufacturers of replacement items that will increase demand especially in the short run. Again no to any changes.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:03 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Dennis Nymeyer

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

I am opposed to 1111 and 1121. It would create a tremendous burden on California home owners. Please vote against each of these. Respectfully, Dennis Nymeyer

From: South Coast AQMD

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:57 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Donald Sprinkel

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

I am a 100% disabled Vietnam Veteran and have to deal with multiple health issues from my service in Vietnam. I also have age related (75 years old) diseases to contend with as well. I was a licensed and State certified advanced emissions specialist involved in TEST and REPAIR of all vehicles for the AQMD. I do not believe the actions you are intending to enact does as much good for the populace in terms of efficiency and cost savings to the individuals impacted by these Proposed Amended Rule 1111 and Proposed Amended Rule 1121. How can one balance a project of such disruption to the electrical grid weaknesses. We are not at a point to sufficiently supply our basic electrical needs let alone add more stress to the overloaded system as it stands. Yes, we should look and concern ourselves of lessening air pollution but

we should also consider the pollutants that are air born from a country such as China. Have there been exhaustive studies to quantify that the pollutants China (CCP) expels from the bowels of their industrial waste, do not add to the results their gross pollution's of our atmosphere.? They, the CCP, opens coal fired power plants at the rate of approximately 1 per week! That air and particulate pollution is what China shares with us via the jet stream. Think of Mt. Saint Helen's, that volcanic eruption was detected across our nation from west coast to the east coast and beyond. The tsunami of Japan, that devastated their nuclear reactor, demonstrated how radioactivity particulates travel over the vastness of the pacific ocean. If we need the most recent example of air pollutant transfer then we need to look no further than to the Canadian wildfires across their nation! I ask you to please reconsider your position on these amendments and put your efforts to keeping alive what electricity we have and using our scientific endeavors to improve on the immediate needs. The needs of California residents and entrepreneurs are already stretched to the limits. I thank you for your time and consideration, Sincerely, Donald Sprinkel Hemet, California

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:45 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Doree Morgan

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

My family opposes the SCAQMD's efforts to amend Rules 1111 and 1121. These changes would force residents and businesses in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties to shift from natural gas to all-electric furnaces and water heaters. These efforts would cause unnecessary financial hardships to homeowners, renters and business owners, and may not improve air quality. Wealthy Individuals would be allowed to pay a fine and be allowed to continue using gas and this exposes the hypocrisy of these efforts. Stop this financially destructive modification. Thank you. Doree Morgan

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 7:58 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Elissa Castro

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

I am a college student that has seen an increase in her rent for the past 3 years. I am not able to afford the already rising costs. Being an American citizen, who is already at imminent risk of homelessness, that was born in rancho mirage California, I find it extremely disappointing, really heart breaking to see that I am being priced out of my home. I am one of the many inhabitants of Southern California that are suffering from leader making decisions without concerns for their populations. I ask that the individuals voting will turn their attention to improving current homes, adding affordable home and not passing laws with no plan of supporting a change they want to be enforced.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:33 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Frank Virgallito

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

Must go on a state wide ballot! It that correct? What a bizarre idea and a costly one too?

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:34 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: George Seitz

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

Rule amendments 1111 and 1121 should be defeated. The cost of living in California is already too high. Give the people their own choice of gas or electric and lay off this nonsense by Sacramento on what is best for the populace.

#### **Marissa Poon**

From: Michael Krause

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:34 PM **To:** Heather Farr; Marissa Poon

**Cc:** Yanrong Zhu; Jennifer Vinh; Emily Yen; Peter Campbell

**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Oppose Unjustified & Costly SCAQMD Rules 1111 & 1121

Attachments: SCAQMD Comments Opposing Rules 1111 & 1121 123024 042525.pdf

From: JAMES ENSTROM < jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 8:55 AMTo: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>Cc: Loraine Lundquist <ll>undquist@aqmd.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Oppose Unjustified & Costly SCAQMD Rules 1111 & 1121

June 5, 2025

Dear Mr. Krause,

I request the opportunity to speak to you today about the June 6 SCAQMD Board Meeting Agenda Item 28. My opposition to SCAQMD Rules 1111 and 1121 is described in my May 9 email message below to Board Assistant Loraine Lundquist. I have strong evidence that there are NO health benefits associated with these Rules. However, I cannot properly present my evidence because SCAQMD has not had a Health Effects Officer since August 2021.

Thank you for your response.

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH jenstrom@ucla.edu (310) 472-4274

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JAMES ENSTROM < jenstrom@ucla.edu >

Date: Fri, May 9, 2025 at 9:00 AM

Subject: Oppose Unjustified & Costly SCAQMD Rules 1111 & 1121

To: Loraine Lundquist < <a href="mailto:llundquist@aqmd.gov">llundquist@aqmd.gov</a>>

Cc: Loraine L. Lundquist <<u>loraine.lundquist@gmail.com</u>>, Holly Mitchell <<u>hmitchell@aqmd.gov</u>>, Vanessa Delgado <<u>vdelgado@aqmd.gov</u>>, Wayne Nastri <<u>wnastri@aqmd.gov</u>>, SCAQMD Board Clerk

<cob@aqmd.gov>

May 9, 2025

Loraine L. Lundquist, PhD SCAQMD Board Assistant to

Board Member Holly J. Mitchell hmitchell@aqmd.gov llundquist@aqmd.gov loraine.lundquist@gmail.com (818) 488-6204

Re: Oppose SCAQMD Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Dr. Lundquist,

I am writing to register strong opposition to SCAQMD Proposed Rules 1111 and 1121 (<a href="https://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/rules/scaqmd-rule-book/proposed-rules/rule-1111-and-rule-1121">https://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/rules/scaqmd-rule-book/proposed-rules/rule-1111-and-rule-1121</a>). These rules, which require replacement of perfectly good natural gas furnaces and water heaters, are unjustified on a scientific and public health basis. These drastic rules will increase the already high cost of living in Southern California. The South Coast Air Basis (SCAB) is a very healthy region within California and the US. Rules 1111 and 1121 will not lower exposure to NOx, which is already at a safe level in the SCAB. Please read the links below for specific information.

These two SCNG OpEds make strong cases for rejection of Rules 1111 and 1121:

December 27, 2024 OC Register OpEd Opposing Rules 1111 and 1121 <a href="https://www.ocregister.com/2024/12/27/the-governments-new-furnace-and-water-heater-rules-are-coming-for-you/">https://www.ocregister.com/2024/12/27/the-governments-new-furnace-and-water-heater-rules-are-coming-for-you/</a>

April 2, 2025 LA Daily News OpEd Opposing Rules 1111 and 1121 <a href="https://www.dailynews.com/2025/04/02/aidan-chao-every-day-is-april-fools-day-in-the-south-coast/">https://www.dailynews.com/2025/04/02/aidan-chao-every-day-is-april-fools-day-in-the-south-coast/</a>

These two items provided strong evidence that California is overregulated by SCAQMD and CARB:

July 19, 2016 Enstrom Talk to SoCal Business Coalition on Excessive SCAQMD Regulations

http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/SCBCJEEB071916.pdf.

August 16, 2021 Jennifer Hernandez on 'Green Jim Crow' Environmental Regulations in California https://thebreakthrough.org/journal/no-14-summer-2021/green-jim-crow

These two items describe the current Federal Government effort to eliminate excessive regulations:

April 7, 2025 Presidential Memorandum on Repeal of Unlawful Regulations: <a href="https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/04/directing-the-repeal-of-unlawful-regulations/">https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/04/directing-the-repeal-of-unlawful-regulations/</a>

April 30, 2025 Reuters US House Rescinds EPA CA Waiver re NOx Regs: <a href="https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/us-house-votes-rescind-approval-california-heavy-duty-truck-rules-2025-04-30/">https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/us-house-votes-rescind-approval-california-heavy-duty-truck-rules-2025-04-30/</a>

Finally, the 111-page attached PDF contains strong public comments opposing Rules 1111 and 1121.

You must advise the SCAQMD Board, particularly Board Member Mitchell, to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121, which will unjustly harm all SCAB residents, including those in her district. Please contact me in order to discuss the above items. I want you to fully understand the bad science used by SCAQMD.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

James E. Enstrom, PhD (Stanford Physics), MPH (UCLA Epidemiology)
Retired UCLA Research Professor (Epidemiology)
President, Scientific Integrity Institute
Board Member, Los Angeles County Taxpayers Association
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:07 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: James Parnell

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

To whom it may concern, I vehemently oppose the forced conversion of citizens' appliances from natural gas to electric energy. Forcing this change on citizens not only affects them financially but also could be a public safety concern. Medically fragile individuals who depend on a reliable source of energy could be adversely affected when the sole source of energy for their home is cut off. I have seen this happen to people with all electric homes who lose their power and have a medical condition. Their only recourse is to visit the local ER which overwhelms medical and Emergency Response resources. A simple power outage becomes a state of emergency for the entire community. California's power grid simply isn't stable enough to

supply all of that approach.	ne state's energy	needs. Diversi	fication is a far wise	;r

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 10:39 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Janice Cipparrone

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

Requiring residents to switch to all electric appliances is short sighted. Many residents will struggle with affordability in general. Our current electric grid is stressed and many times during the hot Summer months we are told to limit air conditioning temperatures and running time to avoid rolling brown outs. What is the State's plan to upgrade the grid as it, at times, barely manages to function with current demands?

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 11:03 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: John Chalfant

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

These are totally ridiculous proposals which will hurt many individuals. Please please do not allow these proposals to go forward. Seniors cannot afford the increased economic impact! Sincerely, John Chalfant

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:48 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: John Molitor

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

I strongly oppose proposed rules 1111 and 1121. They they will force more costs on home owners and renters. The rules would unnecessarily force use of more electrical appliances at increased cost to individuals both for the appliances and then forever for increased electrical usage. Further, California struggles to produce sufficient electricity already, these additional appliances will put a further strain on the electrical grid. Green electrical production can't keep pace and therefore additional electrical generation plants must be built all at more and more cost to the consumers. These proposed rules do nothing for environment, they will only guaranty higher cost of living to every home owner, small business owner and renters. Please, do

not approve these rules. Respectfully, John Molitor Palm Desert, CA

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 7:32 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Kassidy Nissen

Email:

Phone:

Message:

I strongly oppose this amendment

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:51 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Katie Ringhofer

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

I am strongly opposed to electric appliances and heating/cooling systems. Please do not pass any restrictions or regulations on my personal choice to use gas powered products for myself or my family.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:41 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Kenneth Gunn

Email:

Phone:

# Message:

I'm against forcing us to electric appliances or anything impacting natural gas use. Have we not been informed of China's infiltration of our energy grid and now intel officials indicate that our solar inverters may be compromised? Leave 1111/1121 alone.

From: Kevin Anderson <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 12:17 PM **To:** Peter Campbell; James Ramos

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] AQMD Space and Water Heater Rules

I am against the proposed rules 1111 and 1121. I have never written in opposition to any AQMD rules nor ever written to any legislator in my lifetime. Since the proposed rules in my opinion are so bad for my community and I, I have to take what very little time I have to voice opposition.

As a mechanical engineer, house / infill builder, licensed general contractor, licensed real estate broker and having worked with AQMD in the past regarding permits and rules I am opposed to the subject rules because they take away the technology, nat gas space and water heating, I prefer and that I need to sell my home builds, it's the technology my customers, clients and I want and the benefit of the proposed rules will not be noticeable yet will be costly and are contrary to California's efforts to create more affordable housing.

Thank you,

Kevin J Anderson
BS Mechanical Engineering
MBA
CSLB license 1068305
DRE license 01930187
CEO, Anderson Design & Construction, Inc

Kevin J. Anderson CSLB LIC 1068305 Sent from my iPhone

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 7:35 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Lauren Long

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

I am a resident of San Bernardino County and I vehemently oppose rules 1111 and 1121. These amended rules will cost citizens tens of thousands of dollars- whether they're retrofitting their homes to meet new requirements, or paying steep fines to operate their current appliances. The cost of living in Southern California counties is already too high for an average family- and groceries aren't getting any cheaper. This isn't just about homeowners. Landlords faced with steep rate hikes or retrofitting costs will pass this expense to renters. Small businesses in our region will face higher overhead costs. Public Safety Power Shutoffs pose risks to families relying on electricity. When these shutoffs occur during fire season (sometimes for days), homes that rely on electricity lose access to cooking, heating water, and

in other cases, necessary medical equipment. For any family with children or seniors, this isn't merely an inconvenience. It's dangerous. Edison has already stated that our current power grid is overloaded, making PSPS's necessary. It would be negligent to force this rule, which would only further overload the power grid. These proposed changes are another example in a long list of state agencies gone rouge, enforcing dangerous policy on our citizens, with no regard for their welfare and well being. Do not pass this.

From: South Coast AQMD < > Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:10 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Linda Benson

Email:

**Phone:** 

# Message:

Please be a decent person and don't take away our choice, natural gas should be a choice!!!

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 8:09 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Liz Avalon

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

Turning off the gas is a terrible thing to do to California. It increases the cost of living uneccesarily, will reduce low income homeowners to 3rd world lifestyles, and can be life-threatening during winter for people living mountain communities. In my community of 10,000 people in Crestline, CA, our electric power supply is unpredictable and most houses lose electricity for 5-10 days each year due to winter storms and safety shutoffs during wind events. These electrical grid failures usually happen when the weather is below freezing. Without gas appliances, residents would be left in the cold, possibly snowed-in, for days with no way to heat their homes, cook food, or obtain hot water. Some will use gas powered generators (a pollution source far dirtier than natural gas) while others will heat food and water with coleman stoves,

creating dangerous carbon monoxide situations indoors. In 2023, our community had 8 feet of snow fall, with roads closed for 2 weeks. During this declared matural disaster, natural gas appliances were the difference between comfort and being reduced to living in sub-third-world conditions. Gas heating is affordable and the infrastructure is in place. The cost in resources, material, and sheer waste of unnecessary replacing long lasting functional gas systems with electrical appliances that are designed for planned obsolescence is astronomical, and a burden on the citizens who can least afford it. We can look to examles in Europe where short-sighted climate policy has resulted in political and economic instability. Our electric grid is not sufficient for the use that this will create. Additionally, removing the redundancy of having multiple types of energy available makes our population more vulnerable to systemwide power failures - whether the outages are caused by overuse, incompetence, ot terrorist attacks on the power supply. I am begging you. Do the common sense thing and keep the natural gas infrastructure intact and the consumer choice to use gas appliances available. Any other decision will result in unnecessary government-caused environmental catastrophe, unnecessary government-caused poverty, and unnecessary government-caused vulnerability.

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:01 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Mary Dydo

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

South Coast AQMD: Please do not pass measures 1111 and 1121. As a consumer and resident in the San Bernardino Mountains, passing these measures would cause an extreme hardship to residents. San Bernardino Mountains are home to tens of thousands of residents that have come up to the mountains to find affordable housing. Requiring them to purchase all-electric appliances would be an expense that many could not afford. The mountain region is known for LONG electric outages. Electricity being out for 5 days or longer is not uncommon. People would have no heat, no way to cook food and access to emergency medical care would be limited. People will die in unheated houses. Please do not pass these measures. Sincerely, Mary Dydo

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 10:48 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

#### **Contact Form**

Name: Matt LeVesque

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

Are you out of your alledged minds? These proposed regulations will result in huge financial burdens to everyone. On the other hand, between these stupid, arogant, moves and our impending gasloine shortages, maybe people will finally wake up and shut down all these commissions that operate in the clouds.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 12:48 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

#### **Contact Form**

Name: Michelle Ronia

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I am writing this email to say that I am vehemently opposed to the SCAQMD's move to amend Rules 1111 and 1121. These amendments do not factor in the the MASSIVE cost to the home owners and taxpayers in the affected areas. One of the primary reasons that people are fleeing the state is because it has become unaffordable to live here. Passing regulations like this without considering how it will affect the lives of average individuals is not only callus but lacks foresight because the increased cost of living actually continues to chase more and more people out of the state. If we are required to switch from natural gas to electric appliances it will cost homeowners and landlords. Replacing gas systems with electric ones could run \$47,800 for a single-family home, or \$40,100 for a multi-family rental unit. That is CRAZY!

Additionally, we already have SKY HIGH electric prices AND our state does not currently have the infrastructure to support the electric grid as it stands now. Each summer we experience rolling blackouts and fires caused by aging electrical lines. Our government has been put in place to serve it's citizens NOT the other way around. By changing these regulations you are only adding hardship to working class families and while continuing to ruin the environment.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:05 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

### **Contact Form**

Name: Pat Hinshaw

Email:

Phone:

## Message:

Please stop the proposed amended rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. People can not afford these changes but more importantly we like a choice in how we power the appliances in our homes. NO on this issue.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:59 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

#### **Contact Form**

Name: Sarah Brennan

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I li e in a rural mountain community and our home relys on split electric and gas. We cannot survive on electric alone. Our heater, water heater and gas stove have kept my family alive during a blizzard and weeks with no power. Others with sole electric died during that blizzard. California does not have the grid infrastructure to handle forcing everything into electric. Solar storms, attack or even just weather could cripple our state. Please do not make this grievous decision to bankrupt and possibly kill Californians.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 10:47 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Stephanie Wilson

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I live on Social Security. I cannot afford to retrofit my house to all electric. Please do not place this burden on seniors and others who can't afford it.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 12:28 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

### **Contact Form**

Name: Teresa Barrett

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

Please don't add more onto the backs of Californian's. Realize that most of us are barely holding on with the rising cost of everything in the state—from gas to groceries. I make a fair wage, but every month I have to choose between paying a bill or eating.

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:44 AM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

#### **Contact Form**

Name: Tessa Dick

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I cannot change from natural gas to all electric. I live in the mountains. It snows in the winter, and electric power fails in the winter. People have already died in these communities due to freezing temperatures and failed electrical power. Furthermore, my entire house would have to be rewired, and all my appliances would have to be replaced. Om top of that, current electric appliance technology provides inefficient heating of both the house and the water for washing dishes, showers and bathing. The monthly electric bill could easily be more than my house payment.

From: South Coast AQMD <

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 11:21 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

### **Contact Form**

**Name: Thomas Duff** 

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

Please do not implement the proposed changes to require residents to shift to electric for heating ,and cooking . This would be a terrible burden for anyone retired like myself or working. I really don't believe the electrical grid could support all this load. Thank you , Tom Duff

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:12 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

Name: Traci Terpstra

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I am writing to you about the proposed rules 1111 and 1121 that the South Coast AQMD is considering. This change would be just another burden on lower and middle income Californians, with minimal benefit towards the goal of cleaner air. The cost of installing electric furnaces and water heaters, including upgrading the voltage and wiring in a residence, as well as paying a qualified electrician to do the work would be cost-prohibitive for many families. Additionally, the increase in electric bills, which are already very high (relative to natural gas) would create a further economic burden. To top it off, these appliances would then create further strain on our already fragile electric grid, particularly during the summer months. Furthermore, during high wind events, the electric utilities often cut off power, which would

mean residents would not have access to heat or hot water, which is a livability issue. I respectfully request that you reconsider this proposal and its possibly severe consequences for ordinary Californians. Sincerely, Traci Terpstra

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:59 AM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Tracy Sisco

Email:

Phone:

Message:

Please to not support 1111 and 1112

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:36 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

#### **Contact Form**

Name: Trina Head

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

I do not support amendments to Rules 1111 and 1121. Please stop taking away our choices and forcing residents to conform.

California has already made changes to improve air quality and is doing better than other states and some countries. Expensive and unnecessary regulations like these are driving hard-working, long-time residents or native residents out of California and to other states. California already has the highest number of regulations. Stop and breathe the fresh air; help save the residents from future costly mandates.

From: South Coast AQMD

**Sent:** Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:33 PM

To: Peter Campbell Subject: Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Victor Jimenez

Email:

Phone:

### Message:

To the South Coast AQMD Clerk of the Boards, I am submitting this written comment to formally oppose the proposed amendments to Rule 1111 and Rule 1121 regarding natural gasfired furnaces and water heaters. As a longtime Southern California resident, I believe the current use of natural gas appliances remains an efficient, reliable, and cost-effective solution for many homes. These changes, while rooted in environmental goals, may unintentionally create new burdens for households like mine—through higher costs, installation challenges, and limited alternatives that may not perform as effectively. I strongly believe in clean air and responsible energy use. However, any transition to newer technologies should happen gradually, with realistic timelines and real-world

considerations—not mandates	s that disrupt well-functioning
systems already in place. For t	hese reasons, I respectfully oppose
the adoption of the proposed a	mendments to Rules 1111 and 1121
and urge the Board to maintain	n flexibility for residents and
consumers. Respectfully, Vict	or Jimenez
Beaumont, CA 92223 Cell:	Email:

From: South Coast AQMD <

Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:02 PM

To:Peter CampbellSubject:Contact Form

# **Contact Form**

Name: Virginia Lopez

Email:

Phone:

Message:

Please vote NO on the ban of natural gas.