

Areio Soltani

From: Roshan Saxena <roshandsaxena@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2026 1:53 PM
To: Areio Soltani
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment on PR 1495 — Usable Fumigation Records and Corrective-Action Notices

Dear Mr. Soltani and Rule 1495 Team,

Thank you for developing Proposed Rule 1495. I particularly appreciate that the draft addresses public access to operating information and notification when violations occur, alongside emissions controls. Those provisions give nearby communities a way to better understand the operations that may affect them.

I'm Roshan Saxena, a student in Santa Clara County. I serve as a youth member of the Santa Clara County Sustainability Commission and help lead the FUHSD Climate Collective. I'm submitting this comment in my personal capacity.

I support the website and notification requirements in subdivision (h). Building on those provisions, a standard posting format could make the required operating records easier for residents to interpret. Identifying the fumigant, aeration period, monitoring units, and applicable operating range would provide useful context. A short explanation distinguishing equipment-monitoring results from measurements of pollution in the surrounding community would also help readers understand what the data do and do not establish.

An agency-maintained directory linking to the required facility websites could make these records easier to find without requiring South Coast AQMD to duplicate every facility's database.

For notices of violation, I would welcome the inclusion of corrective action taken or planned, its expected completion date, and a contact for questions, alongside the proposed violation details. Where action is still pending, making that status clear would help residents understand how the problem is being addressed.

Clear implementation guidance on responding to an out-of-range equipment reading before aeration proceeds under the control-equipment pathway would also be helpful, including documentation of the problem and the basis for resuming operation.

Thank you again for the work to develop these protections and for considering ways to make the information useful to nearby residents. I hope these suggestions can strengthen the public-disclosure framework already included in the draft.

Best,
Roshan Saxena