

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Alice Elderkin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2025 1:49 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

On behalf of multifamily property owners like myself, I write to express our opposition to Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121. Our opposition is reflective of the revised language publicly noticed on April 29, 2025, and discussed at subsequent hearings.

While the latest amendments attempt to move in the right direction, they fail to address many of the fundamental concerns we have with the proposed amendments.

SCAQMD Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 place higher costs on consumers and businesses. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

These amended rules will burden consumers with over \$300 million annually or \$7.7 billion over the 25-year life of these appliances. With California's soaring cost of living and many consumers struggling to keep up, now is not the time to impose additional costs on consumers.

A better approach to reducing NOx emissions would be to impose ultra-low NOx emission standards to make natural gas appliances even more environmentally friendly at no additional cost to consumers.

Beyond the initial cost of the appliances, the amendments do not account for the substantial retrofit and infrastructure upgrade expenses that owners of older homes will have to bear to accommodate the new technology. These costs could amount to tens of thousands of dollars, placing an undue financial burden on homeowners, renters, and business owners, many of whom can least afford it.

Additionally, we are deeply concerned about the increased strain these rules will place on an already aging electrical grid. This grid not only relies on nonrenewable sources, such as natural gas, to generate power, but is also ill-equipped to handle excessive new demand, posing a significant public safety risk. Residents have already endured frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015, electric power lines have caused six of the 20 most devastating wildfires in our community. Furthermore, numerous residential and commercial projects are currently on hold due to a lack of available power.

Many Southern California families are already struggling with high living costs, and mandating costly retrofits or replacements will only exacerbate financial hardships. These rules disproportionately impact lower-income households, which are the least able to afford such expenses.

While we understand and support the Air District's efforts to improve air quality, the proposed amendments would impose an undue and significant burden on homeowners, renters, and small businesses.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We respectfully urge the Board to oppose Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 and explore alternative approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic feasibility for all residents.

Sincerely,  
Alice Elderkin  
ALANN Properties, Inc

## Marissa Poon

---

**From:** Heather Farr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:03 AM  
**To:** Marissa Poon; Yanrong Zhu; Emily Yen; Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen Puig <allen@alpscomfortair.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:09 AM  
To: Heather Farr <Hfarr@aqmd.gov>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Allen Puig



Mission Viejo, CA 92691  
allen@alpscomfortair.com

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Andrew Pease <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2025 5:20 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rule amendments 1111 & 1121

Good evening,

I am submitting this email opposing the rule changes. These rule changes are based on lies about emissions from natural gas appliances. I am asking you to vote no on these rules changes and on all future bills forcing hard working Americans to spend more money needlessly.

Thank you.  
Sent from my iPhone



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2025 1:19 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** armando

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Message:**

i am against this proposal and elimanate natural gas. How can my voice be heard?

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 4:33 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Arthur Malfitano

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Hello, It is commendable to want to address climate change by promoting appliances which will mitigate fossil fuel combustion byproducts. However, the proposed rule changes will require consumers to rely on a costly electric service. One which is undercapitalized and prone to blackouts due to insufficient capacity in the summer months or pre-emptive shutdowns due to fire risk. The upfront costs are considerable for those consumers whose residences are not compliant with the necessary infrastructure. Please take this into consideration when debating the proposed changes.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:17 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Betty Finigan

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Totally against forcing citizens to eliminate using gas appliances.  
Let's use common sense here and stop this plan.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 28, 2025 11:55 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Bob Andersen

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I am adamantly against proposed rule changes 1111 and 1121. The State of California already knows that there is not enough energy to power our current electrical grid into the future let alone forcing us to switch from gas water heaters and gas heating systems to expensive electrical systems. If you want to seriously control air pollution, start with the pollutants that are emitted by geoengineering jets spraying noxious chemicals into the air that AQMD does not (allegedly) have jurisdiction over. The NOx emissions that would be saved is minor and the financial cost of switch over is a catastrophic cost to the residents of Southern California. If you do this, the price of homes will be unfairly increased at a time the State claims we have a housing shortage. If we have a housing shortage, why should the State force

**something that will cause a further financial stress on the homeowners. I cannot begin to express how stupid I think these rule changes are. Please use your authority to tell the State of California that it has gone insane.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:37 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Brent Longbrook

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Shifting to all electric appliances will bankrupt California families and do nothing to help the environment. DO NOT PASS PAR 1111 and 1121.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:02 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Bruce Inman

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Enough is enough with your ridiculous plans. No wonder there's a mass exodus out of California. You think you're saving the planet? Carving to the planet for rare earth minerals for electric cars, how stupid do you think we are? We know about free energy, we know about the hidden technologies such as hydrogen from water using resonance frequencies. Yes, free energy! Why don't you focus on the real threat to this planet called geoengineering? This is just another power grab by you insane lunatics to push humanity further over the edge. Eventually you will hit a wall and we will fight back.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:29 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Cory Scranton

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This proposal outrageous! We do not even have the electric infrastructure structure now to deal with our current situation and you want to burden it even further with no science to back it up. The cost would put a unreasonable burden on millions and drive more hard working tax payers to leave the state.**



## Marissa Poon

---

**From:** Heather Farr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:46 PM  
**To:** Marissa Poon  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Curt Yaeger <curt@yaegerservices.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:04 PM  
To: Heather Farr <Hfarr@aqmd.gov>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Manager of PRDI Farr,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Curt Yaeger



Orange, CA 92869  
curt@yaegerservices.com

## Peter Campbell

---

**From:** Darlene Morris <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:03 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Eliminating natural gas

Why are we banning natural gas??? I vote no. This only allows electric companies to jack their prices. What do we do if the grid goes down and it is cold?? Think of the elderly. They will freeze.  
Stand up against this ban!!!

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:12 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** David Fischer

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**I strongly oppose proposesion PAr 1111 and 1121 ( the foreced mandotory conversation of gas powered furnances and water heaters to to electric powered. If adopted this will put an terrible and totally unnecessary financial burden on both home owners and renters! Especially for those on a fixed income such as myself. This is just another example of unelected California Bureaucrats making disastrous over reaching regulations that punish California residents !**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:32 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** David Kelley

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please explain to me how, when and why California has become the MOST TOTALITARIAN state in the nation? How can you conceivably justify these types of demands and costs on homeowners? To force homeowners, propertyowners to remove natural gas heating devices, after theyve been in use, safely, favorably, for decades, to then be replaced with electric versions, which will not be as efficient, is inconceivable. Youre further adding to the electric grid burden which California is already struggling under, adding further cost to homeowners whom are already struggling with exorbitant costs on all fronts. This totalitarian move is clearly yet another step in subjecting the populace to dictatorial overreach, as these "new" required electric appliances will now be subject to being shut off more frequently**

**at the Socialist states whimsy. It's abundantly clear that the AQMD is a vassal to the WEF, UN and other globalist entities, and if given yet more free reign, you'd suffer the public to nearly any imaginable level of state overreach. Know that if any opportunity to reign in your office is even whispered, that I will contact everyone I know to dissolve your tyranny summarily. This ridiculous bill has NOTHING to do with air quality, but everything to do with destroying personal freedom and wealth. You have earned our undying contempt and hostility, and as voters, we will seek redress.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:58 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Diane Garza

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Enough the this state government. Stop with this nonsense. This plus a .65 cent per gallon increase with no voter approval. STOP THIS NOW!**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:56 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Don Larson

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Do not mandate conversion to all electric appliances in home that use natural gas for heating, cooking and water heater. The cost would be prohibitive for me, in an environment where costs rising so fast anyways on a retired fixed income.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:19 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Douglas Kasai

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**To the Committee:** As a senior citizen, taxpaying resident of L.A. County, who completed remodeling work on my home, I'm voicing my objection to modifying Amendments 1111 & 1121 requiring ALL residents to convert appliances to all electric devices. Shame on your committee to add further costs and inconveniences to residents on these conversions as soon as 2026. You may not have to live on a fixed income to cover these costs, but many residents do. I STRONGLY OPPOSE THESE AMENDMENT CHANGES TO 1111 & 1121.



**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 11:47 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Drew MCCLELLAND

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

The proposed 1111 & 1121 are another attempt by AQMD, a utility oversight committee, to push another rule that will negatively impact Southland residents who fall under the proposed geographic alignment. Please vote no and rescind this proposed amendment, or I expect it will go the same way as the Governor's vehicle clean air act, which has been demolished.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:36 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Eric Hofer

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I am strongly opposed to the proposed amended rules 1111 and 1112.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:04 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Eugene Cantrell

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Natural Gas should only be phased out in existing structures under 3 conditions. 1. California is using only 100% non coal/oil/gas electric generation. This includes electrical imports from other states. 2. All vehicles/trains on road are 100% non gas/diesel. 3. Power companies provide a 75% rebate for all retrofit costs to switch to all electric structures.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 11:01 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Glenys Bell

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This is ridiculous. The average person has no idea what these are saying other than you don't want us to keep our gas heaters or water heaters and switch to all electric. This is not good for many reasons--it is going to cost us if we have to replace our units and if the power goes out, we can't cook or stay warm, and with the PSPS events last Jan our power was out for over 125 hours. Also our electric bills are high and I am sure that is not going to change. You have not convinced me or many others that this is actually doing harm. I much prefer gas utilities to electric and can't believe that you are taking that right away from me--one more reason to get out of CA.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:19 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Gregory Hedrick

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I don't get it? SCE claims their rates are higher because they have to purchase Natural Gas in the summer to produce electricity to meet the demand and we still have rolling blackouts. Obviously the current grid cannot handle the demand for power and now you want to add to millions of additional kilowatt hrs with this amendment that will basically allow this agency to pick your pocket. Enough is enough.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 4:24 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Heather Thomas

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**All people in California should have the right to choose their preferred utility use of either natural gas, propane, electric, etc. Vote no to the Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:20 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Jacqueline Davies

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Please do not pass the aqmd bill. It would be a severe hardship for retirees who are trying to make ends meet now. Mobile homes in particular would be affected because most have natural gas for heat and water N

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:27 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Jeannie Brandt

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please don't vote to require gas water heaters to be replaced by electric ones. Electric heaters are not nearly as efficient and cost more to use. I'm speaking from experience. Also the retrofitting will burden Californians further as we're already over burdened by the cost of living in CA. I love it here. I'm a native Californian but this CA government is about to finish us off financially.**



**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:07 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Joel Wunderly

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Although I support the desire to move towards a more environmentally friendly method of heating for furnaces and water heaters, the current proposed amendments to Rules 111 and 1121 need additional planning before being implemented. The financial cost of these changes needs to be accounted for or subsidized for small businesses, individual homeowners, and renters. Otherwise this well intended and forward thinking change will have disastrous consequences for the California residents who are already struggling the most.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:30 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** john ohea

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

As a low income senior living in an age and income restricted property I am very opposed to the proposed amendments that are before the legislature regarding the prohibition of gas appliances. Because California wants to build affordable housing but not maintain it, our property is facing a fiscal crisis because of its advanced age. Realistically this penalty to retain our gas appliances will impact only those who can bear it the least. The cost to convert our entire community to electric only is prohibitive and there is no funding to support it besides. This also seems foolish to me because the grid in California in our area is already dangerously overloaded. Where is all this electric energy going to come from? The expense, time and environmental impact of accommodating the jump to all-electric far outweighs the

**supposed benefits to the quality of life and so-called "eco-friendliness" the prohibition is reputed to result in. Is the prohibition of gas appliances just a solution in search of a problem? Please pay attention to the real world consequences of your actions and strike down these amendments. Thank you.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:23 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** joseph sain

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

it is unbelievably stupid to make these rule changes ! you will be forcing people TO BURN WOOD in their fireplaces ! make the smart decision and reject these foolish rule changes .

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 4:36 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Julie Grimes

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

**Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121 is an outrageous proposal with an overwhelming burden to homeowners and families who are barely scraping by with the already ever increasing high cost of living in California. I implore you to NOT pass this!**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:22 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Kelli Kowalski

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Amending rules 1111 and 1121 is just plain wrong. It would force us to pay more when living in CA is already unaffordable. The state does not have the infrastructure for all electric appliances, cars, etc. This is lunacy, the SCAQMD board should be ashamed of themselves putting so much burden on CA residents. How are we supposed to live when we are being taxed to death without our consent. Stop the madness! Thank you.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:32 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Kelly Brown

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I live on \$1,300 a month of Social Security. I deserve the right to choose gas or electric appliances that save me money. My electrical appliances like fridge, furnace and freezer are already so expensive to run and now you want to make me buy more that will not only cost more to run, but will cost more, period. It's no wonder people are leaving CA. Say no to this crazy plan. I'm born and raised in CA and have lived with whatever emissions are in the air and I'm just fine. If it's not broke, don't fix it!

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:29 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Kim Stapfer

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Before you make these rules you need to think about the working poor and senior citizens on disability. You don't think about this before you come up with this junk.**



## Peter Campbell

---

**From:** Lidia Rodriguez <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 10:20 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Public Comment on Rules 1111 & 1121 – Submission Issue

Hi Peter,

I tried submitting this through the official comment portal on the SCAQMD website, but it wasn't working—so I'm emailing my comment directly instead.

Please ensure this is included as part of the public record:

I don't usually write public comments, but this one feels too important to ignore.

We are already living in a time where everything costs more—groceries, rent, energy, childcare. Families are barely getting by. And now, this proposal is asking them to somehow come up with thousands of dollars more just to have hot water or a working heater?

That's not progress. That's punishment disguised as policy.

I'm not against going electric. Most of us want cleaner air and a healthier planet. But the way this is being done—without funding, without planning, without any real support—is reckless. It's asking people to make impossible choices: go into debt, delay repairs, or live without heat or hot water.

What happens when someone's water heater breaks in the middle of winter and they can't afford the "upgrade"? What happens to single moms, to seniors, to people already behind on rent?

You're not just creating inconvenience. You're creating risk. Real, human, daily risk. For people who did nothing wrong but happen to live paycheck to paycheck.

Environmental change without economic compassion is just cruelty in a green wrapper.

This doesn't feel like leadership. It feels like detachment.

I'm asking you—urge you—not to push this forward without a clear, affordable, and realistic path for the people this will impact most.

Sincerely,

Lidia Rodriguez

Hemet 92544

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:36 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Linda Raye

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I AM RETIRED, LIVING ON MY SOCIAL SECURITY. I ALREADY HAVE TO PAY \$200/MONTH JUST FOR TV AND INTERNET (NOT EVEN PHONE-HAVE OBAMA PHONE, FREE). MY TRAILER HOME PARK ALREADY KEEPS INCREASING MY RENTAL SPACE EVERY YEAR AND NOTHING NEW IS INTRODUCED OR MAINTAINED IN THIS PARK. GROCERIES, OBVIOUSLY HAVE GONE SKY HIGH AND I CANNOT GET SNAP BENEFITS. I CANNOT EVEN AFFORD A VEHICLE BECAUSE INSURANCE IS RIDICULOUS. SO, SPEAKING FOR MANY RETIRED INDIVIDUALS LIKE MYSELF, I CANNOT AFFORD TO ELIMINATE OR ALTER MY GAS BILL AND TRANSITION TO ELECTRIC. HOW RIDICULOUS TO EVEN CONSIDER THIS NEW MOVE. WHEN ARE PRICES GOING TO STOP GOING UP UNNECESSARILY???? LINDA RAYE [REDACTED] (PLS USE FOR

ANY MAIL)

BIG BEAR LAKE, CA 92315

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:06 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Lynne Arias

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**I AM OPPOSED TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO RULES 1111 AND 1121. I CHOSE GAS APPLIANCES IN OUR HOME AND AM OPPOSED TO BEING FORCED TO USE ALL ELECTRIC AS THE COSTS OF SUCH CHANGE ARE PROHIBITIVE TO RETIREES ON FIXED INCOMES. AND, FURTHER, SCE IS OFFERING LITTLE HELP WITH BACK UP BATTERY PROGRAMS AT THIS TIME!**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:01 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Marietta Snowden

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**STOP gouging the people! Is it not enough people living on the streets? You characters are beyond HELL. The entire country is speeding to destruction and you degenerates are with this. You could have let the economy turn in a forward direction before you tried to drag in this. People can barely afford a roof over their heads and you &/@;! Keep coming. You ALL need to LOSE your jobs maybe then you'll function on the right trajectory due to your vision of things will be correct. Shut this down; at least until the country has less to worry about**

## Marissa Poon

---

**From:** Heather Farr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:03 AM  
**To:** Marissa Poon; Yanrong Zhu; Emily Yen; Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Urgent Concerns About Proposed Zero-Emission Appliance Mandates (Rules 1111 & 1121)

---

**From:** Mark Boliaris <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:08 AM  
**To:** Heather Farr <hfarr@aqmd.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Urgent Concerns About Proposed Zero-Emission Appliance Mandates (Rules 1111 & 1121)

Dear Heather,

I'm writing as a concerned resident to urge you to oppose or delay implementation of South Coast AQMD's Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121.

While I understand the desire to reduce emissions, these rules are being pursued without regard to the current fragility of California's electric grid, or the financial burden it will place on everyday working families.

Let me share something personal. During COVID, my loved one — who has since passed — was on a home oxygen generator due to complications from chemotherapy. At the time, oxygen cylinders were in short supply, and we relied heavily on the generator. We experienced two blackouts during that critical period. Our backup cylinders were nearly empty, and her fear — while already fighting for her life — was unimaginable. It nearly cost her everything. I will never forget the look in her eyes.

Mandating millions of homes to switch from gas to electric appliances, without first ensuring the grid is capable and reliable, is reckless endangerment of people's lives and livelihoods.

You have a duty to research the flaws in California's energy plans — not just adopt policy based on ideology or pressure from activist groups. There is no credible engineering path to support this level of electrification without massive infrastructure improvements, and none are being guaranteed by these rules.

Please stand up for all Californians — especially the elderly, the vulnerable, and those who cannot afford \$10,000–\$15,000 appliance retrofits or withstand multi-day power outages in extreme weather.

This isn't climate policy. It's an experiment with lives at stake.

Sincerely,  
Mark Boliaris  
Long Beach, CA 90803  
[REDACTED]

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 11:10 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Mark Derhak

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**It's unreasonable to expect homeowners who are already struggling financially to cover the costs of converting from gas to all electric. If the government want to require homes be converted to all electric, then the government should fund the costs incurred.**



**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:27 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Martin Hamilton

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**I oppose these amendments! I will vote for representatives who listen to the people!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 28, 2025 12:31 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michael Park

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This is ridiculous. Natural gas is cheaper than electricity. Our current electrical grid cant even support the current load, this will make blackouts a routine event.**

## Peter Campbell

---

**From:** Nilufer A. R. <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:03 PM  
**To:** Public Advisor; Peter Campbell; Jivar Afshar; Calero, Nick;  
Senator.OchoaBogh@senate.ca.gov  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] NO TO ALL ELECTRIC APPLIANCES !

Fom: Nilufer Richardson  
ToL [AQMD.GOV](http://AQMD.GOV)

You do know that there is NO meaningful/acceptable reason to mandate a switch from gas to electric for homeowners and landlords. The obsession with the idea that gas is toxic while the main toxicity comes from our California Government, which continues to disregard that Californians are drowning in extremely high costs of living based on severe greed coming from our own State Government, is inhumane, harmful, and should be stopped immediately. The alleged toxicity from natural gas is rather a myth than fact. For decades, gas has been used in households for heating and cooking, and that has NOT caused any injuries or harm to anybody. You are spraying strawberry fields with Gyphosate everywhere, whereas it is already banned in Europe, as it is a very poisonous pesticide for humans. Millions of Americans each year die due to cancers from Gyphosate, yet you continue to spray us to death with a poison that is known to be a poison ! How much more hypocritical can you get ? We are supposed to go broke now by switching to all-electric appliances --because you order us so-- while you continue to kill us with Glyphosate ?

Not even in Medieval ages, where innocent women were murdered as "witches", such illogical actions were imposed on the people by their government. It does not stop here. You allow men to compete with women while it is finally outlawed nationwide. Further, you still don't do forest maintenance, so you allow California Wildfires to rage everywhere, with the intention to demand federal funds "for relief". In addition, you raise our taxes steadily while burning our forests by blocking forest maintenance.

Lastly, you stopped the sale of pesticides --designed to kill pests--, so we get devoured by pests. You respect and love mice more than us humans. You want us to be harmed, killed, and hurt by pests. In NO other States are pest control poisons outlawed -- other than California ! And you allow negligent/malpracticing "doctors" to hurt/harm/kill patients, as your Medical Board, Dental Board, and Osteopathic Medicine Board close both eyes to ongoing doctors' negligence and malpractice. You do nothing to protect the public, then you demand from us to give up our gas appliances -- allegedly because you are protecting us from allegedly toxic gas ?? The lie-based "Climate Change" nonsense is a total crime. You are telling us that the summers are getting hotter and longer. WHAT A LIE ! The summers are so cold now in California, even Southern California, that I am still HEATING at night !! We had no triple digit days as of now, which is June 3, 2025. Usually, it is over 100 F by now. We are still feeling the remnants of Global Cooling. The biggest hoax of all time is the "climate change" hoax. You are the ones who cause the Californian Wildfires, NOT the climate. You intentionally leave forests grow out of control, so you can have massive wildfires.

We are not dumb. We know what you are doing to us. Under NO circumstance do we accept the switch from gas to electrical in our households. It is time for Californians to stop the madness coming from our own State Government.

**I OPPOSE VEHEMENTLY THE SWITCH FROM GAS TO ELECTRIC. MY VOTE IS A CLEAR NO REGARDING THESE "PROPOSALS".**

Nilufer Richardson

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 8:21 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Pat Beeson

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Save our natural gas appliances. Do not pass this mandate. Do not burden the people in California with more unreliable utilities at exorbitant rates.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:45 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Patricia Byrd

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**I DO NOT want to see an amendment made to Rules 1111 and 1121. Our CA residents MUST retain their choice in having gas OR electricity for their home. I love my gas range, my husband and I have a natural gas powered generator BECAUSE CA is trying to go all electric without yet having enough power for our State. I should be able to make this choice. We don't have the money to change our power sources if my natural gas furnace or water heater require replacement. Please retain the power of choice and do not modify Rules 1111 and 1112.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:05 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Paul Stratton

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Changing 1111 and 1121 to force people to buy electric stoves and water heaters is just another attack on the people of California. When will all of this nonsense end? People are tired of the green scam crap that is emptying our wallets. The cost of living in California is out of control and these changes to 1111 and 1121 are examples of why people are leaving the state to find leadership with some common sense. Enough is enough!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:46 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Preston Dierickx

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

**You all have lost your minds!!! The propositioners of rule 1111 & 1121 have zero consideration of mountain communities! We experience 4 seasons and regular natural disasters. Haveing heat or water operating on the electrical grid is unreliable and will directly impact the lives of all mountain communities! Global warming is not the threat, a magnetic pole flip is eminent. Do the research! Those renting can't afford battery backs, tri fuel back ups or any other means. Your committie is dedicated to oppressing the middle working class and I will not stand with you! I oppose PAR 1111 1121!**



**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:12 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Rebecca Adams Crego

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This proposal is far overreaching into the private lives and preferences of Californians and will create undue, unnecessary and crippling financial burdens. California cannot provide adequate electricity to its residents currently- we often have rolling brown and blackouts in peak seasons. I don't understand the benefit of this change other than for control and lining the pockets of AQMD. This type of information should be blasted on media and put to a vote, rather than quietly implementing something that can have devastating consequences to residents- essentially blindsiding them. This used to be a great state, but these nonsense policies are forcing all contributing residents right out of California.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:09 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Rick Rote

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Stop! Just stop already and give us a break on the continuing tightening squeeze on our monthly cost of living. Stop making choices for us. Stop ignoring our voices. Just stop, please.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2025 6:43 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Rob & Michele Blischke

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Dear Ms. Vinh, My husband and I want to express our deep opposition to getting rid of gas appliances. The cost to retrofit everything is more than we can afford. We also do not believe that the electrical grid that is currently in place can sustain all households having to switch their appliances. We humbly ask that this committee realize the burden that it would be putting on households and those of us who are tax paying citizens. For us, it would be the last reason for driving us out of this state - we simply cannot afford to live here anymore. I would venture to guess that we are not the only ones in this situation. Thank you all for your consideration.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:43 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Robert Close

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**I am writing to express my complete opposition to PAR 1111 and 1121. Despite the high hopes of accomplishing something positive for the climate, all this will do is place dictatorial requirements and costs on us average citizens. DO NOT IMPLEMENT THIS!**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:59 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Robert Pellegrin

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Strongly opposed to being forced to change from natural gas to all electric. Cost to my household will be tremendous. NO to 1111 and 1121**

## Marissa Poon

---

**From:** Heather Farr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:13 PM  
**To:** Marissa Poon  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Upcoming Rules 1111 and 1121 vote (from Dr. Robert J. Phelps)

---

**From:** Barbara Radlein <bradlein@aqmd.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:04 PM  
**To:** Heather Farr <HFarr@aqmd.gov>; Yanrong Zhu <yzhu1@aqmd.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Upcoming Rules 1111 and 1121 vote (from Dr. Robert J. Phelps)

---

**From:** Robert Phelps <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:00 PM  
**To:** Barbara Radlein <bradlein@aqmd.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Upcoming Rules 1111 and 1121 vote

Take away Natural Gas for heating and air-conditioning and Replace with all electric?!!!!

Where is our common sense in energy usage and cost? It has been proven that electric stoves, heaters and anything using electricity are much more expensive than natural gas. We have an abundance of natural gas, not electricity. We are way behind in building transmission lines and infrastructure for more electricity. The cost for retrofitting my home from natural gas to electricity only is something I, and many other Californians can not afford.

Don't even think about voting for Rule 1111 or 1121 if you truly care about the people of California and their plight with rising inflation, tariff costs and now insurance premiums for home ownership.

Please let your common sense and understanding of the costs and consequences of Rules 1111 and 1121 make your no vote to help California stay the best place to live in America.

Dr. Robert J. Phelps  
California native

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2025 1:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

**Name: Roy Lopez**

**Email:**

**Phone:**

### **Message:**

**To whom it may concern Par 1111/1121 will have a negative financial impact on low income and working class families in California, The instability and unpredictability of the current administration is bad enough on its own, Par 1111 and 1121 will only make it harder for people to survive, please vote no, Thank you for your time, Roy Lopez**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 4:08 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Scot and Cynthia Mann

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

Dear Peter Campbell, After an in depth review of the rule changes it appears we would still have the option to choose a gas heater. However, the fee to the manufacturer is likely to be pushed onto us consumers. This appears to be part of a carbon tax scheme. Can you ask which stake holders are profiting on this scheme. Is there a stake holders flow chart available, we'd like to follow the money from the top to the bottom. Sincerely, The Manns



**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:28 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Scot and Cynthia Mann

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

**Dear Peter Campbell, We are against the proposed amendments to rule 1111 and 1121 as the costs to covert to all electric is astronomical and unaffordable. Additionally, the public should have the right to choose the type of energy they want to use. The analysis done was based on a lot of assumptions, further analysis must be done based on common sense and on who is profiting on these changes; who is profiting on these changes. Most importantly, the electric company shuts off our electricity when the winds are high, storm warnings or when there is fire danger. This makes it impossible for us to use an electric heater so we would freeze to death in a snow storm or when temperatures are so low which is half the year. Where is the assumption that people could freeze to death if these rules are amended. Common sense**

**needs to be added to the analysis. By the way, if everything goes towards total electric which seems what the push is here, people could starve without gas stoves and in the winter nobody would be able to dry their clothes if they force electric dryers, who knows what else they'll try and force on us to do based on their assumptions that forgot to include common sense for general public survival. Sincerely, The Manns**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:20 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Scott Hurtt

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This proposal will heavily burden the middle and lower income families. I am retired on a fixed income. I cannot afford a refit of my home to meet these requirements. This would also create a monopoly for the energy suppliers. I strongly oppose this legislation.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:56 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## **Contact Form**

**Name: Shereena Williams**

**Email:**

**Phone:**

### **Message:**

**I strongly oppose amending bills 1111 and 1121. This is unnecessary and will affect so many people in a negative way. You are supposed to have the best interest of the people at heart and I urge you to make the decision not to amend these bills! The unnecessary extra strain that this would put on our electrical grid alone should be of concern to everyone and would be a reason to vote no on this! The California electrical grid can already not keep up with the demand !!! This will impact so many people that depend on electricity to breathe! People that have medical problems and need to have electricity to stay alive!! Putting more strain on the grid at this time is irresponsible! Honestly, most People in California are struggling to keep up. You want to add the extra burden of having to replace their gas appliances to electric?**

**This just isn't fair! In an already broken system where people are struggling just to survive, I'm shocked that this is even on your agenda!! This goes without saying that there was also an additional gas tax that was just passed so we will also be paying more at the pump soon. California already has the highest gas prices in all of the country, including Hawaii, which is unbelievable ! We have the highest energy prices in the country too! I recently received a California climate credit on my gas bill in the amount of \$86.60. In my adult life, this is the highest California climate credit I have received on my gas bill. Doesn't this mean we're already going in the right direction? I don't understand the urgency and the need to amend this bill now when residents of California are struggling. Not only will this eliminate jobs. It will severely affect each and every business and household, not just those who are already struggling!! I strongly oppose the amendment of these bills and urge a no vote!**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Steve Letko <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 28, 2025 7:50 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Proposed Rules 1111 and 1121

Ms. Vinh,

I am sending this email to request that Proposed Rules 1111 and 1121 be withdrawn. We Californians are already overwhelmed by the state's soaring cost of living. The majority of us will not be able to afford the costly all-electric appliances, nor the \$30,000+ cost of retro-fitting required to accommodate the changeover to electric appliances. This changeover will also be a great strain on our already fragile power grid. Please assist the financially struggling Californians by withdrawing this unnecessary move to force them to electrify.

Sincerely,  
Steve Letko  
Moreno Valley

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Steven.genuine1 <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:03 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Oppose Proposed amendments to rule 1111 and 1121

05/29/2025

Oppose, proposed amended rules 1111 and 1121

South Coast Air quality Management district  
21865 Copley drive diamond bar, CA 91765

Subject: opposition to proposed amendments to rule 1111 and rule 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh and governing board members:

I am a Resident and home owner in Riverside county Ca.

After careful review of amended rules 1111 and 1121, it is quite clear to upgrade to zero emission appliances would be cost prohibitive.

Not just for the cost of the appliances but for the retrofit, Homeowners would be required to get permits to upgrade existing electrical panels and wiring, costing significantly more than what the appliances cost.

I oppose proposed amendment rules 1111 and 1121.

I pray the SCAQMD board members oppose these excessively cost prohibitive Amendments.

Sincerely,  
Steve Robinson  
[REDACTED]

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

## Peter Campbell

---

**From:** Susan Beauchene <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:29 PM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] June 6th meeting

Please don't ban gas appliances or tax the purchase of new gas appliances. Most people can't afford the cost of additional wiring and electrical panel upgrades. And the current state of California's grid would not support the additional strain.

Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Beauchene



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2025 8:35 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Taryn Cagliero

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I'm a resident of Ca. And I OPPOSE 1111 and 1121. This is not what is best for California residents.

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:33 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Tim Parker

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Very Respectfully. Please DO NOT force me and others to be mandated or pay dearly to replace natural gas appliances including water heaters and HVAC. The air quality in California will always be influenced by air sitting in the summer months and wild fires. The cost of living in California is very high and not doable for the middle class if you keep adding these burdens financially. Having new construction go all electric with solar is brilliant, but please leave existing home owners alone. You make it impossible to have extra money for so many working class people.**

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 11:33 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Viviana Schulman

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

i am totally opposed to turn all my appliances to electric. I do not think is as reliable as gas, and our Country has more important needs that that. PLEASE LEAVE MY GAS APPLIANCES ALONE

**Peter Campbell**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:41 AM  
**To:** Peter Campbell  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** William Inger

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**What the heck do you guys want? I can see this as a very good reason to move out of So. Calif. Please do not adopt this proposal.**