

Jennifer Vinh

From: AACCENTDESIGN1 [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 4:02 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Cc: assemblymember.dixon@assembly.ca.gov; AACCENTDESIGN1
Subject: [EXTERNAL] South Coast AQMD needs to DROP THE unnecessary NEW DRAFT RULES 1111 & 1121

TO: Jennifer Vinh: jvinh@aqmd.gov

cc: Diane Dixon, Assemblywoman District 72: assemblymember.dixon@assembly.ca.gov

in re: OPPOSE – Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 - as released February 28

Per current data on “**existing AGING” ELECTRIC LINES** a.k.a **SoCal Cities’INFRASTRUCTURE** that clearly “**ignited**” the MOST RECENT Los Angeles area HORRIFIC FIRES, **substantial concerns EXIST** about the **ELECTRICAL GRID’S CAPACITY** to handle **MASSIVELY MORE & increased electricity DEMANDS** **that would result FROM** WIDESPREAD ELECTRIFICATION (from South Coast Air Quality Management District (SoCoast AQMD) **implementation of Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 MANDATING** ALL ELECTRIC Home Heating and **1121 MANDATING** ALL ELECTRIC Water Heaters), not to mention **NATURAL GAS’S CURRENT ROLE** as A “CRITICAL RESOURCE for **energy reliability” DURING FIRES, EMERGENCIES** and **EACH TIME THE “grid is shut down”** DUE TO HIGH WINDS / fire concerns, frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015.

FACT: Electric power lines HAVE CAUSED SIX of the 20 most devastating wildfires in SoCalifornia.

Then there is the matter of **EACH city’s ability TO RETROFIT EXISTING BUILDINGS** and the associated costs **ADVERSELY IMPACTING & ROBBING \$\$\$’s FROM their budgets for basic SERVICES LIKE fire, police, road repairs/maintenance, etc.**

IN A PERFECT WORLD with an ENDLESS MONEY SUPPLY FOR ALL, **residential fan-type central furnaces** emitting NOx **GETTING PHASED OUT & GETTING RETROFITTED** into existing buildings by Jan. 1, 2029 would not be a problem.

HOWEVER, given the fact that **HOUSING PURCHASE-PRICES** (the American dream) & RENTS IN CALIFORNIA **are at an ALL-TIME HIGH** (ie: **unaffordable**), and RECORD NUMBERS of people have MOVED TO OTHER STATES for “financial reasons”, **these 2 NEW “MANDATES”** **would INCENTIVIZE MORE PEOPLE & BUSINESSES to sell & move out of state** as well, **GIVEN THE “forced retrofitting” of fan-type central furnaces** emitting NOx **IN EXISTING homes, condos, businesses and Mobile homes**, NOT TO MENTION an angst of POTENTIALLY new rules ELIMINATING gas-cook tops, BACK-YARD built-in gas B-B-Q’s & restaurant cuisine expertise! **THERE IS NO SUBSTITUTE FOR FLAME-BROILED FOOD taste!**

Clearly “zero-emissions” ADVERSE FINANCIAL EFFECTS have NOT BEEN thought through... not to mention HOW IT WOULD also negatively affect lower-income families, recent graduates trying to move out of parents’ places, AND the millions of SENIOR CITIZEN *DEL-WEB* style “home-condo owners” on very limited incomes, struggling to keep up with ever-increasing BASIC COSTS OF LIVING. Certainly they would STRONGLY CONSIDER and, in fact, be moving to Arizona or Nevada before 2029!

South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast AQMD) needs to DROP THESE 2 unnecessary NEW DRAFT RULES 1111 & 1121: *they are massive NEW BURDENS that cannot JUSTIFY and/or cannot SATISFY the UNANSWERED QUESTIONS ABOUT SoCal’s “infrastructure INCREASED ELECTRICAL-USE burden”, “forced financial” BURDEN on taxpayers & cities... and businesses that will UNDERSTANDABLY close & leave the state!*

CONCERNED CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA

PS Are you aware of the 1,000’s of TANKLESS WATER HEATERS currently in use **THAT USE A GAS** “on demand” **pilot light**? Why would ANYONE IN THEIR RIGHT MIND FORCE an already “efficient & **COMPLIANT” system** **TO BE REPLACED?**

Jennifer Vinh

From: allen bucy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 9:19 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
allen bucy

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92503
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Antoniette Galvez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 10:27 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Antoniette Galvez
[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92504
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Betty Robinson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:24 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] OPPOSE Rules 1111 and 1121

SCAQMD Governing Board Member Jennifer Vinh,

I urge you to oppose Rules 111 and 1121.

The initial cost of appliances, retrofits, and infrastructure upgrades will be a great financial burden for homeowners, businesses, and possibly renters, many of whom are financially stressed.

It is incomprehensible that government entities are encouraging/requiring the increased use of the ever escalating cost of electricity (appliances/electric vehicles) while black outs have occurred and we are instructed to use less electricity. This situation exemplifies the government's lack of concern for the general public!

Please oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Respectfully,
Betty Robinson
Tustin, CA 92780

Jennifer Vinh

From: Beverly Baker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 8:14 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Beverly Baker

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92506
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Bob Mason <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 3:01 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Do Not Require All Electric Appliances

Greetings,

I am a homeowner in Redlands, CA. I am **not** in favor of AQMD requiring that all homeowners be required to install all electric appliances and/or be required to pay extra if they currently do not have all electric appliances.

I cannot afford extra fees.

I also cannot afford to do the necessary electrical panel, wiring, and breaker upgrades that would be required to have the infrastructure to have all electric appliances.

I cannot afford the costs of buying and installing new electric appliances such as electric hot water heater, electric central heating, electric stove top, etc.

I urge you to not make rules that would require me to do this or pay extra for not having all electric appliances or require that any future replacement appliances be electric and thus be required to change my electric infrastructure.

I appreciate reasonable voluntary efforts that help the environment. In fact, I recently installed solar at my home. However, my system will not support additional electric appliances.

Thank you for considering this. I look forward to your reply.

Bob Mason
[REDACTED]
Redlands, CA

Jennifer Vinh

From: Carla Mettrick <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 6:26 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carla Mettrick

[REDACTED]
Pinon Hills, CA 92372
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: CRAIG FROMM <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 11:33 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Don't Make Me Go Full Electric

Dear AQMD Official:

Please do not pursue or adopt Rules 1111 & 1121.

This action will hurt me financially because I can't afford to upgrade my house. I'm a senior citizen on a limited income and having to spend to upgrade my appliances and electrical system would be a financial nightmare. I'd have to move somewhere affordable to live, not CA.

Further, how is all the electricity going to be supplied to everyone at a cost-effective rate? There will be a rich demand for electricity as we are forced to get an electric car, too. The current state of affairs in CA is becoming more cost prohibitive for the average law-abiding citizen and taxpayer; only wealthy citizens will prevail and get by.

I do all the things to conserve energy and water. For example, lower thermostat in the winter, higher thermostat in the summer. Only use major appliances during the day. Please give us a break and stop trying to tax us so much. These proposed AQMD rules are a major tax on the average citizen.

Thank you and have a nice day.

Craig Fromm

[REDACTED]
Santa Ana, CA 92705

Jennifer Vinh

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 6:02 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

AQMD's mandate is to protect public health by reducing air pollution through PRACTICAL and innovative strategies. As a lifelong, practicing chemical engineer, I have more than a passing understanding of air pollution and the impact of gas burning appliances versus the alternative of electric appliances.

In my opinion, restricting or making gas appliances uneconomic by applying fees represents a tremendous cost for the consumer and is neither practical or innovative. Gas stoves are an important appliance for many of us, and gas heating is comfortable and very economic.

Contrast our current use of gas in heating and cooking with the risks the state is taking as it rushes into an all electric future.

I feel very strongly that 1111 and 1121 are not consumer friendly or practical.

As AQMD debates these rules and the rules come up for a vote, please side with the residents and allow us the freedom to choose for ourselves.

Best Regards,

David and Eleanore Cassidy
[REDACTED]
Corona del Mar, CA 92625

Jennifer Vinh

From: David Lacey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 11:45 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Rules1111 and 1121

To whom it may concern:

When my wife and I were in our early 20's, we purchased the house we still live in. It was advertised as clean energy "Gold Medallion" all electric house. Within two months of living there we found out how expensive an "all electric" home was to live in. We had to cut back on our expenses to be able to afford to pay our electric bill. Finally, in 1977, I called the gas company and had a gas line put into the house and installed a gas hot water heater. Our electric bill was immediately cut nearly in half and the cost for the gas to run the hot water heater was negligible in comparison. During the remodeling of our home in the early 1990's, and in order save more money on our electric bill, we installed gas forced air heaters and a gas cook top which reduced our electric bill even further.

I am writing this letter because I believe no one at the AQMD understands the cost of mandating elimination of all gas appliances and using electric appliances instead. Your mandate will cost Californian's lots of money and will lead to increased rents and will result in more homelessness. Additionally, because there are times, especially in the summertime, when electricity seems to be in short supply, we will need more electric generation than is currently available. When will this additional electrical generation be available?

My recommendation would be not to require replacement of gas appliances until the property owner decides the existing gas appliances need to be replaced because of age or the gas appliances have become inoperable and cannot be repaired. I recommend that the replacement of gas appliances be limited to those that have reasonable reduced emissions of harmful products. These recommendations would limit the financial burden on ordinary Californians from both the cost increase in their electric bills and cost of new appliances.

Sincerely,

David L. Lacey
[REDACTED]
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Cc: Senator Ben Allen , California Senate District 24.
Assembly Member Al Muratsuchi, Assembly District 66

Sent from [Outlook](#)

Jennifer Vinh

From: Edmond Nahhas <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:03 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edmond Nahhas

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92503
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Fred Lambert <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 7:58 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Fred Lambert
[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92508
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Gaylene Panayotaros <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 9:37 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gaylene Panayotaros
[REDACTED]
Manhattan beach, CA 90266
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Gerardo Michel <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 2:22 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
Gerardo Michel
[REDACTED]
Chino, CA 91710
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Hachaelie Walker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:10 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Hachaelie Walker
[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92503
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Ira Sigal <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 2:18 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ira Sigal

[REDACTED]
San Diego, CA 92119
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 25, 2025 7:35 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Ivor Poyedis

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

**STOP THIS OVER REGULATION, YOU ARE HURTING THE PEOPLE ,
PLEASE STOP WAGES ARE LOW AND PRICES ARE HIGH.
CALIFORNIA HATES THEIR CONSTITUENTS**

Jennifer Vinh

From: JasonTAdam <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 5:17 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] AQMD Rules 1111 & 1121

My zip code is 92840. Please do not pass or enact these rules. They are clearly not good for California residents.

Jennifer Vinh

From: Javier Fabela <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:18 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Javier Fabela

[REDACTED]
Paramount, CA 90723
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Jessica Siderius <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 12:13 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
Jessica Siderius

[REDACTED]
Lake Forest, CA 92630
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 9:46 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Oppose rule 1111 and 1121

I strongly OPPOSE the adoption of rules 1111 and 1121! Please do not adopt these rules as they will impose a severe financial burden on homeowners in Calif especially those of us on fixed incomes. These rules are totally unnecessary and costly!

STOP THIS NONSENSE!!

Jim Richert
[REDACTED]
Lake Forest, CA 92630

Jennifer Vinh

From: Joe Knobloch <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:28 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
Joe Knobloch
[REDACTED]
Redlands, CA 92373
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:41 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Jonathan Card

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

Somebody in this “Golden” State of California, had better take a good look at whats going on in Sacramento. Its beginning to feel like all anyone does there, is figure out more ways to take another bite out of my assets. Its REALLY becoming ridiculous. Thats from a person who can afford to live here, but think of how stressed someone would be who is barely getting by and with inflation now what it is, you wish to add additional dees or costs on to the purchase of a water heater, that WILL be passed on to the consumer. You in Sacramento SUCK! Youre just like everyone else in politics, even though you are necessarily a political figure. Did anyone notice the myriad people listed who work just for the AQMD? My Gawd, its nearly the size of a decent size corporation. TOO MANY PEOPLE are getting paid for too little work, and the

increasing costs you wish to saddle we consumers with, you know will be used to pay for salaries for all of you! I'll remind you now, because We The People, wont take it much longer, but something IS going to change. Remember the Boston Tea Party? Keep taxing us and you will be reliving it!

Jennifer Vinh

From: Josephine Taylor <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 3:48 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re rules 1111 & 1121

Please reconsider this as it will make homeownership more expensive
I as a homeowner should have the right to choose without the burden of a penalty

Sent from my iPhone
Josie Taylor, Realtor BRE 00866142
[REDACTED]
Homeowner, business owner, Landlord.

Jennifer Vinh

From: Karen Myers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 3:09 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Karen Myers

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92504
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Katherine Johansen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 11:57 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
Katherine Johansen

[REDACTED]
Tustin, CA 92780
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Kelly Kieswetter <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 7:34 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kelly Kieswetter

[REDACTED]
Mentone, CA 92359
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Kendra Lohr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 7:12 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kendra Lohr
[REDACTED]
Newport Beach, CA 92663
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Lane Perry <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 2:05 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Lane Perry

[REDACTED]
Los Alamitos, CA 90720
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Laurie Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 9:29 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] No to all electric everything

To Air District Leadership,

Please do not force us to buy all-electric water heaters, furnaces, ranges or other like products. While this will have a minimal effect on climate change, it can have a big effect on people's budgets and will clearly hurt those at the lower end of the income scale much more than those at the higher end as all of these mandates do. In addition, where are we going to get all this electric power? We already have issues with sufficient power at peak times and the electric car mandate is going to exacerbate this issue. Very little new power generation is being built (or even being allowed to be built) and solar and wind will not be able to meet all of the demand. Finally, we are not looking to the government or independent regulatory bodies to tell us how to live in every aspect of our lives. We live in a freer country than that and our freedom is one of the key differentiators of living here vs more authoritative societies. Gas powered appliances are efficient and inexpensive to run. Many of us enjoy cooking and find gas to be a superior way to do that. Please do not burden us with this cost for such a small benefit and frankly, please let us do some thinking and make some decisions for ourselves.

Sincerely,
Laurie Allen

Jennifer Vinh

From: Maria Fabela <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:18 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Maria Fabela

[REDACTED]
Paramount, CA 90723
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Martha Aarons <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 5:57 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Martha Aarons

[REDACTED]
Riverside, CA 92503
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Mary Carrillo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 11:18 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Mary Carrillo

[REDACTED]
Chino Hills, CA 91709
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Maryellen Torre <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 3:16 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maryellen Torre

[REDACTED]
Huntington Beach, CA 92648
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 8:44 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Michael Howard

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

Please keep natural gas prices reasonably affordable.

Jennifer Vinh

From: michael prodanov <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:28 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
michael prodanov
[REDACTED]
Palm Desert, CA 92211
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Orbo Ilwi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 2:40 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Orbo Ilwi

[REDACTED]
Canoga Park, CA 91313
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Rebecca Grimm <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 12:37 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Rebecca Grimm
[REDACTED]
La Quinta, CA 92253
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 8:12 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Robert Estopinal

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

I am against this measure. It will cause me unnecessary financial harm.

Jennifer Vinh

From: Ron Hoffer (Business) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 11:18 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment on South Coast AQMD Proposed Amended Rule 1111 and Proposed Amended Rule 1121

To whom it may concern:

These continuous attacks on natural gas usage are ridiculous and very expensive for homeowners and do nothing to “save the planet” or fix pollution levels.

Pollution levels naturally occur when many humans reside near each other. That’s a fact and anyone complaining needs to move somewhere with less density.

I recently had to replace my aged air conditioner with a heat pump and I’m pissed. It’s louder than the old furnace / AC/DC COMBO, much more expensive, and won’t last as long. My electrical bills are significantly higher during the winter and I don’t yet know about summer costs - I normally turn on the A/C only a few weeks in the summer.

No one tells you the heat pump unit replacing the old compressor has to always run to produce heat, much less to cool the house. That means it’ll last half the time of the compressor. Did I mention these units are much more expensive, just because Sacramento thought they’re fixing the environment?

These proposed changes will make all appliances more expensive, harm consumers, and not fix the environment.

Ron Hoffer
Orange, CA

Sent from [Proton Mail](#) for iOS

Jennifer Vinh

From: Sharon Trucker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2025 10:15 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] opposition to rules 111 and 1112

I am vehemently opposed to rules 111 and 1112 mandating all electric appliances or paying extra to keep our gas appliances .Californians are already facing economic challenges with some of the countries highest tax rates ,housing ,food and gas costs. The electric infrastructure for all electric appliances is also sorely lacking as evidenced by frequent outages. There is no way the electric grid can support this proposal.

Please share this email with the individual board members .

Vote NO on Rules 1111 and 1112

Jennifer Vinh

From: Susan Zurawik <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 9:31 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Susan Zurawik
[REDACTED]
Norco, CA 92860
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Tami Vivanco <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 8:24 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Tami Vivanco

[REDACTED]
Eastvale, CA 92880
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Thomas Hohmann <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, as released on February 28, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
Thomas Hohmann
[REDACTED]
Jurupa Valley, CA 92509
[REDACTED]

Jennifer Vinh

From: Veronica Nacar <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, April 27, 2025 6:22 PM
To: Jennifer Vinh
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Urgent: Oppose Costly Rules 1111 & 1121 – Protect Homeowners & Renters!

Dear Jen Vinh,

I am writing as a South Coast Air Basin resident in strong opposition to AQMD Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121. These rules directly affect homeowners and renters struggling in this economy, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed Rules 1111 and 1121, we will still be stuck with the higher price tag—forcing people like me to bear the brunt of manufacturer fees or the enormous costs of going electric.

Additionally, upgrading homes with new electric appliances and wiring is a very costly process, which I believe can exceed \$30,000 per unit. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases. At a time when housing is becoming unaffordable, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and property owners alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

You are making residents decide between: 1) installing a more costly all-electric solution, or 2) replacing their current gas water heater with a similar clean-energy option that comes with significant fees!

Beyond the higher purchase cost, consumers will also need to secure permits if they choose the electric route, which could mean waiting for months without hot water or having to rent a temporary heat pump in the meantime. These rules apply not only to water heaters but also to space heating.

Finally, I know my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. You can do better.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Rules 1111 & 1121.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Veronica Nacar

[REDACTED]
Paramount, CA 90723
[REDACTED]