

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 2:49 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Albert Fried

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Our costs are high enough now making them more is just unreasonable. We will remember how you vote.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Angela Davis <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 3:35 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Angela Davis  
[REDACTED]  
Mentone, CA 92359  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Barbara Linde <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 1:00 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Amended rules 1111 & 1121

SCAQMD vote no on these rules. They create a hardship on Californians

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 6:48 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Beverly Thank

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

The grid **CANNOT** handle more! Please **DO NOT** take our gas heaters, etc away!!! **MANY** people **CANNOT** afford the change **OVER**, To say nothing of electricity bill going **UP!!!!**



## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Bill Freeman <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 7:04 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1112

As a 67 year resident of Fontana, a former three time Fontana City councilman and former vice chair of the San Bernardino Association of Governments, but most importantly, the father of three and grandfather of eleven Southern California youth and adults, I STRONGLY OPPOSE the imposition of the SCAQMD's Rules 1111 and 1112! As a retiree on a fixed income, I and my extended family simply cannot afford the costs associated with retrofitting our homes to comply with these rules. If enacted, we will have to choose between paying our monthly expenses or implementing the "all electric" mandates of Rules 1111 and 1112. I can tell you now what my choice will be: I will become a scofflaw and live with my few gas powered appliances until the SCAQMD police come knocking at my door. And I won't be polite.

In the remote chance you would like to discuss this with a typical southern California homeowner, please feel free to call me at +[REDACTED]

Cordially, Bill Freeman

Bill Freeman  
Sent from my iPhone

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 2:45 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Brenda Meyer

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I live in a mountain community in the San Bernardino Mountains and we rely on gas appliances for heat, water and emergency generators when the electricity is shut off by edision. Since the power utility companies insist on shutting off electricity when the wind blows or during cold storms, not having gas service is detrimental to our residents to try and stay warm and to survive snow storms. Do not allow for our area to go all electric and do not require additional fees passed onto residents to keep our gas flowing. We are a more affordable area, less than half of the median price for a home compared to the state median price for a home and our residents can not afford unnecessary utility fees.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Carl Taylor <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 6:25 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

I am, as the owner of a rental house built in 1960 opposed to the changes. To accommodate electric appliances the home would need to be rewired, new electrical panel, stucco broken out and replaced. Thousands \$\$ needed. The cost, passed on to the tenants, is not doable for them. Vote "no"

Carl Taylor

Sent from my iPhone

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:41 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Carola Caldwell

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Dear Ms. Vinh and Decision-Makers: I STRONGLY OPPOSE PAR 1111 and 1121. These are unnecessary, burdensome regulations that will place great financial strains on working Californians already burdened with some of the highest taxes and regulations in the nation. We live at elevation and my husband (a licensed electrical contractor) says that electrical heat pumps regularly quit working at cold temperatures. These rules will place people at jeopardy in the mountains and high desert for adequately heating and cooling their residences, putting lives at risk. Additionally, the electric grid has not been bolstered to handle the increased load on the system and we already have plenty of brown outs during heat waves and cold spells. The only**

**reasonable and compassionate thing to do is abandon these foolish rules.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Carolyn Kjer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 2:36 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Kjer  
[REDACTED]  
Beaumont, CA 92223  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:38 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Cheryl Raines

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Has there been thought to not allowing so many warehouses to be built in the inland empire with diesel as the primary fuel for trucks, hauling goods and Ware's. There's no way that I can afford electricity if I am forced to go with an electric water heater, electric stove. I live an extremely small home 1200 Square feet. I am very conscientious and during the winter months keep my thermostat at 64 and summer months at 82 so I can barely afford electricity as it is now.. Please do not consider water heaters and stoves to be changed over and forced into electric appliances.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Chris Van Velden <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 6:41 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Chris Van Velden  
[REDACTED]  
Yucaipa, CA 92399  
[REDACTED]



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:38 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Daniel Ruiz

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This has to be the most un-American garbage I've seen lately. Please do not force this onto residents who already live with an unreliable power grid. Please work on improving our infrastructure like the power grid before thinking of doing this.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:14 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** David Fenn

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please say no to these changes. It will hurt Californians financially and negatively impact Real Estate Sales also. Thank you, David Fenn California Real Estate Broker Beaumont City Councilmember**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:06 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** David Kowalski

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**First and foremost, let me start out and say that this is an absolutely ridiculous Idea, to place further burden on the households on what may be described as a flawed idea. The cost incursion alone is ridiculous, how in the world did this even come up as a solution? There isn't sufficient infrastructure to allow this to happen, the overwhelming burden is pathetic at best. So many state government agencies have overspent and have no solution for those working, realize people are leaving the state and pity those who can ill afford to. Just a total waste of time and taxpayers' money or lack thereof. I would appreciate a response.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Dean Scally <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 10:19 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Dean Scally  
[REDACTED]  
Apple Valley, CA 92308  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** DMcGee <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 7, 2025 4:00 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules (PAR) 1111 and 1121 PAR 1111 - Reduction of NOx Emissions from Natural-Gas-Fired, Fan-Type Central Furnaces and PAR 1121 - Control of Nitrogen Oxides from Residential Type, Natural-Gas-Fired Water Heaters

Jennifer Vinh,

I STRONGLY OPPOSE these proposed rules that will adversely affect our household costs, energy choices, and our ability to keep up with rising expenses. It is extremely short sighted and will in the long run drive more and more Californians out of this state.

Regards,  
Donna McGee

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:42 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Geoffrey Watkins

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Once again our elected officials are telling us to do more, give more, sacrifice more. But enough is enough. As retired educators, we have given decades of our lives to make California's future bright, but this is too much. It is time for California to say "enough"! You can no longer build our state by bilking its citizens with crushing demands for ever more money. We've done our job, now do yours.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:47 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Greg Raven

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Forcing Californians to buy electrical items to replace perfectly good gas-powered items is insane, considering that California is already running out of electricity and seems to be preventing private industry from building new power plants. Bird-killing wind turbines and planet-destroying solar panels look to be good alternative sources of energy to those who don't look at the whole picture. If you want to reduce pollution, start by getting rid of the millions of illegal aliens in the state, eliminate school bussing, and reduce the size of state government.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:55 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Guy Van Meulebrouck

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

natural gas is increasingly greener and greener. from bio gas plants to cutting edge plants that take CO2 out of atmosphere and make gas out of that. Elect company is afraid of gas cars because they want all of the transportation market business. that is not reason to let them punish their competitors



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 10:44 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** James Ponder

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Message:**

**NO on PAR 1111 and 1121.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 10:43 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** James Ponder

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

No one wants to pay more for energy bills! I say NO to PAR 1111 and 1121. Thank you!

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:04 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** James Wells

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

**Message:**

**Obliterate this. Is wasteful, excessively costly, stupidity,**

**Marissa Poon**

---

**From:** Michael Krause  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 8, 2025 8:56 AM  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason Stokes <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM  
To: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,  
Jason Stokes

Sincerely,

Jason Stokes  
[REDACTED]  
Yorba Linda, CA 92887  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:02 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Jim Hanson

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Making natural gas hvac and water heaters illegal is going to drive my costs as a homeowner up and also going to put an even larger strain on this states already screwed up electrical grid. Especially since some morons decided to get rid of our only good source of clean reliable electricity, the nuclear power plants!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:04 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Jodi Isenberg

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Stop making California too expensive to live here. Do not pass bills making gas appliances obsolete and unavailable.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 7:14 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** John Hayward

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please consider the burden of our already taxed households, No  
on 1111 1121**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:25 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** John Major

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I firmly disagree with the proposed amendments regarding this issue.



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:48 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Karen Boren

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Since natural gas is the primary fuel for generating electricity in this country, why would you force customers to use electric water heaters or pay extra to keep using natural gas? Just another obscene money grab tax masquerading as ecology. Disgusting.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Ken Alexander <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 4:19 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Ken Alexander  
[REDACTED]  
Palm Springs, CA 92262  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:57 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Kenny Legge

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

As a resident of Cathedral City I was disheartened to see Rules 1111 and 1121 and thought it was my civic duty to say that these rules are overreaches of the state government and present a financial burden to most of my neighbors. It would also force people into an appliance market that is unpredictable and in constant terror of increasing tariffs. Also with rolling black outs it seems unwise to add to electrical demand until the electric grid is updated and can provide adequate coverage of the existing demand. I hope these factors are debated when considering these rules. I appreciate your time. Professor and Dr. Kenny and John Legge, MD

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Kent Drinkwater

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

The quality of our air and environment is very good, and natural gas is a very clean form of energy. Passing legislation to force people to use equipment or a certain type of energy or pay a penalty is not only unnecessary, but it is detrimental to the citizens, may cause people to do without heat, and may even cause people to move out of the state. I do not support this proposed change and ask everyone involved in this decision to stop making life more expensive and difficult for their exaggerated concerns for the environment.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:35 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Larry Long

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This is the silliest proposal I've heard in a long time! Adding all this on to a grid which cannot handle what is put on it in the summer months now is total insanity!!**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Laura Ochoa <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 7:16 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] No on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Please stop regulating Californians into financial ruin.

Really think about your ideas and the day to day impact they have on Californians.

Don't be a Gavin Newsome (and his cronies in Sacramento) who look at us as a bottomless pit of money to pay for their hairbrained ideas

We're human, and we can't afford to fund you.

Laura Ochoa  
[REDACTED]

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Lisa Brents <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 8:50 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Brents  
[REDACTED]  
Calimesa, CA 92320  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 8:04 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Lisa Howard

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This cheap-shot, ex post facto move by ideologues divorced from reality is playing right into the hands of the corrupt state, who are taking full advantage of public sympathy for so-called environmental causes, but who have no intention of actually improving the environment. The state already extracts billions from taxpayers—more than most countries even—let them solve this alleged problem with what they already strip from us. Don't give the fraudsters more money! These useless and punitive proposals will not reduce NOx and will just make the rich leave and the poor poorer.**



## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** LORENZO PEREZ <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 10:29 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

I am urging the board to vote **NO** on Amended Rules 1111 and 1211 requiring electric water heaters and furnaces.

I can't believe that this is even being considered due to the added cost to homeowners and especially not enough electricity during the summer months to support this requirement. It will certainly cause more brownouts. And what happens when the power goes out for days like we experienced this past year due to fires. We were without power for 4-5 days! At least we were able to have hot water for everyday use but with an electric water heater that will not be the case. A year or so ago there was a request to not charge electric vehicles in the afternoon due to lack of electric power. And now this will tax the system even more causing more brownouts!

I am 77 years old and the added expense, which will be into the thousands, to retro fit and purchase an electric water heater and furnace will be a hardship to all those on a fixed income.

Again, I urge you to vote **NO** on these two amended rules. They are not in the best interests of the public!!

Thank you for taking the time to read this email and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lorenzo Perez

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 7:46 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Marvin Cleary

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please stop trying to cancel natural gas appliances. Just stop.  
Please.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:16 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Melissa Laizure

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please stop charging Californians more! We do not have the electric grid to support all of the increasing electric demands, and Californians cannot afford yet another increase in livings costs.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:25 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michael Kolar

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

While I love the environment and full believe we need to get away from gas, now is not the time. During unprecedented inflation & new traffis on new electric appliances now is not the time to make California even more expensive. Please keep this plan, but on a slower timeline to implement.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michael Meneray

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Requiring consumers to pay a fee to continue using natural gas space and water heaters is just MORE BAD POLICY--STOP!!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 9:44 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michael Mrgich

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

The proposed rules 1111 and 1121 fail to account for low income households that would require a new breaker to accommodate the full electric appliances and the increased electricity cost that is not offset by the reduction in natural gas this rule is ineffective either way in succeeding in reducing emissions regardless since the majority of emissions are not residential but instead commercial and should be addressed to large corporations that account for the majority of the carbon footprint in the district  
**DON'T MAKE CALIFORNIA MORE EXPENSIVE** for low income households

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:37 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michelle Vega

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Eliminating gas service would be absolutely devastating for our communities!! Our electricity bills cannot take this load and solar would not be able to keep up. Please listen to the people, communities and think about our future too!! Let Us Have a Voice, Please Hear Us!!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Michelle Wavering

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Dear AQMD, While I am advocate and believe our environment must be protected, changes can't be implemented that financially affect so many, especially during increasing costs and threats of inflation. Our young families are already struggling to be home owners and this would only add ot the burdon. Even moving to EV cars is going to take years. You can't make rules that financially affect our already struggling homeowners! I have a heat pump heater and can't use it in the winter when the temperature drop below 40 as it is not effective and it is new! That is most nights in the southern CA mountains. I would need to burn wood in my fireplace to help heat my house. How is that any cleaner? You need to consider the affects of your actions.**



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:18 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Nancy-Jo Madrigal

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

we need gas on the mountain or we will die from freezing temperatures because Edison is bunch of idiots and think its a good Idea to turn off power and we would have no HEAT>>>

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:48 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Narek Avanesyan

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Opponents argue that PAR 1111 and 1121 place excessive financial burdens on homeowners and small businesses by mandating costly appliance replacements, limit consumer choice, and could strain the electric grid by forcing a premature shift toward electric alternatives before infrastructure is ready.

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Neda Barnes <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 8:29 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RULES 1111 and 1112

I oppose Rules 1111 and 1112  
These rules are impossible and hurting fir a home owner barely making it now!

Neda Barnes

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Pam Skeldon <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 5:34 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

To SCAQMD:

Please vote against Amended Rules 1111 and 1121. We can't afford the retrograding necessary to convert to all electric households.

Respectfully,  
Pamela Skeldon  
Riverside

Sent from my iPhone

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Trisha Sluder <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 4:53 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] No on proposed amended Rules 1111 and 1121

As a senior citizen I urge a no vote on amendment rules 1111 and 1121 mandating replacement of a gas furnace and/or water heater. It is simply unAmerican to mandate changes so many cannot afford. I also worry about the insufficient electric grid that already suffers when AC demand increases in the summer and fall. Add to that AI sucking up electricity and it would put thousands at risk of losing electricity. Incentives is a better way to go, as well as encouraging cleaner gas-powered options.

Patricia Sluder

Sent from my iPad

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Patrick Bungard

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me. Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that

**cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters. With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees! Additionally, the electric companies cannot keep our power on during peak demands. This will only exacerbate the issue and force brown/blackouts during cold snaps when our state needs heat the most. Surely California does not want to replicate the Great Texas Freeze of 2021, where our most vulnerable population will suffer the most. Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community. Thank you for your time and consideration. I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Paul R Smith <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 12:22 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] URGENT: Please Oppose Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear Jennifer Vinh,

Today, I write as a resident in strong opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, publicly noticed on April 29, 2025. I will be severely impacted if these rules are passed. These rules directly affect the general public, not just large facilities or industries, but everyday homeowners and renters, like me.

Under the newly amended proposed rules, similar to the older rules, the consumer will be left with a higher price tag, and in this case, renters and homeowners will bear the brunt of the manufacturer's fee at the end of the day. The "revised" language introduced in February does not address my concern for the proposed amended rules that will force higher costs onto residents like me. Both amended rules force consumers to choose a much more expensive option of "all-electric" space and water heaters that cost thousands more than natural gas appliances or require consumers to pay higher costs, through the fees imposed on manufacturers, to continue using natural gas furnaces and water heaters.

With these amended rules, SCAQMD adds to the cost of living crisis by creating an impossible scenario where Southern California residents must decide between 1) having to install a more costly electric heat pump with an estimated price tag of \$6,000 or 2) replacing their current gas water heater at an average cost of \$1500 or more plus additional fees!

Additionally, upgrading buildings with new electric appliances and wiring is a costly expense. Electrical system upgrades are required, which I understand costs in excess of \$40,000. These high costs will likely lead to rent increases, placing additional financial pressure on tenants in a region already struggling with housing affordability. At a time when the housing market is already under strain, increased costs and the potential for tenant displacement will only make it more challenging for renters and landlords alike, worsening Southern California's housing affordability crisis.

Finally, my friends, neighbors, and most residents are unaware of the upcoming requirements and the significant costs involved. Despite holding public workshops, the SCAQMD has not conducted sufficient outreach to inform and engage the broader community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I respectfully urge the Board to oppose Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,  
Paul R Smith

[REDACTED]  
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675  
[REDACTED]



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:57 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Paul Vallandigham

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I oppose any rules that will raise my cost of living, including all proposals that are contained in this notice. I am a veteran and a senior citizen on a fixed income. Right now, my average electricity bill is about \$175.00. My average monthly gas bill is about \$65.00. I cannot afford to pay even more. which will likely be the case if these changes are implemented. DO NOT pass these proposed rule changes.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 6:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Resa Rosenstein

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

If the State of California is going to mandate that citizens with gas appliances pay more or change to electric- then I suggest the Gas Companies and Electric Companies share the costs for replacing these items. If nothing else, than the electric companies pay for these “improvements” as they are **DIRECT BENEFICIARIES OF NEW CUSTOMERS WHO ARE BEING FORCED TO CHANGE THEIR APPLIANCES. THESE COSTS ARE NO LONGER THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE HOME BUYERS, BUT THE COMPANIES THAT ARE BENEFITING FROM THE CHANGE.** As the consumer- I receive no benefit other than shelling out money. The State of California needs to pitch-in and pay these costs as they approved these appliances to be installed in homes. I’m not paying for your mistakes or oversights, nor allowing a free-fall increase to the

**electric companies. The electric companies have NEVER PAID CONSUMERS BACK for their overcharges back in the 1970's. I believe they can take the interest and payment they owe me to pay for whatever new appliances I need to replace!!!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:56 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Robert Simpson

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This is complete and utter nonsense. Cost to California citizens is unacceptable and unnecessary.**

**Marissa Poon**

---

**From:** Michael Krause  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 8, 2025 8:56 AM  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

-----Original Message-----

From: Ryan Brady <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 2:09 PM  
To: Michael Krause <mkrause@aqmd.gov>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on SCAQMD PAR 1111/1121

Dear Deputy Executive Officer Krause,

As a California HVACR professional, I believe the proposed changes to PAR 1111 and 1121 by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) violate the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) and should not be adopted. The rule would ban gas products for manufacturers not participating in the alternative pathway, and the mitigation fees for those who do participate would make gas products unaffordable. This effectively serves as a ban on fossil fuels, which is illegal under EPCA since space and water heaters have a federal energy standard, so no state regulations about a space and water heater's energy use (gas) can be adopted.

Sincerely,

Ryan Brady  
[REDACTED]

El Cajon, CA 92020  
[REDACTED]

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Sabrina Taylor

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

The bills for electricity is already high in the winter and summer. Why add more to it?! Bring the cost of the electricity down! No one has the money to replace their gas stoves, appliances, or water heater. We are already struggling in California as it is why make us suffer more?! How will you help those with low income?! Many of us live paycheck to paycheck and barely have enough to eat! I VOTE NO on this! And so will my family and friends.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:25 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Scott McCormack

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Seriously?? Considering that our electric grid will be powered mostly by fossil fuels for at least 20 to 30 more years, this is a massive penalty to California citizens with little actual carbon reduction. The only result will be more Californians unable to afford their living expenses. Enough is enough!**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:09 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name: Shammarie Barnett**

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:**

### Message:

I urge you NOT to amend these bills. Placing an increased fee to natural gas users will make the financial burden even worse. Increasing the mandate for home owners to use electric appliances will overwork our already declining electricity grid, further more dangerous fires in our state. Fires, overworked grid, financial burden are not what Californians need right now nor in the future. Thank you for your time, Mrs. Barnett Highland, Ca



**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:53 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Sonia M Monteon

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I find it unethical for this "unelected" commission, to play around with our livelihoods by trying to impose more changes that we **CANNOT** afford. Gasoline taxes, fees, utilities, state taxes, homeowners insurance, is making it impossible for workers and retirees to survive. The rich don't care and the poor are eligible for government aid that **WE** taxpayers subsidize. The irony, we the workers and retirees are screwed. We are dictated to pay exorbitant taxes/fees and penalized for driving a gasoline run vehicle/gas stove! I am close to losing my home thanks to the idiots running this state. How can gasoline be \$2.00, in other states and over \$4.00, in California? This is unacceptable! Now you guys want to charge a mileage tax? Are you guys out of your mind trying to pass these amendments? Why don't you pass an

**amendment to reduce the California self created INFLATION? We need help to reduce the cost of living and to have the liberty of using a gas /electric stove without paying fees. California has funds to run this commission, sue Trump, help illegal immigrants with legal fees/medical, and NO help for us? Just bills, amendments to control our lifestyle and finances? Shame on you! This is NOT the right time for these amendments. Thank you.**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 8:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Steve Kobernik

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**Please do not penalize hard-working, lower middle class families all over California for using gas appliances for heating their homes (PAR 1111) or their water (PAR 1121)! My wife and I own, in our retirement, 8 single-family residences with gas central heating and gas water heaters. Most of them are single-parent families who have been with us for 10 or more years because we have kept our rent payments low for their benefit. As a result, they can't afford to move anywhere else because of the already sky-high rental costs in this region. If these 2 rules are adopted, we would have to raise our rent about \$150 per month for each house just to cover the expenses for this one unnecessary requirement! Taxes and H.O.A. fees continue to rise every year, and who knows how much house insurance is going to go up?! And almost all 8 of these**

**families are already on the edge of being able to pay their rent to us!**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Su Ober <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 9:25 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Oppose 1111 and 1121

SCAQMD please Oppose 1111 and 1121 - as senior citizens living on fixed income we cannot afford any additional costs for living.....  
Thank You!

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:00 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Sue Bare

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

Eliminating gas appliances would mean no heat or any way to cook for many mountain residents when the electric company shuts our power off which is pretty regularly.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 3:57 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Susan McCarley

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I am respectfully demanding that these socialistic, harmful, expensive amendments be completely discarded. The public has become aware of your underhanded plots to cause harm to Californians and put us at the mercy of the radical leftists. Do not pass these amendments. Thank you.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 4:35 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Thomas Mitchell

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

I vehemently protest the passage of any Rules that would increase the cost of us who continue to use natural gas in our water heaters, furnaces, etc. Some of us, such as myself, and potentially millions of other California residents have purchased water heaters and gas furnaces that are now only just a few years old and have a remaining life expectancy of approximately another 15 years. Forcing us to replace perfectly good gas operated appliances with electric only will be very costly as would any punitive increases in natural gas charges should we continue to use our relatively new appliances. I lost my job two years ago and am now living on Social Security and the small savings I have left. Due to my age, which is in the late 60's no one wants to hire me. With all due respect I urge you to not force me and millions of



**other California residents to incur additional costs that we cannot afford! If you must. As the California legislature has similarly done with other air quality issues such as outlawing internal combustion lawn mowers that can no longer be sold in California, let them pass laws concerning the sales of natural gas appliances, but don't force us to change our perfectly good appliances or increase our costs to continue to use natural gas. In 15 years or less those appliance will stop working and will have to be replaced anyway. Please feel free to reach me at my phone or email to continue our discussion. Thank you, Thomas Mitchell**

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** Timothy Granger

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

**This rule is absolutely ridiculous, this agency is out of touch with average people who can already barely afford living in California and you want to impose penalties on households for gas appliances? You should be ashamed of yourselves for thinking up these rules, you want to put more pressure on an electrical grid that can't keep up, we experience black outs / brown outs more frequently as it is. Stop this rule!**

## Jennifer Vinh

---

**From:** Tom Johnston <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 3:29 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] proposed amended rules 1111 and 1121 : I am against the previous rules 1121 and 1111. things are expensive enough living in California please vote against amended rules.

**Jennifer Vinh**

---

**From:** South Coast AQMD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 5, 2025 6:02 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Vinh  
**Subject:** Contact Form

## Contact Form

**Name:** William Ringland

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

### Message:

This is too expensive and hard for me to achieve as a resident of Southern California. I can't afford to switch over to an electric water heater.