

James Chavez

From: Karen Nyhlen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:29 AM
To: Clerk of Board; Sandra Hernandez (Del); Vanessa Delgado; Teresa Acosta (Del); Alisa Cota; Michael Cacciotti (GBM); Sho Tay (Cac); t [REDACTED]; Ben Wong (Cac); Ben Wong; Bill Glazer (Cac); Wesley Reutimann (GBA); Ken Chawkins; Sam Kang (Cac); Jose Zavala (Cac); plock_dawson@aqmd.gov; Thomas Gross; Andrew Silva; Curt Hagman (GBM); Curt Hagman; Jodi James (Hag); Michael Miller; progers1@aqmd.gov; Katherine Kolcheva (Hag); Ron Ketcham (McC); Debra Mendelsohn (McC); [REDACTED] v; Loraine Lundquist; Loraine Lundquist (Mit); [REDACTED]
tim.sandoval@pomona.gov; Larry McCallon (GBM)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121
Attachments: Susan Shelley_ Environmental regulators harm the poor – Orange County Register 6-1-2025.pdf

Hello

Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 will ban home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas.

It is time to end this regulatory assault. I just replaced my GAS furnace and hot water heater (almost \$10,000) and I am NOT going to do it again any time in the near future, let alone by 2029.

And you cannot just convert from gas to electric WITHOUT THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN EXPENSES to convert. IT'S NOT THAT SIMPLE.

Attached is Susan Shelley's brilliant piece on your proposed amended rules. Not only will they cost Californians EVEN MORE MONEY TO LIVE that the Democrats in this state just love to impose on us to pay for illegal aliens who never contributed a dime and do not tell me they do. I'm an expert in tax law.

The proposed rules WILL DO ZIPPIDY DOO DAH - NOTHING - NADA - RIEN - to help our environment.

it is LOSE LOSE.

I don't have that kind of money and you are NOT DEVALUATING MY CAPITAL SO THAT YOU CAN BUY IT UP AND CONVERT CA INTO GHETTO for NON-AMERICANS.

And the next governor we elect will UNDO ALL THE DAMAGE CAUSED BY THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION and IT'S A LOT. I absolutely hate him and you for even thinking these ridiculous rules up.

Karen Nyhlen
Garden Grove CA

James Chavez

From: James Buysse [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:05 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

To Members of the SCAQMD

I write on behalf of myself, my spouse, my daughter and her 30 year old daughter, and my grandson, his wife and their daughter. We believe these proposals are exceedingly dangerous and should be discarded. They will disrupt families who will lose their homes. To proceed in this way is not only sheer folly, it will cause untold damage to business and industry. Please do not approve these proposals.

The Buyssees

James Chavez

From: Gary Hogan [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 4:39 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Please consider voting to NOT approve Rules 1111 and 1121 concerning banning home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas. Enacting these regulations will cause a severe economic hardship to millions of low and middle class Californians. Cost of living here today is at an all time high. This proposal will only make things worse.

Sincerely,
Gary and Nan Hogan
Fullerton, CA

James Chavez

From: Cathy Goodson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:50 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Natural gas appliances

Please stop this madness. Forcing citizens to purchase expensive replacements for our gas powered appliances puts unnecessary pressure on everyone. Long after the purchase we will be forced to pay expensive electric bills. Why does California have to be the most expensive state? We need a moratorium on new taxes which people in government seem to forget that any fees or increased costs are just that.

Please do not force this bitter pill down our throats. Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Cathy Goodson and Larry Goodson

James Chavez

From: Steve Wyard [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:03 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vote NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Hello,

I'm writing today to urge a NO vote on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, which would ban home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas.

Natural gas is a clean, relatively cheap and plentiful source of energy, so furnaces and water heaters that use it are less expensive for homeowners to operate than electric models. They are also less expensive to purchase than electric models. Finally, appliances powered by natural gas are likely to be better for the environment than electric models, which will require either electricity produced by natural gas or purchased from other states that use coal-burning plants.

Please allow homeowners in California the freedom to make their own choices in the purchase of furnaces and waters and benefit the climate at the same time by voting NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121. Thank you for your consideration.

Steven D. Wyard
Northridge, CA 91325

James Chavez

From: John Young [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:06 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Don't make natural gas illegal

Don't make gas appliances "illegal" or even "not-preferred".
Consumers must be allowed to make their choice, WITHOUT STATE INTERFERENCE.

John Young
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: Laurelia Walker [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 1:12 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

As a homeowner and taxpayer I strongly oppose any regulation that will ban home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas.

Natural gas is clean, relatively inexpensive, and safe.

Electricity is subject to power outages.

Forcing homeowners to purchase new expensive electric units (by 2029) in only 4 years, is unreasonable and frankly, unnecessary.

I urge the Board of the South Coast Air Quality Management District to vigorously reject Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,

Laurelia Walker.

Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: James Vita [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:25 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] No to ban on gas appliances

Please consider low income folks and seniors on fixed budgets and leave us alone to choose what we want in our homes.
Thank you
James Vita

James Chavez

From: Susan McClymonds [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:15 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Opposition to Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am strongly opposed to the proposed amendments to Rules 1111 and 1121.

Forcing homeowners to convert from gas to electric furnaces and water heaters would impose a terrible financial burden. This should not be mandated.

Gas is less expensive and more reliable than electricity.

Our power grid struggles to meet current needs, and likely would not be able to support increased usage.

Much of our electricity is derived from fossil fuels, so the proposed mandated conversions would not have a significant impact on reducing the use of fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Susan E. McClymonds
[REDACTED]
Pasadena, CA 91103

James Chavez

From: Joe Wilson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:58 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vote NO on amended rules 1111 and 1121

To whom it may concern , Please Vote "no" on the above amendments. You are killing us seniors on fixed incomes, with all your go Green programs, that are nickel and diming us to death. We are barely making it now, i cant afford a new circuit breaker box at 35-75, 000 dollars. I am 82 and had an electrician tell me my current box was dangerously, and I toll him its been working well since i bought the place in 1979. It may out last me. Give us a break. Joe wilson [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Pasadena, ca. 91104. [REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:45 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Natural Gas Home Furnace and Water Heater Ban

I believe the Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 to ban natural gas home furnaces and water heaters should be abandoned as a detriment to the citizens of California. As a life-long Californian, I believe we can keep California safe and affordable without these proposals.

Fernando Rico
Orange, CA

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

James Chavez

From: jack beadle [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:12 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] "No" on Rules 1111 and 1121

AQMD Board Members:

I just read that the AQMD is getting ready to force home owners to buy much more expensive electric water heaters and furnaces by 2029 (also to operate) . I also read that to try to be sneaky, they may just force up the price of the natural gas-powered units to push people to pick the electric models. This will also burden the electric grid.

This is an outrageous over-reach of the board and any level of state government! When is the state going to stop killing its citizens via grossly higher costs to achieve meaningless environmental goals! (ex: no gas-powered lawn mowers, no gas-powered cars soon, drastically reducing the savings for home solar units, special gasoline blends, forcing refineries from the state, and many more).

So, if this is done, the political party that almost always supports this stuff (i.e., starts with a "D") will pay the "no vote" price from me, and hopefully, millions of other California voters.

Sincerely,

Jack Beadle
Anaheim, CA

James Chavez

From: Ray Joseph [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:11 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] oppose Rule 1111 and Rule 1112

Do not ban gas for water heaters and furnaces. Natural Gas is important for national security. Several years ago when Lake Arrowhead was snowed in and had no electricity or cell coverage. If they didn't have gas they would have died.

Thanks,

Ray Joseph
[REDACTED] Manhattan Beach CA 90266
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: Mike Harp [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:51 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment against South Coast Air Quality Management District rules 1111 and 1121

I am against Rules 1111 and 1121 which ban home furnaces and water heaters.

This is an expensive burden on homeowners and businesses and puts California out of sync with the rest of the US and world. Enacting this rule will do exactly nothing to stop climate change.

This decision is out of touch with reality. How does electricity get generated in CA? Most of it is by burning natural gas. Forcing people to buy electric appliances where the energy used is from natural gas to replace natural gas appliances is the height of stupidity or over reach by power obsessed ideologs.

The Air Quality board is out of control and is unelected and unaccountable to voters. I ask that my elected representatives reign in the board from such an action and limit the power of this board.

I am an independent voter.

Mike Harp

James Chavez

From: John Levi [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:28 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Please do not ban home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas in California residences. Rules such as these (if passed) will only force more residents to move out of the state. The elderly on a fixed income will be adversely affected as well.

Thank you,

John F. Levi
[REDACTED]
Anaheim, CA 92808
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: Bruce Baumann [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:01 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] OPPOSE Rules 1111 & 1121

Please forward the below letter detailing my opposition to SCAQMD Rules 1111 and 1121.

June 2, 2025

Hon. Vanessa Delgado, Chair
South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

RE: OPPOSE – Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121 – as released February 28

Dear Chair Delgado and Governing Board Members:

I write to express opposition to the proposed amendments to Rules 1111 and 1121.

While the latest rule concept attempts to move in the right direction, it fails to address many of the fundamental concerns I have with the proposed amendments.

Beyond the initial cost of the appliances, the amendments do not account for the substantial retrofit and infrastructure upgrade expenses that owners of older homes will have to bear to accommodate the new technology. Our home was built in the 1960s. If/when I replace my residential home heater plus water heater with electric instead of gas-fired, my cost will likely exceed \$30,000 (including necessary substantial retrofit and infrastructure upgrade expenses), according to an estimate provided to me. These costs could amount to tens of thousands of dollars to many others as well, placing an undue financial burden on homeowners, renters, and business owners—many of whom can least afford it, especially seniors living on fixed incomes.

Additionally, I am deeply concerned about the increased strain these rules will place on an already aging electrical grid. This grid not only relies on nonrenewable sources, such as natural gas to generate power, but is also ill-equipped to handle excessive new demand, posing a significant public safety risk. Residents have already endured frequent blackouts and service interruptions, and since 2015, electric power lines have caused six of the 20 most devastating wildfires in our community. Furthermore, numerous residential and commercial projects are currently on hold due to a lack of available power.

Many Southern California families are already struggling with high living costs, and mandating costly retrofits or replacements will only exacerbate financial hardships. These rules disproportionately impact lower-income households, which are the least able to afford such expenses.

While I understand and support the Air District's efforts to improve air quality, the proposed amendments would impose an undue and significant burden on homeowners, renters, and small businesses.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I respectfully urge the Board to explore alternative approaches that balance air quality improvements with economic feasibility for all residents.

Sincerely,

Bruce K Baumann

cc: Members of the Governing Board

James Chavez

From: Joshua Heard [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 9:28 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed rule to ban gas heaters

Hello,

This is a bad rule. First, an unelected body should not be making such sweeping policy decisions. Second, the rule is pointless. It will not stop so-called global warming or climate change. Third, it is expensive and will hurt the poor the most. Please vote no on this proposal.

Joshua Heard
[REDACTED]
Newport Beach, CA 92660
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: David Snell [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:40 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Against Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

I am writing to let you know that we and other people I have talked with are against the proposed rules to ban home furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas in the SCAQMD area beginning in 2029 for existing buildings and 2027 for new buildings. This would eliminate customer choice for the form of energy for those appliances, raise the cost to operate those appliances that run on electricity on most families that can't afford it. You also would cause a high cost of those premises that would need to be re-wired and have their electric panel changed. Are you on the the board going to pay out of your pocket to make the change? Of course not. Most people have a difficult time paying their monthly family expenses to live in So. Calif. and you would be adding extra expense to them.

Also, the current electric grid would be impacted and has enough trouble maintaining to keep up currently. Also, many of the recent wildfires have been caused by faulty electric lines. When the electric power goes out, at least we can still have our gas water heater supply hot water, I can use our gas logs for some heat, use my gas burners on my stove to cook, use my gas BBQ.

When I was working for a gas utility, there were homeowners who had purchased the all electric homes that were in the SoCalEdison Gold Medallion program, that would call us to have natural gas lines installed so they could have gas water heaters, furnaces, ranges, etc. as they said it was too expensive to have to operate their appliances on electricity.

Please let the Board know to vote against this flawed idea about their proposed ban and leave it up to citizens to have the choice on types of appliances they have.

Regards,

Dave.

James Chavez

From: DIANE HASSEY [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:00 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Leave our appliances alone!

Please do not burden us with changing out our gas appliances for electric. The cost burden is high and the environmental impact is extremely low.

Don't drive us taxpayers out of state!

Sincerely,

Diane Hassey

James Chavez

From: Tom Vogt [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 5:54 AM
To: COB
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Proposed rules 1111 and 1121

Vote NO on both rules. NO extension - just no. Taxpayers have suffered enough

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tom Vogt [REDACTED]
Subject: Proposed rules 1111 and 1121
Date: June 1, 2025 at 12:07:49 PM PDT
To: clerkoftheboard@aqmd.gov

Vote NO on both rules. NO extension - just no. Taxpayers have suffered enough

Tom Vogt
Fullerton

James Chavez

From: Sheila Peterson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:21 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vote NO on Amended 1111 and 1121 Rules!

Vote No!

Thank you.
Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: Patric Barry [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:16 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Object to 1111 and 1121

I object to the proposed passing of measures 1111 and 1121.

Patric Barry
[REDACTED]
Laguna Hills CA 92653

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:46 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] gas appliances

Dear Board Members--

this is to express my urging that you would not codify rules requiring Californians to use electric appliances only. The cost is prohibitive for the average homeowner. And please consider that any difference it would make to the "environment" would be so small it could not be measured. Is this another case of symbolic "virtue signaling" that is not paid for by the signaler?

All our grandchildren will be moving out of state to go somewhere where they can afford to live and not be saddled with ridiculous regulations.

Carol Houghton
Chino, CA

James Chavez

From: kim stapfer [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:35 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Objection to rules 1111 and 1112

To all the unelected bureaucrats at the AQMD who have zero oversight, I wish register my objection to the upcoming vote on rules 1111 and 1112.

Before you guys decide to vote on these kind of rules, you need to consider the cost to the average every day person. Take in consideration those of us that are on a fixed income, make minimum, or have to stay home to care for a loved one and cannot go to work.

You have no idea how much it cost to rewire a house or an apartment complex. If you decide you want to pay for this out of your own pocket, then fine vote for it. You obviously have no clue how much it cost to rewire a home an apartment or an apartment complex. I'm telling you now it's not cheap. It's in the tens of thousands of dollars just for a home.

Consider a large apartment complex, you're talk talking about hundreds of thousands of dollars on that one.

You are running apartment complex owners out of the state. They can no longer afford to do business in the state with the rules and regulations, especially when it comes to rent increases. You and Gavin Newsom and your fellow Democrats need to keep your noses in your own business. How do you think the average apartment owner is going to pay for the rewiring of his apartment complex? You, Gavin Newsom, and your fellow Democrats in Sacramento are the reason we have a housing crisis. You all stick your nose in where it does not belong.

We the little guys, can no longer tolerate your interference in business. You never think about the cost of the rules that you impose on businesses and the average person. You and Gavin Newsom stick your nose in where it does not belong. I think his meddling into the cost of gasoline might have just killed his presidency run. When gasoline hits near around eight dollars a gallon there's going to be a lot of people that are going to want Gavin Newsom, Democrats in Sacramento, as well as you, the AQMD, heads on a platter.

Oh, and I think it's shameful that Rob Bonta goes into his office every day and sits down on a zoom meeting with other Democratic Attorney Generals throughout the country how they can sue President Donald Trump. IT IS A COMPLETE WASTE OF TIME AND A WASTE OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS. In CASE YOU HAVEN'T REALIZED, CALIFORNIA IS BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN THE HOLE.

Rob Bonta and Gavin Newsom are a complete embarrassment to California Thank you, Kim Stapfer [REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: Erin Stone [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:26 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Do not pass proposed amended rules 1111 and 1121

While I am all for reasonably reducing air pollution, AQMD should not pass amended rules 1111 and 1121. It will cost me many thousands of dollars to rewire my house and get rid of my gas central heater and hot water heater when they need to be replaced. Surely there are other more polluting devices the AQMD could focus on besides these devices. And putting all our energy eggs in one basket is unwise. During the wildfires earlier this year, neighborhoods to the north and south of us were without electricity for days. So those with all-electric houses had no hot water and no house heat (and EVs with few electrons).

If worse comes to worse, instead of rewiring for an electric central heater, I can always fire up my woodburning stove in the winter (during burn days), but my guess is the wood stove is a lot more polluting than a gas heater! So let me keep my gas heater!

Erin Stone
[REDACTED]
West Hills, CA 91307

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

James Chavez

From: Karen Nyhlen [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:16 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Cc: opinion@scng.com; senator.strickland@senate.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Ta@assembly.ca.gov; assemblymember.gallagher@assembly.ca.gov
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121
Attachments: Susan Shelley_ Environmental regulators harm the poor – Orange County Register 6-1-2025.pdf

The feds already told Berkeley NO.

Berkeley can't enforce natural gas ban, federal court rules again

<https://www.berkeleyside.org/2024/01/03/berkeley-gas-stove-ban-ruling>

And this is before President Trump's executive order and Congress voted no to the ban on gas powered cars.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Karen Nyhlen [REDACTED] >
Date: Sun, Jun 1, 2025 at 6:45 AM
Subject: VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121
To: <ClerkOfBoard@aqmd.gov>
Cc: <opinion@scng.com>, <senator.strickland@senate.ca.gov>, <Assemblymember.Ta@assembly.ca.gov>, <assemblymember.gallagher@assembly.ca.gov>

Hello

It is time to end this regulatory assault. I just replaced my furnace and hot water heater (almost \$10,000).

Attached is Susan Shelley's brilliant piece on your proposed amended rules.

I am going to retire from working 9-5 in the next 5 years. I DO NOT HAVE THE FINANCING TO REPLACE MY HOT WATER HEATER AND FURNACE WITH ELECTRIC APPLIANCES.

Further, I just had a water mitigation project over here and had a temporary ELECTRIC WATER HEATER THAT SUCKED WIND because I had to time when I had showers or ran the dishwasher. SCE was yelling

at me because I was using SOOOOOOOO MUCH MORE ELECTRICITY than normal. That's because I had to use this electric water heater.

I also replaced my 40 year old A/C. The cost of the new furnace and A/C is ALMOST \$15,000. And if you pass these regulations, I won't be able to repair them if they need a repair. YOU ARE JUST MEAN AND THOUGHTLESS AND IGNORANT.

ARE YOU KIDDING ME THAT YOU WANT ME TO BUY NEW APPLIANCES? It's not just the furnace and hot water heater - it's my stove and washing machine and dryer too.

THE FEDS ALREADY SAID NO so who do you think you are?

By copy to my state legislators, I demand they put an end to this crap and expensive nonsense you subject us too.

And I will vote for the next governor who wants to end this crap that Newsom started. He's an idiot and the worst thing that ever happened here.

VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Karen Nyhlen
Garden Grove, CA

James Chavez

From: Trisha [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:35 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 NO!

In the current economic uncertainty our state is facing, I implore you to vote no on amended rules 1111 and 1121. As a senior citizen who is retired or anyone just trying to keep food and shelter, the burden of having to retrofit older homes plumbed for gas to electric would be an onerous burden in many.

P. Sluder
Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: April Bourgeois [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:15 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fw: Vote No on proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

Begin forwarded message:

On Sunday, June 1, 2025, 5:06 PM, April Bourgeois [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Board of South Coast AQMD,

I respectfully request you vote No on the proposed amended rules 1111 and 1121!

As a lifelong Californian, property owner and small apartment owner, I find the ongoing regulations in California oppressive to our beautiful state's financial stability; whether it's the extensive loss of corporations and their much needed revenue; that are being forced out of California due to excessive regulation that makes doing business in the state of California prohibitive. As well as forcing the burden of the increased cost to homeowners, small business owners, which eventually increases rents and the cost of goods. Amending these rules will increase the cost of living in California, which is already one of the highest in the nation.

Not to mention, that we are already energy dependent on other states to provide us with enough energy. Meanwhile the increased electric vehicles continue to pull power off of our depleted grid.

Please do not submit us to further aggressive regulation that looks good on paper, but is detrimental to society as a whole.

Thank you,
April Bourgeois.

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

James Chavez

From: Ben Price [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:06 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Buena Park resident

On proposed 1121 and 1111,

My understanding of these measures is that they are designed to counteract emissions by banning the sale of gas water heating.

I cannot stress how errant this thinking is enough. [Joe Lstiburek](#) of MIT, the greatest mind of residential design for efficiency on planet earth is unequivocal: "gas heating is the most efficient form of heat, we'd all heat our home and water with fire if we didn't have to control for it's exhaust and it's spread. Using electric, unless you are nuclear, hydro, and solar only, is just getting in the way of fire doing it's job. It's a fire middleman if you would." Last I checked our national grid is woeful in nuclear and solar. Hydro is available but north of us considerably. As such we probably get our electricity from natural gas for the most part. With the added inefficiency, you will INCREASE natural gas usage, not reduce it.

Which means that the only winner of this is the electric power company.

Real efficiency and carbon reduction in industry, homes and business would come from incentivizing remodeling of existing post WW2 structures to modern or better codes. Example: reduce permit fees on all work that will be certified less than 5 ACH (air changes per hour) for remodels or 3 for new construction. Dealing specifically with water heating and cooling: currently many of the existing degrading copper piping is uninsulated. Meaning that it loses heat from the water heater to the user. Adding countless gallons to a standard shower when aggregated across the 12 million or so people in LA county. We have an aging infrastructure, getting it replaced and updated will have the greatest impact.

Ben Price
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: rldyvgc [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 6:35 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Please stop this insanity. This will cost the roughly 17 million people in your district hundreds of millions of dollars to comply. Only to give the electric producers more natural gas to produce the electricity you want us to use.

Our state already has electricity shortages in peak times. To compound that we have added electric cars and now appliances.

Please give some thought to this process and understand the very large negative impact without the expected benefit. For decades the gas company has told us about how clean burning natural gas is. Was this a lie by them?

Please do not let 1111 and 1121 pass.

Sent from my Galaxy

James Chavez

From: Stuart Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 6:09 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended 1111 and 1121

I object to any modification of any rule requiring replacement of water heaters and furnaces using natural gas. As a senior citizen living on a fixed budget I cannot afford the cost of converting to electric devices. I am told it is likely that the cost of the appliance is significantly exceeded by the cost of the wiring upgrades needed to make my house support these new devices. And, I am only one winter into a brand new high efficiency natural gas furnace that I expected to use for many years.

I encourage the board to rethink this issue with an eye towards the impact on the average county resident.

Regards,
Stuart Smith

James Chavez

From: Joan Davidson [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 5:20 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] STRONGLY OPPOSE AMENDED RULES TO 1111 AND 1121

TO the Board,

**No one has the right to stop the sale, the supply or selling or of gas furnaces and water heaters.
Not even the AQMD.**

If you want to CLEAN THE AIR enforce your rules that EXEMPT public agencies from compliance with Clean Air regulations.

Joan Davidson
Palos Verdes Estates

James Chavez

From: D Go [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 5:19 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, which bans furnaces and water heaters

Hello,

I am writing to you about Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121, which bans furnaces and water heaters that run on natural. I greatly oppose banning any furnaces and water heaters that run on natural gas. I have used both electric and natural gas furnaces and water heater. I do not like electric furnaces and water heaters. Natural gas appliances are better to run than electric appliances. If am forced to only use electric furnaces and water heaters, it will cause a great financial burden on my family, my neighbors, friends and relatives. This would require everyone to replace their electric circuit breaker panel, pull new wiring throughout certain section of home. Then each home would have to buy electric appliances. This would cost up to \$7,000 per home. The California economy is poor and in bad shape.

Please do not force this burden on the owners like me.

Demar Gonzalez
[REDACTED]
Huntington Beach, CA 92646
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: Howard K. McCoy [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 4:32 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear AQMD,

The rules you are proposing are outrageous and unfair because they will deprive the average working-class and economically challenged Californian of the liberty to choose what is best for his/her family and does great financial harm by preventing families to meet their energy needs in a cost-effective and efficient way because of the extremely expensive and onerous costs involved to effectuate conversion to ALL electric! It is an objective fact which cannot be refuted that Natural Gas is a cheaper and more efficient form of energy for consumers than electricity, which has to be derived from another source of energy such as hydroelectric or gas turbine--a fact which should be recognized by the AQMD as a "common-sense" revelation--so why the need to eliminate it! This legislative proposal is fraught with contradiction and STUPIDITY and has not been properly thought out. If the AQMD is really concerned about global climate change and CO2 emissions, they should advocate for the use of Nuclear Energy--a safe, cost-effective and climate-friendly energy source. Our family urges you to DUMP THESE UNNECESSARY AND COSTLY LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS! STOP THIS PIECE OF GARBAGE WHICH IS A TORTUOUS AND CAPRICIOUS OVERREGULATION!

Regards,
Ray Madsen

James Chavez

From: Dave Madsen [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 4:24 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Dear AQMD,

The rules you are proposing are outrageous and unfair because they will deprive the average working-class Californian of the liberty to choose what is best for his/her family and does great financial harm by preventing families to meet their energy needs in a cost-effective and efficient way because of the extremely expensive and onerous costs involved to effectuate conversion to ALL electric! It is an objective fact which cannot be refuted that Natural Gas is a cheaper and more efficient form of energy for consumers than electricity, which has to be derived from another source of energy such as hydroelectric or gas turbine--a fact which should be recognized by the AQMD--so why the need to eliminate it! This legislative proposal is fraught with contradiction and STUPIDITY and has not been properly thought out. If the AQMD is really concerned about global climate change and CO2 emissions, they should advocate for the use of Nuclear Energy--a safe, cost-effective and climate-friendly energy source. Our family urges you to DUMP THESE UNNECESSARY AND COSTLY LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS! STOP THIS TORTUOUS AND CAPRICIOUS OVERREGULATION!

Sincerely,

Dave Madsen

James Chavez

From: Scott Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:54 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Regarding proposed rules 1111 & 1121

Please DO NOT vote to implement these proposals.

Sincerely,

R Scott Smith, PHD

James Chavez

From: Eric Z [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:49 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] No on Rules 1111 and 1121

Good afternoon SCAQMD Clerk.

Can you please share this with the Board.

Please do not implement Rules 1111 and 1121.

There are many reasons why these rules are not fair. The primary reason is that the cost of implementation is significant and will have a negative financial impact on hundreds of thousands of homeowners. And the fact that the electric grid is expected to support an ever increasing demand is concerning, especially with a push towards renewable resources like wind and solar which portends an even greater risk of power outages. The recent large-scale outage in Spain and Portugal is a good example of this issue. Additionally, natural gas appliances can continue to serve homeowners during power outages. Please allow the balance of options for in-home appliances. Not everyone can afford to comply with the costs associated with Rule 1111 and 1121.

Respectfully,
Eric Zanteson
Claremont, CA

James Chavez

From: Sandi Petkus [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:26 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vote No on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 & 1121

I urge you to vote 'No' on the Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121 which will ban natural gas home furnaces and water heaters.

Sandi Petkus

James Chavez

From: Phil Pugh [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:55 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

It seems you are getting the cart before the horse, and you are not considering lower income and people on fixed income. On top of everything else you are not taking into account the jobs lost and future businesses that will not come to the state. Also the demand for and cost of electricity on outdated equipment will become a disaster. In California we rely heavily on natural gas to produce electricity and import from other states, do you know how that electricity is produced? Also, if 12 micrograms were good enough in 2020 with a 42% improvement, is it worth rushing to 9 micrograms that will wreck some many lives? Please consider these rules and possibly think about new building.

James Chavez

From: glo m [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:42 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] KEPT NATURAL GAS IN CALIFORNIA

Do NOT take natural gas out of California it is expensive to live here Don't make it even more expensive. Plus our electric grid can not handle more use. In the summer time we can't even run our air because the grid can't handle it. We California alone can NOT SAVE THE WORLD so let us have natural gas. Please be smart & not take this from us give us something to hold on to
Gloria Marecek

James Chavez

From: Earl LeVoss [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 1:49 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended rules 1111 &1121

To whom it may concern:

Do not amend these rules as many of us are on fixed incomes and to make us have to retro-fit our homes is far too costly and many of us would have to do without hot water or conditioned air. Your small effort to clean the air would put many lives in jeopardy.

-Earl-

James Chavez

From: Robert Horvath [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 1:45 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

I am strongly opposed to Rules 1111 and 1121. The benefits of banning gas appliances are insignificant in comparison to the cost of retrofitting homes to handle the additional electrical loads. The costs of electricity in California are already much higher than in the rest of the states, and the power grid is challenged, and California is already relying on importing electricity to a dangerous extent. These are are threats to Californians, whereas the minor change in emissions will not even be noticeable on a global scale. Get back to serving Californians' needs, not global ambitions over which you have no power.

Robert Horvath
[REDACTED]
Long Beach, Ca 90808
[REDACTED]

James Chavez

From: FRANK SKOCILICH [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Clerk of Board,

Just a note to let the AQMD that I disagree with their efforts to limit gas powered heating appliances. About time for the AQMD to dissolve and get honest work.

Regards, Frank Skocilich, Canoga Park, CA

James Chavez

From: Bill Bisaha [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 1:40 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Banning gas fueled furnaces and water heaters

Dear Clerk,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the potential ban on gas-fueled furnaces and water heaters. I believe this policy would place a significant financial burden on the citizens of California.

Beyond the cost of replacing existing gas appliances with electric alternatives, many homeowners will face substantial expenses related to necessary electrical upgrades. Millions of homes currently lack the electrical panel capacity to support electric furnaces and water heaters, requiring upgrades that can cost upwards of \$3,000. Furthermore, the installation of new high-amperage wiring will likely add thousands of dollars to these expenses.

Following these initial costs, homeowners will then face the ongoing burden of California's already high electricity rates to operate these appliances. The California government, along with various commissions and agencies, has already contributed to making our state one of the most expensive places to live. I respectfully urge you to exercise restraint and refrain from imposing further costs on us, especially when the overall impact on air quality and climate is likely to be minimal.

Thank you for your consideration of these concerns.

Respectfully,

Bill Bisaha
Overburdened California Citizen

James Chavez

From: Haydee Andujo [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 1:30 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Electric Water Heaters and Furances

Dear Sirs and Madams,

I just read I will need to install an electric water heater and electric furnace once my water-tank gas heater and gas furnace need to be replaced. That is extremely expensive to do. Replacing a water-tank gas heater would cost me about \$1,100 (heater, delivery, and installation). But with an electric water heater I'm sure it will cost *at least* twice that. And an electric furnace would run, I'm guessing, about \$10,000. Are you going to pay for that for me? No.

I don't think you realize that not everyone can afford what you can afford. Why do you want to cause financial hardships on the poor and middle class?

Please do not pass the proposal that will financially hurt many people. It is not right that a large number of people will have to be hot in the summer and cold in the winter all because we are not as financially well off as you.

Thank you
Haydee Andujo

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 12:46 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 &1121

The Board needs to leave the residents of Southern CA with the freedom to choose between electric and gas appliances.

That includes the current proposed mitigation fees.

Keep your hands off our rights as citizens. The facts are well known and the rules are abusive.

Sent from EarthLink Mobile mail

James Chavez

From: Jordan Rosenberg [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:57 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment: Opposition to Proposed Ban on Residential Natural Gas Appliances

Dear South Coast AQMD Board,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed rules that would prohibit the use of residential furnaces and water heaters powered by natural gas.

While I support efforts to improve air quality and reduce harmful emissions, I believe that an outright ban on natural gas appliances is an extreme measure that could have unintended negative consequences for residents, especially working families and seniors on fixed incomes. Natural gas is currently the cleanest burning fossil fuel and plays a critical role in providing reliable, affordable, and efficient home heating and hot water for millions of households in our region.

Replacing functional gas appliances with electric alternatives is not only expensive, but in many cases, impractical due to existing home infrastructure and the limitations of our electrical grid. The cost of retrofitting older homes to support electric heat pumps or tankless electric water heaters can reach thousands of dollars per household, placing an unfair burden on homeowners and renters alike.

Additionally, California's electric grid already faces challenges during peak demand periods. Increasing dependence on electricity without first ensuring a robust, resilient, and clean energy infrastructure risks greater instability and higher utility costs for consumers.

A more balanced and pragmatic approach would be to continue improving the efficiency of natural gas appliances, invest in clean energy generation, and promote voluntary transitions through incentives rather than mandates. Consumers should have the freedom to choose the energy sources that best meet their needs and circumstances.

I urge the Board to reconsider this proposal and instead pursue a cleaner energy future that respects both environmental goals and the economic realities facing Southern California residents.

Sincerely,

Jordan Rosenberg

Newport Coast, California



[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

James Chavez

From: Janet Mueller [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:44 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

As a resident of this state on the cusp of retirement, I am terrified that I may not be able to afford to live out the rest of my life in this state. How can you sleep at night knowing how many of us will not Be able to afford to go all electric? Our electric bills are already the highest in the nation. You are proposing that we will be driven off of a fiscal cliff by being forced to spend tens of thousand of dollars to convert our homes to all electric and then have outrageous electric bills. The worst polluters of the 1960s and 70s have been cleaned up. Driving people into poverty seems to be your goal.

Janet Mueller
Mission Viejo

Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: Mirandi Babitz [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:28 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please don't limit the use of natural gas in CA

I am writing to object to your consideration of amend rules 1111 and 1121 to ban gas heaters and water heaters in CA. This would be very costly for older people, and you have to burn gas anyway to make electricity.

It's a fools errand and we need many sources of power to keep the lights on in CA.

Sincerely Mirandi Babitz

James Chavez

From: Chris Brosz [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:22 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended 1111 and 1121

I object to any modification of any rule requiring replacement of water heaters and furnaces using natural gas. As a senior citizen I cannot absorb the tens of thousands of dollars to convert to electric devices. The cost of the appliances is minuscule compared to the wiring and panel upgrade costs. I live in a 50+ year old house and have replaced the appliances a couple of times with newer energy efficient models. The timeframe is also unreasonably short (2027) and should a device failure occur it could take weeks to get an electric unit in place. I encourage you to rethink this issue and act responsibly.

Chris Brosz

James Chavez

From: LASZLO FURDEK [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:10 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended rules 1111 and 1121

To whom it may concern,

I just read about the proposed rule change through an article in the Daily News.

I find it obscene that all you unelected bureaucrats have the gall to force this on approximately 17 million people and we know nothing about it.

I have a novel suggestion; why don't you ask the public what they think. What the hell happened to "By the people, for the people."

Can't believe you people can sleep at night.

Shame on you.

Laszlo Furdek

James Chavez

From: Neil Siegel [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:49 AM
To: Clerk of Board; Neil Siegel; Neil Gilbert Siegel; Robyn Friend
Subject: [EXTERNAL] opposition to rules 1111 and 1121

To the members of the South Coast Air Quality Management District Board:

I understand that the South Coast Air Quality Management District is considering rules that would ban furnaces and water heaters fired by natural gas. I urge you to oppose this pending rule very strongly.

As a scientist, I point out that the numbers presented to the AQMD by its staff are in error; the savings in pollution are actually minimal, and perhaps even negative. This is because of the way Los Angeles obtains its electricity; most of it comes from so far away that more than 1/3 is lost (as heat) in transmission (and most of that electricity is generated by burning natural gas!).

Also, tankless water heaters (which are far more energy efficient than ones with storage tanks) will mostly have to be replaced with tanked water heaters, as electric-fired water heaters cannot keep up with the flow rate required by a bathtub.

The analysis by the AQMD staff accounted for neither of these effects. Their analysis contains other errors and exaggerations, as well.

There may be some modest local improvement (in essence, we would be "exporting" the emissions from the natural-gas power plants that generate most of the electricity that we buy, not a very "neighborly" thing to be doing), but such export will do nothing for global warming, and any potential positive impact to Los Angeles County is vastly exaggerated, because the vast preponderance of air pollution in LA County comes from other types of sources, such as automobiles, the port, industrial operations, and so forth.

This initiative, in my opinion, should be OPPOSED: it will impose very significant implementation costs on every resident of LA County, its "benefits" are vastly exaggerated, and we ought in principle to oppose regulations based on faulty &/or exaggerated reasoning and analysis.

As to my qualifications to speak as an expert on this matter: I am a retired sector VP / chief technology officer at Northrop Grumman, a professor of engineering at USC, and the recipient of many awards in the field of engineering, including the National Medal of Technology and Innovation.

Yours,

Neil Siegel, Ph.D.

James Chavez

From: Mark Badraun [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:26 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Biden bans of gas appliances?

Perhaps your little group of government centralists should notify the Chinese to do the same. Stop micro managing the good people of California.

James Chavez

From: Brad Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:25 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

As a rate payer, tax payer, and practitioner in the environmental sustainability industry I am adamantly against the amending of Rules 1111 and 1121. These rules will create undo hardship on homeowners and communities because of the increased cost. It also goes against the CA legislature movement towards suspending new energy codes as part of AB 306 which appears it will be passed soon to retain 2022 codes to 2031.

Furthermore, CARB and SCAQMD assertions that natural gas fired appliances cause countless deaths a year in NORMAL operating conditions is simply NOT TRUE.

I have nothing against some electrical appliances like induction stoves which have amazing cook tops but mandating the use of Appliances and Heat Pumps is wrong. Let the free market dictate the use of these more expensive options.

Lastly, as an agency of unelected, bureaucrats you never acknowledge that climate change rules hurt low Income earners the most. These rules if passed will continue that status quo.

Please do not pass these regulations as these mandates will not move the needle one bit on reversing global climate change. We have made great strides greening the grid BUT natural gas will still be the number one base fuel source for electrical generation in the Western US.

Thank You
Brad Miller, Mission Viejo, CA

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:20 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121 Will Force More Californians Into Poverty

Dear Clerk of Board,

I have lived in California since 1966 and truly do appreciate the reduction in visible air pollution. That being said, I have lived in my tiny 53 year old trailer for over 41 years and simply can **not afford** to convert to all electric.

I once lived in an all electric residence and the monthly electric bill was shockingly high. In the winter, I would have to wear multiple layers of clothing and brave a quick shower. I used a laundry mat to wash clothes. Is this the future for us less than affluent residents?

Besides, California purchases electric power from gas powered generating facilities and may even purchase from power plants that generate power via coal yet residents are expected to convert to electric appliances. That is not good.

Please forward my concerns to the board that is pushing for Rules 1111 and 1121.

Sincerely,
A Senior Citizen
TM Snyder
Chino Hills, CA

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:56 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Banning gas furnaces

PLEASE DO **NOT** BAN GAS APPLIANCES OR ADD FEES TO MAKE THEM UNAFFORDABLE!

This action would be reprehensible and illogical!

Natural gas is MUCH cleaner and economical for certain uses, and electricity is VERY poor at doing certain tasks.

VOTE NO!

-D. Gee
[REDACTED]
Palmdale, CA 93551

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:50 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Please don't pass these rules. The costs are not worth the benefits. You are killing CA.

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

James Chavez

From: Ned Brines [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:30 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Gas furnaces

Are you deranged? You continually make rules which do not help the environment and drive up the cost of living for average Californians. Rules 1111 and 1121 are sophomoric, anti free market, ill-conceived, and costly to consumers already over-burdened by your follies. You (yes you) are ruining what was once a prosperous state. We now have the highest rates of unemployment and poverty in the country. We now have a higher poverty rate than Mississippi. We have lost hundreds of thousands of residents and jobs directly as a result of mis-guided "environmental" policies such as these. Just stop!!
Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: Douglas Bauder [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:30 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amended Rules 1111 and 112

We are lucky to have reporters and others inform those of us who live in the South Coast District of your ongoing lunacy.

Now you are considering bans on gas for home heating, including hot water. You may know this already - many of us are being forced, under Title 24 home improvements to INSTALL gas tankless hot water heaters already. And now you're going to ban these high efficiency devices?

What is your goal - is it zero emissions? Nice goal - but have you thought about the source of our electricity in So Cal? Yup, mostly GAS power plants.

So, is your plan is to shift who is generating the green house gases, or to eliminate them?

In the end, you will be harming poor families, which I suppose is your goal all along.

You're a bloated, top-heavy, appointed organization with little accountability to reality.

That's all

James Chavez

From: Ron Nipper [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:23 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Home Gas Utilities

Please give us choice in regards to home appliance use. Do not approve Proposed Amended rules 1111 and 1122.

James Chavez

From: loring christine [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:12 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Natural gas

Do not ban the use of natural gas heaters and furnaces. This policy is idiotic... it is expensive and does nothing to help the environment. Electricity is made by natural gas or other fuels... a lot of this is imported.

Sheer stupidity!

Loring Christine
Sent from my iPhone

James Chavez

From: [REDACTED]
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] AMENDED RULES 1111 AND 1121

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN :

Please vote no on these two rules. I do not think the majority of Calif. citizens want to ban natural gas furnaces and water heaters by 2029. We deserve a choice on what products we wish to purchase. Thank you and have a good day!

RON AIMONE

James Chavez

From: Nancy Ahlering [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:04 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Do not ban gas appliances

Please do not ban natural gas appliances in our homes. These are the most affordable for monthly energy costs to the people for our heating and cooking necessities. The cost of replacing any of these appliances will be beyond what most of us could afford and will result in hazardous small electric plug in heaters and cooking devices that will drain the electric supply, endanger residents and further erode the quality of life for residents of California. Urging you to not impose this unnecessary damage to us. The benefit is not worth the harm done. Nancy Ahlering

James Chavez

From: Karen Nyhlen [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 6:46 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Cc: opinion@scng.com; senator.strickland@senate.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Ta@assembly.ca.gov; assemblymember.gallagher@assembly.ca.gov
Subject: [EXTERNAL] VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121
Attachments: Susan Shelley_ Environmental regulators harm the poor – Orange County Register 6-1-2025.pdf

Hello

It is time to end this regulatory assault. I just replaced my furnace and hot water heater (almost \$10,000).

Attached is Susan Shelley's brilliant piece on your proposed amended rules.

I am going to retire from working 9-5 in the next 5 years. I DO NOT HAVE THE FINANCING TO REPLACE MY HOT WATER HEATER AND FURNACE WITH ELECTRIC APPLIANCES.

Further, I just had a water mitigation project over here and had a temporary ELECTRIC WATER HEATER THAT SUCKED WIND because I had to time when I had showers or ran the dishwasher. SCE was yelling at me because I was using SOOOOOOOO MUCH MORE ELECTRICITY than normal. That's because I had to use this electric water heater.

I also replaced my 40 year old A/C. The cost of the new furnace and A/C is ALMOST \$15,000. And if you pass these regulations, I won't be able to repair them if they need a repair. YOU ARE JUST MEAN AND THOUGHTLESS AND IGNORANT.

ARE YOU KIDDING ME THAT YOU WANT ME TO BUY NEW APPLIANCES? It's not just the furnace and hot water heater - it's my stove and washing machine and dryer too.

THE FEDS ALREADY SAID NO so who do you think you are?

By copy to my state legislators, I demand they put an end to this crap and expensive nonsense you subject us too.

And I will vote for the next governor who wants to end this crap that Newsom started. He's an idiot and the worst thing that ever happened here.

VOTE NO on Proposed Amended Rules 1111 and 1121

Karen Nyhlen
Garden Grove, CA

James Chavez

From: Bonnie Nardulli [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:26 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Amendments 1111 1121

These bills are absolutely absurd!!

As a taxpayer and homeowner I demand you veto these senseless bills.

A concerned homeowner

James Chavez

From: C. Turner [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 9:45 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: [EXTERNAL] amended 1111 and 1121

please stop this nonsense! do not move to eliminate gas appliances in favor of electric in a mandate. foolish! this is not practical or affordable for the average household.
c turner

James Chavez

From: Martha Fuchs [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2025 8:51 PM
To: COB
Subject: [EXTERNAL] PAR 1111 and PAR 1121 - June 6, 2025 Vote

I expect you to vote AGAINST PAR (Proposed Amendment Rules) 1111 and 1121.

PAR 1111 - Reduction of NOx Emissions from Natural-Gas-Fired Furnaces

PAR 1121 - Reduction of NOx Emissions from Residential Type, Natural-Gas-Fired Water Heaters

I do not want natural-gas-fired furnaces and water heaters to be banned!!!!

I do not want to pay more to purchase, maintain and use electrical substitutes.

Our California electricity rates are the highest or second highest in the nation, depending upon which material you reference.

Our California cost of living is 42% higher than the national average!!!!

Do not continue the destruction of California.

I expect you to listen to these comments and **VOTE AGAINST PAR 1111 and PAR 1121 on June 6, 2025.**

Thank you.
M. Fuchs

James Chavez

From: South Coast AQMD <sitefinity@aqmd.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:31 PM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Patricia Palmer

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

In regards to Proposed Amendment Rules 1111 and 1121, please vote NO on these. The people of California are being subjected to far too many costly changes! I am a Senior trying to live on \$1200.00 a month from Social Security! How much more can I and millions of other Californians take?! Please and Thank you!

James Chavez

From: South Coast AQMD <sitefinity@aqmd.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:02 AM
To: Clerk of Board
Subject: Contact Form

Contact Form

Name: Mark Brown

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Message:

PLEASE use your brains and do **NOT** approve Rules 1111 and 1121.
You will **CRUSH** the average person and truly achieve absolutely
NOTHING.